

# Properties of Left and Right Components

Artur Kornilowicz<sup>1</sup>  
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: GOBRD14.

The notation and terminology used here have been introduced in the following papers: [33], [42], [43], [6], [7], [41], [5], [16], [35], [1], [30], [38], [31], [17], [27], [8], [19], [39], [18], [20], [15], [4], [2], [3], [40], [32], [29], [44], [12], [28], [11], [13], [14], [21], [22], [25], [34], [10], [24], [23], [37], [36], [26], and [9].

## 1. COMPONENTS

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules:  $r$  denotes a real number,  $i, j, n$  denote natural numbers,  $f$  denotes a non constant standard special circular sequence,  $g$  denotes a clockwise oriented non constant standard special circular sequence,  $p, q$  denote points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $P, Q, R$  denote subsets of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $C$  denotes a compact non vertical non horizontal subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $G$  denotes a Go-board.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1) Let  $T$  be a topological space,  $A$  be a subset of the carrier of  $T$ , and  $B$  be a subset of  $T$ . If  $B$  is a component of  $A$ , then  $B$  is connected.
- (2) Let  $A$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and  $B$  be a subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $B$  is inside component of  $A$ , then  $B$  is connected.
- (3) Let  $A$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and  $B$  be a subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $B$  is outside component of  $A$ , then  $B$  is connected.
- (4) For every subset  $A$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and for every subset  $B$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  such that  $B$  is a component of  $A^c$  holds  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .
- (5) If  $P$  is outside component of  $Q$  and  $R$  is inside component of  $Q$ , then  $P \cap R = \emptyset$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This paper was written while the author visited Shinshu University, winter 1999.

- (6) Let  $A, B$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $A$  is outside component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $B$  is outside component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $A = B$ .
- (7) Let  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . Suppose  $p = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$  and  $P$  is outside component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then there exists a real number  $r$  such that  $r > 0$  and  $\text{Ball}(p, r)^c \subseteq P$ .

Let  $C$  be a closed subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Observe that  $\text{BDD } C$  is open and  $\text{UBD } C$  is open.

Let  $C$  be a compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Observe that  $\text{UBD } C$  is connected.

## 2. GO-BOARDS

One can prove the following proposition

- (8) For every finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \emptyset$  holds  $2 \leq \text{len } f$ .

Let  $n$  be a natural number and let  $a, b$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . The functor  $\rho(a, b)$  yields a real number and is defined by:

- (Def. 1) There exist points  $p, q$  of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  such that  $p = a$  and  $q = b$  and  $\rho(a, b) = \rho(p, q)$ .

Let us notice that the functor  $\rho(a, b)$  is commutative.

The following propositions are true:

- (9)  $\rho(p, q) = \sqrt{(p_1 - q_1)^2 + (p_2 - q_2)^2}$ .
- (10) For every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  holds  $\rho(p, p) = 0$ .
- (11) For all points  $p, q, r$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  holds  $\rho(p, r) \leq \rho(p, q) + \rho(q, r)$ .
- (12) Let  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  be real numbers and  $a, b$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $x_1 \leq a_1$  and  $a_1 \leq x_2$  and  $y_1 \leq a_2$  and  $a_2 \leq y_2$  and  $x_1 \leq b_1$  and  $b_1 \leq x_2$  and  $y_1 \leq b_2$  and  $b_2 \leq y_2$ . Then  $\rho(a, b) \leq |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$ .
- (13) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i < \text{len } G$  and  $1 \leq j$  and  $j < \text{width } G$ , then  $\text{cell}(G, i, j) = \prod[1 \mapsto [(G_{i,1})_1, (G_{i+1,1})_1], 2 \mapsto [(G_{1,j})_2, (G_{1,j+1})_2]]$ .
- (14) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i < \text{len } G$  and  $1 \leq j$  and  $j < \text{width } G$ , then  $\text{cell}(G, i, j)$  is compact.
- (15) If  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of  $G$  and  $\langle i + n, j \rangle \in$  the indices of  $G$ , then  $\rho(G_{i,j}, G_{i+n,j}) = (G_{i+n,j})_1 - (G_{i,j})_1$ .
- (16) If  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of  $G$  and  $\langle i, j + n \rangle \in$  the indices of  $G$ , then  $\rho(G_{i,j}, G_{i,j+n}) = (G_{i,j+n})_2 - (G_{i,j})_2$ .
- (17)  $3 \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, n) - 1$ .
- (18) Suppose  $i \leq j$ . Let  $a, b$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $2 \leq a$  and  $a \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, i) - 1$  and  $2 \leq b$  and  $b \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, i) - 1$ . Then there exist natural numbers  $c, d$  such that

- $2 \leq c$  and  $c \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, j) - 1$  and  $2 \leq d$  and  $d \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, j) - 1$  and  $\langle c, d \rangle \in$  the indices of  $\text{Gauge}(C, j)$  and  $(\text{Gauge}(C, i))_{a,b} = (\text{Gauge}(C, j))_{c,d}$  and  $c = 2 + 2^{j-i} \cdot (a - '2)$  and  $d = 2 + 2^{j-i} \cdot (b - '2)$ .
- (19) If  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of  $\text{Gauge}(C, n)$  and  $\langle i, j + 1 \rangle \in$  the indices of  $\text{Gauge}(C, n)$ , then  $\rho((\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{i,j}, (\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{i,j+1}) = \frac{\text{N-bound } C - \text{S-bound } C}{2^n}$ .
- (20) If  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of  $\text{Gauge}(C, n)$  and  $\langle i + 1, j \rangle \in$  the indices of  $\text{Gauge}(C, n)$ , then  $\rho((\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{i,j}, (\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{i+1,j}) = \frac{\text{E-bound } C - \text{W-bound } C}{2^n}$ .
- (21) If  $r > 0$ , then there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $\rho((\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{1,1}, (\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{1,2}) < r$  and  $\rho((\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{1,1}, (\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{2,1}) < r$ .

### 3. LEFTCOMP AND RIGHTCOMP

One can prove the following propositions:

- (22) For every subset  $P$  of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$  such that  $P$  is a component of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$  holds  $P = \text{RightComp}(f)$  or  $P = \text{LeftComp}(f)$ .
- (23) Let  $A_1, A_2$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose that
- (i)  $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c = A_1 \cup A_2$ ,
  - (ii)  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ , and
  - (iii) for all subsets  $C_1, C_2$  of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$  such that  $C_1 = A_1$  and  $C_2 = A_2$  holds  $C_1$  is a component of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$  and  $C_2$  is a component of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$ .
- Then  $A_1 = \text{RightComp}(f)$  and  $A_2 = \text{LeftComp}(f)$  or  $A_1 = \text{LeftComp}(f)$  and  $A_2 = \text{RightComp}(f)$ .
- (24)  $\text{LeftComp}(f) \cap \text{RightComp}(f) = \emptyset$ .
- (25)  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \cup \text{RightComp}(f) \cup \text{LeftComp}(f) =$  the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ .
- (26)  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  iff  $p \notin \text{LeftComp}(f)$  and  $p \notin \text{RightComp}(f)$ .
- (27)  $p \in \text{LeftComp}(f)$  iff  $p \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $p \notin \text{RightComp}(f)$ .
- (28)  $p \in \text{RightComp}(f)$  iff  $p \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $p \notin \text{LeftComp}(f)$ .
- (29)  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)} \setminus \text{RightComp}(f)$ .
- (30)  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \overline{\text{LeftComp}(f)} \setminus \text{LeftComp}(f)$ .
- (31)  $\overline{\text{RightComp}(f)} = \text{RightComp}(f) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (32)  $\overline{\text{LeftComp}(f)} = \text{LeftComp}(f) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence. One can verify that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  is Jordan.

The following propositions are true:

- (33) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$  and  $p \in \text{RightComp}(g)$ , then W-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) < p_1$ .
- (34) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$  and  $p \in \text{RightComp}(g)$ , then E-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) > p_1$ .
- (35) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$  and  $p \in \text{RightComp}(g)$ , then N-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) > p_2$ .
- (36) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$  and  $p \in \text{RightComp}(g)$ , then S-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) < p_2$ .
- (37) If  $p \in \text{RightComp}(f)$  and  $q \in \text{LeftComp}(f)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(p, q) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (38)  $\overline{\text{RightComp}(\text{SpStSeq } C)} = \prod [1 \mapsto [\text{W-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } C),$   
E-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } C)], 2 \mapsto [\text{S-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } C),$   
N-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } C)]$ .
- (39)  $(\text{proj1})^\circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \subseteq (\text{proj1})^\circ \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)}$  and if  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $f$  is clockwise oriented, then  $(\text{proj1})^\circ \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)} = (\text{proj1})^\circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (40)  $(\text{proj2})^\circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \subseteq (\text{proj2})^\circ \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)}$  and if  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $f$  is clockwise oriented, then  $(\text{proj2})^\circ \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)} = (\text{proj2})^\circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (41) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{RightComp}(g) \subseteq \overline{\text{RightComp}(\text{SpStSeq } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g))}$ .
- (42) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\overline{\text{RightComp}(g)}$  is compact.
- (43) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{LeftComp}(g)$  is non Bounded.
- (44) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{LeftComp}(g)$  is outside component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ .
- (45) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{RightComp}(g)$  is inside component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ .
- (46) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{UBD } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{LeftComp}(g)$ .
- (47) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{BDD } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{RightComp}(g)$ .
- (48) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$  and  $P$  is outside component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $P = \text{LeftComp}(g)$ .
- (49) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$  and  $P$  is inside component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $P \cap \text{RightComp}(g) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (50) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$  and  $P$  is inside component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $P = \text{BDD } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ .
- (51) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{W-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{W-bound } \text{RightComp}(g)$ .
- (52) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{E-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{E-bound } \text{RightComp}(g)$ .
- (53) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{N-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{N-bound } \text{RightComp}(g)$ .
- (54) If  $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ , then  $\text{S-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{S-bound } \text{RightComp}(g)$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Professor Yatsuka Nakamura for his help in the preparation of the article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.

- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Countable sets and Hessenberg's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):65–69, 1991.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):701–709, 1991.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Gauges. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):25–27, 1999.
- [10] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [15] Alicia de la Cruz. Totally bounded metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):559–562, 1991.
- [16] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [17] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):475–480, 1991.
- [18] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [19] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [20] Jarosław Kotowicz. The limit of a real function at infinity. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):17–28, 1991.
- [21] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):107–115, 1992.
- [22] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):117–121, 1992.
- [23] Yatsuka Nakamura. Graph theoretical properties of arcs in the plane and Fashoda Meet Theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):193–201, 1998.
- [24] Yatsuka Nakamura and Czesław Byliński. Extremal properties of vertices on special polygons. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):97–102, 1996.
- [25] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-board into cells. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):323–328, 1996.
- [26] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):1–13, 1999.
- [27] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [28] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):239–244, 1990.
- [29] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [30] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [31] Konrad Raczkowski and Andrzej Nędzusiak. Real exponents and logarithms. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):213–216, 1991.
- [32] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [33] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [34] Andrzej Trybulec. Left and right component of the complement of a special closed curve. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):465–468, 1996.

- [35] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [36] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the order on a special polygon. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):541–548, 1997.
- [37] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the rectangular finite sequences of the points of the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):531–539, 1997.
- [38] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [39] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [40] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [41] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [42] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [43] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [44] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

*Received May 5, 1999*

---