

Tarski Grothendieck Set Theory

Andrzej Trybulec¹
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. This is the first part of the axiomatics of the Mizar system. It includes the axioms of the Tarski Grothendieck set theory. They are: the axiom stating that everything is a set, the extensionality axiom, the definitional axiom of the singleton, the definitional axiom of the pair, the definitional axiom of the union of a family of sets, the definitional axiom of the boolean (the power set) of a set, the regularity axiom, the definitional axiom of the ordered pair, the Tarski's axiom A introduced in [2] (see also [1]), and the Fränkel scheme. Also, the definition of equinumerosity is introduced.

For simplicity we adopt the following convention: x, y, z, u will denote objects of the type Any; N, M, X, Y, Z will denote objects of the type set. Next we state two axioms:

- (1) x is set ,
(2) (for x holds $x \in X$ iff $x \in Y$) implies $X = Y$.

We now introduce two functors. Let us consider y . The functor

$$\{y\},$$

with values of the type set, is defined by

$$x \in \mathbf{it} \text{ iff } x = y.$$

Let us consider z . The functor

$$\{y, z\},$$

with values of the type set, is defined by

$$x \in \mathbf{it} \text{ iff } x = y \text{ or } x = z.$$

¹Supported by RBPB.III-24.B1.

The following axioms hold:

$$(3) \quad X = \{y\} \text{ iff for } x \text{ holds } x \in X \text{ iff } x = y,$$

$$(4) \quad X = \{y, z\} \text{ iff for } x \text{ holds } x \in X \text{ iff } x = y \text{ or } x = z.$$

Let us consider X, Y . The predicate

$$X \subseteq Y \quad \text{is defined by} \quad x \in X \text{ implies } x \in Y.$$

Let us consider X . The functor

$$\bigcup X,$$

with values of the type set, is defined by

$$x \in \text{it iff ex } Y \text{ st } x \in Y \ \& \ Y \in X.$$

Then we get

$$(5) \quad X = \bigcup Y \text{ iff for } x \text{ holds } x \in X \text{ iff ex } Z \text{ st } x \in Z \ \& \ Z \in Y,$$

$$(6) \quad X = \text{bool } Y \text{ iff for } Z \text{ holds } Z \in X \text{ iff } Z \subseteq Y,$$

The regularity axiom claims that

$$(7) \quad x \in X \text{ implies ex } Y \text{ st } Y \in X \ \& \ \text{not ex } x \text{ st } x \in X \ \& \ x \in Y.$$

The scheme *Fraenkel* deals with a constant \mathcal{A} that has the type set and a binary predicate \mathcal{P} and states that the following holds

$$\text{ex } X \text{ st for } x \text{ holds } x \in X \text{ iff ex } y \text{ st } y \in \mathcal{A} \ \& \ \mathcal{P}[y, x]$$

provided the parameters satisfy the following condition:

- $\text{for } x, y, z \text{ st } \mathcal{P}[x, y] \ \& \ \mathcal{P}[x, z] \text{ holds } y = z.$

Let us consider x, y . The functor

$$\langle x, y \rangle,$$

is defined by

$$\text{it} = \{\{x, y\}, \{x\}\}.$$

According to the definition

$$(8) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = \{\{x, y\}, \{x\}\}.$$

Let us consider X, Y . The predicate

$$X \approx Y$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{ex} Z \mathbf{st} (\mathbf{for} x \mathbf{st} x \in X \mathbf{ex} y \mathbf{st} y \in Y \ \& \langle x, y \rangle \in Z) \ \& \\ & \quad (\mathbf{for} y \mathbf{st} y \in Y \mathbf{ex} x \mathbf{st} x \in X \ \& \langle x, y \rangle \in Z) \\ & \ \& \mathbf{for} x, y, z, u \mathbf{st} \langle x, y \rangle \in Z \ \& \langle z, u \rangle \in Z \mathbf{holds} x = z \mathbf{iff} y = u. \end{aligned}$$

The Tarski's axiom A claims that

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad & \mathbf{ex} M \mathbf{st} N \in M \ \& (\mathbf{for} X, Y \mathbf{holds} X \in M \ \& Y \subseteq X \mathbf{implies} Y \in M) \ \& \\ & \quad (\mathbf{for} X \mathbf{holds} X \in M \mathbf{implies} \mathbf{bool} X \in M) \\ & \ \& \mathbf{for} X \mathbf{holds} X \subseteq M \mathbf{implies} X \approx M \mathbf{or} X \in M. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Alfred Tarski. On well-ordered subsets of any set. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 32:176–183, 1939.
- [2] Alfred Tarski. Über Unerreichbare Kardinalzahlen. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 30:176–183, 1938.

Received January 1, 1989
