

Properties of Left and Right Components

Artur Kornilowicz¹
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: GOBRD14.

The notation and terminology used here have been introduced in the following papers: [33], [42], [43], [6], [7], [41], [5], [16], [35], [1], [30], [38], [31], [17], [27], [8], [19], [39], [18], [20], [15], [4], [2], [3], [40], [32], [29], [44], [12], [28], [11], [13], [14], [21], [22], [25], [34], [10], [24], [23], [37], [36], [26], and [9].

1. COMPONENTS

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: r denotes a real number, i, j, n denote natural numbers, f denotes a non constant standard special circular sequence, g denotes a clockwise oriented non constant standard special circular sequence, p, q denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , P, Q, R denote subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , C denotes a compact non vertical non horizontal subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , and G denotes a Go-board.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1) Let T be a topological space, A be a subset of the carrier of T , and B be a subset of T . If B is a component of A , then B is connected.
- (2) Let A be a subset of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^n and B be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n . If B is inside component of A , then B is connected.
- (3) Let A be a subset of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^n and B be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n . If B is outside component of A , then B is connected.
- (4) For every subset A of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^n and for every subset B of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that B is a component of A^c holds $A \cap B = \emptyset$.
- (5) If P is outside component of Q and R is inside component of Q , then $P \cap R = \emptyset$.

¹This paper was written while the author visited Shinshu University, winter 1999.

- (6) Let A, B be subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose A is outside component of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and B is outside component of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$. Then $A = B$.
- (7) Let p be a point of \mathcal{E}^2 . Suppose $p = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ and P is outside component of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$. Then there exists a real number r such that $r > 0$ and $\text{Ball}(p, r)^c \subseteq P$.

Let C be a closed subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Observe that $\text{BDD } C$ is open and $\text{UBD } C$ is open.

Let C be a compact subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Observe that $\text{UBD } C$ is connected.

2. GO-BOARDS

One can prove the following proposition

- (8) For every finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \emptyset$ holds $2 \leq \text{len } f$.

Let n be a natural number and let a, b be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . The functor $\rho(a, b)$ yields a real number and is defined by:

- (Def. 1) There exist points p, q of \mathcal{E}^n such that $p = a$ and $q = b$ and $\rho(a, b) = \rho(p, q)$.

Let us notice that the functor $\rho(a, b)$ is commutative.

The following propositions are true:

- (9) $\rho(p, q) = \sqrt{(p_1 - q_1)^2 + (p_2 - q_2)^2}$.
- (10) For every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds $\rho(p, p) = 0$.
- (11) For all points p, q, r of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds $\rho(p, r) \leq \rho(p, q) + \rho(q, r)$.
- (12) Let x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 be real numbers and a, b be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose $x_1 \leq a_1$ and $a_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq a_2$ and $a_2 \leq y_2$ and $x_1 \leq b_1$ and $b_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq b_2$ and $b_2 \leq y_2$. Then $\rho(a, b) \leq |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$.
- (13) If $1 \leq i$ and $i < \text{len } G$ and $1 \leq j$ and $j < \text{width } G$, then $\text{cell}(G, i, j) = \prod[1 \mapsto [(G_{i,1})_1, (G_{i+1,1})_1], 2 \mapsto [(G_{1,j})_2, (G_{1,j+1})_2]]$.
- (14) If $1 \leq i$ and $i < \text{len } G$ and $1 \leq j$ and $j < \text{width } G$, then $\text{cell}(G, i, j)$ is compact.
- (15) If $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of G and $\langle i + n, j \rangle \in$ the indices of G , then $\rho(G_{i,j}, G_{i+n,j}) = (G_{i+n,j})_1 - (G_{i,j})_1$.
- (16) If $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of G and $\langle i, j + n \rangle \in$ the indices of G , then $\rho(G_{i,j}, G_{i,j+n}) = (G_{i,j+n})_2 - (G_{i,j})_2$.
- (17) $3 \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, n) - 1$.
- (18) Suppose $i \leq j$. Let a, b be natural numbers. Suppose $2 \leq a$ and $a \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, i) - 1$ and $2 \leq b$ and $b \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, i) - 1$. Then there exist natural numbers c, d such that

- $2 \leq c$ and $c \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, j) - 1$ and $2 \leq d$ and $d \leq \text{len Gauge}(C, j) - 1$ and $\langle c, d \rangle \in$ the indices of $\text{Gauge}(C, j)$ and $(\text{Gauge}(C, i))_{a,b} = (\text{Gauge}(C, j))_{c,d}$ and $c = 2 + 2^{j-i} \cdot (a - '2)$ and $d = 2 + 2^{j-i} \cdot (b - '2)$.
- (19) If $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of $\text{Gauge}(C, n)$ and $\langle i, j + 1 \rangle \in$ the indices of $\text{Gauge}(C, n)$, then $\rho((\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{i,j}, (\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{i,j+1}) = \frac{\text{N-bound } C - \text{S-bound } C}{2^n}$.
- (20) If $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of $\text{Gauge}(C, n)$ and $\langle i + 1, j \rangle \in$ the indices of $\text{Gauge}(C, n)$, then $\rho((\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{i,j}, (\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{i+1,j}) = \frac{\text{E-bound } C - \text{W-bound } C}{2^n}$.
- (21) If $r > 0$, then there exists a natural number n such that $\rho((\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{1,1}, (\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{1,2}) < r$ and $\rho((\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{1,1}, (\text{Gauge}(C, n))_{2,1}) < r$.

3. LEFTCOMP AND RIGHTCOMP

One can prove the following propositions:

- (22) For every subset P of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$ such that P is a component of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$ holds $P = \text{RightComp}(f)$ or $P = \text{LeftComp}(f)$.
- (23) Let A_1, A_2 be subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose that
- (i) $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c = A_1 \cup A_2$,
 - (ii) $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$, and
 - (iii) for all subsets C_1, C_2 of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$ such that $C_1 = A_1$ and $C_2 = A_2$ holds C_1 is a component of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$ and C_2 is a component of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))^c$.
- Then $A_1 = \text{RightComp}(f)$ and $A_2 = \text{LeftComp}(f)$ or $A_1 = \text{LeftComp}(f)$ and $A_2 = \text{RightComp}(f)$.
- (24) $\text{LeftComp}(f) \cap \text{RightComp}(f) = \emptyset$.
- (25) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \cup \text{RightComp}(f) \cup \text{LeftComp}(f) =$ the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .
- (26) $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ iff $p \notin \text{LeftComp}(f)$ and $p \notin \text{RightComp}(f)$.
- (27) $p \in \text{LeftComp}(f)$ iff $p \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \notin \text{RightComp}(f)$.
- (28) $p \in \text{RightComp}(f)$ iff $p \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \notin \text{LeftComp}(f)$.
- (29) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)} \setminus \text{RightComp}(f)$.
- (30) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \overline{\text{LeftComp}(f)} \setminus \text{LeftComp}(f)$.
- (31) $\overline{\text{RightComp}(f)} = \text{RightComp}(f) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.
- (32) $\overline{\text{LeftComp}(f)} = \text{LeftComp}(f) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.

Let f be a non constant standard special circular sequence. One can verify that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ is Jordan.

The following propositions are true:

- (33) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ and $p \in \text{RightComp}(g)$, then W-bound $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) < p_1$.
- (34) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ and $p \in \text{RightComp}(g)$, then E-bound $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) > p_1$.
- (35) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ and $p \in \text{RightComp}(g)$, then N-bound $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) > p_2$.
- (36) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ and $p \in \text{RightComp}(g)$, then S-bound $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) < p_2$.
- (37) If $p \in \text{RightComp}(f)$ and $q \in \text{LeftComp}(f)$, then $\mathcal{L}(p, q) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \emptyset$.
- (38) $\overline{\text{RightComp}(\text{SpStSeq } C)} = \prod [1 \mapsto [\text{W-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } C),$
E-bound $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } C)], 2 \mapsto [\text{S-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } C),$
N-bound $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } C)]$.
- (39) $(\text{proj1})^\circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \subseteq (\text{proj1})^\circ \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)}$ and if $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and f is clockwise oriented, then $(\text{proj1})^\circ \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)} = (\text{proj1})^\circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.
- (40) $(\text{proj2})^\circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \subseteq (\text{proj2})^\circ \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)}$ and if $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and f is clockwise oriented, then $(\text{proj2})^\circ \overline{\text{RightComp}(f)} = (\text{proj2})^\circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.
- (41) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{RightComp}(g) \subseteq \overline{\text{RightComp}(\text{SpStSeq } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g))}$.
- (42) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\overline{\text{RightComp}(g)}$ is compact.
- (43) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{LeftComp}(g)$ is non Bounded.
- (44) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{LeftComp}(g)$ is outside component of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$.
- (45) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{RightComp}(g)$ is inside component of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$.
- (46) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{UBD } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{LeftComp}(g)$.
- (47) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{BDD } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{RightComp}(g)$.
- (48) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ and P is outside component of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $P = \text{LeftComp}(g)$.
- (49) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ and P is inside component of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $P \cap \text{RightComp}(g) \neq \emptyset$.
- (50) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ and P is inside component of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $P = \text{BDD } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$.
- (51) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{W-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{W-bound } \text{RightComp}(g)$.
- (52) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{E-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{E-bound } \text{RightComp}(g)$.
- (53) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{N-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{N-bound } \text{RightComp}(g)$.
- (54) If $\pi_1 g = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$, then $\text{S-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \text{S-bound } \text{RightComp}(g)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Professor Yatsuka Nakamura for his help in the preparation of the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.

- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Countable sets and Hessenberg's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):65–69, 1991.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):701–709, 1991.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Gauges. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):25–27, 1999.
- [10] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in \mathcal{E}^2 . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [15] Alicia de la Cruz. Totally bounded metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):559–562, 1991.
- [16] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [17] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):475–480, 1991.
- [18] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [19] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [20] Jarosław Kotowicz. The limit of a real function at infinity. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):17–28, 1991.
- [21] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):107–115, 1992.
- [22] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):117–121, 1992.
- [23] Yatsuka Nakamura. Graph theoretical properties of arcs in the plane and Fashoda Meet Theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):193–201, 1998.
- [24] Yatsuka Nakamura and Czesław Byliński. Extremal properties of vertices on special polygons. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):97–102, 1996.
- [25] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-board into cells. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):323–328, 1996.
- [26] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):1–13, 1999.
- [27] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [28] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):239–244, 1990.
- [29] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [30] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [31] Konrad Raczkowski and Andrzej Nędzusiak. Real exponents and logarithms. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):213–216, 1991.
- [32] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [33] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [34] Andrzej Trybulec. Left and right component of the complement of a special closed curve. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):465–468, 1996.

- [35] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [36] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the order on a special polygon. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):541–548, 1997.
- [37] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the rectangular finite sequences of the points of the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):531–539, 1997.
- [38] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [39] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [40] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [41] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [42] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [43] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [44] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

Received May 5, 1999
