

# Injective Spaces<sup>1</sup>

Jarosław Gryko  
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: WAYBEL18.

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [20], [16], [13], [1], [14], [7], [6], [5], [17], [10], [11], [12], [19], [15], [8], [22], [18], [2], [3], [9], [21], and [4].

## 1. PRODUCT TOPOLOGIES

The following propositions are true:

- (1) Let  $x, y, z, Z$  be sets. Then  $Z \subseteq \{x, y, z\}$  if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
  - (i)  $Z = \emptyset$ , or
  - (ii)  $Z = \{x\}$ , or
  - (iii)  $Z = \{y\}$ , or
  - (iv)  $Z = \{z\}$ , or
  - (v)  $Z = \{x, y\}$ , or
  - (vi)  $Z = \{y, z\}$ , or
  - (vii)  $Z = \{x, z\}$ , or
  - (viii)  $Z = \{x, y, z\}$ .
- (2) For every set  $X$  and for all families  $A, B$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $B = A \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  or  $A = B \cup \{\emptyset\}$  holds  $\text{UniCl}(A) = \text{UniCl}(B)$ .
- (3) Let  $T$  be a topological space and  $K$  be a family of subsets of  $T$ . Then  $K$  is a basis of  $T$  if and only if  $K \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is a basis of  $T$ .

Let  $F$  be a binary relation. We say that  $F$  is topological space yielding if and only if:

---

<sup>1</sup>This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

(Def. 1) For every set  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{rng } F$  holds  $x$  is a topological space.

One can verify that every function which is topological space yielding is also 1-sorted yielding.

Let  $I$  be a set. Note that there exists a many sorted set indexed by  $I$  which is topological space yielding.

Let  $I$  be a set. One can check that there exists a many sorted set indexed by  $I$  which is topological space yielding and nonempty.

Let  $J$  be a non empty set, let  $A$  be a topological space yielding many sorted set indexed by  $J$ , and let  $j$  be an element of  $J$ . Then  $A(j)$  is a topological space.

Let  $I$  be a set and let  $J$  be a topological space yielding many sorted set indexed by  $I$ . The product prebasis for  $J$  is a family of subsets of  $\prod$  (the support of  $J$ ) and is defined by the condition (Def. 2).

(Def. 2) Let  $x$  be a subset of  $\prod$  (the support of  $J$ ). Then  $x \in$  the product prebasis for  $J$  if and only if there exists a set  $i$  and there exists a topological space  $T$  and there exists a subset  $V$  of  $T$  such that  $i \in I$  and  $V$  is open and  $T = J(i)$  and  $x = \prod((\text{the support of } J) + \cdot (i, V))$ .

Next we state the proposition

(4) For every set  $X$  and for every family  $A$  of subsets of  $X$  holds  $\langle X, \text{UniCl}(\text{FinMeetCl}(A)) \rangle$  is topological space-like.

Let  $I$  be a set and let  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ . The functor  $\prod J$  yielding a strict topological space is defined by:

(Def. 3) The carrier of  $\prod J = \prod$  (the support of  $J$ ) and the product prebasis for  $J$  is a prebasis of  $\prod J$ .

Let  $I$  be a set and let  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ . One can check that  $\prod J$  is non empty.

Let  $I$  be a non empty set, let  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $I$ . Then  $J(i)$  is a non empty topological space.

Let  $I$  be a set and let  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ . Observe that every element of the carrier of  $\prod J$  is function-like and relation-like.

Let  $I$  be a non empty set, let  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ , let  $x$  be an element of the carrier of  $\prod J$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $I$ . Then  $x(i)$  is an element of  $J(i)$ .

Let  $I$  be a non empty set, let  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $I$ . The functor  $\text{proj}(J, i)$  yielding a map from  $\prod J$  into  $J(i)$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 4)  $\text{proj}(J, i) = \text{proj}(\text{the support of } J, i)$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (5) Let  $I$  be a non empty set,  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ ,  $i$  be an element of  $I$ , and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $J(i)$ . Then  $(\text{proj}(J, i))^{-1}(P) = \prod((\text{the support of } J) + \cdot (i, P))$ .
- (6) Let  $I$  be a non empty set,  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ , and  $i$  be an element of  $I$ . Then  $\text{proj}(J, i)$  is continuous.
- (7) Let  $X$  be a non empty topological space,  $I$  be a non empty set,  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ , and  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $\prod J$ . Then  $f$  is continuous if and only if for every element  $i$  of  $I$  holds  $\text{proj}(J, i) \cdot f$  is continuous.

## 2. INJECTIVE SPACES

Let  $Z$  be a topological structure. We say that  $Z$  is injective if and only if the condition (Def. 5) is satisfied.

- (Def. 5) Let  $X$  be a non empty topological space and  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Z$ . Suppose  $f$  is continuous. Let  $Y$  be a non empty topological space. Suppose  $X$  is a subspace of  $Y$ . Then there exists a map  $g$  from  $Y$  into  $Z$  such that  $g$  is continuous and  $g \upharpoonright \text{the carrier of } X = f$ .

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (8) Let  $I$  be a non empty set and  $J$  be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by  $I$ . If for every element  $i$  of  $I$  holds  $J(i)$  is injective, then  $\prod J$  is injective.
- (9) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space. Suppose  $T$  is injective. Let  $S$  be a non empty subspace of  $T$ . If  $S$  is a retract of  $T$ , then  $S$  is injective.

Let  $X$  be a 1-sorted structure, let  $Y$  be a topological structure, and let  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . The functor  $\text{Im } f$  yielding a subspace of  $Y$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 6)  $\text{Im } f = Y \upharpoonright \text{rng } f$ .

Let  $X$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $Y$  be a non empty topological structure, and let  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Note that  $\text{Im } f$  is non empty.

One can prove the following proposition

- (10) Let  $X$  be a 1-sorted structure,  $Y$  be a topological structure, and  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Then the carrier of  $\text{Im } f = \text{rng } f$ .

Let  $X$  be a 1-sorted structure, let  $Y$  be a non empty topological structure, and let  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . The functor  $f^\circ$  yielding a map from  $X$  into  $\text{Im } f$  is defined by:

- (Def. 7)  $f^\circ = f$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (11) Let  $X, Y$  be non empty topological spaces and  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . If  $f$  is continuous, then  $f^\circ$  is continuous.

Let  $X$  be a 1-sorted structure, let  $Y$  be a non empty topological structure, and let  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . One can verify that  $f^\circ$  is onto.

Let  $X, Y$  be topological structures. We say that  $X$  is a topological retract of  $Y$  if and only if:

- (Def. 8) There exists a map  $f$  from  $Y$  into  $Y$  such that  $f$  is continuous and  $f \cdot f = f$  and  $\text{Im } f$  and  $X$  are homeomorphic.

The following proposition is true

- (12) Let  $T, S$  be non empty topological spaces. Suppose  $T$  is injective. Let  $f$  be a map from  $T$  into  $S$ . If  $f^\circ$  is a homeomorphism, then  $T$  is a topological retract of  $S$ .

The Sierpiński space is a strict topological structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 9).

- (Def. 9)(i) The carrier of the Sierpiński space =  $\{0, 1\}$ , and  
(ii) the topology of the Sierpiński space =  $\{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$ .

Let us note that the Sierpiński space is non empty and topological space-like.

One can check that the Sierpiński space is discernible.

Let us note that the Sierpiński space is injective.

Let  $I$  be a set and let  $S$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure. One can verify that  $I \mapsto S$  is nonempty.

Let  $I$  be a set and let  $T$  be a topological space. One can check that  $I \mapsto T$  is topological space yielding.

Let  $I$  be a set and let  $L$  be a reflexive relational structure. One can check that  $I \mapsto L$  is reflexive-yielding.

Let  $I$  be a non empty set and let  $L$  be a non empty antisymmetric relational structure. Note that  $\prod(I \mapsto L)$  is antisymmetric.

Let  $I$  be a non empty set and let  $L$  be a non empty transitive relational structure. One can check that  $\prod(I \mapsto L)$  is transitive.

The following two propositions are true:

- (13) Let  $T$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $2_{\underline{C}}^1$ . Then the topology of  $T$  = the topology of the Sierpiński space.  
(14) Let  $I$  be a non empty set. Then  $\{\prod((\text{the support of } I \mapsto \text{the Sierpiński space}) + \cdot (i, \{1\})) : i \text{ ranges over elements of } I\}$  is a prebasis of  $\prod(I \mapsto \text{the Sierpiński space})$ .

Let  $I$  be a non empty set and let  $L$  be a complete lattice. One can check that  $\prod(I \mapsto L)$  is complete and has l.u.b.'s.

Let  $I$  be a non empty set and let  $X$  be an algebraic lower-bounded lattice. One can check that  $\prod(I \mapsto X)$  is algebraic.

Next we state several propositions:

- (15) Let  $X$  be a non empty set. Then there exists a map  $f$  from  $2_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}}^X$  into  $\prod(X \mapsto 2_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}}^1)$  such that  $f$  is isomorphic and for every subset  $Y$  of  $X$  holds  $f(Y) = \chi_{Y,X}$ .
- (16) Let  $I$  be a non empty set and  $T$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $\prod(I \mapsto 2_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}}^1)$ . Then the topology of  $T =$  the topology of  $\prod(I \mapsto$  the Sierpiński space).
- (17) Let  $T, S$  be non empty topological spaces. Suppose the carrier of  $T =$  the carrier of  $S$  and the topology of  $T =$  the topology of  $S$  and  $T$  is injective. Then  $S$  is injective.
- (18) For every non empty set  $I$  holds every Scott topological augmentation of  $\prod I \mapsto 2_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}}^1$  is injective.
- (19) Let  $T$  be a  $T_0$ -space. Then there exists a non empty set  $M$  and there exists a map  $f$  from  $T$  into  $\prod(M \mapsto$  the Sierpiński space) such that  $f^\circ$  is a homeomorphism.
- (20) Let  $T$  be a  $T_0$ -space. Suppose  $T$  is injective. Then there exists a non empty set  $M$  such that  $T$  is a topological retract of  $\prod(M \mapsto$  the Sierpiński space).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(1):35–43, 1998.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):245–254, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [9] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):117–121, 1997.
- [10] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):103–108, 1993.
- [11] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):167–172, 1996.
- [12] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [13] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):233–236, 1996.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.

- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):311–319, 1997.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [21] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):123–130, 1997.
- [22] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski.  $T_0$  topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):75–77, 1996.

*Received April 17, 1998*

---