

Introduction to Modal Propositional Logic

Alicia de la Cruz
Universidad Politecnica de Madrid

MML Identifier: MODAL-1.

The terminology and notation used here are introduced in the following papers: [15], [11], [2], [14], [16], [13], [7], [5], [6], [8], [10], [12], [1], [9], [3], [4], and [17]. For simplicity we follow a convention: x, y will be arbitrary, n, m, k will denote natural numbers, t_1 will denote a tree decorated by $[\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}$ **qua** a non-empty set $]$, w, s, t will denote finite sequences of elements of \mathbb{N} , X will denote a set, and D will denote a non-empty set. Next we state the proposition

- (1) If X is finite, then $\text{card } X = 2$ if and only if there exist x, y such that $X = \{x, y\}$ and $x \neq y$.

Let Z be a tree. The root of Z yields an element of Z and is defined as follows:

- (Def.1) the root of $Z = \varepsilon$.

Let us consider D , and let T be a tree decorated by D . The root of T yields an element of D and is defined by:

- (Def.2) the root of $T = T(\text{the root of } \text{dom } T)$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (2) $\langle n \rangle = \langle m \rangle$ if and only if $n = m$.
(3) If $n \neq m$, then $\langle n \rangle$ and $\langle m \rangle \wedge s$ are not comparable.
(4) For every s such that $s \neq \varepsilon$ there exist w, n such that $s = \langle n \rangle \wedge w$.
(5) If $n \neq m$, then $\langle n \rangle \not\leq \langle m \rangle \wedge s$.
(6) If $n \neq m$, then $\langle n \rangle \not\leq \langle m \rangle \wedge s$.
(7) $\langle n \rangle \not\leq \langle m \rangle$.
(8) If $w \neq \varepsilon$, then $s \prec s \wedge w$.
(9) The elementary tree of 1 = $\{\varepsilon, \langle 0 \rangle\}$.
(10) The elementary tree of 2 = $\{\varepsilon, \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle\}$.
(11) For every tree Z and for all n, m such that $n \leq m$ and $\langle m \rangle \in Z$ holds $\langle n \rangle \in Z$.

- (12) If $w \wedge t \prec w \wedge s$, then $t \prec s$.
- (13) $t_1 \in \mathbb{N}^* \dot{\rightarrow} [\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \text{ qua a non-empty set }]$.
- (14) For all trees Z, Z_1 and for every element z of Z holds $z \in Z(z/Z_1)$.
- (15) For all trees Z, Z_1, Z_2 and for every element z of Z such that $Z(z/Z_1) = Z(z/Z_2)$ holds $Z_1 = Z_2$.
- (16) For all trees Z, Z_1, Z_2 decorated by D and for every element z of $\text{dom } Z$ such that $Z(z/Z_1) = Z(z/Z_2)$ holds $Z_1 = Z_2$.
- (17) For all trees Z_1, Z_2 and for every finite sequence p of elements of \mathbb{N} such that $p \in Z_1$ for every element v of $Z_1(p/Z_2)$ and for every element w of Z_1 such that $v = w$ and $w \prec p$ holds $\text{succ } v = \text{succ } w$.
- (18) For all trees Z_1, Z_2 and for every finite sequence p of elements of \mathbb{N} such that $p \in Z_1$ for every element v of $Z_1(p/Z_2)$ and for every element w of Z_1 such that $v = w$ and p and w are not comparable holds $\text{succ } v = \text{succ } w$.
- (19) For all trees Z_1, Z_2 and for every finite sequence p of elements of \mathbb{N} such that $p \in Z_1$ for every element v of $Z_1(p/Z_2)$ and for every element w of Z_2 such that $v = p \wedge w$ holds $\text{succ } v \approx \text{succ } w$.
- (20) For every tree Z_1 and for every finite sequence p of elements of \mathbb{N} such that $p \in Z_1$ for every element v of Z_1 and for every element w of $Z_1 \upharpoonright p$ such that $v = p \wedge w$ holds $\text{succ } v \approx \text{succ } w$.
- (21) For every tree Z and for every element p of Z such that Z is finite holds $\text{succ } p$ is finite.
- (22) For every tree Z such that Z is finite and the branch degree of the root of $Z = 0$ holds $\text{card } Z = 1$ and $Z = \{\varepsilon\}$.
- (23) For every tree Z such that Z is finite and the branch degree of the root of $Z = 1$ holds $\text{succ}(\text{the root of } Z) = \{\langle 0 \rangle\}$.
- (24) For every tree Z such that Z is finite and the branch degree of the root of $Z = 2$ holds $\text{succ}(\text{the root of } Z) = \{\langle 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle\}$.

In the sequel s', w' will be elements of \mathbb{N}^* . One can prove the following propositions:

- (25) For every tree Z and for every element o of Z such that $o \neq$ the root of Z holds $Z \upharpoonright o \approx \{o \wedge s' : o \wedge s' \in Z\}$ and the root of $Z \notin \{o \wedge w' : o \wedge w' \in Z\}$.
- (26) For every tree Z and for every element o of Z such that $o \neq$ the root of Z and Z is finite holds $\text{card}(Z \upharpoonright o) < \text{card } Z$.
- (27) For every tree Z and for every element z of Z such that $\text{succ}(\text{the root of } Z) = \{z\}$ and Z is finite holds $Z = (\text{the elementary tree of } 1)(\langle 0 \rangle / (Z \upharpoonright z))$.
- (28) For every tree Z decorated by D and for every element z of $\text{dom } Z$ such that $\text{succ}(\text{the root of } \text{dom } Z) = \{z\}$ and $\text{dom } Z$ is finite holds $Z = (\text{the elementary tree of } 1 \mapsto \text{the root of } Z)(\langle 0 \rangle / (Z \upharpoonright z))$.
- (29) For every tree Z and for all elements x_1, x_2 of Z such that Z is finite and $x_1 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and $x_2 = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $\text{succ}(\text{the root of } Z) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ holds $Z = (\text{the elementary tree of } 2)(\langle 0 \rangle / (Z \upharpoonright x_1))(\langle 1 \rangle / (Z \upharpoonright x_2))$.

(30) Let Z be a tree decorated by D . Then for all elements x_1, x_2 of $\text{dom } Z$ such that $\text{dom } Z$ is finite and $x_1 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and $x_2 = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $\text{succ}(\text{the root of } \text{dom } Z) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ holds $Z = (\text{the elementary tree of } 2 \mapsto \text{the root of } Z)(\langle 0 \rangle / (Z \upharpoonright x_1))(\langle 1 \rangle / (Z \upharpoonright x_2))$.

The non-empty set \mathcal{V} is defined by:

(Def.3) $\mathcal{V} = \{ \{3\}, \mathbb{N} \}$.

A variable is an element of \mathcal{V} .

The non-empty set \mathcal{C} is defined as follows:

(Def.4) $\mathcal{C} = \{ \{0, 1, 2\}, \mathbb{N} \}$.

A connective is an element of \mathcal{C} .

One can prove the following proposition

(31) $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{V} = \emptyset$.

In the sequel p, q denote variables. Let T be a tree, and let v be an element of T . Then the branch degree of v is a natural number.

Let D be a non-empty set. A non-empty set is called a non-empty set of trees decorated by D if:

(Def.5) for every x such that $x \in$ it holds x is a tree decorated by D .

Let D_0 be a non-empty set, and let D be a non-empty set of trees decorated by D_0 . We see that the element of D is a tree decorated by D_0 .

The non-empty set WFF of trees decorated by $\{ \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \text{ qua a non-empty set} \}$ is defined by the condition (Def.6).

(Def.6) Let x be a tree decorated by $\{ \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \text{ qua a non-empty set} \}$. Then $x \in$ WFF if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\text{dom } x$ is finite,
- (ii) for every element v of $\text{dom } x$ holds the branch degree of $v \leq 2$ but if the branch degree of $v = 0$, then $x(v) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$ or there exists k such that $x(v) = \langle 3, k \rangle$ but if the branch degree of $v = 1$, then $x(v) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ or $x(v) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ but if the branch degree of $v = 2$, then $x(v) = \langle 2, 0 \rangle$.

A MP-formula is an element of WFF.

In the sequel A, A_1, B, B_1, C denote MP-formulae. Let us consider A , and let a be an element of $\text{dom } A$. Then $A \upharpoonright a$ is a MP-formula.

Let a be an element of \mathcal{C} . The functor $\text{Arity}(a)$ yielding a natural number is defined by:

(Def.7) $\text{Arity}(a) = a_1$.

Let D be a non-empty set, and let T, T_1 be trees decorated by D , and let p be a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} . Let us assume that $p \in \text{dom } T$. The functor $T(p \leftarrow T_1)$ yields a tree decorated by D and is defined by:

(Def.8) $T(p \leftarrow T_1) = T(p/T_1)$.

The following propositions are true:

(32) $(\text{The elementary tree of } 1 \mapsto \langle 1, 0 \rangle)(\langle 0 \rangle / A)$ is a MP-formula.

(33) (The elementary tree of $1 \mapsto \langle 1, 1 \rangle (\langle 0 \rangle / A)$ is a MP-formula.

(34) (The elementary tree of $2 \mapsto \langle 2, 0 \rangle (\langle 0 \rangle / A) (\langle 1 \rangle / B)$ is a MP-formula.

We now define three new functors. Let us consider A . The functor $\neg A$ yields a MP-formula and is defined as follows:

(Def.9) $\neg A =$ (the elementary tree of $1 \mapsto \langle 1, 0 \rangle (\langle 0 \rangle / A)$).

The functor $\Box A$ yields a MP-formula and is defined as follows:

(Def.10) $\Box A =$ (the elementary tree of $1 \mapsto \langle 1, 1 \rangle (\langle 0 \rangle / A)$).

Let us consider B . The functor $A \wedge B$ yielding a MP-formula is defined as follows:

(Def.11) $A \wedge B =$ (the elementary tree of $2 \mapsto \langle 2, 0 \rangle (\langle 0 \rangle / A) (\langle 1 \rangle / B)$).

We now define three new functors. Let us consider A . The functor $\Diamond A$ yields a MP-formula and is defined as follows:

(Def.12) $\Diamond A = \neg \Box \neg A$.

Let us consider B . The functor $A \vee B$ yields a MP-formula and is defined as follows:

(Def.13) $A \vee B = \neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B)$.

The functor $A \Rightarrow B$ yields a MP-formula and is defined by:

(Def.14) $A \Rightarrow B = \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$.

The following propositions are true:

(35) The elementary tree of $0 \mapsto \langle 3, n \rangle$ is a MP-formula.

(36) The elementary tree of $0 \mapsto \langle 0, 0 \rangle$ is a MP-formula.

Let us consider p . The functor ${}^{\circledast}p$ yields a MP-formula and is defined by:

(Def.15) ${}^{\circledast}p =$ the elementary tree of $0 \mapsto p$.

We now state four propositions:

(37) If ${}^{\circledast}p = {}^{\circledast}q$, then $p = q$.

(38) If $\neg A = \neg B$, then $A = B$.

(39) If $\Box A = \Box B$, then $A = B$.

(40) If $A \wedge B = A_1 \wedge B_1$, then $A = A_1$ and $B = B_1$.

The MP-formula VERUM is defined by:

(Def.16) VERUM = the elementary tree of $0 \mapsto \langle 0, 0 \rangle$.

Next we state several propositions:

(41) $\text{card dom } A \neq 0$.

(42) If $\text{card dom } A = 1$, then $A = \text{VERUM}$ or there exists p such that $A = {}^{\circledast}p$.

(43) If $\text{card dom } A \geq 2$, then there exists B such that $A = \neg B$ or $A = \Box B$ or there exist B, C such that $A = B \wedge C$.

(44) $\text{card dom } A < \text{card dom } \neg A$.

(45) $\text{card dom } A < \text{card dom } \Box A$.

(46) $\text{card dom } A < \text{card dom}(A \wedge B)$ and $\text{card dom } B < \text{card dom}(A \wedge B)$.

We now define four new attributes. A MP-formula is atomic if:

(Def.17) there exists p such that it = ${}^{\circ}p$.

A MP-formula is negative if:

(Def.18) there exists A such that it = $\neg A$.

A MP-formula is necessitive if:

(Def.19) there exists A such that it = $\Box A$.

A MP-formula is conjunctive if:

(Def.20) there exist A, B such that it = $A \wedge B$.

The scheme *MP_Ind* deals with a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:
for every element A of WFF holds $\mathcal{P}[A]$

provided the parameter satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{P}[\text{VERUM}]$,
- for every variable p holds $\mathcal{P}[{}^{\circ}p]$,
- for every element A of WFF such that $\mathcal{P}[A]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\neg A]$,
- for every element A of WFF such that $\mathcal{P}[A]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\Box A]$,
- for all elements A, B of WFF such that $\mathcal{P}[A]$ and $\mathcal{P}[B]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[A \wedge B]$.

The following propositions are true:

- (47) For every element A of WFF holds $A = \text{VERUM}$ or A is a MP-formula or A is a MP-formula or A is a MP-formula or A is a MP-formula.
- (48) $A = \text{VERUM}$ or there exists p such that $A = {}^{\circ}p$ or there exists B such that $A = \neg B$ or there exists B such that $A = \Box B$ or there exist B, C such that $A = B \wedge C$.
- (49) ${}^{\circ}p \neq \neg A$ and ${}^{\circ}p \neq \Box A$ and ${}^{\circ}p \neq A \wedge B$.
- (50) $\neg A \neq \Box B$ and $\neg A \neq B \wedge C$.
- (51) $\Box A \neq B \wedge C$.
- (52) $\text{VERUM} \neq {}^{\circ}p$ and $\text{VERUM} \neq \neg A$ and $\text{VERUM} \neq \Box A$ and $\text{VERUM} \neq A \wedge B$.

The scheme *MP_Func_Ex* deals with a non-empty set \mathcal{A} , an element \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding an element of \mathcal{A} , a unary functor \mathcal{G} yielding an element of \mathcal{A} , a unary functor \mathcal{H} yielding an element of \mathcal{A} , and a binary functor \mathcal{I} yielding an element of \mathcal{A} and states that:

there exists a function f from WFF into \mathcal{A} such that $f(\text{VERUM}) = \mathcal{B}$ and for every variable p holds $f({}^{\circ}p) = \mathcal{F}(p)$ and for every element A of WFF and for every element d of \mathcal{A} such that $f(A) = d$ holds $f(\neg A) = \mathcal{G}(d)$ and for every element A of WFF and for every element d of \mathcal{A} such that $f(A) = d$ holds $f(\Box A) = \mathcal{H}(d)$ and for all elements A, B of WFF and for all elements d_1, d_2 of \mathcal{A} such that $d_1 = f(A)$ and $d_2 = f(B)$ holds $f(A \wedge B) = \mathcal{I}(d_1, d_2)$ for all values of the parameters.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.

- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Introduction to trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):421–427, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s lemma. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(3):397–402, 1991.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [11] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [17] Wojciech Zielonka. Preliminaries to the Lambek calculus. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(3):413–418, 1991.

Received September 30, 1990
