

# Abelian Groups, Fields and Vector Spaces <sup>1</sup>

Eugeniusz Kusak  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

Wojciech Leończuk  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

Michał Muzalewski  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

**Summary.** This text includes definitions of the Abelian group, field and vector space over a field and some elementary theorems about them.

MML Identifier: VECTSP\_1.

The articles [3], [1], and [2] provide the notation and terminology for this paper. We consider group structures which are systems

$\langle$  a carrier, an addition, a reverse-map, a zero  $\rangle$

where the carrier is a non-empty set, the addition is a binary operation on the carrier, the reverse-map is a unary operation on the carrier, and the zero is an element of the carrier. In the sequel  $GS$  denotes a group structure. Let us consider  $GS$ . An element of  $GS$  is an element of the carrier of  $GS$ .

Next we state a proposition

- (1) For every element  $x$  of the carrier of  $GS$  holds  $x$  is an element of  $GS$ .

We now define three new functors. Let us consider  $GS$ . The functor  $0_{GS}$  yields an element of  $GS$  and is defined by:  $0_{GS}$  = the zero of  $GS$ .

Let  $x$  be an element of  $GS$ . The functor  $-x$  yielding an element of  $GS$ , is defined by:

$-x$  = (the reverse-map of  $GS$ )( $x$ ).

Let  $y$  be an element of  $GS$ . The functor  $x + y$  yielding an element of  $GS$ , is defined by:

$x + y$  = (the addition of  $GS$ )( $x, y$ ).

Next we state three propositions:

- (2)  $0_{GS}$  = the zero of  $GS$ .  
(3) For every element  $x$  of  $GS$  holds  $-x$  = (the reverse-map of  $GS$ )( $x$ ).  
(4) For all elements  $x, y$  of  $GS$  holds  $x + y$  = (the addition of  $GS$ )( $x, y$ ).

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by RPBP.III-24.C6.

We now define two new functors. The constant  $+_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a binary operation on  $\mathbb{R}$  and is defined by:

for all elements  $x, y$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  holds  $+_{\mathbb{R}}(x, y) = x + y$ .

The constant  $-_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a unary operation on  $\mathbb{R}$  and is defined by:

for every element  $x$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  for every real number  $x'$  such that  $x' = x$  holds  $-_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = -x'$ .

The constant  $\mathbb{R}_G$  is a group structure and is defined by:

$$\mathbb{R}_G = \langle \mathbb{R}, +_{\mathbb{R}}, -_{\mathbb{R}}, 0 \rangle.$$

We now state two propositions:

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{R}_G = \langle \mathbb{R}, +_{\mathbb{R}}, -_{\mathbb{R}}, 0 \rangle.$$

$$(6) \quad \text{For all elements } x, y, z \text{ of } \mathbb{R}_G \text{ holds } x+y = y+x \text{ and } (x+y)+z = x+(y+z) \\ \text{and } x+0_{\mathbb{R}_G} = x \text{ and } x+(-x) = 0_{\mathbb{R}_G}.$$

The mode Abelian group, which widens to the type a group structure, is defined by:

for all elements  $x, y, z$  of it holds  $x+y = y+x$  and  $(x+y)+z = x+(y+z)$  and  $x+0_{it} = x$  and  $x+(-x) = 0_{it}$ .

The following proposition is true

$$(7) \quad \text{For all elements } x, y, z \text{ of } GS \text{ holds } x+y = y+x \text{ and } (x+y)+z = x+(y+z) \\ \text{and } x+0_{GS} = x \text{ and } x+(-x) = 0_{GS} \text{ if and only if } GS \text{ is an Abelian group.}$$

In the sequel  $G$  is an Abelian group and  $x, y, z$  are elements of  $G$ . We now state four propositions:

$$(8) \quad x + y = y + x.$$

$$(9) \quad x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z.$$

$$(10) \quad x + 0_G = x.$$

$$(11) \quad x + (-x) = 0_G.$$

Let us consider  $G, x, y$ . The functor  $x - y$  yielding an element of  $G$ , is defined by:

$$x - y = x + (-y).$$

The following propositions are true:

$$(12) \quad x - y = x + (-y).$$

$$(13) \quad \text{If } x + y = x + z, \text{ then } y = z \text{ but if } x + y = z + y, \text{ then } x = z.$$

$$(14) \quad -0_G = 0_G.$$

We consider field structures which are systems

$\langle$  a carrier, a multiplication, an addition, a reverse-map, a unity, a zero  $\rangle$

where the carrier is a non-empty set, the multiplication, the addition are binary operations on the carrier, the reverse-map is a unary operation on the carrier, and the unity, the zero are elements of the carrier. In the sequel  $FS$  will denote a field structure. We now define five new functors. Let us consider  $FS$ .

The functor  $1_{FS}$  yields an element of the carrier of  $FS$  and is defined by:

$$1_{FS} = \text{the unity of } FS.$$

The functor  $0_{FS}$  yields an element of the carrier of  $FS$  and is defined by:

$$0_{FS} = \text{the zero of } FS.$$

Let  $x$  be an element of the carrier of  $FS$ . The functor  $-x$  yields an element of the carrier of  $FS$  and is defined by:

$$-x = (\text{the reverse-map of } FS)(x).$$

Let  $y$  be an element of the carrier of  $FS$ . The functor  $x \cdot y$  yields an element of the carrier of  $FS$  and is defined by:

$$x \cdot y = (\text{the multiplication of } FS)(x, y).$$

The functor  $x + y$  yielding an element of the carrier of  $FS$ , is defined by:

$$x + y = (\text{the addition of } FS)(x, y).$$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (15)  $1_{FS}$  = the unity of  $FS$ .
- (16)  $0_{FS}$  = the zero of  $FS$ .
- (17) For every element  $x$  of the carrier of  $FS$  holds  $-x = (\text{the reverse-map of } FS)(x)$ .
- (18) For all elements  $x, y$  of the carrier of  $FS$  holds  $x \cdot y = (\text{the multiplication of } FS)(x, y)$ .
- (19) For all elements  $x, y$  of the carrier of  $FS$  holds  $x + y = (\text{the addition of } FS)(x, y)$ .

The constant  $\cdot_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a binary operation on  $\mathbb{R}$  and is defined by:

for all elements  $x, y$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  holds  $\cdot_{\mathbb{R}}(x, y) = x \cdot y$ .

The constant  $\mathbb{R}_F$  is a field structure and is defined by:

$$\mathbb{R}_F = \langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot_{\mathbb{R}}, +_{\mathbb{R}}, -_{\mathbb{R}}, 1, 0 \rangle.$$

We now state two propositions:

- (20)  $\mathbb{R}_F = \langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot_{\mathbb{R}}, +_{\mathbb{R}}, -_{\mathbb{R}}, 1, 0 \rangle$ .
- (21) Let  $x, y, z$  be elements of the carrier of  $\mathbb{R}_F$ . Then
  - (i)  $x + y = y + x$ ,
  - (ii)  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ ,
  - (iii)  $x + 0_{\mathbb{R}_F} = x$ ,
  - (iv)  $x + (-x) = 0_{\mathbb{R}_F}$ ,
  - (v)  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ ,
  - (vi)  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ ,
  - (vii)  $x \cdot (1_{\mathbb{R}_F}) = x$ ,
  - (viii) if  $x \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}_F}$ , then there exists  $y$  being an element of the carrier of  $\mathbb{R}_F$  such that  $x \cdot y = 1_{\mathbb{R}_F}$ ,
  - (ix)  $0_{\mathbb{R}_F} \neq 1_{\mathbb{R}_F}$ ,
  - (x)  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ ,
  - (xi)  $(y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$ .

The mode field, which widens to the type a field structure, is defined by:

Let  $x, y, z$  be elements of the carrier of it . Then

- (i)  $x + y = y + x$ ,
- (ii)  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ ,
- (iii)  $x + 0_{it} = x$ ,
- (iv)  $x + (-x) = 0_{it}$ ,
- (v)  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ ,
- (vi)  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ ,

- (vii)  $x \cdot (1_{it}) = x$ ,
- (viii) if  $x \neq 0_{it}$ , then there exists  $y$  being an element of the carrier of it such that  $x \cdot y = 1_{it}$ ,
- (ix)  $0_{it} \neq 1_{it}$ ,
- (x)  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ ,
- (xi)  $(y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$ .

We now state a proposition

- (22) The following conditions are equivalent:
  - (i) for all elements  $x, y, z$  of the carrier of  $FS$  holds  $x + y = y + x$  and  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$  and  $x + 0_{FS} = x$  and  $x + (-x) = 0_{FS}$  and  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$  and  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$  and  $x \cdot (1_{FS}) = x$  but if  $x \neq 0_{FS}$ , then there exists  $y$  being an element of the carrier of  $FS$  such that  $x \cdot y = 1_{FS}$  and  $0_{FS} \neq 1_{FS}$  and  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$  and  $(y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$ ,
  - (ii)  $FS$  is a field.

In the sequel  $F$  is a field and  $x, y, z$  are elements of the carrier of  $F$ . The following propositions are true:

- (23)  $x + y = y + x$ .
- (24)  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ .
- (25)  $x + 0_F = x$ .
- (26)  $x + (-x) = 0_F$ .
- (27)  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ .
- (28)  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ .
- (29)  $x \cdot (1_F) = x$ .
- (30) If  $x \neq 0_F$ , then there exists  $y$  such that  $x \cdot y = 1_F$ .
- (31)  $0_F \neq 1_F$ .
- (32)  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$  and  $(y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$ .
- (33) If  $x \neq 0_F$  and  $x \cdot y = x \cdot z$ , then  $y = z$ .

Let us consider  $F, x$ . Let us assume that  $x \neq 0_F$ . The functor  $x^{-1}$  yields an element of the carrier of  $F$  and is defined by:

$$x \cdot (x^{-1}) = 1_F.$$

We now state a proposition

- (34) If  $x \neq 0_F$ , then  $x \cdot x^{-1} = 1_F$  and  $x^{-1} \cdot x = 1_F$ .

We now define two new functors. Let us consider  $F, x, y$ . The functor  $x - y$  yielding an element of the carrier of  $F$ , is defined by:

$$x - y = x + (-y).$$

The functor  $\frac{x}{y}$  yielding an element of the carrier of  $F$ , is defined by:

$$\frac{x}{y} = x \cdot y^{-1}.$$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (35)  $x - y = x + (-y)$ .
- (36)  $\frac{x}{y} = x \cdot y^{-1}$ .
- (37) If  $x + y = x + z$ , then  $y = z$  but if  $x + y = z + y$ , then  $x = z$ .
- (38)  $-(x + y) = (-x) + (-y)$ .

(39)  $x \cdot 0_F = 0_F$  and  $0_F \cdot x = 0_F$ .

(40)  $-(-x) = x$ .

(41)  $(-x) \cdot y = -x \cdot y$ .

(42)  $(-x) \cdot (-y) = x \cdot y$ .

(43)  $x \cdot (y - z) = x \cdot y - x \cdot z$ .

(44)  $x \cdot y = 0_F$  if and only if  $x = 0_F$  or  $y = 0_F$ .

We consider vector space structures which are systems  
 ⟨ scalars, a carrier, a multiplication ⟩

where the scalars is a field, the carrier is an Abelian group, and the multiplication is a function from [ the carrier of the scalars, the carrier of the carrier ] into the carrier of the carrier. In the sequel  $VS$  will denote a vector space structure. Let us consider  $VS$ . A vector of  $VS$  is an element of the carrier of  $VS$ .

One can prove the following proposition

(45) For every element  $x$  of the carrier of  $VS$  holds  $x$  is a vector of  $VS$ .

Let us consider  $F$ . The mode vector space structure over  $F$ , which widens to the type a vector space structure, is defined by:

the scalars of it =  $F$ .

One can prove the following proposition

(46) For every  $VS$  being a vector space structure holds  $VS$  is a vector space structure over  $F$  if and only if the scalars of  $VS = F$ .

In the sequel  $V$  is a vector space structure over  $F$ . The arguments of the notions defined below are the following:  $F, V$  which are objects of the type reserved above;  $x$  which is an element of the carrier of  $F$ ;  $v$  which is an element of the carrier of  $V$ . The functor  $x \cdot v$  yields an element of the carrier of  $V$  and is defined by:

for every element  $x'$  of the carrier of the scalars of  $V$  such that  $x' = x$  holds  $x \cdot v = (\text{the multiplication of } V)(x', v)$ .

We now state a proposition

(47) For every vector space structure  $V$  over  $F$  for every element  $x$  of the carrier of  $F$  for every element  $v$  of the carrier of  $V$  for every element  $x'$  of the carrier of the scalars of  $V$  such that  $x' = x$  holds  $x \cdot v = (\text{the multiplication of } V)(x', v)$ .

Let us consider  $F$ . The mode vector space over  $F$ , which widens to the type a vector space structure over  $F$ , is defined by:

Let  $x, y$  be elements of the carrier of  $F$ . Let  $v, w$  be elements of the carrier of it. Then  $x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w$  and  $(x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v$  and  $(x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v)$  and  $(1_F) \cdot v = v$ .

We now state a proposition

(48) The following conditions are equivalent:  
 (i) for all elements  $x, y$  of the carrier of  $F$  for all elements  $v, w$  of the carrier of  $V$  holds  $x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w$  and  $(x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v$  and  $(x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v)$  and  $(1_F) \cdot v = v$ ,  
 (ii)  $V$  is a vector space over  $F$ .

We follow a convention:  $V, V_1$  denote vector spaces over  $F$ ,  $x, y$  denote elements of the carrier of  $F$ , and  $v, w$  denote elements of the carrier of  $V$ . Let us consider  $F, V$ . The functor  $\Theta_V$  yielding an element of the carrier of  $V$ , is defined by:

$$\Theta_V = 0_{\text{the carrier of } V}.$$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (49)  $\Theta_V = 0_{\text{the carrier of } V}$ .
- (50)  $\Theta_V + v = v$ .
- (51)  $v + \Theta_V = v$ .
- (52)  $v + (-v) = \Theta_V$ .
- (53)  $(-v) + v = \Theta_V$ .
- (54)  $-\Theta_V = \Theta_V$ .
- (55)  $x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w$ .
- (56)  $(x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v$ .
- (57)  $(x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v)$ .
- (58)  $(1_F) \cdot v = v$ .
- (59)  $0_F \cdot v = \Theta_V$  and  $(-1_F) \cdot v = -v$  and  $x \cdot (\Theta_V) = \Theta_V$ .
- (60)  $x \cdot v = \Theta_V$  if and only if  $x = 0_F$  or  $v = \Theta_V$ .

Let us consider  $F, V$ . The mode VSS of  $V$ , which widens to the type a vector space over  $F$ , is defined by: the carrier of the carrier of it  $\subseteq$  the carrier of the carrier of  $V$  and for all elements  $v, w$  of the carrier of it for all elements  $x, y$  of the carrier of  $F$  holds  $x \cdot v + y \cdot w$  is an element of the carrier of it .

The following proposition is true

- (61) the carrier of the carrier of  $V_1 \subseteq$  the carrier of the carrier of  $V$  and for all elements  $v, w$  of the carrier of  $V_1$  for all elements  $x, y$  of the carrier of  $F$  holds  $x \cdot v + y \cdot w$  is an element of the carrier of  $V_1$  if and only if  $V_1$  is a VSS of  $V$ .

In the sequel  $u, v, w$  will be elements of the carrier of  $V$ . We now state a number of propositions:

- (62)  $v - w = v + (-w)$ .
- (63)  $v + w = \Theta_V$  if and only if  $-v = w$ .
- (64) (i)  $-(v + w) = (-v) - w$ ,  
(ii)  $-(-v) = v$ ,  
(iii)  $-((-v) + w) = v - w$ ,  
(iv)  $-(v - w) = (-v) + w$ ,  
(v)  $-((-v) - w) = v + w$ ,  
(vi)  $u - (v + w) = (u - v) - w$ .
- (65)  $\Theta_V - v = -v$  and  $v - \Theta_V = v$ .
- (66)  $x + (-y) = 0_F$  if and only if  $x = y$  but  $x - y = 0_F$  if and only if  $x = y$ .
- (67) If  $x \neq 0_F$ , then  $x^{-1} \cdot (x \cdot v) = v$ .
- (68)  $-x \cdot v = (-x) \cdot v$  and  $w - x \cdot v = w + (-x) \cdot v$ .
- (69)  $x \cdot (-v) = -x \cdot v$ .

- (70)  $x \cdot (v - w) = x \cdot v - x \cdot w.$   
 (71)  $v - x \cdot (y \cdot w) = v - (x \cdot y) \cdot w.$   
 (72)  $\mathbb{R}_F$  is a field.  
 (73) If  $x \neq 0_F$ , then  $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x.$   
 (74) If  $x \neq 0_F$ , then  $x^{-1} \neq 0_F$  and  $-x^{-1} \neq 0_F.$   
 (75) For all elements  $x, y$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  holds  $+_{\mathbb{R}}(x, y) = x + y.$   
 (76) For every element  $x$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  for every real number  $x'$  such that  $x' = x$  holds  $-_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = -x'.$   
 (77) For all elements  $x, y$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  holds  $\cdot_{\mathbb{R}}(x, y) = x \cdot y.$   
 (78)  $1_{\mathbb{R}_F} + 1_{\mathbb{R}_F} \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}_F}.$

The mode Fano field, which widens to the type a field, is defined by:

$$1_{\text{it}} + 1_{\text{it}} \neq 0_{\text{it}}.$$

The following proposition is true

- (79) For every field  $F$  holds  $F$  is a Fano field if and only if  $1_F + 1_F \neq 0_F.$

In the sequel  $F$  will denote a field and  $a, b, c$  will denote elements of the carrier of  $F$ . One can prove the following propositions:

- (80)  $-(a - b) = (-a) + b.$   
 (81)  $-(a - b) = b - a.$   
 (82)  $0_F + a = a.$   
 (83)  $(-a) + a = 0_F.$   
 (84) If  $a - b = 0_F$ , then  $a = b.$   
 (85)  $-0_F = 0_F.$   
 (86) If  $-a = 0_F$ , then  $a = 0_F.$   
 (87) If  $a - b = 0_F$ , then  $b - a = 0_F.$   
 (88) If  $a \neq 0_F$  and  $a \cdot c - b = 0_F$ , then  $c = b \cdot a^{-1}$  but if  $a \neq 0_F$  and  $b - c \cdot a = 0_F$ , then  $c = b \cdot a^{-1}.$   
 (89)  $a + b = -((-a) + (-b)).$   
 (90)  $(a + b) - (a + c) = b - c.$

## References

- [1] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.  
 [2] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.  
 [3] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.

Received November 23, 1989

---