

# On the Order on a Special Polygon

Andrzej Trybulec  
University of Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** The goal of the article is to determine the order of the special points defined in [10] on a special polygon. We restrict ourselves to the clockwise oriented finite sequences (the concept defined in this article) that start in N-min  $C$  ( $C$  being a compact non empty subset of the plane).

MML Identifier: SPRECT-2.

The papers [28], [33], [27], [7], [15], [29], [34], [1], [5], [6], [3], [32], [8], [30], [16], [17], [2], [25], [4], [19], [18], [26], [11], [12], [13], [14], [21], [20], [22], [9], [24], [23], [10], and [31] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For all sets  $A, B, C, p$  such that  $A \cap B \subseteq \{p\}$  and  $p \in C$  and  $C$  misses  $B$  holds  $A \cup C$  misses  $B$ .
- (2) For all sets  $A, B, C, p$  such that  $A \cap C = \{p\}$  and  $p \in B$  and  $B \subseteq C$  holds  $A \cap B = \{p\}$ .
- (3) For all sets  $A, B$  such that for every set  $y$  such that  $y \in B$  holds  $A$  misses  $y$  holds  $A$  misses  $\bigcup B$ .
- (4) For all sets  $A, B$  such that for all sets  $x, y$  such that  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  holds  $x$  misses  $y$  holds  $\bigcup A$  misses  $\bigcup B$ .

## 2. ON THE FINITE SEQUENCES

We adopt the following convention:  $i, j, k, m, n$  denote natural numbers,  $D$  denotes a non empty set, and  $f$  denotes a finite sequence of elements of  $D$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (5) For all  $i, j, k$  such that  $i \leq j$  and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $k \in \text{dom mid}(f, i, j)$  holds  $(k+i) -' 1 \in \text{dom } f$ .
- (6) For all  $i, j, k$  such that  $i > j$  and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $k \in \text{dom mid}(f, i, j)$  holds  $i -' k + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ .
- (7) For all  $i, j, k$  such that  $i \leq j$  and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $k \in \text{dom mid}(f, i, j)$  holds  $\pi_k \text{mid}(f, i, j) = \pi_{(k+i)-'1} f$ .
- (8) For all  $i, j, k$  such that  $i > j$  and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $k \in \text{dom mid}(f, i, j)$  holds  $\pi_k \text{mid}(f, i, j) = \pi_{i-'k+1} f$ .
- (9) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\text{len mid}(f, i, j) \geq 1$ .
- (10) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{len mid}(f, i, j) = 1$ , then  $i = j$ .
- (11) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is non empty.
- (12) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\pi_1 \text{mid}(f, i, j) = \pi_i f$ .
- (13) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\pi_{\text{len mid}(f, i, j)} \text{mid}(f, i, j) = \pi_j f$ .

## 3. COMPACT SUBSETS OF THE PLANE

In the sequel  $X$  denotes a non empty compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$ .

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (14) For every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  such that  $p \in X$  and  $p_{\mathbf{2}} = \text{N-bound } X$  holds  $p \in \text{N-most } X$ .
- (15) For every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  such that  $p \in X$  and  $p_{\mathbf{2}} = \text{S-bound } X$  holds  $p \in \text{S-most } X$ .
- (16) For every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  such that  $p \in X$  and  $p_{\mathbf{1}} = \text{W-bound } X$  holds  $p \in \text{W-most } X$ .
- (17) For every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  such that  $p \in X$  and  $p_{\mathbf{1}} = \text{E-bound } X$  holds  $p \in \text{E-most } X$ .

4. FINITE SEQUENCES ON THE PLANE

We now state several propositions:

- (18) For every finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j \leq \text{len } f$  holds  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{L}(f, k) : i \leq k \wedge k < j\}$ .
- (19) For every finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{dom } \mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f) = \text{dom } f$ .
- (20) For every finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{dom } \mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f) = \text{dom } f$ .
- (21) For all points  $a, b, c$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $b \in \mathcal{L}(a, c)$  and  $a_1 \leq b_1$  and  $c_1 \leq b_1$  holds  $a = b$  or  $b = c$  or  $a_1 = b_1$  and  $c_1 = b_1$ .
- (22) For all points  $a, b, c$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $b \in \mathcal{L}(a, c)$  and  $a_2 \leq b_2$  and  $c_2 \leq b_2$  holds  $a = b$  or  $b = c$  or  $a_2 = b_2$  and  $c_2 = b_2$ .
- (23) For all points  $a, b, c$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $b \in \mathcal{L}(a, c)$  and  $a_1 \geq b_1$  and  $c_1 \geq b_1$  holds  $a = b$  or  $b = c$  or  $a_1 = b_1$  and  $c_1 = b_1$ .
- (24) For all points  $a, b, c$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $b \in \mathcal{L}(a, c)$  and  $a_2 \geq b_2$  and  $c_2 \geq b_2$  holds  $a = b$  or  $b = c$  or  $a_2 = b_2$  and  $c_2 = b_2$ .

5. THE AREA OF A SEQUENCE

Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $g$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . We say that  $g$  is in the area of  $f$  if and only if:

- (Def. 1) For every  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } g$  holds  $\text{W-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \leq (\pi_n g)_1$  and  $(\pi_n g)_1 \leq \text{E-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{S-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \leq (\pi_n g)_2$  and  $(\pi_n g)_2 \leq \text{N-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (25) Every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (26) Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $g$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $g$  is in the area of  $f$ . Let given  $i, j$ . If  $i \in \text{dom } g$  and  $j \in \text{dom } g$ , then  $\text{mid}(g, i, j)$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (27) Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and given  $i, j$ . If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (28) Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $g, h$  be finite sequences of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $g$  is in the area of  $f$  and  $h$  is in the area of  $f$ . Then  $g \wedge h$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (29) For every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\langle \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is in the area of  $f$ .

- (30) For every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\langle \text{NW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (31) For every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\langle \text{SE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (32) For every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\langle \text{SW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is in the area of  $f$ .

## 6. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL CONNECTIONS

Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $g$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . We say that  $g$  is a h.c. for  $f$  if and only if:

- (Def. 2)  $g$  is in the area of  $f$  and  $(\pi_1 g)_1 = \text{W-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $(\pi_{\text{len } g} g)_1 = \text{E-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

We say that  $g$  is a v.c. for  $f$  if and only if:

- (Def. 3)  $g$  is in the area of  $f$  and  $(\pi_1 g)_2 = \text{S-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $(\pi_{\text{len } g} g)_2 = \text{N-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (33) Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $g, h$  be S-sequences in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $g$  is a h.c. for  $f$  and  $h$  is a v.c. for  $f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$  meets  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$ .

## 7. ORIENTATION

Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . We say that  $f$  is clockwise oriented if and only if:

- (Def. 4)  $\pi_2 f_{\odot}^{\text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)} \in \text{N-most } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

The following proposition is true

- (34) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence. If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $f$  is clockwise oriented iff  $\pi_2 f \in \text{N-most } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

Let us note that  $\square_{\mathcal{E}^2}$  is compact.

We now state several propositions:

- (35)  $\text{N-bound } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = 1$ .
- (36)  $\text{W-bound } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = 0$ .
- (37)  $\text{E-bound } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = 1$ .
- (38)  $\text{S-bound } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = 0$ .
- (39)  $\text{N-most } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = \mathcal{L}([0, 1], [1, 1])$ .

(40)  $N\text{-min } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = [0, 1]$ .

Let  $X$  be a non vertical non horizontal non empty compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$ . One can verify that  $\text{SpStSeq } X$  is clockwise oriented.

One can verify that there exists a non constant standard special circular sequence which is clockwise oriented.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (41) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence and given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i > j$  but  $1 < j$  and  $i \leq \text{len } f$  or  $1 \leq j$  and  $i < \text{len } f$ . Then  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (42) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence and given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i < j$  but  $1 < i$  and  $j \leq \text{len } f$  or  $1 \leq i$  and  $j < \text{len } f$ . Then  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

In the sequel  $f$  is a clockwise oriented non constant standard special circular sequence.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (43)  $N\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (44)  $N\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (45)  $S\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (46)  $S\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (47)  $W\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (48)  $W\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (49)  $E\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (50)  $E\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (51) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j < m$  and  $m \leq n$  and  $n \leq \text{len } f$  and  $1 < i$  or  $n < \text{len } f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, i, j))$  misses  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, m, n))$ .
- (52) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j < m$  and  $m \leq n$  and  $n \leq \text{len } f$  and  $1 < i$  or  $n < \text{len } f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, i, j))$  misses  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, n, m))$ .
- (53) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j < m$  and  $m \leq n$  and  $n \leq \text{len } f$  and  $1 < i$  or  $n < \text{len } f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, j, i))$  misses  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, n, m))$ .
- (54) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j < m$  and  $m \leq n$  and  $n \leq \text{len } f$  and  $1 < i$  or  $n < \text{len } f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, j, i))$  misses  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, m, n))$ .
- (55)  $(N\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_1 < (N\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_1$ .
- (56)  $N\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq N\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (57)  $(E\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_2 < (E\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_2$ .
- (58)  $E\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq E\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (59)  $(S\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_1 < (S\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_1$ .
- (60)  $S\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq S\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (61)  $(W\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_2 < (W\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_2$ .

- (62)  $W\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq W\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (63)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))$  misses  $\mathcal{L}(\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))$ .
- (64) Let  $f$  be a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $p \neq \pi_1 f$  but  $p_1 = (\pi_1 f)_1$  or  $p_2 = (\pi_1 f)_2$  but  $\mathcal{L}(p, \pi_1 f) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \{\pi_1 f\}$ . Then  $\langle p \rangle \cap f$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (65) Let  $f$  be a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $p \neq \pi_{\text{len } f} f$  but  $p_1 = (\pi_{\text{len } f} f)_1$  or  $p_2 = (\pi_{\text{len } f} f)_2$  but  $\mathcal{L}(p, \pi_{\text{len } f} f) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \{\pi_{\text{len } f} f\}$ . Then  $f \cap \langle p \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 8. APPENDING CORNERS

We now state several propositions:

- (66) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_j f = \text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) \cap \langle \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (67) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_j f = \text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) \cap \langle \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (68) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_j f = \text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{SE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) \cap \langle \text{SE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (69) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_j f = \text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) \cap \langle \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (70) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_i f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{NW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $\langle \text{NW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle \cap \text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (71) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_i f = \text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{SW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $\langle \text{SW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle \cap \text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence. One can check that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  is simple closed curve.

## 9. THE ORDER

We now state a number of propositions:

- (72) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (73) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f > 1$ .
- (74) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (75) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{E-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (76) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{E-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{E-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (77) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{S-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (78) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{S-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{S-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (79) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{W-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{W-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (80) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < \text{len } f$ .
- (81) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{W-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < \text{len } f$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):241–245, 1996.
- [9] Czesław Byliński and Yatsuka Nakamura. Special polygons. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):247–252, 1996.
- [10] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Simple closed curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):663–664, 1991.
- [15] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [16] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):475–480, 1991.

- [17] Katarzyna Jankowska. Transpose matrices and groups of permutations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):711–717, 1991.
- [18] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [19] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):471–475, 1990.
- [20] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Go-board theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):125–129, 1992.
- [21] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):107–115, 1992.
- [22] Yatsuka Nakamura and Czesław Byliński. Extremal properties of vertices on special polygons, part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):97–102, 1996.
- [23] Yatsuka Nakamura and Roman Matuszewski. Reconstructions of special sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):255–263, 1997.
- [24] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-board into cells. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):323–328, 1996.
- [25] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [26] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. On the decomposition of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):317–322, 1996.
- [31] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the rectangular finite sequences of the points of the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):531–539, 1997.
- [32] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [33] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [34] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Received November 30, 1997*

---