

## Universal Classes

Bogdan Nowak  
 Łódź University

Grzegorz Bancerek  
 Warsaw University  
 Białystok

**Summary.** In the article we have shown that there exist universal classes, i.e. there are sets which are closed w.r.t. basic set theory operations.

MML Identifier: CLASSES2.

The articles [11], [8], [4], [7], [10], [9], [5], [2], [1], [6], and [3] provide the terminology and notation for this paper. For simplicity we adopt the following convention:  $m$  is a cardinal number,  $A, B, C$  are ordinal numbers,  $x, y$  are arbitrary, and  $X, Y, W$  are sets. One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $X \in W$ , then  $X \not\approx W$  and  $\overline{X} < \overline{W}$ .
- (2) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $X \subseteq W$  and  $\overline{X} < \overline{W}$ , then  $X \in W$ .
- (3) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $x \in W$  and  $y \in W$ , then  $\{x\} \in W$  and  $\{x, y\} \in W$ .
- (4) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $x \in W$  and  $y \in W$ , then  $\langle x, y \rangle \in W$ .
- (5) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $X \in W$ , then  $\mathbf{T}(X) \subseteq W$ .

The scheme  $TC$  deals with a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:  
 for every  $X$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathbf{T}(X)]$

provided the parameter fulfills the following condition:

- for every  $X$  such that  $X$  is a Tarski-Class holds  $\mathcal{P}[X]$ .

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (6) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $A \in W$ , then  $\text{succ } A \in W$  and  $A \subseteq W$ .
- (7) If  $A \in \mathbf{T}(W)$ , then  $\text{succ } A \in \mathbf{T}(W)$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbf{T}(W)$ .
- (8) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $X$  is transitive and  $X \in W$ , then  $X \subseteq W$ .
- (9) If  $X$  is transitive and  $X \in \mathbf{T}(W)$ , then  $X \subseteq \mathbf{T}(W)$ .
- (10) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class, then  $\text{On } W = \overline{\overline{W}}$ .
- (11)  $\text{On } \mathbf{T}(W) = \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}}$ .

- (12) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $X \in W$ , then  $\overline{\overline{X}} \in W$ .
- (13) If  $X \in \mathbf{T}(W)$ , then  $\overline{\overline{X}} \in \mathbf{T}(W)$ .
- (14) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $x \in \text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})$ , then  $x \in W$ .
- (15) If  $x \in \text{ord}(\overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}})$ , then  $x \in \mathbf{T}(W)$ .
- (16) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $m < \overline{\overline{W}}$ , then  $m \in W$ .
- (17) If  $m < \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}}$ , then  $m \in \mathbf{T}(W)$ .
- (18) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $m \in W$ , then  $m \subseteq W$ .
- (19) If  $m \in \mathbf{T}(W)$ , then  $m \subseteq \mathbf{T}(W)$ .
- (20) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class, then  $\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})$  is a limit ordinal number.
- (21) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $W \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\overline{\overline{W}} \neq \overline{\overline{0}}$  and  $\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}}) \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})$  is a limit ordinal number.
- (22)  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}} \neq \overline{\overline{0}}$  and  $\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}}) \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}})$  is a limit ordinal number.

In the sequel  $L, L_1$  are transfinite sequences. We now state a number of propositions:

- (23) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class but  $X \in W$  and  $W$  is transitive or  $X \in W$  and  $X \subseteq W$  or  $\overline{\overline{X}} < \overline{\overline{W}}$  and  $X \subseteq W$ , then  $W^X \subseteq W$ .
- (24) If  $X \in \mathbf{T}(W)$  and  $W$  is transitive or  $X \in \mathbf{T}(W)$  and  $X \subseteq \mathbf{T}(W)$  or  $\overline{\overline{X}} < \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}}$  and  $X \subseteq \mathbf{T}(W)$ , then  $\mathbf{T}(W)^X \subseteq \mathbf{T}(W)$ .
- (25) If  $\text{dom } L$  is a limit ordinal number and for every  $A$  such that  $A \in \text{dom } L$  holds  $L(A) = \mathbf{R}_A$ , then  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{dom } L} = \bigcup L$ .
- (26) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $A \in \text{On } W$ , then  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{R}_A}} < \overline{\overline{W}}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_A \in W$ .
- (27) If  $A \in \text{On } \mathbf{T}(W)$ , then  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{R}_A}} < \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_A \in \mathbf{T}(W)$ .
- (28) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class, then  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})} \subseteq W$ .
- (29)  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}})} \subseteq \mathbf{T}(W)$ .
- (30) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $W$  is transitive and  $X \in W$ , then  $\text{rk}(X) \in W$ .
- (31) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $W$  is transitive, then  $W \subseteq \mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})}$ .
- (32) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $W$  is transitive, then  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})} = W$ .
- (33) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $A \in \text{On } W$ , then  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{R}_A}} \leq \overline{\overline{W}}$ .
- (34) If  $A \in \text{On } \mathbf{T}(W)$ , then  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{R}_A}} \leq \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}}$ .
- (35) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class, then  $\overline{\overline{W}} = \overline{\overline{\mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})}}}$ .
- (36)  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}} = \overline{\overline{\mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)}})}}}$ .
- (37) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})}$ , then  $X \approx \mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})}$  or  $X \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\overline{W}})}$ .

- (38) If  $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)})}$ , then  $X \approx \mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)})}$  or  $X \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)})}$ .
- (39) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class, then  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{W})}$  is a Tarski-Class.
- (40)  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)})}$  is a Tarski-Class.
- (41) If  $X$  is transitive and  $A \in \text{rk}(X)$ , then there exists  $Y$  such that  $Y \in X$  and  $\text{rk}(Y) = A$ .
- (42) If  $X$  is transitive, then  $\overline{\text{rk}(X)} \leq \overline{X}$ .
- (43) If  $W$  is a Tarski-Class and  $X$  is transitive and  $X \in W$ , then  $X \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{W})}$ .
- (44) If  $X$  is transitive and  $X \in \mathbf{T}(W)$ , then  $X \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)})}$ .
- (45) If  $W$  is transitive, then  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)})}$  is Tarski-Class of  $W$ .
- (46) If  $W$  is transitive, then  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{ord}(\overline{\mathbf{T}(W)})} = \mathbf{T}(W)$ .

A non-empty family of sets is called a universal class if:  
it is transitive and it is a Tarski-Class.

In the sequel  $M$  denotes a non-empty family of sets. The following proposition is true

- (47) For every  $M$  holds  $M$  is a universal class if and only if  $M$  is transitive and  $M$  is a Tarski-Class.

In the sequel  $U_1, U_2, U_3, \textit{Universum}$  will be universal classes. We now state several propositions:

- (48) If  $X \in \textit{Universum}$ , then  $X \subseteq \textit{Universum}$ .
- (49) If  $X \in \textit{Universum}$  and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $Y \in \textit{Universum}$ .
- (50)  $\text{On}\textit{Universum}$  is an ordinal number.
- (51) If  $X$  is transitive, then  $\mathbf{T}(X)$  is a universal class.
- (52)  $\mathbf{T}(\textit{Universum})$  is a universal class.

Let us consider  $\textit{Universum}$ . Then  $\text{On}\textit{Universum}$  is an ordinal number. Then  $\mathbf{T}(\textit{Universum})$  is a universal class.

Next we state a proposition

- (53)  $\mathbf{T}(A)$  is a universal class.

Let us consider  $A$ . Then  $\mathbf{T}(A)$  is a universal class.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (54)  $\textit{Universum} = \mathbf{R}_{\text{On}\textit{Universum}}$ .
- (55)  $\text{On}\textit{Universum} \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\text{On}\textit{Universum}$  is a limit ordinal number.
- (56)  $U_1 \in U_2$  or  $U_1 = U_2$  or  $U_2 \in U_1$ .
- (57)  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$  or  $U_2 \in U_1$ .
- (58)  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$  or  $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ .
- (59) If  $U_1 \in U_2$  and  $U_2 \in U_3$ , then  $U_1 \in U_3$ .
- (60) If  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$  and  $U_2 \in U_3$ , then  $U_1 \in U_3$ .
- (61)  $U_1 \cup U_2$  is a universal class and  $U_1 \cap U_2$  is a universal class.

- (62)  $\emptyset \in \text{Universum}$ .
- (63) If  $x \in \text{Universum}$ , then  $\{x\} \in \text{Universum}$ .
- (64) If  $x \in \text{Universum}$  and  $y \in \text{Universum}$ , then  $\{x, y\} \in \text{Universum}$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{Universum}$ .
- (65) If  $X \in \text{Universum}$ , then  $2^X \in \text{Universum}$  and  $\bigcup X \in \text{Universum}$  and  $\bigcap X \in \text{Universum}$ .
- (66) If  $X \in \text{Universum}$  and  $Y \in \text{Universum}$ , then  $X \cup Y \in \text{Universum}$  and  $X \cap Y \in \text{Universum}$  and  $X \setminus Y \in \text{Universum}$  and  $X \dot{-} Y \in \text{Universum}$ .
- (67) If  $X \in \text{Universum}$  and  $Y \in \text{Universum}$ , then  $[X, Y] \in \text{Universum}$  and  $Y^X \in \text{Universum}$ .

In the sequel  $u, v$  are elements of *Universum*. Let us consider *Universum*,  $u$ . Then  $\{u\}$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $2^u$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $\bigcup u$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $\bigcap u$  is an element of *Universum*. Let us consider  $v$ . Then  $\{u, v\}$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $\langle u, v \rangle$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $u \cup v$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $u \cap v$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $u \setminus v$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $u \dot{-} v$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $[u, v]$  is an element of *Universum*. Then  $v^u$  is an element of *Universum*.

The universal class  $\mathbf{U}_0$  is defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{U}_0 = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{0}).$$

We now state four propositions:

- (68)  $\mathbf{U}_0 = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{0})$ .
- (69)  $\overline{\mathbf{R}_\omega} = \overline{\omega}$ .
- (70)  $\mathbf{R}_\omega$  is a Tarski-Class.
- (71)  $\mathbf{U}_0 = \mathbf{R}_\omega$ .

The universal class  $\mathbf{U}_1$  is defined by:

$$\mathbf{U}_1 = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{U}_0).$$

The following proposition is true

$$(72) \quad \mathbf{U}_1 = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{U}_0).$$

We now define three new constructions. A set of a finite rank is an element of  $\mathbf{U}_0$ .

A *Set* is an element of  $\mathbf{U}_1$ .

Let us consider  $A$ . The functor  $\mathbf{U}_A$  is defined as follows:

there exists  $L$  such that  $\mathbf{U}_A = \text{last } L$  and  $\text{dom } L = \text{succ } A$  and  $L(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{U}_0$  and for all  $C, y$  such that  $\text{succ } C \in \text{succ } A$  and  $y = L(C)$  holds  $L(\text{succ } C) = \mathbf{T}([y])$  and for all  $C, L_1$  such that  $C \in \text{succ } A$  and  $C \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $C$  is a limit ordinal number and  $L_1 = L \upharpoonright C$  holds  $L(C) = \mathbf{T}(\bigcup L_1)$ .

The following two propositions are true:

- (73) For every element  $u$  of  $\mathbf{U}_0$  holds  $u$  is a set of a finite rank.
- (74) For every element  $u$  of  $\mathbf{U}_1$  holds  $u$  is a *Set*.

Let  $u$  be a set of a finite rank. Then  $\{u\}$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $2^u$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $\bigcup u$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $\bigcap u$  is a set of a finite rank. Let  $v$  be a set of a finite rank. Then  $\{u, v\}$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $\langle u, v \rangle$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $u \cup v$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $u \cap v$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $u \setminus v$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $u \dot{-} v$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $[u, v]$  is a set of a finite rank. Then  $v^u$  is a set of a finite rank.

Let  $u$  be a *Set*. Then  $\{u\}$  is a *Set*. Then  $2^u$  is a *Set*. Then  $\bigcup u$  is a *Set*. Then  $\bigcap u$  is a *Set*. Let  $v$  be a *Set*. Then  $\{u, v\}$  is a *Set*. Then  $\langle u, v \rangle$  is a *Set*. Then  $u \cup v$  is a *Set*. Then  $u \cap v$  is a *Set*. Then  $u \setminus v$  is a *Set*. Then  $u \dot{-} v$  is a *Set*. Then  $[u, v]$  is a *Set*. Then  $v^u$  is a *Set*.

Let us consider  $A$ . Then  $\mathbf{U}_A$  is a universal class.

We now state several propositions:

$$(75) \quad \mathbf{U}_0 = \mathbf{U}_0.$$

$$(76) \quad \mathbf{U}_{\text{succ } A} = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{U}_A).$$

$$(77) \quad \mathbf{U}_1 = \mathbf{U}_1.$$

$$(78) \quad \text{If } A \neq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } A \text{ is a limit ordinal number and } \text{dom } L = A \text{ and for every } B \text{ such that } B \in A \text{ holds } L(B) = \mathbf{U}_B, \text{ then } \mathbf{U}_A = \mathbf{T}(\bigcup L).$$

$$(79) \quad \mathbf{U}_0 \subseteq \text{Universum} \text{ and } \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{0}) \subseteq \text{Universum} \text{ and } \mathbf{U}_0 \subseteq \text{Universum}.$$

$$(80) \quad A \in B \text{ if and only if } \mathbf{U}_A \in \mathbf{U}_B.$$

$$(81) \quad \text{If } \mathbf{U}_A = \mathbf{U}_B, \text{ then } A = B.$$

$$(82) \quad A \subseteq B \text{ if and only if } \mathbf{U}_A \subseteq \mathbf{U}_B.$$

## References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):543–547, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):281–290, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski's classes and ranks. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):563–567, 1990.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Zermelo theorem and axiom of choice. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):265–267, 1990.

- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [10] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.

*Received April 10, 1990*

---