

On the Topological Properties of Meet-Continuous Lattices¹

Artur Korniłowicz
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. This work is continuation of formalization of [12]. Proposition 4.4 from Chapter 0 is proved.

MML Identifier: WAYBEL_9.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following papers: [30], [24], [25], [27], [31], [32], [33], [6], [11], [21], [15], [7], [23], [34], [10], [29], [9], [5], [4], [28], [22], [1], [13], [16], [2], [3], [14], [35], [8], [17], [20], [18], [19], and [26].

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let L be a non empty relational structure. One can check that id_L is monotone.

Let S, T be non empty relational structures and let f be a map from S into T . Let us observe that f is antitone if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all elements x, y of S such that $x \leq y$ holds $f(x) \geq f(y)$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1) Let S, T be relational structures, K, L be non empty relational structures, f be a map from S into T , and g be a map from K into L . Suppose that
 - (i) the relational structure of $S =$ the relational structure of K ,

¹This work was partially supported by the Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-95-1-1336.

- (ii) the relational structure of $T =$ the relational structure of L ,
- (iii) $f = g$, and
- (iv) f is monotone.

Then g is monotone.

- (2) Let S, T be relational structures, K, L be non empty relational structures, f be a map from S into T , and g be a map from K into L . Suppose that

- (i) the relational structure of $S =$ the relational structure of K ,
- (ii) the relational structure of $T =$ the relational structure of L ,
- (iii) $f = g$, and
- (iv) f is antitone.

Then g is antitone.

- (3) Let A, B be 1-sorted structures, F be a family of subsets of A , and G be a family of subsets of B . Suppose the carrier of $A =$ the carrier of B and $F = G$ and F is a cover of A . Then G is a cover of B .
- (4) For every antisymmetric reflexive relational structure L with l.u.b.'s and for every element x of L holds $\uparrow x = \{x\} \sqcup \Omega_L$.
- (5) For every antisymmetric reflexive relational structure L with g.l.b.'s and for every element x of L holds $\downarrow x = \{x\} \sqcap \Omega_L$.
- (6) For every antisymmetric reflexive relational structure L with g.l.b.'s and for every element y of L holds $(y \sqcap \square)^\circ \uparrow y = \{y\}$.
- (7) For every antisymmetric reflexive relational structure L with g.l.b.'s and for every element x of L holds $(x \sqcap \square)^{-1}(\{x\}) = \uparrow x$.
- (8) For every non empty 1-sorted structure T holds every non empty net structure N over T is eventually in rng (the mapping of N).

Let L be a non empty reflexive relational structure, let D be a non empty directed subset of L , and let n be a function from D into the carrier of L . One can verify that $\langle D, (\text{the internal relation of } L) \upharpoonright^2 D, n \rangle$ is directed.

Let L be a non empty reflexive transitive relational structure, let D be a non empty directed subset of L , and let n be a function from D into the carrier of L . One can check that $\langle D, (\text{the internal relation of } L) \upharpoonright^2 D, n \rangle$ is transitive.

The following propositions are true:

- (9) For every non empty reflexive transitive relational structure L such that for every element x of L and for every net N in L such that N is eventually-directed holds $x \sqcap \sup N = \sup\{x\} \sqcap \text{rng netmap}(N, L)$ holds L satisfies MC.
- (10) Let L be a non empty relational structure, a be an element of L , and N be a net in L . Then $a \sqcap N$ is a net in L .

Let L be a non empty relational structure, let x be an element of L , and let N be a net in L . Then $x \sqcap N$ is a strict net in L .

Let L be a non empty relational structure, let x be an element of L , and let N be a non empty reflexive net structure over L . Observe that $x \sqcap N$ is reflexive.

Let L be a non empty relational structure, let x be an element of L , and let N be a non empty antisymmetric net structure over L . Note that $x \sqcap N$ is antisymmetric.

Let L be a non empty relational structure, let x be an element of L , and let N be a non empty transitive net structure over L . Note that $x \sqcap N$ is transitive.

Let L be a non empty relational structure, let J be a set, and let f be a function from J into the carrier of L . Observe that $\text{FinSups}(f)$ is transitive.

2. THE OPERATIONS DEFINED ON NETS

Let L be a non empty relational structure and let N be a net structure over L . The functor $\text{inf } N$ yielding an element of L is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) $\text{inf } N = \text{Inf}(\text{the mapping of } N)$.

Let L be a relational structure and let N be a net structure over L . We say that $\text{sup } N$ exists if and only if:

(Def. 3) $\text{Sup rng}(\text{the mapping of } N)$ exists in L .

We say that $\text{inf } N$ exists if and only if:

(Def. 4) $\text{Inf rng}(\text{the mapping of } N)$ exists in L .

Let L be a relational structure. The functor $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$ yields a strict net structure over L and is defined by:

(Def. 5) The relational structure of $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle =$ the relational structure of L and the mapping of $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle = \text{id}_L$.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. Observe that $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$ is non empty.

Let L be a reflexive relational structure. One can check that $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$ is reflexive.

Let L be an antisymmetric relational structure. Note that $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$ is antisymmetric.

Let L be a transitive relational structure. Observe that $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$ is transitive.

Let L be a relational structure with l.u.b.'s. One can verify that $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$ is directed.

Let L be a directed relational structure. Note that $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$ is directed.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. One can verify that $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$ is monotone and eventually-directed.

Let L be a relational structure. The functor $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$ yields a strict net structure over L and is defined by the conditions (Def. 6).

- (Def. 6)(i) The carrier of $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle =$ the carrier of L ,
- (ii) the internal relation of $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle =$ (the internal relation of L)[~], and
- (iii) the mapping of $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle = \text{id}_L$.

Next we state the proposition

- (11) For every relational structure L holds the relational structure of $L^{\text{~}} =$ the relational structure of $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. One can check that $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$ is non empty.

Let L be a reflexive relational structure. Observe that $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$ is reflexive.

Let L be an antisymmetric relational structure. Observe that $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$ is antisymmetric.

Let L be a transitive relational structure. Note that $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$ is transitive.

Let L be a relational structure with g.l.b.'s. Note that $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$ is directed.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. Note that $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$ is antitone and eventually-filtered.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a non empty net structure over L , and let i be an element of N . The functor $N \upharpoonright i$ yields a strict net structure over L and is defined by the conditions (Def. 7).

- (Def. 7)(i) For every set x holds $x \in$ the carrier of $N \upharpoonright i$ iff there exists an element y of N such that $y = x$ and $i \leq y$,
- (ii) the internal relation of $N \upharpoonright i = (\text{the internal relation of } N) \upharpoonright^2 (\text{the carrier of } N \upharpoonright i)$, and
- (iii) the mapping of $N \upharpoonright i = (\text{the mapping of } N) \upharpoonright (\text{the carrier of } N \upharpoonright i)$.

We now state three propositions:

- (12) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a non empty net structure over L , and i be an element of N . Then the carrier of $N \upharpoonright i = \{y, y \text{ ranges over elements of } N: i \leq y\}$.
- (13) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a non empty net structure over L , and i be an element of N . Then the carrier of $N \upharpoonright i \subseteq$ the carrier of N .
- (14) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a non empty net structure over L , and i be an element of N . Then $N \upharpoonright i$ is a full structure of a subnet of N .

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a non empty reflexive net structure over L , and let i be an element of N . Note that $N \upharpoonright i$ is non empty and reflexive.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a non empty directed net structure over L , and let i be an element of N . Note that $N \upharpoonright i$ is non empty.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a non empty reflexive antisymmetric net structure over L , and let i be an element of N . Observe that $N \upharpoonright i$ is antisymmetric.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a non empty directed antisymmetric net structure over L , and let i be an element of N . Note that $N \upharpoonright i$ is antisymmetric.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a non empty reflexive transitive net structure over L , and let i be an element of N . One can verify that $N \upharpoonright i$ is transitive.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a net in L , and let i be an element of N . Note that $N \upharpoonright i$ is transitive and directed.

Next we state three propositions:

- (15) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a non empty reflexive net structure over L , i, x be elements of N , and x_1 be an element of $N \upharpoonright i$. If $x = x_1$, then $N(x) = (N \upharpoonright i)(x_1)$.
- (16) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a non empty directed net structure over L , i, x be elements of N , and x_1 be an element of $N \upharpoonright i$. If $x = x_1$, then $N(x) = (N \upharpoonright i)(x_1)$.
- (17) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in L , and i be an element of N . Then $N \upharpoonright i$ is a subnet of N .

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a net in T . Observe that there exists a subnet of N which is strict.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a net in L , and let i be an element of N . Then $N \upharpoonright i$ is a strict subnet of N .

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let T be a 1-sorted structure, let f be a map from S into T , and let N be a net structure over S . The functor $f \cdot N$ yielding a strict net structure over T is defined by the conditions (Def. 8).

- (Def. 8)(i) The relational structure of $f \cdot N =$ the relational structure of N , and
(ii) the mapping of $f \cdot N = f \cdot$ the mapping of N .

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let T be a 1-sorted structure, let f be a map from S into T , and let N be a non empty net structure over S . One can verify that $f \cdot N$ is non empty.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let T be a 1-sorted structure, let f be a map from S into T , and let N be a reflexive net structure over S . Observe that $f \cdot N$ is reflexive.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let T be a 1-sorted structure, let f be a map from S into T , and let N be an antisymmetric net structure over S . Observe that $f \cdot N$ is antisymmetric.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let T be a 1-sorted structure, let f be a map from S into T , and let N be a transitive net structure over S . Note that $f \cdot N$ is transitive.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let T be a 1-sorted structure, let f be a map from S into T , and let N be a directed net structure over S . Note that $f \cdot N$ is directed.

One can prove the following proposition

- (18) Let L be a non empty relational structure, N be a non empty net structure over L , and x be an element of L . Then $(x \sqcap \square) \cdot N = x \sqcap N$.

3. THE PROPERTIES OF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

The following two propositions are true:

- (19) Let S, T be topological structures, F be a family of subsets of S , and G be a family of subsets of T . Suppose the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T and $F = G$ and F is open. Then G is open.

- (20) Let S, T be topological structures, F be a family of subsets of S , and G be a family of subsets of T . Suppose the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T and $F = G$ and F is closed. Then G is closed.

Let a be a set. Note that $\{a\}_{\text{top}}$ is discrete.

We consider FR-structures as extensions of topological structure and relational structure as systems

\langle a carrier, a internal relation, a topology \rangle ,

where the carrier is a set, the internal relation is a binary relation on the carrier, and the topology is a family of subsets of the carrier.

Let A be a non empty set, let R be a relation between A and A , and let T be a family of subsets of A . Note that $\langle A, R, T \rangle$ is non empty.

Let x be a set, let R be a binary relation on $\{x\}$, and let T be a family of subsets of $\{x\}$. Note that $\langle \{x\}, R, T \rangle$ is trivial.

Let X be a set, let O be an order in X , and let T be a family of subsets of X . Observe that $\langle X, O, T \rangle$ is reflexive transitive and antisymmetric.

Let us observe that there exists a FR-structure which is trivial, reflexive, non empty, discrete, strict, and finite.

A TopLattice is a reflexive transitive antisymmetric topological space-like FR-structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s.

Let us observe that there exists a non empty TopLattice which is strict, trivial, discrete, finite, compact, and Hausdorff.

Let T be a Hausdorff non empty topological space. One can check that every non empty subspace of T is Hausdorff.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (21) For every non empty topological space T and for every point p of T holds every element of the open neighbourhoods of p is a neighbourhood of p .
- (22) Let T be a non empty topological space, p be a point of T , and A, B be elements of the open neighbourhoods of p . Then $A \cap B$ is an element of the open neighbourhoods of p .
- (23) Let T be a non empty topological space, p be a point of T , and A, B be elements of the open neighbourhoods of p . Then $A \cup B$ is an element of the open neighbourhoods of p .
- (24) Let T be a non empty topological space, p be an element of the carrier of T , and N be a net in T . Suppose $p \in \text{Lim } N$. Let S be a subset of the carrier of T . If $S = \text{rng}(\text{the mapping of } N)$, then $p \in \overline{S}$.
- (25) Let T be a Hausdorff non empty TopLattice, N be a convergent net in T , and f be a map from T into T . If f is continuous, then $f(\text{lim } N) \in \text{Lim}(f \cdot N)$.
- (26) Let T be a Hausdorff non empty TopLattice, N be a convergent net in T , and x be an element of T . If $x \sqcap \square$ is continuous, then $x \sqcap \text{lim } N \in \text{Lim}(x \sqcap N)$.
- (27) Let S be a Hausdorff non empty TopLattice and x be an element of S . If for every element a of S holds $a \sqcap \square$ is continuous, then $\uparrow x$ is closed.

- (28) Let S be a compact Hausdorff non empty TopLattice and x be an element of S . If for every element b of S holds $b \sqcap \square$ is continuous, then $\downarrow x$ is closed.

4. THE CLUSTER POINTS OF NETS

Let T be a TopLattice, let N be a non empty net structure over T , and let p be a point of T . We say that p is a cluster point of N if and only if:

(Def. 9) For every neighbourhood O of p holds N is often in O .

Next we state several propositions:

- (29) Let L be a non empty TopLattice, N be a net in L , and c be a point of L . If $c \in \text{Lim } N$, then c is a cluster point of N .
- (30) Let T be a compact Hausdorff non empty TopLattice and N be a net in T . Then there exists a point c of T such that c is a cluster point of N .
- (31) Let L be a non empty TopLattice, N be a net in L , M be a subnet of N , and c be a point of L . If c is a cluster point of M , then c is a cluster point of N .
- (32) Let T be a non empty TopLattice, N be a net in T , and x be a point of T . Suppose x is a cluster point of N . Then there exists a subnet M of N such that $x \in \text{Lim } M$.
- (33) Let L be a compact Hausdorff non empty TopLattice and N be a net in L . Suppose that for all points c, d of L such that c is a cluster point of N and d is a cluster point of N holds $c = d$. Let s be a point of L . If s is a cluster point of N , then $s \in \text{Lim } N$.
- (34) Let S be a non empty TopLattice, c be a point of S , N be a net in S , and A be a subset of S . Suppose c is a cluster point of N and A is closed and $\text{rng}(\text{the mapping of } N) \subseteq A$. Then $c \in A$.
- (35) Let S be a compact Hausdorff non empty TopLattice, c be a point of S , and N be a net in S . Suppose for every element x of S holds $x \sqcap \square$ is continuous and N is eventually-directed and c is a cluster point of N . Then $c = \sup N$.
- (36) Let S be a compact Hausdorff non empty TopLattice, c be a point of S , and N be a net in S . Suppose for every element x of S holds $x \sqcap \square$ is continuous and N is eventually-filtered and c is a cluster point of N . Then $c = \inf N$.

5. ON THE TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF MEET-CONTINUOUS LATTICES

Next we state several propositions:

- (37) Let S be a Hausdorff non empty TopLattice. Suppose that
- (i) for every net N in S such that N is eventually-directed holds $\sup N$ exists and $\sup N \in \text{Lim } N$, and
 - (ii) for every element x of S holds $x \sqcap \square$ is continuous.
- Then S is meet-continuous.
- (38) Let S be a compact Hausdorff non empty TopLattice. Suppose that for every element x of S holds $x \sqcap \square$ is continuous. Let N be a net in S . If N is eventually-directed, then $\sup N$ exists and $\sup N \in \text{Lim } N$.
- (39) Let S be a compact Hausdorff non empty TopLattice. Suppose that for every element x of S holds $x \sqcap \square$ is continuous. Let N be a net in S . If N is eventually-filtered, then $\inf N$ exists and $\inf N \in \text{Lim } N$.
- (40) Let S be a compact Hausdorff non empty TopLattice. If for every element x of S holds $x \sqcap \square$ is continuous, then S is bounded.
- (41) Let S be a compact Hausdorff non empty TopLattice. Suppose that for every element x of S holds $x \sqcap \square$ is continuous. Then S is meet-continuous.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [4] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [5] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):131–143, 1997.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [12] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
- [13] Adam Grabowski. On the category of posets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):501–505, 1996.
- [14] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):117–121, 1997.
- [15] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Relations of tolerance. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):105–109, 1991.
- [16] Zbigniew Karno. The lattice of domains of an extremally disconnected space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):143–149, 1992.
- [17] Artur Korniłowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):145–152, 1997.

- [18] Artur Kornilowicz. Definitions and properties of the join and meet of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):153–158, 1997.
- [19] Artur Kornilowicz. Meet – continuous lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):159–167, 1997.
- [20] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):563–571, 1991.
- [21] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [22] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):93–96, 1991.
- [23] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):213–225, 1997.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):187–190, 1990.
- [28] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [29] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
- [30] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [31] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [32] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [33] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):85–89, 1990.
- [34] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.
- [35] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):123–130, 1997.

Received December 20, 1996
