# The Jónsson Theorem about the Representation of Modular Lattices

Mariusz Łapiński University of Białystok

Summary. Formalization of [14, pp. 192–199], chapter IV. Partition Lattices, theorem 8.

MML Identifier: LATTICE8.

The articles [8], [18], [6], [9], [10], [3], [15], [20], [1], [21], [13], [2], [17], [7], [23], [24], [22], [19], [5], [12], [16], [4], [25], and [11] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

#### 1. Preliminaries

Let A be a non empty set and let P, R be binary relations on A. Let us observe that  $P \subseteq R$  if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all elements a, b of A such that  $\langle a, b \rangle \in P$  holds  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$ .

Let L be a relational structure. We say that L is finitely typed if and only if the condition (Def. 2) is satisfied.

- (Def. 2) There exists a non empty set A such that
  - (i) for every set e such that  $e \in$  the carrier of L holds e is an equivalence relation of A, and
  - (ii) there exists a natural number o such that for all equivalence relations  $e_1, e_2$  of A and for all sets x, y such that  $e_1 \in$  the carrier of L and  $e_2 \in$  the carrier of L and  $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$  there exists a non empty finite sequence F of elements of A such that len F = o and x and y are joint by F,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .

C 2001 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

Let L be a lower-bounded lattice and let n be a natural number. We say that L has a representation of type  $\leq n$  if and only if the condition (Def. 3) is satisfied.

(Def. 3) There exists a non trivial set 
$$A$$
 and there exists a homomorphism  $f$  from  $L$  to EqRelPoset $(A)$  such that

- (i) f is one-to-one,
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Im} f$  is finitely typed,
- (iii) there exists an equivalence relation e of A such that  $e \in$  the carrier of Im f and  $e \neq id_A$ , and
- (iv) the type of  $\operatorname{Im} f \leq n$ .

Let us mention that there exists a lattice which is lower-bounded, distributive, and finite.

Let A be a non trivial set. Observe that there exists a non empty sublattice of EqRelPoset(A) which is non trivial, finitely typed, and full.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For every non empty set A and for every lower-bounded lattice L and for every distance function d of A, L holds succ  $\emptyset \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$ .
- (2) Every trivial semilattice is modular.
- (3) Let A be a non empty set and L be a non empty sublattice of EqRelPoset(A). Then L is trivial or there exists an equivalence relation e of A such that  $e \in$  the carrier of L and  $e \neq id_A$ .
- (4) Let  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  be lower-bounded lattices and f be a map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$ . Suppose f is infs-preserving and sups-preserving. Then f is meet-preserving and join-preserving.
- (5) For all lower-bounded lattices  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  such that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are isomorphic and  $L_1$  is modular holds  $L_2$  is modular.
- (6) Let S be a lower-bounded non empty poset, T be a non empty poset, and f be a monotone map from S into T. Then Im f is lower-bounded.
- (7) Let L be a lower-bounded lattice, x, y be elements of L, A be a non empty set, and f be a homomorphism from L to EqRelPoset(A). If f is one-to-one, then if  $f^{\circ}(x) \leq f^{\circ}(y)$ , then  $x \leq y$ .

#### 2. The Jónsson Theorem

We now state two propositions:

(8) Let A be a non trivial set, L be a finitely typed full non empty sublattice of EqRelPoset(A), and e be an equivalence relation of A. Suppose  $e \in$  the carrier of L and  $e \neq id_A$ . If the type of  $L \leq 2$ , then L is modular.

(9) For every lower-bounded lattice L such that L has a representation of type  $\leq 2$  holds L is modular.

Let A be a set. The functor new\_set 2A is defined by:

(Def. 4) new\_set2  $A = A \cup \{\{A\}, \{\{A\}\}\}$ .

Let A be a set. One can verify that new\_set 2A is non empty.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L, and let q be an element of [A, A, A] the carrier of L, the carrier of L ]. The functor new\_bi\_fun2(d,q) yielding a bifunction from new\_set2 A into L is defined by the conditions (Def. 5).

(Def. 5)(i) For all elements u, v of A holds  $(\text{new\_bi\_fun2}(d,q))(u, v) = d(u, v),$ 

- (ii) (new\_bi\_fun2(d,q))({A}, {A}) =  $\perp_L$ ,
- (iii)  $(\text{new\_bi\_fun2}(d,q))(\{\{A\}\},\{\{A\}\}) = \bot_L,$
- (iv)  $(\text{new}_{bi}(d,q))(\{A\}, \{\{A\}\}) = (d(q_1, q_2) \sqcup q_3) \sqcap q_4,$
- (v)  $(\text{new}_{bi}(q,q))(\{\{A\}\}, \{A\}) = (d(q_1, q_2) \sqcup q_3) \sqcap q_4, \text{ and}$
- (vi) for every element u of A holds  $(\text{new}\_\text{bi}\_\text{fun}2(d,q))(u, \{A\}) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3$  and  $(\text{new}\_\text{bi}\_\text{fun}2(d,q))(\{A\}, u) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3$  and  $(\text{new}\_\text{bi}\_\text{fun}2(d,q))(u, \{\{A\}\}) = d(u, q_2) \sqcup q_3$  and  $(\text{new}\_\text{bi}\_\text{fun}2(d,q))(\{\{A\}\}) = d(u, q_2) \sqcup q_3$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (10) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, and d be a bifunction from A into L. Suppose d is zeroed. Let q be an element of [:A, A, the carrier of L, the carrier of L ]. Then new\_bi\_fun2(d,q) is zeroed.
- (11) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, and d be a bifunction from A into L. Suppose d is symmetric. Let q be an element of [A, A, the carrier of L, the carrier of L]. Then new\_bi\_fun2(d,q) is symmetric.
- (12) Let A be a non empty set and L be a lower-bounded lattice. Suppose L is modular. Let d be a bifunction from A into L. Suppose d is symmetric and satisfies triangle inequality. Let q be an element of [:A, A, the carrier of L, the carrier of L ]. If  $d(q_1, q_2) \leq q_3 \sqcup q_4$ , then new\_bi\_fun2(d,q) satisfies triangle inequality.
- (13) For every set A holds  $A \subseteq \text{new\_set2} A$ .
- (14) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L, and q be an element of [A, A, A] the carrier of L, the carrier of L ]. Then  $d \subseteq \text{new\_bi\_fun2}(d, q)$ .

Let A be a non empty set and let O be an ordinal number. The functor ConsecutiveSet2(A, O) is defined by the condition (Def. 6).

(Def. 6) There exists a transfinite sequence  $L_0$  such that

- (i) ConsecutiveSet2(A, O) = last  $L_0$ ,
- (ii)  $\operatorname{dom} L_0 = \operatorname{succ} O$ ,

- (iii)  $L_0(\emptyset) = A$ ,
- (iv) for every ordinal number C and for every set z such that succ  $C \in \text{succ } O$ and  $z = L_0(C)$  holds  $L_0(\text{succ } C) = \text{new\_set2} z$ , and
- (v) for every ordinal number C and for every transfinite sequence  $L_1$  such that  $C \in \text{succ } O$  and  $C \neq \emptyset$  and C is a limit ordinal number and  $L_1 = L_0 \upharpoonright C$  holds  $L_0(C) = \bigcup \operatorname{rng} L_1$ .

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (15) For every non empty set A holds  $ConsecutiveSet2(A, \emptyset) = A$ .
- (16) For every non empty set A and for every ordinal number O holds  $ConsecutiveSet2(A, succ O) = new\_set2 ConsecutiveSet2(A, O).$
- (17) Let A be a non empty set, O be an ordinal number, and T be a transfinite sequence. Suppose  $O \neq \emptyset$  and O is a limit ordinal number and dom T = O and for every ordinal number  $O_1$  such that  $O_1 \in O$  holds  $T(O_1) =$ ConsecutiveSet2 $(A, O_1)$ . Then ConsecutiveSet2 $(A, O) = \bigcup \operatorname{rng} T$ .

Let A be a non empty set and let O be an ordinal number. Note that ConsecutiveSet2(A, O) is non empty.

We now state the proposition

(18) For every non empty set A and for every ordinal number O holds  $A \subseteq$  ConsecutiveSet2(A, O).

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L, let q be a sequence of quadruples of d, and let O be an ordinal number. Let us assume that  $O \in \text{dom } q$ . The functor Quadr2(q, O) yielding an element of [: ConsecutiveSet2(A, O), ConsecutiveSet2(A, O), the carrier of L, the carrier of L ] is defined by:

(Def. 7) Quadr2(q, O) = q(O).

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L, let q be a sequence of quadruples of d, and let O be an ordinal number. The functor ConsecutiveDelta2(q, O) is defined by the condition (Def. 8).

(Def. 8) There exists a transfinite sequence  $L_0$  such that

- (i) ConsecutiveDelta2 $(q, O) = \text{last } L_0$ ,
- (ii)  $\operatorname{dom} L_0 = \operatorname{succ} O$ ,
- (iii)  $L_0(\emptyset) = d$ ,
- (iv) for every ordinal number C and for every set z such that succ  $C \in$  succ O and  $z = L_0(C)$  holds  $L_0(\operatorname{succ} C) =$  new\_bi\_fun2(BiFun(z, ConsecutiveSet2(A, C), L), Quadr2(q, C)), and
- (v) for every ordinal number C and for every transfinite sequence  $L_1$  such that  $C \in \text{succ } O$  and  $C \neq \emptyset$  and C is a limit ordinal number and  $L_1 = L_0 \upharpoonright C$  holds  $L_0(C) = \bigcup \operatorname{rng} L_1$ .

Next we state several propositions:

434

- (19) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L, and q be a sequence of quadruples of d. Then ConsecutiveDelta2 $(q, \emptyset) = d$ .
- (20) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L, q be a sequence of quadruples of d, and O be an ordinal number. Then ConsecutiveDelta2(q, succ O) = $new_bi_fun2(BiFun(ConsecutiveDelta2(q, O), ConsecutiveSet2(A, O), L),$ Quadr2(q, O)).
- (21) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L, q be a sequence of quadruples of d, T be a transfinite sequence, and O be an ordinal number. Suppose  $O \neq \emptyset$  and O is a limit ordinal number and dom T = O and for every ordinal number  $O_1$  such that  $O_1 \in O$  holds  $T(O_1) = \text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O_1)$ . Then ConsecutiveDelta2 $(q, O) = \bigcup \operatorname{rng} T$ .
- (22) For every non empty set A and for all ordinal numbers  $O, O_1, O_2$  such that  $O_1 \subseteq O_2$  holds ConsecutiveSet2 $(A, O_1) \subseteq$  ConsecutiveSet2 $(A, O_2)$ .
- (23) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L, q be a sequence of quadruples of d, and O be an ordinal number. Then ConsecutiveDelta2(q, O) is a bifunction from ConsecutiveSet2(A, O) into L.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L, let q be a sequence of quadruples of d, and let O be an ordinal number. Then ConsecutiveDelta2(q, O) is a bifunction from ConsecutiveSet2(A, O) into L.

The following propositions are true:

- (24) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L, q be a sequence of quadruples of d, and O be an ordinal number. Then  $d \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O)$ .
- (25) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L,  $O_1$ ,  $O_2$  be ordinal numbers, and q be a sequence of quadruples of d. If  $O_1 \subseteq O_2$ , then ConsecutiveDelta2 $(q, O_1) \subseteq$ ConsecutiveDelta2 $(q, O_2)$ .
- (26) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, and d be a bifunction from A into L. Suppose d is zeroed. Let q be a sequence of quadruples of d and O be an ordinal number. Then ConsecutiveDelta2(q, O) is zeroed.
- (27) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, and d be a bifunction from A into L. Suppose d is symmetric. Let q be a sequence of quadruples of d and O be an ordinal number. Then ConsecutiveDelta2(q, O)is symmetric.

- (28) Let A be a non empty set and L be a lower-bounded lattice. Suppose L is modular. Let d be a bifunction from A into L. Suppose d is symmetric and satisfies triangle inequality. Let O be an ordinal number and q be a sequence of quadruples of d. If  $O \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$ , then ConsecutiveDelta2(q, O) satisfies triangle inequality.
- (29) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, d be a distance function of A, L, O be an ordinal number, and q be a sequence of quadruples of d. If  $O \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$ , then ConsecutiveDelta2(q, O) is a distance function of ConsecutiveSet2(A, O), L.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, and let d be a bifunction from A into L. The functor NextSet2 d is defined by:

(Def. 9) NextSet2 d = ConsecutiveSet2(A, DistEsti(d)).

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, and let d be a bifunction from A into L. Note that NextSet2 d is non empty.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L, and let q be a sequence of quadruples of d. The functor NextDelta2 q is defined as follows:

(Def. 10) NextDelta2 q = ConsecutiveDelta2(q, DistEsti(d)).

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, let d be a distance function of A, L, and let q be a sequence of quadruples of d. Then NextDelta2 q is a distance function of NextSet2 d, L.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a distance function of A, L, let  $A_1$  be a non empty set, and let  $d_1$  be a distance function of  $A_1$ , L. We say that  $A_1$ ,  $d_1$  is extension of A, d if and only if:

(Def. 11) There exists a sequence q of quadruples of d such that  $A_1 = \text{NextSet2} d$ and  $d_1 = \text{NextDelta2} q$ .

Next we state the proposition

(30) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a distance function of A, L, A<sub>1</sub> be a non empty set, and d<sub>1</sub> be a distance function of A<sub>1</sub>, L. Suppose A<sub>1</sub>, d<sub>1</sub> is extension2 of A, d. Let x, y be elements of A and a, b be elements of L. Suppose  $d(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b$ . Then there exist elements  $z_1, z_2$  of A<sub>1</sub> such that  $d_1(x, z_1) = a$  and  $d_1(z_1, z_2) = (d(x, y) \sqcup a) \sqcap b$  and  $d_1(z_2, y) = a$ .

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, and let d be a distance function of A, L. A function is called a ExtensionSeq2 of A, d if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 12).

(Def. 12)(i) dom it =  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

- (ii)  $it(0) = \langle A, d \rangle$ , and
- (iii) for every natural number n there exists a non empty set A' and there exists a distance function d' of A', L and there exists a non empty set

436

 $A_1$  and there exists a distance function  $d_1$  of  $A_1$ , L such that  $A_1$ ,  $d_1$  is extension 2 of A', d' and  $it(n) = \langle A', d' \rangle$  and  $it(n+1) = \langle A_1, d_1 \rangle$ . We now state several propositions:

(31) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, d be a distance function of A, L, S be a ExtensionSeq2 of A, d, and k, l be natural numbers. If  $k \leq l$ , then  $S(k)_1 \subseteq S(l)_1$ .

- (32) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, d be a distance function of A, L, S be a ExtensionSeq2 of A, d, and k, l be natural numbers. If  $k \leq l$ , then  $S(k)_2 \subseteq S(l)_2$ .
- (33) Let L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, S be a ExtensionSeq2 of the carrier of L,  $\delta_0(L)$ , and  $F_1$  be a non empty set. Suppose  $F_1 = \bigcup \{S(i)_1 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$ . Then  $\bigcup \{S(i)_2 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$  is a distance function of  $F_1$ , L.
- (34) Let *L* be a lower-bounded modular lattice, *S* be a ExtensionSeq2 of the carrier of *L*,  $\delta_0(L)$ ,  $F_1$  be a non empty set,  $F_2$  be a distance function of  $F_1$ , *L*, *x*, *y* be elements of  $F_1$ , and *a*, *b* be elements of *L*. Suppose  $F_1 = \bigcup \{S(i)_1 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$  and  $F_2 = \bigcup \{S(i)_2 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$  and  $F_2(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b$ . Then there exist elements  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$  of  $F_1$  such that  $F_2(x, z_1) = a$  and  $F_2(z_1, z_2) = (F_2(x, y) \sqcup a) \sqcap b$  and  $F_2(z_2, y) = a$ .
- (35) Let L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, S be a ExtensionSeq2 of the carrier of L,  $\delta_0(L)$ ,  $F_1$  be a non empty set,  $F_2$  be a distance function of  $F_1$ , L, f be a homomorphism from L to EqRelPoset $(F_1)$ ,  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$  be equivalence relations of  $F_1$ , and x, y be sets. Suppose that
  - (i)  $f = \alpha(F_2),$
  - (ii)  $F_1 = \bigcup \{ S(i)_1 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers} \},$
- (iii)  $F_2 = \bigcup \{ S(i)_2 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers} \},$
- (iv)  $e_1 \in \text{the carrier of Im } f$ ,
- (v)  $e_2 \in$  the carrier of Im f, and
- (vi)  $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$ . Then there exists a non empty finite sequence F of elements of  $F_1$  such that len F = 2 + 2 and x and y are joint by F,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .
- (36) For every lower-bounded modular lattice L holds L has a representation of type  $\leq 2$ .
- (37) For every lower-bounded lattice L holds L has a representation of type  $\leq 2$  iff L is modular.

#### References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.

- Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. Formalized Mathe-[4]matrics, 6(1):81-91, 1997.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Formalized Mathematics, 6(**1**):93–107, 1997.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990. [8] [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55-
- 65, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990. [11] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53,
- 1990.[12]
- Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):131–143, 1997. Adam Grabowski. On the category of posets. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):501-505, [13]
- 1996.[14] George Grätzer. General Lattice Theory. Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [15]Jarosław Gryko. The Jónson's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 6(4):515-524, 1997. [16] Adam Naumowicz. On the characterization of modular and distributive lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 7(1):53-55, 1998.
- [17] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [18] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):441–444, 1990.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):97-105, 1990.
- [21] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):313–319, 1990. Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [22]
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73-83, 1990.
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [25] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):123-130, 1997.

Received June 29, 2000