

Scott-Continuous Functions. Part II¹

Adam Grabowski
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: WAYBEL24.

The terminology and notation used here are introduced in the following articles: [13], [5], [1], [16], [6], [14], [11], [18], [17], [12], [15], [7], [3], [4], [10], [2], [8], [19], and [9].

1. PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following proposition

- (1) Let S, T be up-complete Scott top-lattices and M be a subset of $\text{SCMaps}(S, T)$. Then $\bigsqcup_{\text{SCMaps}(S, T)} M$ is a continuous map from S into T .

Let S be a non empty relational structure and let T be a non empty reflexive relational structure. One can check that every map from S into T which is constant is also monotone.

Let S be a non empty relational structure, let T be a reflexive non empty relational structure, and let a be an element of the carrier of T . One can check that $S \mapsto a$ is monotone.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (2) Let S be a non empty relational structure and T be a lower-bounded anti-symmetric reflexive non empty relational structure. Then $\perp_{\text{MonMaps}(S, T)} = S \mapsto \perp_T$.
- (3) Let S be a non empty relational structure and T be an upper-bounded antisymmetric reflexive non empty relational structure. Then $\top_{\text{MonMaps}(S, T)} = S \mapsto \top_T$.

¹This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

- (4) Let S, T be complete lattices, f be a monotone map from S into T , and x be an element of S . Then $f(x) = \sup(f^\circ \downarrow x)$.
- (5) Let S, T be complete lower-bounded lattices, f be a monotone map from S into T , and x be an element of S . Then $f(x) = \bigsqcup_T \{f(w); w \text{ ranges over elements of } S: w \leq x\}$.
- (6) Let S be a relational structure, T be a non empty relational structure, and F be a subset of $T^{\text{the carrier of } S}$. Then $\sup F$ is a map from S into T .

2. ON THE SCOTT CONTINUITY OF MAPS

Let X_1, X_2, Y be non empty relational structures, let f be a map from $[X_1, X_2]$ into Y , and let x be an element of the carrier of X_1 . The functor $\text{Proj}(f, x)$ yields a map from X_2 into Y and is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $\text{Proj}(f, x) = (\text{curry } f)(x)$.

For simplicity, we use the following convention: X_1, X_2, Y denote non empty relational structures, f denotes a map from $[X_1, X_2]$ into Y , x denotes an element of the carrier of X_1 , and y denotes an element of the carrier of X_2 .

We now state the proposition

- (7) For every element y of the carrier of X_2 holds $(\text{Proj}(f, x))(y) = f(\langle x, y \rangle)$.

Let X_1, X_2, Y be non empty relational structures, let f be a map from $[X_1, X_2]$ into Y , and let y be an element of the carrier of X_2 . The functor $\text{Proj}(f, y)$ yielding a map from X_1 into Y is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\text{Proj}(f, y) = (\text{curry}' f)(y)$.

The following propositions are true:

- (8) For every element x of the carrier of X_1 holds $(\text{Proj}(f, y))(x) = f(\langle x, y \rangle)$.
- (9) Let R, S, T be non empty relational structures, f be a map from $[R, S]$ into T , a be an element of R , and b be an element of S . Then $(\text{Proj}(f, a))(b) = (\text{Proj}(f, b))(a)$.

Let S be a non empty relational structure and let T be a non empty reflexive relational structure. Observe that there exists a map from S into T which is antitone.

The following two propositions are true:

- (10) Let R, S, T be non empty reflexive relational structures, f be a map from $[R, S]$ into T , a be an element of the carrier of R , and b be an element of the carrier of S . If f is monotone, then $\text{Proj}(f, a)$ is monotone and $\text{Proj}(f, b)$ is monotone.

- (11) Let R, S, T be non empty reflexive relational structures, f be a map from $[R, S]$ into T , a be an element of the carrier of R , and b be an element of the carrier of S . If f is antitone, then $\text{Proj}(f, a)$ is antitone and $\text{Proj}(f, b)$ is antitone.

Let R, S, T be non empty reflexive relational structures, let f be a monotone map from $[R, S]$ into T , and let a be an element of the carrier of R . Note that $\text{Proj}(f, a)$ is monotone.

Let R, S, T be non empty reflexive relational structures, let f be a monotone map from $[R, S]$ into T , and let b be an element of the carrier of S . Note that $\text{Proj}(f, b)$ is monotone.

Let R, S, T be non empty reflexive relational structures, let f be an antitone map from $[R, S]$ into T , and let a be an element of the carrier of R . Observe that $\text{Proj}(f, a)$ is antitone.

Let R, S, T be non empty reflexive relational structures, let f be an antitone map from $[R, S]$ into T , and let b be an element of the carrier of S . Note that $\text{Proj}(f, b)$ is antitone.

We now state several propositions:

- (12) Let R, S, T be lattices and f be a map from $[R, S]$ into T . Suppose that for every element a of R and for every element b of S holds $\text{Proj}(f, a)$ is monotone and $\text{Proj}(f, b)$ is monotone. Then f is monotone.
- (13) Let R, S, T be lattices and f be a map from $[R, S]$ into T . Suppose that for every element a of R and for every element b of S holds $\text{Proj}(f, a)$ is antitone and $\text{Proj}(f, b)$ is antitone. Then f is antitone.
- (14) Let R, S, T be lattices, f be a map from $[R, S]$ into T , b be an element of S , and X be a subset of R . Then $(\text{Proj}(f, b))^\circ X = f^\circ [X, \{b\}]$.
- (15) Let R, S, T be lattices, f be a map from $[R, S]$ into T , b be an element of R , and X be a subset of S . Then $(\text{Proj}(f, b))^\circ X = f^\circ [\{b\}, X]$.
- (16) Let R, S, T be lattices, f be a map from $[R, S]$ into T , a be an element of R , and b be an element of S . Suppose f is directed-sups-preserving. Then $\text{Proj}(f, a)$ is directed-sups-preserving and $\text{Proj}(f, b)$ is directed-sups-preserving.
- (17) Let R, S, T be lattices, f be a monotone map from $[R, S]$ into T , a be an element of R , b be an element of S , and X be a directed subset of $[R, S]$. If $\sup f^\circ X$ exists in T and $a \in \pi_1(X)$ and $b \in \pi_2(X)$, then $f(\langle a, b \rangle) \leq \sup(f^\circ X)$.
- (18) Let R, S, T be complete lattices and f be a map from $[R, S]$ into T . Suppose that for every element a of R and for every element b of S holds $\text{Proj}(f, a)$ is directed-sups-preserving and $\text{Proj}(f, b)$ is directed-sups-preserving. Then f is directed-sups-preserving.
- (19) Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, T be a non empty relational

structure, and f be a set. Then f is an element of $T^{\text{the carrier of } S}$ if and only if f is a map from S into T .

3. THE POSET OF CONTINUOUS MAPS

Let S be a topological structure and let T be a non empty FR-structure. The functor $[S \rightarrow T]$ yielding a strict relational structure is defined by the conditions (Def. 3).

- (Def. 3)(i) $[S \rightarrow T]$ is a full relational substructure of $T^{\text{the carrier of } S}$, and
(ii) for every set x holds $x \in$ the carrier of $([S \rightarrow T])$ iff there exists a map f from S into T such that $x = f$ and f is continuous.

Let S be a non empty topological space and let T be a non empty topological space-like FR-structure. Observe that $[S \rightarrow T]$ is non empty.

Let S be a non empty topological space and let T be a non empty topological space-like FR-structure. Note that $[S \rightarrow T]$ is constituted functions.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (20) Let S be a non empty topological space, T be a non empty reflexive topological space-like FR-structure, and x, y be elements of $[S \rightarrow T]$. Then $x \leq y$ if and only if for every element i of S holds $\langle x(i), y(i) \rangle \in$ the internal relation of T .
- (21) Let S be a non empty topological space, T be a non empty reflexive topological space-like FR-structure, and x be a set. Then x is a continuous map from S into T if and only if x is an element of $[S \rightarrow T]$.

Let S be a non empty topological space and let T be a non empty reflexive topological space-like FR-structure. Note that $[S \rightarrow T]$ is reflexive.

Let S be a non empty topological space and let T be a non empty transitive topological space-like FR-structure. Note that $[S \rightarrow T]$ is transitive.

Let S be a non empty topological space and let T be a non empty anti-symmetric topological space-like FR-structure. One can check that $[S \rightarrow T]$ is antisymmetric.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let T be a non empty topological space-like FR-structure. One can verify that $T^{\text{the carrier of } S}$ is constituted functions.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (22) Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, T be a complete lattice, f, g, h be maps from S into T , and i be an element of S . If $h = \bigsqcup_{(T^{\text{the carrier of } S})} \{f, g\}$, then $h(i) = \sup\{f(i), g(i)\}$.
- (23) Let I be a non empty set and J be a relational structure yielding nonempty reflexive-yielding many sorted set indexed by I . Suppose that for

every element i of I holds $J(i)$ is a complete lattice. Let X be a subset of $\prod J$ and i be an element of I . Then $(\inf X)(i) = \inf \pi_i X$.

- (24) Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, T be a complete lattice, f, g, h be maps from S into T , and i be an element of S . If $h = \bigsqcap_{(T^{\text{the carrier of } S})} \{f, g\}$, then $h(i) = \inf \{f(i), g(i)\}$.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let T be a lattice. Observe that every element of $T^{\text{the carrier of } S}$ is function-like and relation-like.

Let S, T be top-lattices. One can check that every element of $[S \rightarrow T]$ is function-like and relation-like.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (25) Let S be a non empty relational structure, T be a complete lattice, F be a non empty subset of $T^{\text{the carrier of } S}$, and i be an element of the carrier of S . Then $(\sup F)(i) = \bigsqcup_T \{f(i); f \text{ ranges over elements of } T^{\text{the carrier of } S}; f \in F\}$.
- (26) Let S, T be complete top-lattices, F be a non empty subset of $[S \rightarrow T]$, and i be an element of the carrier of S . Then $(\bigsqcup_{(T^{\text{the carrier of } S})} F)(i) = \bigsqcup_T \{f(i); f \text{ ranges over elements of } T^{\text{the carrier of } S}; f \in F\}$.

In the sequel S denotes a non empty relational structure, T denotes a complete lattice, and i denotes an element of S .

Next we state two propositions:

- (27) Let F be a non empty subset of $T^{\text{the carrier of } S}$ and D be a non empty subset of S . Then $(\sup F)^\circ D = \{\bigsqcup_T \{f(i); f \text{ ranges over elements of } T^{\text{the carrier of } S}; f \in F\}; i \text{ ranges over elements of } S; i \in D\}$.
- (28) Let S, T be complete Scott top-lattices, F be a non empty subset of $[S \rightarrow T]$, and D be a non empty subset of S . Then $(\bigsqcup_{(T^{\text{the carrier of } S})} F)^\circ D = \{\bigsqcup_T \{f(i); f \text{ ranges over elements of } T^{\text{the carrier of } S}; f \in F\}; i \text{ ranges over elements of } S; i \in D\}$.

The scheme *FraenkelF'RSS* deals with a non empty relational structure \mathcal{A} , a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a set, a unary functor \mathcal{G} yielding a set, and states that:

$$\{\mathcal{F}(v_1); v_1 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}[v_1]\} = \{\mathcal{G}(v_2); v_2 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}[v_2]\}$$

provided the following condition is met:

- For every element v of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{P}[v]$ holds $\mathcal{F}(v) = \mathcal{G}(v)$.

The following propositions are true:

- (29) Let S, T be complete Scott top-lattices and F be a non empty subset of $[S \rightarrow T]$. Then $\bigsqcup_{(T^{\text{the carrier of } S})} F$ is a monotone map from S into T .
- (30) Let S, T be complete Scott top-lattices, F be a non empty subset of $[S \rightarrow T]$, and D be a directed non empty subset of S . Then $\bigsqcup_T \{\bigsqcup_T \{g(i); i \text{ ranges over elements of } S; i \in D\}; g \text{ ranges over elements of } T^{\text{the carrier of } S}\}$.

$g \in F\} = \bigsqcup_T \{\bigsqcup_T \{g'(i'); g' \text{ ranges over elements of } T^{\text{the carrier of } S}; g' \in F\}; i' \text{ ranges over elements of } S; i' \in D\}$.

- (31) Let S, T be complete Scott top-lattices, F be a non empty subset of $[S \rightarrow T]$, and D be a directed non empty subset of S . Then $\bigsqcup_T((\bigsqcup_{(T^{\text{the carrier of } S})} F)^\circ D) = (\bigsqcup_{(T^{\text{the carrier of } S})} F)(\text{sup } D)$.
- (32) Let S, T be complete Scott top-lattices and F be a non empty subset of $[S \rightarrow T]$. Then $\bigsqcup_{(T^{\text{the carrier of } S})} F \in \text{the carrier of } ([S \rightarrow T])$.
- (33) Let S be a non empty relational structure and T be a lower-bounded antisymmetric non empty relational structure. Then $\perp_{T^{\text{the carrier of } S}} = S \mapsto \perp_T$.
- (34) Let S be a non empty relational structure and T be an upper-bounded antisymmetric non empty relational structure. Then $\top_{T^{\text{the carrier of } S}} = S \mapsto \top_T$.

Let S be a non empty reflexive relational structure, let T be a complete lattice, and let a be an element of T . Note that $S \mapsto a$ is directed-sup-preserving.

One can prove the following proposition

- (35) Let S, T be complete Scott top-lattices. Then $[S \rightarrow T]$ is a sup-inheriting relational substructure of $T^{\text{the carrier of } S}$.

Let S, T be complete Scott top-lattices. Observe that $[S \rightarrow T]$ is complete.

We now state three propositions:

- (36) For all non empty Scott complete top-lattices S, T holds $\perp_{[S \rightarrow T]} = S \mapsto \perp_T$.
- (37) For all non empty Scott complete top-lattices S, T holds $\top_{[S \rightarrow T]} = S \mapsto \top_T$.
- (38) For all Scott complete top-lattices S, T holds $\text{SCMaps}(S, T) = [S \rightarrow T]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Adam Grabowski. On the category of posets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):501–505, 1996.
- [7] Adam Grabowski. Scott-continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(1):13–18, 1998.
- [8] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):117–121, 1997.
- [9] Artur Korniłowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):145–152, 1997.

- [10] Artur Korniłowicz. On the topological properties of meet-continuous lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):269–277, 1997.
- [11] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):311–319, 1997.
- [16] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
- [17] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [18] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [19] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):123–130, 1997.

Received June 22, 1999
