

Another times Macro Instruction

Piotr Rudnicki¹
University of Alberta
Edmonton

Summary. The semantics of the `times` macro is given in [2] only for the case when the body of the macro is parahalting. We remedy this by defining a new `times` macro instruction in terms of `while` (see [9, 13]). The semantics of the new `times` macro is given in a way analogous to the semantics of `while` macros. The new `times` uses an anonymous variable to control the number of its executions. We present two examples: a trivial one and a remake of the macro for the Fibonacci sequence (see [12]).

MML Identifier: SFMASTR2.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [11], [16], [21], [6], [8], [19], [5], [7], [10], [22], [3], [4], [1], [18], [17], [12], [14], [20], and [15].

1. $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ PRELIMINARIES

For simplicity, we follow the rules: s, s_1, s_2 denote states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, a, b denote integer locations, d denotes a read-write integer location, f denotes a finite sequence location, I denotes a macro instruction, J denotes a good macro instruction, and k denotes a natural number.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) If I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and $b \notin \text{UsedIntLoc}(I)$, then $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(b) = (\text{Initialize}(s))(b)$.
- (2) If I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and $f \notin \text{UsedInt}^* \text{Loc}(I)$, then $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(f) = (\text{Initialize}(s))(f)$.

¹This work was partially supported by NSERC Grant OGP9207 and NATO CRG 951368.

- (3) Suppose I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$, and parahalting but $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write but $a \notin \text{UsedIntLoc}(I)$. Then $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) = s(a)$.
- (4) If $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$, then I is closed on s iff I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$.
- (5) If $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$, then I is closed on s and halting on s iff I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$.
- (6) Let I_1 be a subset of Int-Locations and F_1 be a subset of FinSeq-Locations. Then $s_1 \upharpoonright (I_1 \cup F_1) = s_2 \upharpoonright (I_1 \cup F_1)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) for every integer location x such that $x \in I_1$ holds $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$, and
 - (ii) for every finite sequence location x such that $x \in F_1$ holds $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$.
- (7) Let I_1 be a subset of Int-Locations. Then $s_1 \upharpoonright (I_1 \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (I_1 \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) for every integer location x such that $x \in I_1$ holds $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$, and
 - (ii) for every finite sequence location x holds $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$.

2. ANOTHER `times` MACRO INSTRUCTION

Let a be an integer location and let I be a macro instruction. The functor $\text{times}(a, I)$ yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $\text{times}(a, I) = (a_1 := a); (\mathbf{while} \ a_1 > 0 \ \mathbf{do} \ (I; \text{SubFrom}(a_1, \text{intloc}(0))))$,
 where $a_1 = 1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(I))$.

We introduce a times I as a synonym of $\text{times}(a, I)$.

Next we state two propositions:

- (8) $\{b\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(I) \subseteq \text{UsedIntLoc}(\text{times}(b, I))$.
- (9) $\text{UsedInt}^* \text{Loc}(\text{times}(b, I)) = \text{UsedInt}^* \text{Loc}(I)$.

Let I be a good macro instruction and let a be an integer location. Observe that $\text{times}(a, I)$ is good.

Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, let I be a macro instruction, and let a be an integer location. The functor $\text{StepTimes}(a, I, s)$ yields a function from \mathbb{N} into \prod (the object kind of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$) and is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\text{StepTimes}(a, I, s) = \text{StepWhile} > 0(a_1, I; \text{SubFrom}(a_1, \text{intloc}(0)), \text{Exec}(a_1 := a, \text{Initialize}(s)))$,
 where $a_1 = 1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(I))$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (10) $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(0)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$.

- (11) If $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write, then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(0)(1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(J))) = s(a)$.
- (12) Suppose $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ and J is closed on $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)$ and halting on $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)$. Then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k+1)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ and if $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)(1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(J))) > 0$, then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k+1)(1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(J))) = (\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)(1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(J))) - 1$.
- (13) If $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write, then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, I, s))(0)(a) = s(a)$.
- (14) $(\text{StepTimes}(a, I, s))(0)(f) = s(f)$.

Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, let a be an integer location, and let I be a macro instruction. We say that $\text{ProperTimesBody } a, I, s$ if and only if:

- (Def. 3) For every natural number k such that $k < s(a)$ holds I is closed on $(\text{StepTimes}(a, I, s))(k)$ and halting on $(\text{StepTimes}(a, I, s))(k)$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (15) If I is parahalting, then $\text{ProperTimesBody } a, I, s$.
- (16) If $\text{ProperTimesBody } a, J, s$, then for every k such that $k \leq s(a)$ holds $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$.
- (17) Suppose $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write but $\text{ProperTimesBody } a, J, s$. Let given k . If $k \leq s(a)$, then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)(1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(J))) + k = s(a)$.
- (18) Suppose $\text{ProperTimesBody } a, J, s$ but $0 \leq s(a)$ but $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write. Let given k . If $k \geq s(a)$, then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)(1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(J))) = 0$ and $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$.
- (19) If $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$, then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, I, s))(0) \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(I) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(I) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$.
- (20) Suppose $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ and J is halting on $\text{Initialize}((\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k))$ and closed on $\text{Initialize}((\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k))$ and $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)(1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{a\} \cup \text{UsedIntLoc}(J))) > 0$. Then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k+1) \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(J) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(J, (\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)) \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(J) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$.
- (21) Suppose $\text{ProperTimesBody } a, J, s$ or J is parahalting but $k < s(a)$ but $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write. Then $(\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k+1) \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(J) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(J, (\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k)) \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(J) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$.
- (22) If $s(a) \leq 0$ and $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$, then $\text{IExec}(\text{times}(a, I), s) \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(I) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{UsedIntLoc}(I) \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$.

- (23) Suppose $s(a) = k$ but ProperTimesBody a, J, s or J is parahalting but $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ or a is read-write. Then $\text{IExec}(\text{times}(a, J), s) \upharpoonright D = (\text{StepTimes}(a, J, s))(k) \upharpoonright D$, where $D = \text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}$.
- (24) If $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ and if ProperTimesBody a, J, s or J is parahalting, then $\text{times}(a, J)$ is closed on s and $\text{times}(a, J)$ is halting on s .

3. A TRIVIAL EXAMPLE

Let d be a read-write integer location. The functor $\text{triv-times}(d)$ yields a macro instruction and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 4) $\text{triv-times}(d) =$
 $\text{times}(d, (\mathbf{while} \ d = 0 \ \mathbf{do} \ \text{Macro}(d:=d));$
 $\text{SubFrom}(d, \text{intloc}(0))).$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (25) If $s(d) \leq 0$, then $(\text{IExec}(\text{triv-times}(d), s))(d) = s(d)$.
- (26) If $0 \leq s(d)$, then $(\text{IExec}(\text{triv-times}(d), s))(d) = 0$.

4. A MACRO FOR THE FIBONACCI SEQUENCE

Let N, r_1 be integer locations. The functor $\text{Fib-macro}(N, r_1)$ yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

- (Def. 5) $\text{Fib-macro}(N, r_1) =$
 $(N_1 := N);$
 $\text{SubFrom}(r_1, r_1);$
 $(n_1 := \text{intloc}(0));$
 $\text{times}(N, \text{AddTo}(r_1, n_1); \text{swap}(r_1, n_1));$
 $(N := N_1),$
 where $N_1 = 1^{\text{st}}\text{-NotUsed}(\text{times}(N, \text{AddTo}(r_1, n_1); \text{swap}(r_1, n_1)))$ and $n_1 = 1^{\text{st}}\text{-RWNotIn}(\{N, r_1\})$.

One can prove the following proposition

- (27) Let N, r_1 be read-write integer locations. Suppose $N \neq r_1$. Let n be a natural number. If $n = s(N)$, then $(\text{IExec}(\text{Fib-macro}(N, r_1), s))(r_1) = \text{Fib}(n)$ and $(\text{IExec}(\text{Fib-macro}(N, r_1), s))(N) = s(N)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Noriko Asamoto. Constant assignment macro instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):59–63, 1997.
- [2] Noriko Asamoto. The `loop` and `Times` macroinstruction for $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):483–497, 1997.
- [3] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):41–47, 1997.
- [4] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part III. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):53–57, 1997.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Two programs for `scm`. Part I - preliminaries. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):69–72, 1993.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [9] Jing-Chao Chen. While macro instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):553–561, 1997.
- [10] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [11] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [12] Piotr Rudnicki. On the composition of non-parahalting macro instructions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(1):87–92, 1998.
- [13] Piotr Rudnicki. The `while` macro instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(1):93–100, 1998.
- [14] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Memory handling for $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):29–36, 1997.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Modifying addresses of instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):571–576, 1996.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):519–528, 1996.
- [19] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received June 4, 1998
