

Propositional Calculus for Boolean Valued Functions. Part VII

Shunichi Kobayashi
Matsumoto University
Nagano

Summary. In this paper, we proved some elementary propositional calculus formulae for Boolean valued functions.

MML Identifier: BVFUNC25.

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [4], [3], [2], and [1].

We use the following convention: Y is a non empty set and a, b, c, d are elements of $Boolean^Y$.

The following propositions are true:

- (1) $\neg(a \Rightarrow b) = a \wedge \neg b$.
- (2) $\neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b = true(Y)$.
- (3) $a \Rightarrow b = \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a$.
- (4) $a \Leftrightarrow b = \neg a \Leftrightarrow \neg b$.
- (5) $a \Rightarrow b = a \Rightarrow a \wedge b$.
- (6) $a \Leftrightarrow b = a \vee b \Rightarrow a \wedge b$.
- (7) $a \Leftrightarrow \neg a = false(Y)$.
- (8) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c = b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c$.
- (9) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c$.
- (10) $a \Leftrightarrow b = a \oplus \neg b$.
- (11) $a \wedge (b \oplus c) = a \wedge b \oplus a \wedge c$.
- (12) $a \Leftrightarrow b = \neg(a \oplus b)$.
- (13) $a \oplus a = false(Y)$.
- (14) $a \oplus \neg a = true(Y)$.

- (15) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a = b \Rightarrow a$.
- (16) $(a \vee b) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b) = \neg a \wedge b \vee a \wedge \neg b$.
- (17) $a \wedge b \vee \neg a \wedge \neg b = (\neg a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee \neg b)$.
- (18) $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$.
- (19) $a \Leftrightarrow b \Leftrightarrow c = a \Leftrightarrow b \Leftrightarrow c$.
- (20) $\neg\neg a \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (21) $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge a \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (22) $a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (23) $\neg a \Rightarrow a \Leftrightarrow a = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (24) $a \vee (a \Rightarrow b) = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (25) $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (c \Rightarrow a) = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (26) $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (\neg a \Rightarrow b) = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (27) $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (a \Rightarrow \neg b) = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (28) $\neg a \Rightarrow \neg b \Leftrightarrow b \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (29) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (30) $a \Rightarrow b = a \Leftrightarrow a \wedge b$.
- (31) $a \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y)$ and $b \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y)$ iff $a = b$.
- (32) $a = \neg a \Rightarrow a$.
- (33) $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (34) $a = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a$.
- (35) $a = (b \Rightarrow a) \wedge (\neg b \Rightarrow a)$.
- (36) $a \wedge b = \neg(a \Rightarrow \neg b)$.
- (37) $a \vee b = \neg a \Rightarrow b$.
- (38) $a \vee b = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b$.
- (39) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (40) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow c = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (41) $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge a \wedge c \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (42) $b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (43) $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a \wedge b \Rightarrow c \wedge b = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (44) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \wedge c \Rightarrow b \wedge c = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (45) $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge (a \wedge c) \Rightarrow b \wedge c = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (46) $a \wedge (a \Rightarrow b) \wedge (b \Rightarrow c) \subseteq c$.
- (47) $(a \vee b) \wedge (a \Rightarrow c) \wedge (b \Rightarrow c) \subseteq \neg a \Rightarrow b \vee c$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was partially supported by the research funds of the University of Matsumoto.

REFERENCES

- [1] Shunichi Kobayashi and Kui Jia. A theory of Boolean valued functions and partitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):249–254, 1998.
- [2] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [3] Edmund Woronowicz. Interpretation and satisfiability in the first order logic. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):739–743, 1990.
- [4] Edmund Woronowicz. Many–argument relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):733–737, 1990.

Received February 6, 2003
