

T_0 Topological Spaces

Mariusz Żynel
Warsaw University
Białystok

Adam Guzowski
Warsaw University
Białystok

MML Identifier: T_OTOPSP.

The papers [7], [10], [9], [1], [2], [4], [3], [6], [5], and [8] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

The following two propositions are true:

- (1) Let A, B be non empty sets and let R_1, R_2 be relations between A and B . Suppose that for every element x of A and for every element y of B holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in R_1$ iff $\langle x, y \rangle \in R_2$. Then $R_1 = R_2$.
- (2) Let X, Y be non empty sets, and let f be a function from X into Y , and let A be a subset of X . Suppose that for all elements x_1, x_2 of X such that $x_1 \in A$ and $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ holds $x_2 \in A$. Then $f^{-1} f^\circ A = A$.

Let T, S be topological spaces. We say that T and S are homeomorphic if and only if:

(Def.1) There exists map from T into S which is a homeomorphism.

Let T, S be topological spaces and let f be a map from T into S . We say that f is open if and only if:

(Def.2) For every subset A of T such that A is open holds $f^\circ A$ is open.

Let T be a topological space. The functor $\text{Indiscernibility}(T)$ yielding an equivalence relation of the carrier of T is defined by the condition (Def.3).

(Def.3) Let p, q be points of T . Then $\langle p, q \rangle \in \text{Indiscernibility}(T)$ if and only if for every subset A of T such that A is open holds $p \in A$ iff $q \in A$.

Let T be a topological space. The functor $T /_{\text{Indiscernibility } T}$ yields a non empty partition of the carrier of T and is defined as follows:

(Def.4) $T /_{\text{Indiscernibility } T} = \text{Classes Indiscernibility}(T)$.

Let T be a topological space. The functor $T_0\text{-reflex}(T)$ yields a topological space and is defined as follows:

(Def.5) $T_0\text{-reflex}(T) = \text{the decomposition space of } T /_{\text{Indiscernibility } T}$.

Let T be a topological space. The functor $T_0\text{-map}(T)$ yielding a continuous map from T into $T_0\text{-reflex}(T)$ is defined as follows:

(Def.6) $T_0\text{-map}(T) =$ the projection onto $T/\text{Indiscernibility } T$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (3) For every topological space T and for every point p of T holds $p \in (T_0\text{-map}(T))(p)$.
- (4) For every topological space T holds $\text{dom } T_0\text{-map}(T) =$ the carrier of T and $\text{rng } T_0\text{-map}(T) \subseteq$ the carrier of $T_0\text{-reflex}(T)$.
- (5) Let T be a topological space. Then the carrier of $T_0\text{-reflex}(T) = T/\text{Indiscernibility } T$ and the topology of $T_0\text{-reflex}(T) = \{A : A \text{ ranges over subsets of } T/\text{Indiscernibility } T, \cup A \in \text{the topology of } T\}$.
- (6) For every topological space T and for every subset V of $T_0\text{-reflex}(T)$ holds V is open iff $\cup V \in$ the topology of T .
- (7) Let T be a topological space and let C be arbitrary. Then C is a point of $T_0\text{-reflex}(T)$ if and only if there exists a point p of T such that $C = [p]_{\text{Indiscernibility}(T)}$.
- (8) For every topological space T and for every point p of T holds $(T_0\text{-map}(T))(p) = [p]_{\text{Indiscernibility}(T)}$.
- (9) For every topological space T and for all points p, q of T holds $(T_0\text{-map}(T))(q) = (T_0\text{-map}(T))(p)$ iff $\langle q, p \rangle \in \text{Indiscernibility}(T)$.
- (10) Let T be a topological space and let A be a subset of T . Suppose A is open. Let p, q be points of T . If $p \in A$ and $(T_0\text{-map}(T))(p) = (T_0\text{-map}(T))(q)$, then $q \in A$.
- (11) Let T be a topological space and let A be a subset of T . Suppose A is open. Let C be a subset of T . If $C \in T/\text{Indiscernibility } T$ and C meets A , then $C \subseteq A$.
- (12) For every topological space T holds $T_0\text{-map}(T)$ is open.

A topological structure is discernible if it satisfies the condition (Def.7).

(Def.7) Let x, y be points of it. Suppose $x \neq y$. Then there exists a subset V of it such that V is open but $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$ or $y \in V$ and $x \notin V$.

Let us note that there exists a topological space which is discernible.

A T_0 -space is a discernible topological space.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (13) For every topological space T holds $T_0\text{-reflex}(T)$ is a T_0 -space.
- (14) Let T, S be topological spaces. Given a map h from $T_0\text{-reflex}(S)$ into $T_0\text{-reflex}(T)$ such that h is a homeomorphism and $T_0\text{-map}(T)$ and $h \cdot T_0\text{-map}(S)$ are fiberwise equipotent. Then T and S are homeomorphic.
- (15) Let T be a topological space, and let T_0 be a T_0 -space, and let f be a continuous map from T into T_0 , and let p, q be points of T . If $\langle p, q \rangle \in \text{Indiscernibility}(T)$, then $f(p) = f(q)$.

- (16) Let T be a topological space, and let T_0 be a T_0 -space, and let f be a continuous map from T into T_0 , and let p be a point of T . Then $f^\circ([p]_{\text{Indiscernibility}(T)}) = \{f(p)\}$.
- (17) Let T be a topological space, and let T_0 be a T_0 -space, and let f be a continuous map from T into T_0 . Then there exists a continuous map h from $T_0\text{-reflex}(T)$ into T_0 such that $f = h \cdot T_0\text{-map}(T)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [3] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [4] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [5] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [6] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [7] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [8] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [9] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [10] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received May 6, 1994
