

# The loop and Times Macroinstruction for $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$

Noriko Asamoto  
Ochanomizu University  
Tokyo

**Summary.** We implement two macroinstructions `loop` and `Times` which iterate macroinstructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . In a `loop` macroinstruction it jumps to the head when the original macroinstruction stops, in a `Times` macroinstruction it behaves as if the original macroinstruction repeats  $n$  times.

MML Identifier: `SCMFSA8C`.

The articles [22], [29], [16], [8], [12], [30], [13], [14], [11], [7], [9], [28], [15], [17], [23], [20], [21], [27], [24], [25], [1], [10], [19], [26], [5], [6], [4], [2], [3], and [18] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and let  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . We say that  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  if and only if the condition (Def. 1) is satisfied.

(Def. 1) There exists a natural number  $k$  such that

$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)} = \text{insloc}(\text{card ProgramPart}(P))$   
and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n < k$  holds

$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } P$ .

Let  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . We say that  $P$  is pseudo-paraclosed if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .

Let us note that there exists a macro instruction which is pseudo-paraclosed.

Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and let  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Let us assume that  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .

The functor  $\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, P)$  yielding a natural number is defined as follows:

(Def. 3)  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{pseudo}-\text{LifeSpan}(s,P))} = \text{insloc}(\text{card ProgramPart}(P))$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \notin \text{dom } P$  holds  $\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, P) \leq n$ .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (1) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n < \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, P)$ , then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } P$  and  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)) \neq \mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (2) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \leq k$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } P$ . Then  $k < \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, P)$ .
- (3) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I, J$  be macro instructions. Suppose  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose  $k \leq \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, I)$ . Then  $(\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s+((I;J)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (4) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ , then  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .
- (5) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ , then  $\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I)) = \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 1$ .
- (6) For every function  $f$  and for every set  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f+(x \mapsto f(x)) = f$ .
- (7) For every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $l + 0 = l$ .
- (8) For every instruction  $i$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{IncAddr}(i, 0) = i$ .
- (9) For every programmed finite partial state  $P$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(P, 0)) = P$ .
- (10) For all finite partial states  $P, Q$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$  holds  $\text{ProgramPart}(P) \subseteq \text{ProgramPart}(Q)$ .
- (11) For all programmed finite partial states  $P, Q$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every natural number  $k$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$  holds  $\text{Shift}(P, k) \subseteq \text{Shift}(Q, k)$ .

- (12) For all finite partial states  $P, Q$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every natural number  $k$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$  holds  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(P, k)) \subseteq \text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(Q, k))$ .
- (13) Let  $I, J$  be macro instructions and  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose  $\text{card } I \leq k$  and  $k < \text{card } I + \text{card } J$ . Let  $i$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . If  $i = J(\text{insloc}(k -' \text{card } I))$ , then  $(I; J)(\text{insloc}(k)) = \text{IncAddr}(i, \text{card } I)$ .
- (14) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $\mathbf{IC}_s = \text{insloc}(0)$  holds  $\text{Initialize}(s) = s$ .
- (15) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Initialize}(\text{Initialize}(s)) = \text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (16) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $s + \cdot (\text{Initialized}(I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))) = \text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$ .
- (17) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{IExec}(I, s) = \text{IExec}(I, \text{Initialize}(s))$ .
- (18) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  such that  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  holds  $s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))) = s + \cdot \text{Initialized}(I)$ .
- (19) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq \text{Initialized}(I)$ .
- (20) For every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $l \in \text{dom } I$  iff  $l \in \text{dom } \text{Initialized}(I)$ .
- (21) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is closed on  $s$  iff  $I$  is closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (22) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is halting on  $s$  iff  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (23) For every macro instruction  $I$  such that for every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is halting.
- (24) For every macro instruction  $I$  such that for every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is halting on  $s$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is halting.
- (25) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Initialized}(I)) = I$ .
- (26) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $l$  be an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $x$  be a set. If  $x \in \text{dom } I$ , then  $I(x) = (s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(l)))(x)$ .
- (27) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  holds  $\text{Initialize}(s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (28) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be an integer location, and  $l$  be an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Then  $(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(l)))(a) = s(a)$ .

- (29) For every programmed finite partial state  $I$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \in \text{dom}(I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(l))$ .
- (30) For every programmed finite partial state  $I$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $(I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(l))(\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) = l$ .
- (31) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $P$  be a finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $l$  be an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{s+(P+\cdot \text{Start-At}(l))} = l$ .
- (32) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every instruction  $i$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $\text{InsCode}(i) \in \{0, 6, 7, 8\}$  holds  $\text{Exec}(i, s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (33) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose that
- (i)  $s_1(\text{intloc}(0)) = s_2(\text{intloc}(0))$ ,
  - (ii) for every read-write integer location  $a$  holds  $s_1(a) = s_2(a)$ , and
  - (iii) for every finite sequence location  $f$  holds  $s_1(f) = s_2(f)$ .
- Then  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (34) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every programmed finite partial state  $P$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $(s+\cdot P) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (35) For all states  $s, s_3$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $(s+\cdot s_3 \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (36) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Initialize}(s) \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}} = s \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (37) Let  $s, s_3$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Then  $(s_3+\cdot s \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_3 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (38) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{IExec}(\text{Stop}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s) = \text{Initialize}(s)+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))$ .
- (39) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  such that  $I$  is closed on  $s$  holds  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } I$ .
- (40) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every paraclosed macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } I$ .
- (41) For every instruction  $i$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{rng Macro}(i) = \{i, \mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}\}$ .
- (42) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. Suppose  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(I, n)) \subseteq s_2$  and  $\mathbf{IC}_{(s_2)} = \text{insloc}(n)$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Let  $i$  be a natural number. Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)} + n = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)}$  and

- $\text{IncAddr}(\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)), n) =$   
 $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_2))(i))$  and  
 $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) =$   
 $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}).$
- (43) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_2$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Let  $i$  be a natural number. Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)}$  and  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_2))(i))$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (44) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_2$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Then  $\text{LifeSpan}(s_1) = \text{LifeSpan}(s_2)$ .
- (45) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose that
- (i)  $s_1(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ ,
  - (ii)  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$ ,
  - (iii) for every read-write integer location  $a$  holds  $s_1(a) = s_2(a)$ , and
  - (iv) for every finite sequence location  $f$  holds  $s_1(f) = s_2(f)$ .
- Then  $\text{IExec}(I, s_1) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(I, s_2) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (46) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $s_1(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Then  $\text{IExec}(I, s_1) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(I, s_2) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- Let  $I$  be a macro instruction. Observe that  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is initial.
- One can prove the following propositions:
- (47) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Then  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  if and only if  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (48) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  such that  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  holds  $\text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s, \text{Initialized}(I)) = \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s), I)$ .
- (49) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  such that  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  holds  $\text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s, \text{Initialized}(I)) = \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s), I)$ .

- (50) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$  and  $\text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s, I) = \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))), I)$ .
- (51) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$  and  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s_1$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. Suppose  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(I, n)) \subseteq s_2$  and  $\mathbf{IC}_{(s_2)} = \text{insloc}(n)$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Then
- (i) for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i < \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s_1, I)$  holds  $\text{IncAddr}(\text{CurInstr}(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i), n) = \text{CurInstr}(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)$ , and
  - (ii) for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \leq \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s_1, I)$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i) + n} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)}$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (52) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . If  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s_1$ , then  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s_2$ .
- (53) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ . Then  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  if and only if  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (54) Let  $a$  be an integer location and  $I, J$  be macro instructions. Then  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } if = 0(a, I, J)$  and  $\text{insloc}(1) \in \text{dom } if = 0(a, I, J)$  and  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } if > 0(a, I, J)$  and  $\text{insloc}(1) \in \text{dom } if > 0(a, I, J)$ .
- (55) Let  $a$  be an integer location and  $I, J$  be macro instructions. Then  $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(0)) = \mathbf{if } a = 0 \mathbf{goto } \text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 3)$  and  $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(1)) = \mathbf{goto } \text{insloc}(2)$  and  $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(0)) = \mathbf{if } a > 0 \mathbf{goto } \text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 3)$  and  $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(1)) = \mathbf{goto } \text{insloc}(2)$ .
- (56) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n < \text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3$ , then  $\text{insloc}(n) \in \text{dom } if = 0(a, I, J)$  and  $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(n)) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (57) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n < \text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3$ , then  $\text{insloc}(n) \in \text{dom } if > 0(a, I, J)$  and  $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(n)) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (58) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then
- (i)  $I; \text{Stop}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$  is closed on  $s$ ,
  - (ii)  $I; \text{Stop}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$  is halting on  $s$ ,

- (iii)  $\text{LifeSpan}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) =$   
 $\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I)),$
- (iv) for every natural number  $n$  such that  
 $n < \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I))$  holds  
 $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(n))} =$   
 $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(n))},$  and
- (v) for every natural number  $n$  such that  
 $n \leq \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I))$  holds  
 $(\text{Computation}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(n)\upharpoonright D =$   
 $(\text{Computation}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(n)\upharpoonright D).$
- (59) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ , then  
 $\text{Result}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))\upharpoonright D =$   
 $(\text{Computation}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$   
 $(\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I))\upharpoonright D).$
- (60) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ , then  
 $\text{IExec}(I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s)\upharpoonright D = (\text{Computation}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$   
 $(\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I))\upharpoonright D).$
- (61) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  
 $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3)) = \mathbf{halt}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}.$
- (62) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  
 $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3)) = \mathbf{halt}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}.$
- (63) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  
 $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 2)) = \text{goto insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3).$
- (64) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  
 $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 2)) = \text{goto insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3).$
- (65) For every macro instruction  $J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $(if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 3)) = \text{goto insloc}(\text{card } J + 5).$
- (66) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(a) = 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $\text{LifeSpan}(s+\cdot(if = 0(a, I, J)+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 1.$
- (67) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) = 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s)\upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s)\upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}).$

- (68) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(a) > 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (if > 0(a, I, J) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot ((I; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 1$ .
- (69) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) > 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(I; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (70) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(a) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (if = 0(a, I, J) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot ((J; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 3$ .
- (71) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(J; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (72) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(a) \leq 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (if > 0(a, I, J) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot ((J; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 3$ .
- (73) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) \leq 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(J; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (74) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$ .
- (75) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$ .
- (76) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $\text{Directed}(I)$  does not destroy  $a$ .



- (77) Let  $i$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $i$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $\text{Macro}(i)$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (78) For every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$  does not refer  $a$ .
- (79) For all integer locations  $a, b, c$  such that  $a \neq b$  holds  $\text{AddTo}(c, b)$  does not refer  $a$ .
- (80) Let  $i$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $i$  does not refer  $a$ , then  $\text{Macro}(i)$  does not refer  $a$ .
- (81) Let  $I, J$  be macro instructions and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $J$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $I;J$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (82) Let  $J$  be a macro instruction,  $i$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $i$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $J$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $i;J$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (83) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $j$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $j$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $I;j$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (84) Let  $i, j$  be instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $i$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $j$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $i;j$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (85) For every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{Stop}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (86) For every integer location  $a$  and for every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Goto}(l)$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (87) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ . Then
- (i) for every read-write integer location  $a$  holds  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) = (\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(a)$ , and
  - (ii) for every finite sequence location  $f$  holds  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(f) = (\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(f)$ .
- (88) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) = (\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(a)$ .
- (89) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be an integer location, and  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  and halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  and  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) = (\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(a)$ .
- (90) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction,  $a$  be an integer location, and  $k$  be a natural number. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ ,

then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) =$   
 $(\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(a).$

- (91) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) = (\text{Initialize}(s))(a).$
- (92) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a keeping 0 macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ . Then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and for every natural number  $k$  holds  $(\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1.$
- (93) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. If  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)} \in \text{dom } I$ , then  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k + 1)(a) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(a).$
- (94) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ . Let  $m$  be a natural number. Suppose that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n < m$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } I$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n \leq m$ , then  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)(a) = s(a).$
- (95) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good macro instruction, and  $m$  be a natural number. Suppose that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n < m$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } I$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n \leq m$ , then  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)(\text{intloc}(0)) = s(\text{intloc}(0)).$
- (96) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a good macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  and closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ . Then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and for every natural number  $k$  holds  $(\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1.$
- (97) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a good macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. Then  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = s(\text{intloc}(0)).$
- (98) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a keeping 0 parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $(\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot ((I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot ((I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(a) = s(a) - 1.$
- (99) For every instruction  $i$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $i$  does not destroy  $\text{intloc}(0)$  holds  $\text{Macro}(i)$  is good.

- (100) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright D = s_2 \upharpoonright D$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. Then
- (i)  $(\text{Computation}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)) = \text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k))$ .
- (101) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright D = s_2 \upharpoonright D$ . Then  $\text{LifeSpan}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$  and  $\text{Result}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$  and  $\text{Result}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (102) Let  $N$  be a non empty set with non empty elements,  $S$  be a steady-programmed von Neumann definite AMI over  $N$ , and  $s$  be a state of  $S$ . Suppose  $s$  is halting. Then there exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $s$  halts at  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)}$ .
- (103) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose that
- (i)  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$ ,
  - (ii)  $I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$ ,
  - (iii)  $I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_2$ , and
  - (iv) there exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(k)$  and  $s_2$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .  
Then  $\text{Result}(s_1)$  and  $\text{Result}(s_2)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .

## 2. THE loop MACROINSTRUCTION

Let  $I$  be a macro instruction and let  $k$  be a natural number. One can verify that  $\text{IncAddr}(I, k)$  is initial and programmed.

Let  $I$  be a macro instruction. The functor  $\text{loop } I$  yields a halt-free macro instruction and is defined by:

(Def. 4)  $\text{loop } I = (\text{id}_{\text{the instructions of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} + \cdot (\mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \mapsto \text{goto insloc}(0))) \cdot I$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (104) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{loop } I = \text{Directed}(I, \text{insloc}(0))$ .
- (105) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $\text{loop } I$  does not destroy  $a$ .

Let  $I$  be a good macro instruction. One can verify that  $\text{loop } I$  is good.

The following propositions are true:

- (106) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{dom } \text{loop } I = \text{dom } I$ .
- (107) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \notin \text{rng } \text{loop } I$ .
- (108) For every macro instruction  $I$  and for every set  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } I$  holds if  $I(x) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ , then  $(\text{loop } I)(x) = I(x)$ .
- (109) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ . Let  $m$  be a natural number. Suppose  $m \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$ . Then  $(\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m)$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s+(\text{loop } I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (110) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ . Let  $m$  be a natural number. If  $m < \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$ , then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m)) = \text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(\text{loop } I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m))$ .
- (111) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ . Let  $m$  be a natural number. If  $m \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$ , then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(\text{loop } I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m)) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (112) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ , then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(\text{loop } I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))) = \text{goto } \text{insloc}(0)$ .
- (113) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a paraclosed macro instruction. Suppose  $I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s$  and  $s$  is halting. Let  $m$  be a natural number. Suppose  $m \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s)$ . Then  $(\text{Computation}(s))(m)$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s+\text{loop } I))(m)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (114) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction. Suppose  $\text{Initialized}(I) \subseteq s$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. If  $k \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s)$ , then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+\text{loop } I))(k)) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .

### 3. THE Times MACROINSTRUCTION

Let  $a$  be an integer location and let  $I$  be a macro instruction. The functor  $\text{Times}(a, I)$  yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

(Def. 5)  $\text{Times}(a, I) = if > 0(a, \text{loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))), \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (115) For every good macro instruction  $I$  and for every read-write integer location  $a$  holds  $if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$  is good.
- (116) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $(if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))))$   
 $(\text{insloc}(\text{card}(I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))) + 3)) = \text{goto}$   
 $\text{insloc}(\text{card}(I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))) + 5)$ .
- (117) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) > 0$ . Then  $\text{loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .
- (118) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(a) > 0$ . Then  $\text{Initialized}(\text{loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))))$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .
- (119) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ . Then  $\text{Times}(a, I)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $\text{Times}(a, I)$  is halting on  $s$ .
- (120) Let  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $\text{Initialized}(\text{Times}(a, I))$  is halting.
- (121) Let  $I, J$  be macro instructions and  $a, c$  be integer locations. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $c$  and  $J$  does not destroy  $c$ . Then  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  does not destroy  $c$  and  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  does not destroy  $c$ .
- (122) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) > 0$ . Then there exists a state  $s_2$  of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and there exists a natural number  $k$  such that
- (i)  $s_2 = s + \cdot (\text{loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$   
 $+ \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$ ,
  - (ii)  $k = \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$   
 $+ \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 1$ ,
  - (iii)  $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)(a) = s(a) - 1$ ,
  - (iv)  $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ ,
  - (v) for every read-write integer location  $b$  such that  $b \neq a$  holds  
 $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)(b) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(b)$ ,

- (vi) for every finite sequence location  $f$  holds  $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)(f) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(f)$ ,
  - (vii)  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)} = \text{insloc}(0)$ , and
  - (viii) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \leq k$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(n)} \in \text{dom loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$ .
- (123) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. If  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) \leq 0$ , then  $\text{IExec}(\text{Times}(a, I), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (124) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(a) > 0$ . Then  $(\text{IExec}(I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)), s))(a) = s(a) - 1$  and  $\text{IExec}(\text{Times}(a, I), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(\text{Times}(a, I), \text{IExec}(I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)), s)) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .

#### 4. AN EXAMPLE

One can prove the following proposition

- (125) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $a, b, c$  be read-write integer locations. If  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$  and  $b \neq c$  and  $s(a) \geq 0$ , then  $(\text{IExec}(\text{Times}(a, \text{Macro}(\text{AddTo}(b, c))), s))(b) = s(b) + s(c) \cdot s(a)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Noriko Asamoto. Some multi instructions defined by sequence of instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):615–619, 1996.
- [2] Noriko Asamoto. Conditional branch macro instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):65–72, 1997.
- [3] Noriko Asamoto. Conditional branch macro instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):73–80, 1997.
- [4] Noriko Asamoto. Constant assignment macro instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):59–63, 1997.
- [5] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):41–47, 1997.
- [6] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part III. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):53–57, 1997.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Development of terminology for  $\mathbf{scm}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):61–67, 1993.

- [11] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):701–709, 1991.
- [16] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [17] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [18] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [19] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Memory handling for  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):29–36, 1997.
- [20] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):1–8, 1996.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Modifying addresses of instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):571–576, 1996.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Relocability for  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):583–586, 1996.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Noriko Asamoto. On the compositions of macro instructions. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):21–27, 1997.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):519–528, 1996.
- [28] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [29] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [30] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Received October 29, 1997*

---