

Linear Combinations in Real Linear Space

Wojciech A. Trybulec
Warsaw University

Summary. The article is continuation of [14]. At the beginning we prove some theorems concerning sums of finite sequence of vectors. We introduce the following notions: sum of finite subset of vectors, linear combination, carrier of linear combination, linear combination of elements of a given set of vectors, sum of linear combination. We also show that the set of linear combinations is a real linear space. At the end of article we prove some auxiliary theorems that should be proved in [16], [5], [7], [1] or [8].

MML Identifier: RLVECT_2.

The papers [16], [7], [5], [3], [6], [14], [8], [13], [15], [11], [9], [10], [4], [12], and [2] provide the notation and terminology for this paper. In the article we present several logical schemes. The scheme *LambdaSep1* deals with a non-empty set \mathcal{A} , a non-empty set \mathcal{B} , an element \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} , an element \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{B} , and a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding an element of \mathcal{B} and states that:

there exists a function f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} such that $f(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}$ and for every element x of \mathcal{A} such that $x \neq \mathcal{C}$ holds $f(x) = \mathcal{F}(x)$
for all values of the parameters.

The scheme *LambdaSep2* deals with a non-empty set \mathcal{A} , a non-empty set \mathcal{B} , an element \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} , an element \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{A} , an element \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{B} , an element \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{B} , and a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding an element of \mathcal{B} and states that:

there exists a function f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} such that $f(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{E}$ and $f(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{F}$ and for every element x of \mathcal{A} such that $x \neq \mathcal{C}$ and $x \neq \mathcal{D}$ holds $f(x) = \mathcal{F}(x)$
provided the following condition is satisfied:

- $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{D}$.

Let D be a non-empty set. Then \emptyset_D is a subset of D .

For simplicity we follow the rules: X, Y are sets, x is arbitrary, i, k, n are natural numbers, S is an RLS structure, V is a real linear space, u, v, v_1, v_2, v_3 are vectors of V , a, b, r are real numbers, F, G, H are finite sequences of elements of the vectors of V , A, B are subsets of the vectors of V , and f is a

function from the vectors of V into \mathbb{R} . Let us consider S , and let v be an element of the vectors of S . The functor $@v$ yielding a vector of S , is defined as follows:

$$@v = v.$$

One can prove the following proposition

- (1) For every element v of the vectors of V holds $v = @v$.

Let us consider S, x . Let us assume that $x \in S$. The functor x^S yielding a vector of S , is defined as follows:

$$x^S = x.$$

The following propositions are true:

- (2) If $x \in S$, then $x^S = x$.
- (3) For every vector v of S holds $v^S = v$.
- (4) If $\text{len } F = \text{len } G$ and $\text{len } F = \text{len } H$ and for every k such that $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } F)$ holds $H(k) = @(\pi_k F) + @(\pi_k G)$, then $\sum H = \sum F + \sum G$.
- (5) If $\text{len } F = \text{len } G$ and for every k such that $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } F)$ holds $G(k) = a \cdot @(\pi_k F)$, then $\sum G = a \cdot \sum F$.
- (6) If $\text{len } F = \text{len } G$ and for every k such that $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } F)$ holds $G(k) = -@(\pi_k F)$, then $\sum G = -\sum F$.
- (7) If $\text{len } F = \text{len } G$ and $\text{len } F = \text{len } H$ and for every k such that $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } F)$ holds $H(k) = @(\pi_k F) - @(\pi_k G)$, then $\sum H = \sum F - \sum G$.
- (8) For all F, G and for every permutation f of $\text{dom } F$ such that $\text{len } F = \text{len } G$ and for every i such that $i \in \text{dom } G$ holds $G(i) = F(f(i))$ holds $\sum F = \sum G$.
- (9) For every permutation f of $\text{dom } F$ such that $G = F \cdot f$ holds $\sum F = \sum G$.

Let us consider V . A subset of the vectors of V is called a finite subset of V if:

it is finite.

One can prove the following proposition

- (10) A is a finite subset of V if and only if A is finite.

In the sequel S, T will be finite subsets of V . Let us consider V, S, T . Then $S \cup T$ is a finite subset of V . Then $S \cap T$ is a finite subset of V . Then $S \setminus T$ is a finite subset of V . Then $S \dot{-} T$ is a finite subset of V .

Let us consider V . The functor 0_V yielding a finite subset of V , is defined by:

$$0_V = \emptyset.$$

One can prove the following proposition

- (11) $0_V = \emptyset$.

Let us consider V, T . The functor $\sum T$ yields a vector of V and is defined as follows:

there exists F such that $\text{rng } F = T$ and F is one-to-one and $\sum T = \sum F$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(12) There exists F such that $\text{rng } F = T$ and F is one-to-one and $\sum T = \sum F$.

(13) If $\text{rng } F = T$ and F is one-to-one and $v = \sum F$, then $v = \sum T$.

Let us consider V, v . Then $\{v\}$ is a finite subset of V .

Let us consider V, v_1, v_2 . Then $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is a finite subset of V .

Let us consider V, v_1, v_2, v_3 . Then $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a finite subset of V .

One can prove the following propositions:

(14) $\sum(0_V) = 0_V$.

(15) $\sum\{v\} = v$.

(16) If $v_1 \neq v_2$, then $\sum\{v_1, v_2\} = v_1 + v_2$.

(17) If $v_1 \neq v_2$ and $v_2 \neq v_3$ and $v_1 \neq v_3$, then $\sum\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} = (v_1 + v_2) + v_3$.

(18) If T misses S , then $\sum(T \cup S) = \sum T + \sum S$.

(19) $\sum(T \cup S) = (\sum T + \sum S) - \sum(T \cap S)$.

(20) $\sum(T \cap S) = (\sum T + \sum S) - \sum(T \cup S)$.

(21) $\sum(T \setminus S) = \sum(T \cup S) - \sum S$.

(22) $\sum(T \setminus S) = \sum T - \sum(T \cap S)$.

(23) $\sum(T \dot{\cup} S) = \sum(T \cup S) - \sum(T \cap S)$.

(24) $\sum(T \dot{\cup} S) = \sum(T \setminus S) + \sum(S \setminus T)$.

Let us consider V . An element of $\mathbb{R}^{\text{the vectors of } V}$ is called a linear combination of V if:

there exists T such that for every v such that $v \notin T$ holds $it(v) = 0$.

In the sequel K, L, L_1, L_2, L_3 will be linear combinations of V . Next we state a proposition

(25) There exists T such that for every v such that $v \notin T$ holds $L(v) = 0$.

In the sequel E denotes an element of $\mathbb{R}^{\text{the vectors of } V}$. We now state a proposition

(26) If there exists T such that for every v such that $v \notin T$ holds $E(v) = 0$, then E is a linear combination of V .

Let us consider V, L . The functor support L yields a finite subset of V and is defined as follows:

$\text{support } L = \{v : L(v) \neq 0\}$.

We now state two propositions:

(27) $\text{support } L = \{v : L(v) \neq 0\}$.

(28) $L(v) = 0$ if and only if $v \notin \text{support } L$.

Let us consider V . The functor $\mathbf{0}_{LC_V}$ yields a linear combination of V and is defined as follows:

$\text{support } \mathbf{0}_{LC_V} = \emptyset$.

The following propositions are true:

(29) $L = \mathbf{0}_{LC_V}$ if and only if $\text{support } L = \emptyset$.

(30) $\mathbf{0}_{LC_V}(v) = 0$.

Let us consider V, A . A linear combination of V is said to be a linear combination of A if:

support it $\subseteq A$.

One can prove the following proposition

(31) If support $L \subseteq A$, then L is a linear combination of A .

In the sequel l is a linear combination of A . The following propositions are true:

(32) support $l \subseteq A$.

(33) If $A \subseteq B$, then l is a linear combination of B .

(34) $\mathbf{0}_{LC_V}$ is a linear combination of A .

(35) For every linear combination l of $\emptyset_{\text{the vectors of } V}$ holds $l = \mathbf{0}_{LC_V}$.

(36) L is a linear combination of support L .

Let us consider V, F, f . The functor $f \cdot F$ yields a finite sequence of elements of the vectors of V and is defined as follows:

$\text{len}(f \cdot F) = \text{len } F$ and for every i such that $i \in \text{dom}(f \cdot F)$ holds $(f \cdot F)(i) = f(@(\pi_i F)) \cdot @(\pi_i F)$.

Next we state several propositions:

(37) $\text{len}(f \cdot F) = \text{len } F$.

(38) For every i such that $i \in \text{dom}(f \cdot F)$ holds $(f \cdot F)(i) = f(@(\pi_i F)) \cdot @(\pi_i F)$.

(39) If $\text{len } G = \text{len } F$ and for every i such that $i \in \text{dom } G$ holds $G(i) = f(@(\pi_i F)) \cdot @(\pi_i F)$, then $G = f \cdot F$.

(40) If $i \in \text{dom } F$ and $v = F(i)$, then $(f \cdot F)(i) = f(v) \cdot v$.

(41) $f \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{the vectors of } V} = \varepsilon_{\text{the vectors of } V}$.

(42) $f \cdot \langle v \rangle = \langle f(v) \cdot v \rangle$.

(43) $f \cdot \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle f(v_1) \cdot v_1, f(v_2) \cdot v_2 \rangle$.

(44) $f \cdot \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle = \langle f(v_1) \cdot v_1, f(v_2) \cdot v_2, f(v_3) \cdot v_3 \rangle$.

Let us consider V, L . The functor $\sum L$ yields a vector of V and is defined by:

there exists F such that F is one-to-one and $\text{rng } F = \text{support } L$ and $\sum L = \sum(L \cdot F)$.

The following propositions are true:

(45) There exists F such that F is one-to-one and $\text{rng } F = \text{support } L$ and $\sum L = \sum(L \cdot F)$.

(46) If F is one-to-one and $\text{rng } F = \text{support } L$ and $u = \sum(L \cdot F)$, then $u = \sum L$.

(47) $A \neq \emptyset$ and A is linearly closed if and only if for every l holds $\sum l \in A$.

(48) $\sum \mathbf{0}_{LC_V} = \mathbf{0}_V$.

(49) For every linear combination l of $\emptyset_{\text{the vectors of } V}$ holds $\sum l = \mathbf{0}_V$.

(50) For every linear combination l of $\{v\}$ holds $\sum l = l(v) \cdot v$.

(51) If $v_1 \neq v_2$, then for every linear combination l of $\{v_1, v_2\}$ holds $\sum l = l(v_1) \cdot v_1 + l(v_2) \cdot v_2$.

(52) If support $L = \emptyset$, then $\sum L = 0_V$.

(53) If support $L = \{v\}$, then $\sum L = L(v) \cdot v$.

(54) If support $L = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $v_1 \neq v_2$, then $\sum L = L(v_1) \cdot v_1 + L(v_2) \cdot v_2$.

Let us consider V, L_1, L_2 . Let us note that one can characterize the predicate $L_1 = L_2$ by the following (equivalent) condition: for every v holds $L_1(v) = L_2(v)$.

One can prove the following proposition

(55) If for every v holds $L_1(v) = L_2(v)$, then $L_1 = L_2$.

Let us consider V, L_1, L_2 . The functor $L_1 + L_2$ yields a linear combination of V and is defined as follows:

for every v holds $(L_1 + L_2)(v) = L_1(v) + L_2(v)$.

The following propositions are true:

(56) If for every v holds $L(v) = L_1(v) + L_2(v)$, then $L = L_1 + L_2$.

(57) $(L_1 + L_2)(v) = L_1(v) + L_2(v)$.

(58) $\text{support}(L_1 + L_2) \subseteq \text{support } L_1 \cup \text{support } L_2$.

(59) If L_1 is a linear combination of A and L_2 is a linear combination of A , then $L_1 + L_2$ is a linear combination of A .

(60) $L_1 + L_2 = L_2 + L_1$.

(61) $L_1 + (L_2 + L_3) = (L_1 + L_2) + L_3$.

(62) $L + \mathbf{0}_{\text{LC}_V} = L$ and $\mathbf{0}_{\text{LC}_V} + L = L$.

Let us consider V, a, L . The functor $a \cdot L$ yielding a linear combination of V , is defined by:

for every v holds $(a \cdot L)(v) = a \cdot L(v)$.

The following propositions are true:

(63) If for every v holds $K(v) = a \cdot L(v)$, then $K = a \cdot L$.

(64) $(a \cdot L)(v) = a \cdot L(v)$.

(65) If $a \neq 0$, then $\text{support}(a \cdot L) = \text{support } L$.

(66) $0 \cdot L = \mathbf{0}_{\text{LC}_V}$.

(67) If L is a linear combination of A , then $a \cdot L$ is a linear combination of A .

(68) $(a + b) \cdot L = a \cdot L + b \cdot L$.

(69) $a \cdot (L_1 + L_2) = a \cdot L_1 + a \cdot L_2$.

(70) $a \cdot (b \cdot L) = (a \cdot b) \cdot L$.

(71) $1 \cdot L = L$.

Let us consider V, L . The functor $-L$ yielding a linear combination of V , is defined as follows:

$-L = (-1) \cdot L$.

Next we state several propositions:

(72) $-L = (-1) \cdot L$.

(73) $(-L)(v) = -L(v)$.

(74) If $L_1 + L_2 = \mathbf{0}_{\text{LC}_V}$, then $L_2 = -L_1$.

$$(75) \quad \text{support}(-L) = \text{support } L.$$

(76) If L is a linear combination of A , then $-L$ is a linear combination of A .

$$(77) \quad -(-L) = L.$$

Let us consider V , L_1 , L_2 . The functor $L_1 - L_2$ yields a linear combination of V and is defined by:

$$L_1 - L_2 = L_1 + (-L_2).$$

The following propositions are true:

$$(78) \quad L_1 - L_2 = L_1 + (-L_2).$$

$$(79) \quad (L_1 - L_2)(v) = L_1(v) - L_2(v).$$

$$(80) \quad \text{support}(L_1 - L_2) \subseteq \text{support } L_1 \cup \text{support } L_2.$$

(81) If L_1 is a linear combination of A and L_2 is a linear combination of A , then $L_1 - L_2$ is a linear combination of A .

$$(82) \quad L - L = \mathbf{0}_{\text{LC}_V}.$$

Let us consider V . The functor LC_V yields a non-empty set and is defined by:

$$x \in \text{LC}_V \text{ if and only if } x \text{ is a linear combination of } V.$$

In the sequel D denotes a non-empty set and e , e_1 , e_2 denote elements of LC_V . The following propositions are true:

(83) If for every x holds $x \in D$ if and only if x is a linear combination of V , then $D = \text{LC}_V$.

$$(84) \quad L \in \text{LC}_V.$$

Let us consider V , e . The functor $@e$ yields a linear combination of V and is defined by:

$$@e = e.$$

The following proposition is true

$$(85) \quad @e = e.$$

Let us consider V , L . The functor $@L$ yields an element of LC_V and is defined as follows:

$$@L = L.$$

Next we state a proposition

$$(86) \quad @L = L.$$

Let us consider V . The functor $+_{\text{LC}_V}$ yields a binary operation on LC_V and is defined by:

$$\text{for all } e_1, e_2 \text{ holds } +_{\text{LC}_V}(e_1, e_2) = @e_1 + @e_2.$$

In the sequel o is a binary operation on LC_V . Next we state two propositions:

(87) If for all e_1, e_2 holds $o(e_1, e_2) = @e_1 + @e_2$, then $o = +_{\text{LC}_V}$.

$$(88) \quad +_{\text{LC}_V}(e_1, e_2) = @e_1 + @e_2.$$

Let us consider V . The functor \cdot_{LC_V} yields a function from $[\mathbb{R}, \text{LC}_V]$ into LC_V and is defined as follows:

$$\text{for all } a, e \text{ holds } \cdot_{\text{LC}_V}(\langle a, e \rangle) = a \cdot @e.$$

In the sequel g denotes a function from $[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{L}C_V]$ into $\mathbb{L}C_V$. We now state two propositions:

(89) If for all a, e holds $g(\langle a, e \rangle) = a \cdot @e$, then $g = \cdot_{\mathbb{L}C_V}$.

(90) $\cdot_{\mathbb{L}C_V}(\langle a, e \rangle) = a \cdot @e$.

Let us consider V . The functor $\mathbb{L}C_V$ yielding a real linear space, is defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{L}C_V = \langle \mathbb{L}C_V, @_{\mathbb{L}C_V}, +_{\mathbb{L}C_V}, \cdot_{\mathbb{L}C_V} \rangle.$$

Next we state several propositions:

(91) $\mathbb{L}C_V = \langle \mathbb{L}C_V, @_{\mathbb{L}C_V}, +_{\mathbb{L}C_V}, \cdot_{\mathbb{L}C_V} \rangle$.

(92) The vectors of $\mathbb{L}C_V = \mathbb{L}C_V$.

(93) The zero of $\mathbb{L}C_V = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{L}C_V}$.

(94) The addition of $\mathbb{L}C_V = +_{\mathbb{L}C_V}$.

(95) The multiplication₁ of $\mathbb{L}C_V = \cdot_{\mathbb{L}C_V}$.

(96) $L_1^{\mathbb{L}C_V} + L_2^{\mathbb{L}C_V} = L_1 + L_2$.

(97) $a \cdot L^{\mathbb{L}C_V} = a \cdot L$.

(98) $-L^{\mathbb{L}C_V} = -L$.

(99) $L_1^{\mathbb{L}C_V} - L_2^{\mathbb{L}C_V} = L_1 - L_2$.

Let us consider V, A . The functor $\mathbb{L}C_A$ yielding a subspace of $\mathbb{L}C_V$, is defined by:

the vectors of $\mathbb{L}C_A = \{l\}$.

In the sequel W denotes a subspace of $\mathbb{L}C_V$. Next we state two propositions:

(100) If the vectors of $W = \{l\}$, then $W = \mathbb{L}C_A$.

(101) The vectors of $\mathbb{L}C_A = \{l\}$.

We now state several propositions:

(102) $X \setminus Y$ misses $Y \setminus X$.

(103) If $k < n$, then $n - 1$ is a natural number.

(104) $-1 \neq 0$.

(105) $(-1) \cdot r = -r$.

(106) $r - 1 < r$.

(107) If X is finite and Y is finite, then $X \div Y$ is finite.

(108) For every function f such that $f^{-1} X = f^{-1} Y$ and $X \subseteq \text{rng } f$ and $Y \subseteq \text{rng } f$ holds $X = Y$.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.

- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [8] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and frænkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [12] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [13] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subspaces and cosets of subspaces in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):297–301, 1990.
- [14] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [15] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [16] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.

Received April 8, 1990
