

The Construction and Computation of While-Loop Programs for SCMPDS¹

Jing-Chao Chen
Shanghai Jiaotong University

Summary. This article defines two while-loop statements on SCMPDS, i.e. “while <0 ” and “while >0 ”, which resemble the while-statements of the common high language such as C. We previously presented a number of tricks for computing while-loop statements on SCMFSA, e.g. step-while. However, after inspecting a few realistic examples, we found that they are neither very useful nor of generalization. To cover much more computation cases of while-loop statements, we generalize the computation model of while-loop statements, based on the principle of Hoare’s axioms on the verification of programs.

MML Identifier: SCMPDS_8.

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following articles: [14], [15], [19], [16], [1], [3], [17], [4], [5], [20], [2], [12], [13], [22], [23], [10], [6], [9], [7], [8], [11], [21], and [18].

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper x , a denote Int positions and s denotes a state of SCMPDS.

We now state the proposition

- (1) For every Int position a there exists a natural number i such that $a = \text{intpos } i$.

Let t be a state of SCMPDS. The functor $\text{Dstate } t$ yielding a state of SCMPDS is defined by the condition (Def. 1).

¹This research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant No. 69873033.

- (Def. 1) Let x be a set. Then
- (i) if $x \in \text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, then $(\text{Dstate } t)(x) = t(x)$,
 - (ii) if $x \in$ the instruction locations of SCMPDS, then $(\text{Dstate } t)(x) = \text{goto } 0$, and
 - (iii) if $x = \mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}$, then $(\text{Dstate } t)(x) = \text{inspos } 0$.
- One can prove the following four propositions:
- (2) For all states t_1, t_2 of SCMPDS such that $t_1 \upharpoonright \text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} = t_2 \upharpoonright \text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ holds $\text{Dstate } t_1 = \text{Dstate } t_2$.
 - (3) For every state t of SCMPDS and for every instruction i of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i) \in \{0, 4, 5, 6\}$ holds $\text{Dstate } t = \text{Dstate Exec}(i, t)$.
 - (4) $(\text{Dstate } s)(a) = s(a)$.
 - (5) Let a be an Int position. Then there exists a function f from \mathbb{I} (the object kind of SCMPDS) into \mathbb{N} such that for every state s of SCMPDS holds
 - (i) if $s(a) \leq 0$, then $f(s) = 0$, and
 - (ii) if $s(a) > 0$, then $f(s) = s(a)$.

2. THE CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERAL BASIC PROPERTIES OF “WHILE<0” PROGRAM

Let a be an Int position, let i be an integer, and let I be a Program-block. The functor $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I)$ yielding a Program-block is defined by:

- (Def. 2) $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I) = ((a, i) \geq 0 \text{-goto card } I + 2); I; \text{goto } (-(\text{card } I + 1))$.

Let I be a shiftable Program-block, let a be an Int position, and let i be an integer. Observe that $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I)$ is shiftable.

Let I be a No-StopCode Program-block, let a be an Int position, and let i be an integer. Note that $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I)$ is No-StopCode.

Next we state several propositions:

- (6) For every Int position a and for every integer i and for every Program-block I holds $\text{card while } < 0(a, i, I) = \text{card } I + 2$.
- (7) Let a be an Int position, i be an integer, m be a natural number, and I be a Program-block. Then $m < \text{card } I + 2$ if and only if $\text{inspos } m \in \text{dom while } < 0(a, i, I)$.
- (8) Let a be an Int position, i be an integer, and I be a Program-block. Then $(\text{while } < 0(a, i, I))(\text{inspos } 0) = (a, i) \geq 0 \text{-goto card } I + 2$ and $(\text{while } < 0(a, i, I))(\text{inspos card } I + 1) = \text{goto } (-(\text{card } I + 1))$.
- (9) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, a be an Int position, and i be an integer. If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \geq 0$, then $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I)$ is closed on s and $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I)$ is halting on s .

- (10) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, a, c be Int positions, and i be an integer. If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \geq 0$, then $\text{IExec}(\text{while} < 0(a, i, I), s) = s + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{inspos card } I + 2)$.
- (11) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, a be an Int position, and i be an integer. If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{IExec}(\text{while} < 0(a, i, I), s)} = \text{inspos card } I + 2$.
- (12) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, a, b be Int positions, and i be an integer. If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \geq 0$, then $(\text{IExec}(\text{while} < 0(a, i, I), s))(b) = s(b)$.

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme *WhileLHalt* deals with a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a natural number, a state \mathcal{A} of SCMPDS, a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block \mathcal{B} , an Int position \mathcal{C} , an integer \mathcal{D} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ or } \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}] \text{ but } \text{while} < 0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ is closed on } \mathcal{A} \text{ but } \text{while} < 0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ is halting on } \mathcal{A}$$

provided the following conditions are met:

- $\text{card } \mathcal{B} > 0$,
- For every state t of SCMPDS such that $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } t]$ and $\mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } t) = 0$ holds $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) \geq 0$,
- $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } \mathcal{A}]$, and
- Let t be a state of SCMPDS. Suppose $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } t]$ and $t(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) < 0$. Then $(\text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t))(\mathcal{C}) = t(\mathcal{C})$ and \mathcal{B} is closed on t and \mathcal{B} is halting on t and $\mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } \text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t)) < \mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } t)$ and $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } \text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t)]$.

The scheme *WhileLExec* deals with a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a natural number, a state \mathcal{A} of SCMPDS, a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block \mathcal{B} , an Int position \mathcal{C} , an integer \mathcal{D} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ or } \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}] \text{ but } \text{IExec}(\text{while} < 0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A}) = \text{IExec}(\text{while} < 0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}), \text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}))$$

provided the parameters meet the following conditions:

- $\text{card } \mathcal{B} > 0$,
- $\mathcal{A}(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) < 0$,
- For every state t of SCMPDS such that $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } t]$ and $\mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } t) = 0$ holds $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) \geq 0$,
- $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } \mathcal{A}]$, and
- Let t be a state of SCMPDS. Suppose $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } t]$ and $t(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) < 0$. Then $(\text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t))(\mathcal{C}) = t(\mathcal{C})$ and \mathcal{B} is closed on t and \mathcal{B} is halting on t and $\mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } \text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t)) < \mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } t)$ and $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } \text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t)]$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (13) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i be an integer, X be a set, and f be a function from \prod (the object kind of SCMPDS) into \mathbb{N} . Suppose that
- (i) $\text{card } I > 0$,
 - (ii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that $f(\text{Dstate } t) = 0$ holds $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \geq 0$, and
 - (iii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every Int position x such that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) = s(x)$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) < 0$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and $f(\text{Dstate } \text{IExec}(I, t)) < f(\text{Dstate } t)$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and for every Int position x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) = t(x)$.
- Then $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I)$ is closed on s and $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I)$ is halting on s .
- (14) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i be an integer, X be a set, and f be a function from \prod (the object kind of SCMPDS) into \mathbb{N} . Suppose that
- (i) $\text{card } I > 0$,
 - (ii) $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) < 0$,
 - (iii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that $f(\text{Dstate } t) = 0$ holds $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \geq 0$, and
 - (iv) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every Int position x such that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) = s(x)$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) < 0$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and $f(\text{Dstate } \text{IExec}(I, t)) < f(\text{Dstate } t)$ and for every Int position x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) = t(x)$.
- Then $\text{IExec}(\text{while } < 0(a, i, I), s) = \text{IExec}(\text{while } < 0(a, i, I), \text{IExec}(I, s))$.
- (15) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i be an integer, and X be a set. Suppose that
- (i) $\text{card } I > 0$, and
 - (ii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every Int position x such that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) = s(x)$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) < 0$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and for every Int position x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) = t(x)$.
- Then $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I)$ is closed on s and $\text{while } < 0(a, i, I)$ is halting on s .
- (16) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i be an integer, and X be a set. Suppose that
- (i) $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) < 0$,
 - (ii) $\text{card } I > 0$, and
 - (iii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every Int position x such

that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) = s(x)$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) < 0$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and for every Int position x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) = t(x)$.

Then $\text{IExec}(\text{while} < 0(a, i, I), s) = \text{IExec}(\text{while} < 0(a, i, I), \text{IExec}(I, s))$.

3. THE CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERAL BASIC PROPERTIES OF “WHILE>0” PROGRAM

Let a be an Int position, let i be an integer, and let I be a Program-block. The functor $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$ yields a Program-block and is defined by:

(Def. 3) $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I) = ((a, i) \leq 0_goto \text{card } I + 2); I; goto (-(\text{card } I + 1))$.

Let I be a shiftable Program-block, let a be an Int position, and let i be an integer. One can verify that $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$ is shiftable.

Let I be a No-StopCode Program-block, let a be an Int position, and let i be an integer. Note that $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$ is No-StopCode.

Next we state several propositions:

- (17) For every Int position a and for every integer i and for every Program-block I holds $\text{card } \text{while} > 0(a, i, I) = \text{card } I + 2$.
- (18) Let a be an Int position, i be an integer, m be a natural number, and I be a Program-block. Then $m < \text{card } I + 2$ if and only if $\text{inspos } m \in \text{dom } \text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$.
- (19) Let a be an Int position, i be an integer, and I be a Program-block. Then $(\text{while} > 0(a, i, I))(\text{inspos } 0) = (a, i) \leq 0_goto \text{card } I + 2$ and $(\text{while} > 0(a, i, I))(\text{inspos } \text{card } I + 1) = goto (-(\text{card } I + 1))$.
- (20) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, a be an Int position, and i be an integer. If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \leq 0$, then $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$ is closed on s and $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$ is halting on s .
- (21) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, a, c be Int positions, and i be an integer. If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \leq 0$, then $\text{IExec}(\text{while} > 0(a, i, I), s) = s + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{inspos } \text{card } I + 2)$.
- (22) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, a be an Int position, and i be an integer. If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \leq 0$, then $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{IExec}(\text{while} > 0(a, i, I), s)} = \text{inspos } \text{card } I + 2$.
- (23) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a Program-block, a, b be Int positions, and i be an integer. If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \leq 0$, then $(\text{IExec}(\text{while} > 0(a, i, I), s))(b) = s(b)$.

Now we present two schemes. The scheme *WhileGHalt* deals with a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a natural number, a state \mathcal{A} of SCMPDS, a No-StopCode

shiftable Program-block \mathcal{B} , an Int position \mathcal{C} , an integer \mathcal{D} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ or $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}]$ but while $> 0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B})$ is closed on \mathcal{A} but while $> 0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B})$ is halting on \mathcal{A}

provided the parameters meet the following conditions:

- card $\mathcal{B} > 0$,
- For every state t of SCMPDS such that $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } t]$ and $\mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } t) = 0$ holds $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) \leq 0$,
- $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } \mathcal{A}]$, and
- Let t be a state of SCMPDS. Suppose $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } t]$ and $t(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) > 0$. Then $(\text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t))(\mathcal{C}) = t(\mathcal{C})$ and \mathcal{B} is closed on t and \mathcal{B} is halting on t and $\mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t)) < \mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } t)$ and $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t)]$.

The scheme *WhileGExec* deals with a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a natural number, a state \mathcal{A} of SCMPDS, a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block \mathcal{B} , an Int position \mathcal{C} , an integer \mathcal{D} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ or $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}]$ but $\text{IExec}(\text{while } > 0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A}) = \text{IExec}(\text{while } > 0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}), \text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}))$

provided the following conditions are satisfied:

- card $\mathcal{B} > 0$,
- $\mathcal{A}(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) > 0$,
- For every state t of SCMPDS such that $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } t]$ and $\mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } t) = 0$ holds $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) \leq 0$,
- $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } \mathcal{A}]$, and
- Let t be a state of SCMPDS. Suppose $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate } t]$ and $t(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})) > 0$. Then $(\text{IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t))(\mathcal{C}) = t(\mathcal{C})$ and \mathcal{B} is closed on t and \mathcal{B} is halting on t and $\mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t)) < \mathcal{F}(\text{Dstate } t)$ and $\mathcal{P}[\text{Dstate IExec}(\mathcal{B}, t)]$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (24) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i, c be integers, X, Y be sets, and f be a function from \mathbb{N} (the object kind of SCMPDS) into \mathbb{N} . Suppose that
- (i) card $I > 0$,
 - (ii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that $f(\text{Dstate } t) = 0$ holds $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \leq 0$,
 - (iii) for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $s(x) \geq c + s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$, and
 - (iv) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) \geq c + t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and for every x such that $x \in Y$ holds $t(x) = s(x)$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and $f(\text{Dstate IExec}(I, t)) < f(\text{Dstate } t)$ and for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) \geq$

$c + (\text{IExec}(I, t))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and for every x such that $x \in Y$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) = t(x)$.

Then $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$ is closed on s and $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$ is halting on s .

- (25) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i, c be integers, X, Y be sets, and f be a function from \prod (the object kind of SCMPDS) into \mathbb{N} . Suppose that

- (i) $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$,
- (ii) $\text{card } I > 0$,
- (iii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that $f(\text{Dstate } t) = 0$ holds $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \leq 0$,
- (iv) for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $s(x) \geq c + s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$, and
- (v) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) \geq c + t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and for every x such that $x \in Y$ holds $t(x) = s(x)$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and $f(\text{Dstate } \text{IExec}(I, t)) < f(\text{Dstate } t)$ and for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) \geq c + (\text{IExec}(I, t))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and for every x such that $x \in Y$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) = t(x)$.

Then $\text{IExec}(\text{while} > 0(a, i, I), s) = \text{IExec}(\text{while} > 0(a, i, I), \text{IExec}(I, s))$.

- (26) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i be an integer, X be a set, and f be a function from \prod (the object kind of SCMPDS) into \mathbb{N} . Suppose that

- (i) $\text{card } I > 0$,
- (ii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that $f(\text{Dstate } t) = 0$ holds $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) \leq 0$, and
- (iii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) = s(x)$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and $f(\text{Dstate } \text{IExec}(I, t)) < f(\text{Dstate } t)$ and for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) = t(x)$.

Then $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$ is closed on s and $\text{while} > 0(a, i, I)$ is halting on s and if $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$, then $\text{IExec}(\text{while} > 0(a, i, I), s) = \text{IExec}(\text{while} > 0(a, i, I), \text{IExec}(I, s))$.

- (27) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i, c be integers, and X, Y be sets. Suppose that

- (i) $\text{card } I > 0$,
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $s(x) \geq c + s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$, and
- (iii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) \geq c + t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and for every x such that $x \in Y$ holds $t(x) = s(x)$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$ holds

$(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) < t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) \geq c + (\text{IExec}(I, t))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and for every x such that $x \in Y$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) = t(x)$.

Then $\text{while } > 0(a, i, I)$ is closed on s and $\text{while } > 0(a, i, I)$ is halting on s and if $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$, then $\text{IExec}(\text{while } > 0(a, i, I), s) = \text{IExec}(\text{while } > 0(a, i, I), \text{IExec}(I, s))$.

(28) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i be an integer, and X be a set. Suppose that

- (i) $\text{card } I > 0$, and
- (ii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) = s(x)$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) < t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) = t(x)$.

Then $\text{while } > 0(a, i, I)$ is closed on s and $\text{while } > 0(a, i, I)$ is halting on s and if $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$, then $\text{IExec}(\text{while } > 0(a, i, I), s) = \text{IExec}(\text{while } > 0(a, i, I), \text{IExec}(I, s))$.

(29) Let s be a state of SCMPDS, I be a No-StopCode shiftable Program-block, a be an Int position, i, c be integers, and X be a set. Suppose that

- (i) $\text{card } I > 0$,
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $s(x) \geq c + s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$, and
- (iii) for every state t of SCMPDS such that for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $t(x) \geq c + t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and $t(a) = s(a)$ and $t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(a) = t(a)$ and I is closed on t and halting on t and $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) < t(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$ and for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, t))(x) \geq c + (\text{IExec}(I, t))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i))$.

Then $\text{while } > 0(a, i, I)$ is closed on s and $\text{while } > 0(a, i, I)$ is halting on s and if $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), i)) > 0$, then $\text{IExec}(\text{while } > 0(a, i, I), s) = \text{IExec}(\text{while } > 0(a, i, I), \text{IExec}(I, s))$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [6] Jing-Chao Chen. Computation and program shift in the SCMPDS computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):193–199, 1999.

- [7] Jing-Chao Chen. Computation of two consecutive program blocks for SCMPDS. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):211–217, 1999.
- [8] Jing-Chao Chen. The construction and computation of conditional statements for SCMPDS. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):219–234, 1999.
- [9] Jing-Chao Chen. The construction and shiftability of program blocks for SCMPDS. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):201–210, 1999.
- [10] Jing-Chao Chen. The SCMPDS computer and the basic semantics of its instructions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):183–191, 1999.
- [11] Jing-Chao Chen. Recursive Euclidean algorithm. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(1):1–4, 2001.
- [12] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [13] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Recursive definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):321–328, 1990.
- [14] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [15] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. On a mathematical model of programs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):241–250, 1992.
- [16] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):1–8, 1996.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [20] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received June 14, 2000
