Another times Macro Instruction

Piotr Rudnicki¹ University of Alberta Edmonton

Summary. The semantics of the times macro is given in [2] only for the case when the body of the macro is parahalting. We remedy this by defining a new times macro instruction in terms of while (see [9, 13]). The semantics of the new times macro is given in a way analogous to the semantics of while macros. The new times uses an anonymous variable to control the number of its executions. We present two examples: a trivial one and a remake of the macro for the Fibonacci sequence (see [12]).

MML Identifier: SFMASTR2.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [11], [16], [21], [6], [8], [19], [5], [7], [10], [22], [3], [4], [1], [18], [17], [12], [14], [20], and [15].

1. \mathbf{SCM}_{FSA} Preliminaries

For simplicity, we follow the rules: s, s_1, s_2 denote states of **SCM**_{FSA}, a, b denote integer locations, d denotes a read-write integer location, f denotes a finite sequence location, I denotes a macro instruction, J denotes a good macro instruction, and k denotes a natural number.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) If I is closed on Initialize(s) and halting on Initialize(s) and $b \notin \text{UsedIntLoc}(I)$, then (IExec(I, s))(b) = (Initialize(s))(b).
- (2) If I is closed on Initialize(s) and halting on Initialize(s) and $f \notin \text{UsedInt}^* \text{Loc}(I)$, then (IExec(I, s))(f) = (Initialize(s))(f).

C 1998 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

¹This work was partially supported by NSERC Grant OGP9207 and NATO CRG 951368.

PIOTR RUDNICKI

- (3) Suppose I is closed on Initialize(s), halting on Initialize(s), and parahalting but s(intloc(0)) = 1 or a is read-write but $a \notin UsedIntLoc(I)$. Then (IExec(I,s))(a) = s(a).
- (4) If s(intloc(0)) = 1, then I is closed on s iff I is closed on Initialize(s).
- (5) If s(intloc(0)) = 1, then I is closed on s and halting on s iff I is closed on Initialize(s) and halting on Initialize(s).
- (6) Let I_1 be a subset of Int-Locations and F_1 be a subset of FinSeq-Locations. Then $s_1 \upharpoonright (I_1 \cup F_1) = s_2 \upharpoonright (I_1 \cup F_1)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
- (i) for every integer location x such that $x \in I_1$ holds $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$, and
- (ii) for every finite sequence location x such that $x \in F_1$ holds $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$.
- (7) Let I_1 be a subset of Int-Locations. Then $s_1 \upharpoonright (I_1 \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (I_1 \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
- (i) for every integer location x such that $x \in I_1$ holds $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$, and
- (ii) for every finite sequence location x holds $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$.

2. ANOTHER times MACRO INSTRUCTION

Let a be an integer location and let I be a macro instruction. The functor times(a, I) yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

(Def. 1) times $(a, I) = (a_1:=a)$; (while $a_1 > 0$ do $(I; \text{SubFrom}(a_1, \text{intloc}(0)))$), where $a_1 = 1^{\text{st}} - \text{RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(I))$.

We introduce a times I as a synonym of times(a, I).

Next we state two propositions:

- (8) $\{b\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(I) \subseteq \text{UsedIntLoc}(\text{times}(b, I)).$
- (9) UsedInt* Loc(times(b, I)) = UsedInt* Loc(I).

Let I be a good macro instruction and let a be an integer location. Observe that times(a, I) is good.

Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, let I be a macro instruction, and let a be an integer location. The functor StepTimes(a, I, s) yields a function from \mathbb{N} into \prod (the object kind of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$) and is defined by:

(Def. 2) StepTimes $(a, I, s) = StepWhile > \theta(a_1, I; SubFrom(a_1, intloc(0))),$

 $\operatorname{Exec}(a_1:=a,\operatorname{Initialize}(s))),$

where $a_1 = 1^{\text{st}}$ -RWNotIn($\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(I)$).

Next we state several propositions:

(10) (StepTimes(a, J, s))(0)(intloc(0)) = 1.

100

- (11) If $s(\operatorname{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write, then $(\operatorname{StepTimes}(a, J, s))$ (0) $(1^{\operatorname{st}}$ -RWNotIn $(\{a\} \cup \operatorname{UsedIntLoc}(J))) = s(a)$.
- (12) Suppose (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)(intloc(0)) = 1 and J is closed on (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k) and halting on (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k). Then (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k + 1)(intloc(0)) = 1 and if (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)(1st-RWNotIn $(\{a\} \cup UsedIntLoc(J))$) > 0, then (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k+1)(1st-RWNotIn $(\{a\} \cup UsedIntLoc(J))$) = (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)(1st-RWNotIn $(\{a\} \cup UsedIntLoc(J))$) = 1.
- (13) If s(intloc(0)) = 1 or a is read-write, then (StepTimes(a, I, s))(0)(a) = s(a).
- (14) (StepTimes(a, I, s))(0)(f) = s(f).

Let s be a state of \mathbf{SCM}_{FSA} , let a be an integer location, and let I be a macro instruction. We say that ProperTimesBody a, I, s if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every natural number k such that k < s(a) holds I is closed on (StepTimes(a, I, s))(k) and halting on (StepTimes(a, I, s))(k).

One can prove the following propositions:

- (15) If I is parahalting, then ProperTimesBody a, I, s.
- (16) If ProperTimesBody a, J, s, then for every k such that $k \leq s(a)$ holds (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)(intloc(0)) = 1.
- (17) Suppose s(intloc(0)) = 1 or a is read-write but ProperTimesBody a, J, s. Let given k. If $k \leq s(a)$, then $(StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)(1^{st}-RWNotIn(\{a\} \cup UsedIntLoc(J))) + k = s(a)$.
- (18) Suppose ProperTimesBody a, J, s but $0 \le s(a)$ but $s(\operatorname{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write. Let given k. If $k \ge s(a)$, then $(\operatorname{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)$ $(1^{\operatorname{st}}-\operatorname{RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \operatorname{UsedIntLoc}(J))) = 0$ and $(\operatorname{StepTimes}(a, J, s))$ $(k)(\operatorname{intloc}(0)) = 1.$
- (19) If $s(\operatorname{intloc}(0)) = 1$, then $(\operatorname{StepTimes}(a, I, s))(0) \upharpoonright (\operatorname{UsedIntLoc}(I) \cup \operatorname{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\operatorname{UsedIntLoc}(I) \cup \operatorname{FinSeq-Locations}).$
- (20) Suppose (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)(intloc(0)) = 1 and J is halting on Initialize((StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)) and closed on Initialize((StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)) and (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)(1st-RWNotIn($\{a\} \cup$ UsedIntLoc(J))) > 0. Then (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k + 1) (UsedIntLoc $(J) \cup$ FinSeq-Locations) = IExec(J, (StepTimes(a, J, s))(k)) (UsedIntLoc $(J) \cup$ FinSeq-Locations).
- (21) Suppose ProperTimesBody a, J, s or J is parahalting but k < s(a) but s(intloc(0)) = 1 or a is read-write. Then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k + 1) \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(J) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(J, (\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)) \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(J) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}).$
- (22) If $s(a) \leq 0$ and $s(\operatorname{intloc}(0)) = 1$, then $\operatorname{IExec}(\operatorname{times}(a, I), s) \upharpoonright (\operatorname{UsedIntLoc}(I) \cup \operatorname{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\operatorname{UsedIntLoc}(I) \cup \operatorname{FinSeq-Locations}).$

PIOTR RUDNICKI

- (23) Suppose s(a) = k but ProperTimesBody a, J, s or J is parahalting but $s(\operatorname{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write. Then $\operatorname{IExec}(\operatorname{times}(a, J), s) \upharpoonright D = (\operatorname{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k) \upharpoonright D$, where $D = \operatorname{Int-Locations} \cup \operatorname{FinSeq-Locations}$.
- (24) If s(intloc(0)) = 1 and if ProperTimesBody a, J, s or J is parahalting, then times(a, J) is closed on s and times(a, J) is halting on s.

3. A TRIVIAL EXAMPLE

Let d be a read-write integer location. The functor triv-times(d) yields a macro instruction and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) triv-times(d) =times(d, (**while** d = 0 **do** Macro(d:=d));SubFrom(d, intloc(0))).

One can prove the following propositions:

- (25) If $s(d) \leq 0$, then (IExec(triv-times(d), s))(d) = s(d).
- (26) If $0 \leq s(d)$, then (IExec(triv-times(d), s))(d) = 0.

4. A MACRO FOR THE FIBONACCI SEQUENCE

Let N, r_1 be integer locations. The functor Fib-macro (N, r_1) yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\text{Def. 5}) & \text{Fib-macro}(N,r_1) = & \\ & (N_1{:}{=}N); \\ & \text{SubFrom}(r_1,r_1); \\ & (n_1{:}{=}\operatorname{intloc}(0)); \\ & \text{times}(N,\operatorname{AddTo}(r_1,n_1);\operatorname{swap}(r_1,n_1)); \\ & (N{:}{=}N_1), \\ & \text{where } N_1 = 1^{\text{st}}{-}\operatorname{NotUsed}(\operatorname{times}(N,\operatorname{AddTo}(r_1,n_1);\operatorname{swap}(r_1,n_1))) \text{ and } n_1 = \\ & 1^{\text{st}}{-}\operatorname{RWNotIn}(\{N,r_1\}). \end{array}$

One can prove the following proposition

(27) Let N, r_1 be read-write integer locations. Suppose $N \neq r_1$. Let n be a natural number. If n = s(N), then $(\text{IExec}(\text{Fib-macro}(N, r_1), s))(r_1) = \text{Fib}(n)$ and $(\text{IExec}(\text{Fib-macro}(N, r_1), s))(N) = s(N)$.

102

References

- Noriko Asamoto. Constant assignment macro instructions of SCM_{FSA}. Part II. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):59–63, 1997.
- [2] Noriko Asamoto. The loop and Times macroinstruction for SCM_{FSA}. Formalized Mathematics, 6(4):483–497, 1997.
- [3] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part II. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):41–47, 1997.
- [4] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part III. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):53–57, 1997.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Two programs for scm. Part I preliminaries. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):69–72, 1993.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [9] Jing-Chao Chen. While macro instructions of SCM_{FSA}. Formalized Mathematics, 6(4):553–561, 1997.
- [10] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [11] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [12] Piotr Rudnicki. On the composition of non-parahalting macro instructions. Formalized Mathematics, 7(1):87–92, 1998.
- [13] Piotr Rudnicki. The while macro instructions of SCM_{FSA}. Part II. Formalized Mathematics, 7(1):93-100, 1998.
- [14] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Memory handling for SCM_{FSA}. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):29–36, 1997.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Modifying addresses of instructions of SCM_{FSA}. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):571–576, 1996.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The SCM_{FSA} computer. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):519–528, 1996.
- [19] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received June 4, 1998