## N-Tuples and Cartesian Products for n=5<sup>1</sup>

Michał Muzalewski Warsaw University Białystok Wojciech Skaba University of Toruń

**Summary.** This article defines ordered n-tuples, projections and Cartesian products for n=5. We prove many theorems concerning the basic properties of the n-tuples and Cartesian products that may be utilized in several further, more challenging applications. A few of these theorems are a strightforward consequence of the regularity axiom. The article originated as an upgrade of the article [5].

MML Identifier: MCART\_2.

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [4], [3], [6], [2], [1], and [5]. For simplicity we follow a convention: v will be arbitrary,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$ ,  $x_5$  will be arbitrary,  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ ,  $y_3$ ,  $y_4$ ,  $y_5$  will be arbitrary, z will be arbitrary, z will be arbitrary, z will denote sets, z will denote sets, z will denote as z0, z1, z2, z3, z4, z5, z4, z5, z5, z6, z7, z7, z8, z8, z9, z9,

- (1) If  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists Y such that  $Y \in X$  and for all  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5, Y_6$  such that  $Y_1 \in Y_2$  and  $Y_2 \in Y_3$  and  $Y_3 \in Y_4$  and  $Y_4 \in Y_5$  and  $Y_5 \in Y_6$  and  $Y_6 \in Y$  holds  $Y_1$  misses X.
- (2) If  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists Y such that  $Y \in X$  and for all  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5, Y_6, Y_7$  such that  $Y_1 \in Y_2$  and  $Y_2 \in Y_3$  and  $Y_3 \in Y_4$  and  $Y_4 \in Y_5$  and  $Y_5 \in Y_6$  and  $Y_6 \in Y_7$  and  $Y_7 \in Y$  holds  $Y_1$  misses X.

Let us consider  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$ ,  $x_5$ . The functor  $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$  is defined as follows:

(Def.1) 
$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle = \langle \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle, x_5 \rangle.$$

One can prove the following propositions:

- $(3) \quad \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle = \langle \langle \langle \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, x_3 \rangle, x_4 \rangle, x_5 \rangle.$
- (4)  $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle = \langle \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle, x_5 \rangle.$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported by RPBP.III-24.C6

- (5)  $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle = \langle \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, x_4, x_5 \rangle.$
- (6)  $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle = \langle \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle.$
- (7) If  $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5 \rangle$ , then  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2$  and  $x_3 = y_3$  and  $x_4 = y_4$  and  $x_5 = y_5$ .
- (8) If  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists v such that  $v \in X$  and for no  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$  holds  $x_1 \in X$  or  $x_2 \in X$  but  $v = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$ .

Let us consider  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$ . The functor  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$  yields a set and is defined as follows:

(Def.2)  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] = [[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4], X_5].$ 

The following propositions are true:

- $(9) \quad [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] = [[X_1, X_2], X_3], X_4], X_5].$
- $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] = [[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4], X_5].$
- $(11) \quad [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] = [X_1, X_2, X_3], X_4, X_5].$
- $(12) \quad [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] = [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5].$
- (13)  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] \neq \emptyset$ .
- (14) Suppose  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$ . Then if  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] = [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5]$ , then  $X_1 = Y_1$  and  $X_2 = Y_2$  and  $X_3 = Y_3$  and  $X_4 = Y_4$  and  $X_5 = Y_5$ .
- (15) If  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] \neq \emptyset$  and  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] = [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5]$ , then  $X_1 = Y_1$  and  $X_2 = Y_2$  and  $X_3 = Y_3$  and  $X_4 = Y_4$  and  $X_5 = Y_5$ .
- (16) If [X, X, X, X, X] = [Y, Y, Y, Y, Y], then X = Y.

In the sequel  $x_{10}$  will be an element of  $X_5$ . We now state the proposition

(17) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$ , then for every element x of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$  there exist  $x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}$  such that  $x = \langle x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10} \rangle$ .

We now define five new functors. Let us consider  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$ . Let us assume that  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$ . Let x be an element of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$ . The functor  $x_1$  yields an element of  $X_1$  and is defined as follows:

(Def.3) if  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$ , then  $x_1 = x_1$ .

The functor  $x_2$  yields an element of  $X_2$  and is defined as follows:

(Def.4) if  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$ , then  $x_2 = x_2$ .

The functor  $x_3$  yielding an element of  $X_3$  is defined as follows:

(Def.5) if  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$ , then  $x_3 = x_3$ .

The functor  $x_4$  yielding an element of  $X_4$  is defined as follows:

(Def.6) if  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$ , then  $x_4 = x_4$ .

The functor  $x_5$  yields an element of  $X_5$  and is defined by:

(Def.7) if  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$ , then  $x_5 = x_5$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (18) Suppose  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$ . Then for every element x of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$  and for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$  such that  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$  holds  $x_1 = x_1$  and  $x_2 = x_2$  and  $x_3 = x_3$  and  $x_4 = x_4$  and  $x_5 = x_5$ .
- (19) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$ , then for every element x of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$  holds  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$ .
- (20) Suppose  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$ . Let x be an element of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$ . Then  $x_1 = x \operatorname{\mathbf{qua}} any_{111}$  and  $x_2 = x \operatorname{\mathbf{qua}} any_{1112}$  and  $x_3 = x \operatorname{\mathbf{qua}} any_{112}$  and  $x_4 = x \operatorname{\mathbf{qua}} any_{12}$  and  $x_5 = x \operatorname{\mathbf{qua}} any_2$ .
- (21) If  $X_1 \subseteq [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$  or  $X_1 \subseteq [X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_1]$  or  $X_1 \subseteq [X_3, X_4, X_5, X_1, X_2]$  or  $X_1 \subseteq [X_4, X_5, X_1, X_2, X_3]$  or  $X_1 \subseteq [X_5, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$ , then  $X_1 = \emptyset$ .
- (22) If  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$  meets  $[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5]$ , then  $X_1$  meets  $Y_1$  and  $X_2$  meets  $Y_2$  and  $X_3$  meets  $Y_3$  and  $X_4$  meets  $Y_4$  and  $X_5$  meets  $Y_5$ .
- $(23) \quad [\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}, \{x_4\}, \{x_5\}] = \{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle\}.$

For simplicity we adopt the following rules:  $A_1$  is a subset of  $X_1$ ,  $A_2$  is a subset of  $X_2$ ,  $A_3$  is a subset of  $X_3$ ,  $A_4$  is a subset of  $X_4$ ,  $A_5$  is a subset of  $X_5$ , and x is an element of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$ . One can prove the following propositions:

- (24) Suppose  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$ . Then for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$  such that  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$  holds  $x_1 = x_1$  and  $x_2 = x_2$  and  $x_3 = x_3$  and  $x_4 = x_4$  and  $x_5 = x_5$ .
- (25) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$  and for all  $x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}$  such that  $x = \langle x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10} \rangle$  holds  $y_1 = x_6$ , then  $y_1 = x_1$ .
- (26) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$  and for all  $x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}$  such that  $x = \langle x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10} \rangle$  holds  $y_2 = x_7$ , then  $y_2 = x_2$ .
- (27) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$  and for all  $x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}$  such that  $x = \langle x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10} \rangle$  holds  $y_3 = x_8$ , then  $y_3 = x_3$ .
- (28) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$  and for all  $x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}$  such that  $x = \langle x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10} \rangle$  holds  $y_4 = x_9$ , then  $y_4 = x_4$ .
- (29) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$  and for all  $x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}$  such that  $x = \langle x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10} \rangle$  holds  $y_5 = x_{10}$ , then  $y_5 = x_5$ .
- (30) If  $z \in [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$ , then there exist  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$  such that  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  and  $x_3 \in X_3$  and  $x_4 \in X_4$  and  $x_5 \in X_5$  and  $z = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$ .

- (31)  $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle \in [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$  if and only if  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  and  $x_3 \in X_3$  and  $x_4 \in X_4$  and  $x_5 \in X_5$ .
- (32) If for every z holds  $z \in Z$  if and only if there exist  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$  such that  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  and  $x_3 \in X_3$  and  $x_4 \in X_4$  and  $x_5 \in X_5$  and  $z = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle$ , then  $Z = [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$ .
- (33) Suppose  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_5 \neq \emptyset$  and  $Y_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $Y_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $Y_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $Y_4 \neq \emptyset$  and  $Y_5 \neq \emptyset$ . Let x be an element of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$ . Then for every element y of  $[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5]$  such that x = y holds  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2$  and  $x_3 = y_3$  and  $x_4 = y_4$  and  $x_5 = y_5$ .
- (34) For every element x of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$  such that  $x \in [A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5]$  holds  $x_1 \in A_1$  and  $x_2 \in A_2$  and  $x_3 \in A_3$  and  $x_4 \in A_4$  and  $x_5 \in A_5$ .
- (35) If  $X_1 \subseteq Y_1$  and  $X_2 \subseteq Y_2$  and  $X_3 \subseteq Y_3$  and  $X_4 \subseteq Y_4$  and  $X_5 \subseteq Y_5$ , then  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5] \subseteq [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5]$ .

Let us consider  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ ,  $A_5$ . Then  $[A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5]$  is a subset of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$ .

The following three propositions are true:

- (36) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then for every element  $x_{11}$  of  $[X_1, X_2]$  there exists an element  $x_6$  of  $X_1$  and there exists an element  $x_7$  of  $X_2$  such that  $x_{11} = \langle x_6, x_7 \rangle$ .
- (37) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$ , then for every element  $x_{11}$  of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3]$  there exist  $x_6, x_7, x_8$  such that  $x_{11} = \langle x_6, x_7, x_8 \rangle$ .
- (38) If  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_4 \neq \emptyset$ , then for every element  $x_{11}$  of  $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$  there exist  $x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9$  such that  $x_{11} = \langle x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9 \rangle$ .

## References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [3] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [4] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [5] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [6] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

Received October 13, 1990