

Rings and Modules - Part II

Michał Muzalewski
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. We define the trivial left module, morphism of left modules and the field Z_3 . We proof some elementary facts.

MML Identifier: MOD_2.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [14], [13], [4], [5], [6], [2], [3], [1], [7], [9], [11], [12], [10], and [8]. For simplicity we adopt the following convention: x, y, z are arbitrary, D is a non-empty set, R, R_1, R_2, R_3 are associative rings, G is a left module structure over R , H is a left module structure over R , S is a left module structure over R , G_1 is a left module structure over R_1 , G_2 is a left module structure over R_2 , G_3 is a left module structure over R_3 , and U_1 is a universal class. Let us consider x . Then $\{x\}$ is a non-empty set.

Let us consider R . $\text{lop}(R)$ is a function from [the carrier of R , the carrier of the trivial group] into the carrier of the trivial group.

Let us consider R . The functor ${}_R\Theta$ yields a left module over R and is defined by:

(Def.1) ${}_R\Theta = \langle \text{the trivial group}, \text{lop}(R) \rangle$.

Next we state the proposition

(1) For every vector x of ${}_R\Theta$ holds $x = \Theta_{{}_R\Theta}$.

Let us consider R_1, R_2, G_1, G_2 . A map from G_1 into G_2 is a map from the carrier of G_1 into the carrier of G_2 .

Let us consider $R_1, R_2, R_3, G_1, G_2, G_3$, and let f be a map from G_1 into G_2 , and let g be a map from G_2 into G_3 . Then $g \cdot f$ is a map from G_1 into G_3 .

Let us consider R, G . The functor id_G yielding a map from G into G is defined as follows:

(Def.2) $\text{id}_G = \text{id}_{(\text{the carrier of } G)}$.

The following propositions are true:

- (2) For every vector x of G holds $\text{id}_G(x) = x$.
- (3) For every map f from G_1 into G_2 holds $f \cdot \text{id}_{G_1} = f$ and $\text{id}_{G_2} \cdot f = f$.

Let us consider R_1, R_2, G_1, G_2 . The functor $\text{zero}(G_1, G_2)$ yields a map from G_1 into G_2 and is defined as follows:

(Def.3) $\text{zero}(G_1, G_2) = \text{zero}(\text{the carrier of } G_1, \text{the carrier of } G_2)$.

Let us consider R , and let G, H be left module structures over R , and let f be a map from G into H . We say that f is linear if and only if:

(Def.4) for all vectors x, y of G holds $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and for every scalar a of R and for every vector x of G holds $f(a \cdot x) = a \cdot f(x)$.

The following propositions are true:

- (4) For every map f from G into H such that f is linear holds f is additive.
- (5) For every map f from G_1 into G_2 and for every map g from G_2 into G_3 and for every vector x of G_1 holds $(g \cdot f)(x) = g(f(x))$.
- (6) For every map f from G into H and for every map g from H into S such that f is linear and g is linear holds $g \cdot f$ is linear.

For simplicity we adopt the following rules: R, R_1, R_2 denote associative rings, G denotes a left module over R , H denotes a left module over R , G_1 denotes a left module over R_1 , and G_2 denotes a left module over R_2 . The following propositions are true:

- (7) For every vector x of G_1 holds $(\text{zero}(G_1, G_2))(x) = \Theta_{G_2}$.
- (8) $\text{zero}(G, H)$ is linear.

In the sequel G_1 will denote a left module over R , G_2 will denote a left module over R , and G_3 will denote a left module over R . Let us consider R . We consider left module morphism structures over R which are systems

$\langle \text{a dom-map, a cod-map, a Fun} \rangle$,

where the dom-map, the cod-map are a left module over R and the Fun is a map from the dom-map into the cod-map.

In the sequel f will be a left module morphism structure over R . We now define two new functors. Let us consider R, f . The functor $\text{dom } f$ yields a left module over R and is defined as follows:

(Def.5) $\text{dom } f = \text{the dom-map of } f$.

The functor $\text{cod } f$ yields a left module over R and is defined as follows:

(Def.6) $\text{cod } f = \text{the cod-map of } f$.

Let us consider R, f . The functor $\text{fun } f$ yields a map from $\text{dom } f$ into $\text{cod } f$ and is defined by:

(Def.7) $\text{fun } f = \text{the Fun of } f$.

One can prove the following proposition

- (9) For every map f_0 from G_1 into G_2 such that $f = \langle G_1, G_2, f_0 \rangle$ holds $\text{dom } f = G_1$ and $\text{cod } f = G_2$ and $\text{fun } f = f_0$.

Let us consider R, G, H . The functor $\text{ZERO } G$ yielding a left module morphism structure over R is defined as follows:

(Def.8) $\text{ZERO } G = \langle G, H, \text{zero}(G, H) \rangle$.

Let us consider R . A left module morphism structure over R is said to be a left module morphism of R if:

(Def.9) fun it is linear.

One can prove the following proposition

(10) For every left module morphism F of R holds the **Fun** of F is linear.

Let us consider R, G, H . Then $\text{ZERO } G$ is a left module morphism of R .

Let us consider R, G, H . A left module morphism of R is said to be a morphism from G to H if:

(Def.10) $\text{dom it} = G$ and $\text{cod it} = H$.

One can prove the following three propositions:

(11) If $\text{dom } f = G$ and $\text{cod } f = H$ and $\text{fun } f$ is linear, then f is a morphism from G to H .

(12) For every map f from G into H such that f is linear holds $\langle G, H, f \rangle$ is a morphism from G to H .

(13) id_G is linear.

Let us consider R, G . The functor I_G yields a morphism from G to G and is defined by:

(Def.11) $\text{I}_G = \langle G, G, \text{id}_G \rangle$.

Let us consider R, G, H . Then $\text{ZERO } G$ is a morphism from G to H .

The following propositions are true:

(14) For every morphism F from G to H there exists a map f from G into H such that $F = \langle G, H, f \rangle$ and f is linear.

(15) For every morphism F from G to H there exists a map f from G into H such that $F = \langle G, H, f \rangle$.

(16) For every left module morphism F of R there exist G, H such that F is a morphism from G to H .

(17) For every left module morphism F of R there exist left modules G, H over R and there exists a map f from G into H such that F is a morphism from G to H and $F = \langle G, H, f \rangle$ and f is linear.

(18) For all left module morphisms g, f of R such that $\text{dom } g = \text{cod } f$ there exist G_1, G_2, G_3 such that g is a morphism from G_2 to G_3 and f is a morphism from G_1 to G_2 .

(19) For every left module morphism F of R holds F is a morphism from $\text{dom } F$ to $\text{cod } F$.

Let us consider R , and let G, F be left module morphisms of R . Let us assume that $\text{dom } G = \text{cod } F$. The functor $G \cdot F$ yields a left module morphism of R and is defined as follows:

- (Def.12) for all left modules G_1, G_2, G_3 over R and for every map g from G_2 into G_3 and for every map f from G_1 into G_2 such that $G = \langle G_2, G_3, g \rangle$ and $F = \langle G_1, G_2, f \rangle$ holds $G \cdot F = \langle G_1, G_3, g \cdot f \rangle$.

Next we state the proposition

- (20) For every morphism G from G_2 to G_3 and for every morphism F from G_1 to G_2 holds $G \cdot F$ is a morphism from G_1 to G_3 .

Let us consider R, G_1, G_2, G_3 , and let G be a morphism from G_2 to G_3 , and let F be a morphism from G_1 to G_2 . The functor $F[G]$ yielding a morphism from G_1 to G_3 is defined by:

- (Def.13) $F[G] = G \cdot F$.

We now state several propositions:

- (21) Let G be a morphism from G_2 to G_3 . Then for every morphism F from G_1 to G_2 and for every map g from G_2 into G_3 and for every map f from G_1 into G_2 such that $G = \langle G_2, G_3, g \rangle$ and $F = \langle G_1, G_2, f \rangle$ holds $F[G] = \langle G_1, G_3, g \cdot f \rangle$ and $G \cdot F = \langle G_1, G_3, g \cdot f \rangle$.
- (22) Let f, g be left module morphisms of R . Then if $\text{dom } g = \text{cod } f$, then there exist left modules G_1, G_2, G_3 over R and there exists a map f_0 from G_1 into G_2 and there exists a map g_0 from G_2 into G_3 such that $f = \langle G_1, G_2, f_0 \rangle$ and $g = \langle G_2, G_3, g_0 \rangle$ and $g \cdot f = \langle G_1, G_3, g_0 \cdot f_0 \rangle$.
- (23) For all left module morphisms f, g of R such that $\text{dom } g = \text{cod } f$ holds $\text{dom}(g \cdot f) = \text{dom } f$ and $\text{cod}(g \cdot f) = \text{cod } g$.
- (24) For all left modules G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4 over R and for every morphism f from G_1 to G_2 and for every morphism g from G_2 to G_3 and for every morphism h from G_3 to G_4 holds $h \cdot (g \cdot f) = h \cdot g \cdot f$.
- (25) For all left module morphisms f, g, h of R such that $\text{dom } h = \text{cod } g$ and $\text{dom } g = \text{cod } f$ holds $h \cdot (g \cdot f) = h \cdot g \cdot f$.
- (26) $\text{dom}(I_G) = G$ and $\text{cod}(I_G) = G$ and for every left module morphism f of R such that $\text{cod } f = G$ holds $I_G \cdot f = f$ and for every left module morphism g of R such that $\text{dom } g = G$ holds $g \cdot I_G = g$.
- (27) $\{x, y, z\}$ is a non-empty set.

Let us consider x, y, z . Then $\{x, y, z\}$ is a non-empty set.

We now state four propositions:

- (28) For all elements u, v, w of U_1 holds $\{u, v, w\}$ is an element of U_1 .
- (29) For every element u of U_1 holds $\text{succ } u$ is an element of U_1 .
- (30) $\bar{\mathbf{0}}$ is an element of U_1 and $\bar{\mathbf{1}}$ is an element of U_1 and $\bar{\mathbf{2}}$ is an element of U_1 .
- (31) $\bar{\mathbf{0}} \neq \bar{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{0}} \neq \bar{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{1}} \neq \bar{\mathbf{2}}$.

In the sequel a, b will be elements of $\{\bar{\mathbf{0}}, \bar{\mathbf{1}}, \bar{\mathbf{2}}\}$. We now define three new functors. Let us consider a . The functor $-a$ yields an element of $\{\bar{\mathbf{0}}, \bar{\mathbf{1}}, \bar{\mathbf{2}}\}$ and is defined as follows:

- (Def.14) (i) $-a = \bar{0}$ if $a = \bar{0}$,
(ii) $-a = \bar{2}$ if $a = \bar{1}$,
(iii) $-a = \bar{1}$ if $a = \bar{2}$.

Let us consider b . The functor $a + b$ yields an element of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ and is defined by:

- (Def.15) (i) $a + b = b$ if $a = \bar{0}$,
(ii) $a + b = a$ if $b = \bar{0}$,
(iii) $a + b = \bar{2}$ if $a = \bar{1}$ and $b = \bar{1}$,
(iv) $a + b = \bar{0}$ if $a = \bar{1}$ and $b = \bar{2}$,
(v) $a + b = \bar{0}$ if $a = \bar{2}$ and $b = \bar{1}$,
(vi) $a + b = \bar{1}$ if $a = \bar{2}$ and $b = \bar{2}$.

The functor $a \cdot b$ yielding an element of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ is defined by:

- (Def.16) (i) $a \cdot b = \bar{0}$ if $b = \bar{0}$,
(ii) $a \cdot b = \bar{0}$ if $a = \bar{0}$,
(iii) $a \cdot b = a$ if $b = \bar{1}$,
(iv) $a \cdot b = b$ if $a = \bar{1}$,
(v) $a \cdot b = \bar{1}$ if $a = \bar{2}$ and $b = \bar{2}$.

We now define five new functors. The binary operation add_3 on $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ is defined by:

- (Def.17) $\text{add}_3(a, b) = a + b$.

The binary operation mult_3 on $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ is defined by:

- (Def.18) $\text{mult}_3(a, b) = a \cdot b$.

The unary operation compl_3 on $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ is defined as follows:

- (Def.19) $\text{compl}_3(a) = -a$.

The element unit_3 of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ is defined as follows:

- (Def.20) $\text{unit}_3 = \bar{1}$.

The element zero_3 of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ is defined as follows:

- (Def.21) $\text{zero}_3 = \bar{0}$.

The field structure Z_3 is defined by:

- (Def.22) $Z_3 = \langle \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}, \text{mult}_3, \text{add}_3, \text{compl}_3, \text{unit}_3, \text{zero}_3 \rangle$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (32) $0_{Z_3} = \bar{0}$ and $1_{Z_3} = \bar{1}$ and 0_{Z_3} is an element of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ and 1_{Z_3} is an element of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ and the addition of $Z_3 = \text{add}_3$ and the multiplication of $Z_3 = \text{mult}_3$ and the reverse-map of $Z_3 = \text{compl}_3$.
- (33) For all scalars x, y of Z_3 and for all elements X, Y of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ such that $X = x$ and $Y = y$ holds $x + y = X + Y$ and $x \cdot y = X \cdot Y$ and $-x = -X$.
- (34) Let x, y, z be scalars of Z_3 . Let X, Y, Z be elements of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$. Suppose $X = x$ and $Y = y$ and $Z = z$. Then $x + y + z = X + Y + Z$ and $x + (y + z) = X + (Y + Z)$ and $x \cdot y \cdot z = X \cdot Y \cdot Z$ and $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$.

- (35) Let x, y, z, a, b be elements of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$. Suppose $a = \bar{0}$ and $b = \bar{1}$.
Then
- (i) $x + y = y + x$,
 - (ii) $x + y + z = x + (y + z)$,
 - (iii) $x + a = x$,
 - (iv) $x + -x = a$,
 - (v) $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$,
 - (vi) $x \cdot y \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$,
 - (vii) $x \cdot b = x$,
 - (viii) if $x \neq a$, then there exists an element y of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ such that $x \cdot y = b$,
 - (ix) $a \neq b$,
 - (x) $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$.
- (36) Let F be a field structure. Suppose that
- (i) for all scalars x, y, z of F holds $x + y = y + x$ and $x + y + z = x + (y + z)$ and $x + 0_F = x$ and $x + -x = 0_F$ and $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ and $x \cdot y \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ and $x \cdot 1_F = x$ but if $x \neq 0_F$, then there exists a scalar y of F such that $x \cdot y = 1_F$ and $0_F \neq 1_F$ and $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$.
Then F is a field.
- (37) Z_3 is a Fano field.

Let us note that it makes sense to consider the following constant. Then Z_3 is a Fano field.

In the sequel D' is a non-empty set. One can prove the following propositions:

- (38) For every function f from D into D' such that $D \in U_1$ and $D' \in U_1$ holds $f \in U_1$.
- (39) For every G being a field structure such that the carrier of $G \in U_1$ holds the addition of G is an element of U_1 and the reverse-map of G is an element of U_1 and the zero of G is an element of U_1 and the multiplication of G is an element of U_1 and the unity of G is an element of U_1 .
- (40) The carrier of $Z_3 \in U_1$ and the addition of Z_3 is an element of U_1 and the reverse-map of Z_3 is an element of U_1 and the zero of Z_3 is an element of U_1 and the multiplication of Z_3 is an element of U_1 and the unity of Z_3 is an element of U_1 .

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):543–547, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):281–290, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [8] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):563–571, 1991.

- [9] Michał Muzalewski. Construction of rings and left-, right-, and bi-modules over a ring. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):3–11, 1991.
- [10] Michał Muzalewski and Wojciech Skaba. Groups, rings, left- and right-modules. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):275–278, 1991.
- [11] Michał Muzalewski and Lesław W. Szerba. Construction of finite sequences over ring and left-, right-, and bi-modules over a ring. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):97–104, 1991.
- [12] Bogdan Nowak and Grzegorz Bancerek. Universal classes. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):595–600, 1990.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.

Received October 18, 1991
