

Components and Basis of Topological Spaces¹

Robert Milewski
University in Białystok

Summary. This article contains many facts about components and basis of topological spaces.

MML Identifier: YELLOW15.

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers: [21], [15], [1], [14], [6], [7], [19], [9], [8], [17], [2], [22], [18], [13], [12], [20], [16], [23], [11], [4], [5], [10], and [3].

1. PRELIMINARIES

The scheme *SeqLambda1C* deals with a natural number \mathcal{A} , a non empty set \mathcal{B} , a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a set, a unary functor \mathcal{G} yielding a set, and states that:

There exists a finite sequence p of elements of \mathcal{B} such that $\text{len } p = \mathcal{A}$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{Seg } \mathcal{A}$ holds if $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $p(i) = \mathcal{F}(i)$ and if not $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $p(i) = \mathcal{G}(i)$

provided the following requirement is met:

- For every natural number i such that $i \in \text{Seg } \mathcal{A}$ holds if $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{F}(i) \in \mathcal{B}$ and if not $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{G}(i) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let X be a set and let p be a finite sequence of elements of 2^X . Then $\text{rng } p$ is a family of subsets of X .

Let us observe that *Boolean* is finite.

We now state two propositions:

¹This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

- (2)² For every natural number i and for every finite set D holds D^i is finite.
 (3) For every finite set T holds every family of subsets of T is finite.

Let T be a finite set. One can check that every family of subsets of T is finite.

Let T be a finite 1-sorted structure. One can verify that every family of subsets of T is finite.

One can prove the following proposition

- (4) For every infinite set X there exist sets x, y such that $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ and $x \neq y$.

2. COMPONENTS

Let X be a set, let p be a finite sequence of elements of 2^X , and let q be a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*. The functor $\text{MergeSequence}(p, q)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of 2^X is defined as follows:

- (Def. 1) $\text{len MergeSequence}(p, q) = \text{len } p$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } p$ holds $(\text{MergeSequence}(p, q))(i) = (q(i) = \text{true} \rightarrow p(i), X \setminus p(i))$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (5) Let X be a set, p be a finite sequence of elements of 2^X , and q be a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*. Then $\text{dom MergeSequence}(p, q) = \text{dom } p$.
 (6) Let X be a set, p be a finite sequence of elements of 2^X , q be a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*, and i be a natural number. If $q(i) = \text{true}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(p, q))(i) = p(i)$.
 (7) Let X be a set, p be a finite sequence of elements of 2^X , q be a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*, and i be a natural number. If $i \in \text{dom } p$ and $q(i) = \text{false}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(p, q))(i) = X \setminus p(i)$.
 (8) For every set X and for every finite sequence q of elements of *Boolean* holds $\text{len MergeSequence}(\varepsilon_{2^X}, q) = 0$.
 (9) For every set X and for every finite sequence q of elements of *Boolean* holds $\text{MergeSequence}(\varepsilon_{2^X}, q) = \varepsilon_{2^X}$.
 (10) For every set X and for every element x of 2^X and for every finite sequence q of elements of *Boolean* holds $\text{len MergeSequence}(\langle x \rangle, q) = 1$.
 (11) Let X be a set, x be an element of 2^X , and q be a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*. Then
 (i) if $q(1) = \text{true}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x \rangle, q))(1) = x$, and
 (ii) if $q(1) = \text{false}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x \rangle, q))(1) = X \setminus x$.

²The proposition (1) has been removed.

- (12) For every set X and for all elements x, y of 2^X and for every finite sequence q of elements of *Boolean* holds $\text{len MergeSequence}(\langle x, y \rangle, q) = 2$.
- (13) Let X be a set, x, y be elements of 2^X , and q be a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*. Then
- (i) if $q(1) = \text{true}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y \rangle, q))(1) = x$,
 - (ii) if $q(1) = \text{false}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y \rangle, q))(1) = X \setminus x$,
 - (iii) if $q(2) = \text{true}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y \rangle, q))(2) = y$, and
 - (iv) if $q(2) = \text{false}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y \rangle, q))(2) = X \setminus y$.
- (14) Let X be a set, x, y, z be elements of 2^X , and q be a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*. Then $\text{len MergeSequence}(\langle x, y, z \rangle, q) = 3$.
- (15) Let X be a set, x, y, z be elements of 2^X , and q be a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*. Then
- (i) if $q(1) = \text{true}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y, z \rangle, q))(1) = x$,
 - (ii) if $q(1) = \text{false}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y, z \rangle, q))(1) = X \setminus x$,
 - (iii) if $q(2) = \text{true}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y, z \rangle, q))(2) = y$,
 - (iv) if $q(2) = \text{false}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y, z \rangle, q))(2) = X \setminus y$,
 - (v) if $q(3) = \text{true}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y, z \rangle, q))(3) = z$, and
 - (vi) if $q(3) = \text{false}$, then $(\text{MergeSequence}(\langle x, y, z \rangle, q))(3) = X \setminus z$.
- (16) Let X be a set and p be a finite sequence of elements of 2^X . Then $\{\text{Intersect}(\text{rng MergeSequence}(p, q)); q \text{ ranges over finite sequences of elements of } \textit{Boolean}: \text{len } q = \text{len } p\}$ is a family of subsets of X .

Let X be a set and let Y be a finite family of subsets of X . The functor $\text{Components } Y$ yields a family of subsets of X and is defined by the condition (Def. 2).

- (Def. 2) There exists a finite sequence p of elements of 2^X such that $\text{len } p = \text{card } Y$ and $\text{rng } p = Y$ and $\text{Components } Y = \{\text{Intersect}(\text{rng MergeSequence}(p, q)); q \text{ ranges over finite sequences of elements of } \textit{Boolean}: \text{len } q = \text{len } p\}$.

Let X be a set and let Y be a finite family of subsets of X . Note that $\text{Components } Y$ is finite.

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (17) For every set X and for every empty family Y of subsets of X holds $\text{Components } Y = \{X\}$.
- (18) For every set X and for all finite families Y, Z of subsets of X such that $Z \subseteq Y$ holds $\text{Components } Y$ is finer than $\text{Components } Z$.
- (19) For every set X and for every finite family Y of subsets of X holds $\bigcup \text{Components } Y = X$.
- (20) Let X be a set, Y be a finite family of subsets of X , and A, B be sets. If $A \in \text{Components } Y$ and $B \in \text{Components } Y$ and $A \neq B$, then $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Let X be a set and let Y be a finite family of subsets of X . We say that Y is in general position if and only if:

(Def. 3) $\emptyset \notin \text{Components } Y$.

We now state three propositions:

- (21) Let X be a set and Y, Z be finite families of subsets of X . If Z is in general position and $Y \subseteq Z$, then Y is in general position.
- (22) For every non empty set X holds every empty family of subsets of X is in general position.
- (23) Let X be a non empty set and Y be a finite family of subsets of X . If Y is in general position, then $\text{Components } Y$ is a partition of X .

3. ABOUT BASIS OF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

We now state two propositions:

- (24) For every non empty relational structure L holds Ω_L is infs-closed and sups-closed.
- (25) For every non empty relational structure L holds Ω_L has bottom and top.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. Observe that Ω_L is infs-closed and sups-closed and has bottom and top.

The following propositions are true:

- (26) For every continuous sup-semilattice L holds Ω_L is a CLbasis of L .
- (27) For every up-complete non empty poset L such that L is finite holds the carrier of $L =$ the carrier of $\text{CompactSublatt}(L)$.
- (28) For every lower-bounded sup-semilattice L and for every subset B of L such that B is infinite holds $\overline{\overline{B}} = \overline{\text{finsups}(B)}$.
- (29) For every T_0 non empty topological space T holds $\overline{\overline{\text{the carrier of } T}} \subseteq \text{the topology of } T$.
- (30) Let T be a topological structure and X be a subset of T . Suppose X is open. Let B be a finite family of subsets of T . Suppose B is a basis of T . Let Y be a set. If $Y \in \text{Components } B$, then $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ or $Y \subseteq X$.
- (31) For every T_0 topological space T such that T is infinite holds every basis of T is infinite.
- (32) Let T be a non empty topological space. Suppose T is finite. Let B be a basis of T and x be an element of T . Then $\bigcap \{A; A \text{ ranges over elements of the topology of } T: x \in A\} \in B$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [10] Robert Milewski. Algebraic lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):249–254, 1997.
- [11] Robert Milewski. Bases of continuous lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):285–294, 1998.
- [12] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [13] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [14] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [15] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):233–236, 1996.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [17] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Many-argument relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):733–737, 1990.
- [23] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski. T_0 topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):75–77, 1996.

Received June 22, 1999
