

Mahlo and Inaccessible Cardinals

Josef Urban
Charles University
Praha

Summary. This article contains basic ordinal topology: closed unbounded and stationary sets and necessary theorems about them, completeness of the centered system of Clubs of M , Mahlo and strongly Mahlo cardinals, the proof that (strongly) Mahlo is (strongly) inaccessible, and the proof that Rank of strongly inaccessible is a model of ZF.

MML Identifier: `CARD.LAR`.

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [15], [1], [6], [7], [16], [5], [11], [10], [8], [9], [3], [4], [17], [18], [12], [14], [13], and [2].

1. CLUBS AND MAHLO CARDINALS

Let S be a set, let X be a set, and let Y be a subset of S . Then $X \cap Y$ is a subset of S .

Let us observe that every ordinal number which is cardinal and infinite is also limit.

Let us note that every ordinal number which is non empty and limit is also infinite.

Let us mention that every aleph which is non limit is also non countable.

Let us observe that there exists an aleph which is regular and non countable.

We use the following convention: A, B denote limit infinite ordinal numbers, B_1, B_2, B_3, C denote ordinal numbers, and X denotes a set.

Let us consider A, X . We say that X is unbounded in A if and only if:

(Def. 1) $X \subseteq A$ and $\sup X = A$.

We say that X is closed in A if and only if:

(Def. 2) $X \subseteq A$ and for every B such that $B \in A$ holds if $\sup(X \cap B) = B$, then $B \in X$.

Let us consider A, X . We say that X is club in A if and only if:

(Def. 3) X is closed in A and X is unbounded in A .

Next we state the proposition

(1) X is club in A iff X is closed in A and X is unbounded in A .

In the sequel X is a subset of A .

Let us consider A, X . We say that X is unbounded if and only if:

(Def. 4) $\sup X = A$.

We introduce X is bounded as an antonym of X is unbounded. We say that X is closed if and only if:

(Def. 5) For every B such that $B \in A$ holds if $\sup(X \cap B) = B$, then $B \in X$.

We now state several propositions:

(2) X is club in A iff X is closed and unbounded.

(3) $X \subseteq \sup X$.

(4) Suppose X is non empty and for every B_1 such that $B_1 \in X$ there exists B_2 such that $B_2 \in X$ and $B_1 \in B_2$. Then $\sup X$ is limit infinite ordinal number.

(5) X is bounded iff there exists B_1 such that $B_1 \in A$ and $X \subseteq B_1$.

(6) If $\sup(X \cap B) \neq B$, then there exists B_1 such that $B_1 \in B$ and $X \cap B \subseteq B_1$.

(7) X is unbounded iff for every B_1 such that $B_1 \in A$ there exists C such that $C \in X$ and $B_1 \subseteq C$.

(8) If X is unbounded, then X is non empty.

(9) If X is unbounded and $B_1 \in A$, then there exists an element B_3 of A such that $B_3 \in \{B_2; B_2 \text{ ranges over elements of } A: B_2 \in X \wedge B_1 \in B_2\}$.

Let us consider A, X, B_1 . Let us assume that X is unbounded. And let us assume that $B_1 \in A$. The functor $\text{LBound}(B_1, X)$ yields an element of X and is defined by:

(Def. 6) $\text{LBound}(B_1, X) = \inf\{B_2; B_2 \text{ ranges over elements of } A: B_2 \in X \wedge B_1 \in B_2\}$.

Next we state two propositions:

(10) If X is unbounded and $B_1 \in A$, then $\text{LBound}(B_1, X) \in X$ and $B_1 \in \text{LBound}(B_1, X)$.

(11) Ω_A is closed and unbounded.

Let A be a set, let X be a subset of A , and let Y be a set. Then $X \setminus Y$ is a subset of A .

Next we state two propositions:

- (12) If $B_1 \in A$ and X is closed and unbounded, then $X \setminus B_1$ is closed and unbounded.
- (13) If $B_1 \in A$, then $A \setminus B_1$ is closed and unbounded.

Let us consider A, X . We say that X is stationary if and only if:

- (Def. 7) For every subset Y of A such that Y is closed and unbounded holds $X \cap Y$ is non empty.

The following proposition is true

- (14) For all subsets X, Y of A such that X is stationary and $X \subseteq Y$ holds Y is stationary.

Let us consider A and let X be a set. We say that X is stationary in A if and only if:

- (Def. 8) $X \subseteq A$ and for every subset Y of A such that Y is closed and unbounded holds $X \cap Y$ is non empty.

One can prove the following proposition

- (15) For all sets X, Y such that X is stationary in A and $X \subseteq Y$ and $Y \subseteq A$ holds Y is stationary in A .

Let X be a set and let S be a family of subsets of X . We see that the element of S is a subset of X .

The following proposition is true

- (16) If X is stationary, then X is unbounded.

Let us consider A, X . The functor $\text{limpoints } X$ yields a subset of A and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 9) $\text{limpoints } X = \{B_1; B_1 \text{ ranges over elements of } A: B_1 \text{ is infinite and } \text{limit } \wedge \text{ sup}(X \cap B_1) = B_1\}$.

We now state four propositions:

- (17) If $X \cap B_3 \subseteq B_1$, then $B_3 \cap \text{limpoints } X \subseteq \text{succ } B_1$.
- (18) If $X \subseteq B_1$, then $\text{limpoints } X \subseteq \text{succ } B_1$.
- (19) $\text{limpoints } X$ is closed.
- (20) Suppose X is unbounded and $\text{limpoints } X$ is bounded. Then there exists B_1 such that $B_1 \in A$ and $\{\text{succ } B_2; B_2 \text{ ranges over elements of } A: B_2 \in X \wedge B_1 \in \text{succ } B_2\}$ is club in A .

In the sequel M is a non countable aleph and X is a subset of M .

Let us consider M . One can verify that there exists an element of M which is cardinal and infinite.

In the sequel N denotes a cardinal infinite element of M .

Next we state several propositions:

- (21) For every aleph M and for every subset X of M such that X is unbounded holds $\text{cf } M \leq \overline{\overline{X}}$.

- (22) For every family S of subsets of M such that every element of S is closed holds $\bigcap S$ is closed.
- (23) If $\aleph_0 < \text{cf } M$, then for every function f from \mathbb{N} into X holds $\sup \text{rng } f \in M$.
- (24) Suppose $\aleph_0 < \text{cf } M$. Let S be a non empty family of subsets of M . If $\overline{\overline{S}} < \text{cf } M$ and every element of S is closed and unbounded, then $\bigcap S$ is closed and unbounded.
- (25) If $\aleph_0 < \text{cf } M$ and X is unbounded, then for every B_1 such that $B_1 \in M$ there exists B such that $B \in M$ and $B_1 \in B$ and $B \in \text{limpoints } X$.
- (26) If $\aleph_0 < \text{cf } M$ and X is unbounded, then $\text{limpoints } X$ is unbounded.

Let us consider M . We say that M is Mahlo if and only if:

(Def. 10) $\{N : N \text{ is regular}\}$ is stationary in M .

We say that M is strongly Mahlo if and only if:

(Def. 11) $\{N : N \text{ is strongly inaccessible}\}$ is stationary in M .

We now state several propositions:

- (27) If M is strongly Mahlo, then M is Mahlo.
- (28) If M is Mahlo, then M is regular.
- (29) If M is Mahlo, then M is limit.
- (30) If M is Mahlo, then M is inaccessible.
- (31) If M is strongly Mahlo, then M is strong limit.
- (32) If M is strongly Mahlo, then M is strongly inaccessible.

2. PROOF THAT STRONGLY INACCESSIBLE IS MODEL OF ZF

We adopt the following convention: A denotes an ordinal number, x, y denote sets, and X, Y denote sets.

The following propositions are true:

- (33) Suppose that for every x such that $x \in X$ there exists y such that $y \in X$ and $x \subseteq y$ and y is a cardinal number. Then $\bigcup X$ is a cardinal number.
- (34) For every aleph M such that $\overline{\overline{X}} < \text{cf } M$ and for every Y such that $Y \in X$ holds $\overline{\overline{Y}} < M$ holds $\overline{\overline{\bigcup X}} \in M$.
- (35) If M is strongly inaccessible and $A \in M$, then $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{R}_A}} < M$.
- (36) If M is strongly inaccessible, then $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{R}_M}} = M$.
- (37) If M is strongly inaccessible, then \mathbf{R}_M is a Tarski class.
- (38) For every non empty ordinal number A holds \mathbf{R}_A is non empty.

Let A be a non empty ordinal number. One can check that \mathbf{R}_A is non empty.

Next we state two propositions:

- (39) If M is strongly inaccessible, then \mathbf{R}_M is a universal class.
- (40) If M is strongly inaccessible, then \mathbf{R}_M is model of ZF.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Models and satisfiability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):191–199, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):281–290, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski’s classes and ranks. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):563–567, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Countable sets and Hessenberg’s theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):65–69, 1991.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. On powers of cardinals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):89–93, 1992.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [11] Bogdan Nowak and Grzegorz Bancerek. Universal classes. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):595–600, 1990.
- [12] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [14] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [15] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [16] Josef Urban. Basic facts about inaccessible and measurable cardinals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(2):323–329, 2001.
- [17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [18] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received August 28, 2000
