# Modifying Addresses of Instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{FSA}$

Andrzej Trybulec Warsaw University Białystok Yatsuka Nakamura Shinshu University Nagano

MML Identifier: SCMFSA\_4.

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following papers: [10], [1], [13], [14], [21], [18], [23], [17], [24], [6], [7], [8], [4], [3], [2], [9], [5], [22], [11], [12], [19], [15], [16], and [20].

# 1. Preliminaries

Let N be a non empty set with non empty elements and let S be an AMI over N. One can check that every finite partial state of S is finite.

Let N be a non empty set with non empty elements and let S be an AMI over N. One can verify that there exists a finite partial state of S which is programmed.

Next we state the proposition

(1) Let N be a non empty set with non empty elements, and let S be a definite AMI over N, and let p be a programmed finite partial state of S. Then rng  $p \subseteq$  the instructions of S.

Let N be a non empty set with non empty elements, let S be a definite AMI over N, and let I, J be programmed finite partial states of S. Then I+J is a programmed finite partial state of S.

Next we state the proposition

(2) Let N be a non empty set with non empty elements, and let S be a definite AMI over N, and let f be a function from the instructions of S into the instructions of S, and let s be a programmed finite partial state of S. Then dom(f · s) = dom s.

571

C 1996 Warsaw University - Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

#### 2. Incrementing and decrementing the instruction locations

In the sequel i, k, l, m, n, p will denote natural numbers.

Let  $l_1$  be an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and let k be a natural number. The functor  $l_1 + k$  yielding an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  is defined by:

(Def. 1) There exists a natural number m such that  $l_1 = \operatorname{insloc}(m)$  and  $l_1 + k = \operatorname{insloc}(m+k)$ .

The functor  $l_1 - k$  yields an instruction-location of **SCM**<sub>FSA</sub> and is defined by:

(Def. 2) There exists a natural number m such that  $l_1 = \text{insloc}(m)$  and  $l_1 - k = \frac{1}{2} \ln \log(m - k)$ .

We now state two propositions:

- (3) For every instruction-location l of **SCM**<sub>FSA</sub> and for all m, n holds (l+m)+n=l+(m+n).
- (4) For every instruction-location  $l_1$  of **SCM**<sub>FSA</sub> and for every natural number k holds  $(l_1 + k) k = l_1$ .

In the sequel L will be an instruction-location of **SCM** and I will be an instruction of **SCM**.

The following three propositions are true:

- (5) For every instruction-location l of **SCM**<sub>FSA</sub> and for every L such that L = l holds l + k = L + k.
- (6) For all instructions-locations  $l_2$ ,  $l_3$  of **SCM**<sub>FSA</sub> and for every natural number k holds Start-At $(l_2 + k)$  = Start-At $(l_3 + k)$  iff Start-At $(l_2)$  = Start-At $(l_3)$ .
- (7) For all instructions-locations  $l_2$ ,  $l_3$  of **SCM**<sub>FSA</sub> and for every natural number k such that Start-At $(l_2)$  = Start-At $(l_3)$  holds Start-At $(l_2 k)$  = Start-At $(l_3 k)$ .

#### 3. Incrementing addresses

Let *i* be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and let *k* be a natural number. The functor IncAddr(i, k) yielding an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 3) (i) There exists an instruction I of **SCM** such that I = i and IncAddr(i, k) =IncAddr(I, k) if InsCode $(i) \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ ,
  - (ii)  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(i, k) = i$ , otherwise.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (8) For every natural number k holds  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(\operatorname{halt}_{\operatorname{SCM}_{FSA}}, k) = \operatorname{halt}_{\operatorname{SCM}_{FSA}}$ .
- (9) For every natural number k and for all integer locations a, b holds  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(a:=b,k) = a:=b.$

- (10) For every natural number k and for all integer locations a, b holds  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(\operatorname{AddTo}(a, b), k) = \operatorname{AddTo}(a, b).$
- (11) For every natural number k and for all integer locations a, b holds  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(\operatorname{SubFrom}(a, b), k) = \operatorname{SubFrom}(a, b).$
- (12) For every natural number k and for all integer locations a, b holds IncAddr(MultBy(a, b), k) = MultBy(a, b).
- (13) For every natural number k and for all integer locations a, b holds  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(\operatorname{Divide}(a, b), k) = \operatorname{Divide}(a, b).$
- (14) For every natural number k and for every instruction-location  $l_1$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds IncAddr(goto  $l_1, k$ ) = goto  $(l_1 + k)$ .
- (15) Let k be a natural number, and let  $l_1$  be an instruction-location of **SCM**<sub>FSA</sub>, and let a be an integer location. Then IncAddr(**if** a = 0 **goto**  $l_1, k$ ) = **if** a = 0 **goto**  $l_1 + k$ .
- (16) Let k be a natural number, and let  $l_1$  be an instruction-location of **SCM**<sub>FSA</sub>, and let a be an integer location. Then IncAddr(**if** a > 0 **goto**  $l_1, k$ ) = **if** a > 0 **goto**  $l_1 + k$ .
- (17) Let k be a natural number, and let a, b be integer locations, and let f be a finite sequence location. Then  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(b:=f_a,k)=b:=f_a$ .
- (18) Let k be a natural number, and let a, b be integer locations, and let f be a finite sequence location. Then  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(f_a:=b,k) = f_a:=b$ .
- (19) Let k be a natural number, and let a be an integer location, and let f be a finite sequence location. Then  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(a:=\operatorname{len} f,k) = a:=\operatorname{len} f$ .
- (20) Let k be a natural number, and let a be an integer location, and let f be a finite sequence location. Then  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(f:=\langle \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{k}\rangle,k) =$

$$f := \langle \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{a} \rangle.$$

- (21) For every instruction i of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every I such that i = I holds IncAddr(i, k) = IncAddr(I, k).
- (22) For every instruction I of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{FSA}$  and for every natural number k holds  $\operatorname{InsCode}(\operatorname{IncAddr}(I, k)) = \operatorname{InsCode}(I)$ .

Let  $I_1$  be a finite partial state of **SCM**<sub>FSA</sub>. We say that  $I_1$  is initial if and only if:

(Def. 4) For all m, n such that  $insloc(n) \in \text{dom } I_1$  and m < n holds  $insloc(m) \in \text{dom } I_1$ .

The finite partial state  $\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  is defined as follows:

 $(\text{Def. 5}) \quad \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} = \text{insloc}(0) {\longmapsto} \mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}.$ 

Let us note that  $\mathrm{Stop}_{\mathrm{SCM}_{\mathrm{FSA}}}$  is non empty initial and programmed.

One can verify that there exists a finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{FSA}$  which is initial programmed and non empty.

Let f be a function and let g be a finite function. Note that  $f \cdot g$  is finite.

Let N be a non empty set with non empty elements, let S be a definite AMI over N, let s be a programmed finite partial state of S, and let f be a function from the instructions of S into the instructions of S. Then  $f \cdot s$  is a programmed finite partial state of S.

In the sequel i will denote an instruction of  $SCM_{FSA}$ .

The following proposition is true

(23)  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(\operatorname{IncAddr}(i, m), n) = \operatorname{IncAddr}(i, m + n).$ 

### 4. INCREMETING ADDRESSES IN A FINITE PARTIAL STATE

Let p be a programmed finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{FSA}$  and let k be a natural number. The functor IncAddr(p, k) yielding a programmed finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{FSA}$  is defined by:

(Def. 6) dom IncAddr(p, k) = dom p and for every m such that  $insloc(m) \in dom p$  holds  $(IncAddr<math>(p, k))(insloc(m)) = IncAddr(\pi_{insloc}(m)p, k)$ . The following many sitisfier and trace

The following propositions are true:

- (24) Let p be a programmed finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and let k be a natural number, and let l be an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . If  $l \in \text{dom } p$ , then  $(\text{IncAddr}(p,k))(l) = \text{IncAddr}(\pi_l p, k)$ .
- (25) For all programmed finite partial states I, J of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds IncAddr $(I+J,n) = \text{IncAddr}(I,n) + \cdot \text{IncAddr}(J,n)$ .
- (26) Let f be a function from the instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  into the instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose  $f = \mathrm{id}_{(\text{the instructions of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}})} + \cdot (\mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})$  $\mapsto i)$ . Let s be a programmed finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Then  $\mathrm{IncAddr}(f \cdot s, n) = (\mathrm{id}_{(\text{the instructions of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}})} + \cdot (\mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})$  $\operatorname{IncAddr}(i, n)) \cdot \mathrm{IncAddr}(s, n).$
- (27) For every programmed finite partial state I of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{FSA}$  holds IncAddr(IncAddr(I, m), n) = IncAddr(I, m + n).
- (28) For every state s of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Exec}(\text{IncAddr}(\text{CurInstr}(s), k), s + \cdots \text{Start-At}(\mathbf{IC}_s + k)) = \text{Following}(s) + \cdots \text{Start-At}(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{Following}(s)} + k).$
- (29) Let  $I_2$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and let s be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and let p be a finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and let i, j, k be natural numbers. If  $\mathbf{IC}_s = \text{insloc}(j+k)$ , then  $\text{Exec}(I_2, s+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\mathbf{IC}_s - k)) =$  $\text{Exec}(\text{IncAddr}(I_2, k), s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{Exec}(\text{IncAddr}(I_2, k), s)} - k)$ .

## 5. Shifting the finite partial state

Let p be a programmed finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{FSA}$  and let k be a natural number. The functor Shift(p, k) yields a programmed finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{FSA}$  and is defined as follows:

574

(Def. 7) dom Shift(p, k) = {insloc(m + k) : insloc $(m) \in \text{dom } p$ } and for every m such that insloc $(m) \in \text{dom } p$  holds (Shift(p, k))(insloc(m + k)) = p(insloc(m)).

The following propositions are true:

- (30) Let l be an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and let k be a natural number, and let p be a programmed finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . If  $l \in \text{dom } p$ , then (Shift(p, k))(l + k) = p(l).
- (31) Let p be a programmed finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and let k be a natural number. Then dom  $\text{Shift}(p, k) = \{i_1 + k : i_1 \text{ ranges over instructions-locations of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}, i_1 \in \text{dom } p\}.$
- (32) For every programmed finite partial state I of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds Shift(Shift(I,m),n) = Shift(I,m+n).
- (33) Let s be a programmed finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and let f be a function from the instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  into the instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and given n. Then  $\text{Shift}(f \cdot s, n) = f \cdot \text{Shift}(s, n)$ .
- (34) For all programmed finite partial states I, J of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Shift}(I+J,n) = \text{Shift}(I,n)+\cdot \text{Shift}(J,n).$
- (35) For all natural numbers i, j and for every programmed finite partial state p of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{FSA}$  holds  $\mathrm{Shift}(\mathrm{IncAddr}(p, i), j) = \mathrm{IncAddr}(\mathrm{Shift}(p, j), i)$ .

#### References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):669– 676, 1990.
- Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [10] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [12] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. On a mathematical model of programs. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):241–250, 1992.
- [13] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [14] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):263–264, 1990.

- [15] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):1–8, 1996.
- [16] Yasushi Tanaka. Relocatability. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):103–108, 1996.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The SCM<sub>FSA</sub> computer. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):519–528, 1996.
- [21] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [22] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [23] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received February 14, 1996