Lattice of Fuzzy Sets¹

Takashi Mitsuishi Miyagi University Grzegorz Bancerek Białystok Technical University

Summary. This article concerns a connection of fuzzy logic and lattice theory. Namely, the fuzzy sets form a Heyting lattice with union and intersection of fuzzy sets as meet and join operations. The lattice of fuzzy sets is defined as the product of interval posets. As the final result, we have characterized the composition of fuzzy relations in terms of lattice theory and proved its associativity.

 ${\rm MML} \ {\rm Identifier:} \ {\tt LFUZZY_0}.$

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [18], [9], [23], [6], [7], [17], [1], [8], [22], [16], [20], [15], [24], [21], [14], [19], [2], [3], [4], [12], [10], [5], [13], and [11].

1. Posets of Real Numbers

Let R be a relational structure. We say that R is real if and only if the conditions (Def. 1) are satisfied.

(Def. 1)(i) The carrier of $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and

(ii) for all real numbers x, y such that $x \in$ the carrier of R and $y \in$ the carrier of R holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in$ the internal relation of R iff $x \leq y$.

Let R be a relational structure. We say that R is interval if and only if:

(Def. 2) R is real and there exist real numbers a, b such that $a \leq b$ and the carrier of R = [a, b].

Let us mention that every relational structure which is interval is also real and non empty.

¹This work has been partially supported by the Polish Academy of Sciences and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS Grant 0324101 and JSPS Grant-in-aid 15700195) when the first author was visiting Białystok Technical University as postdoctoral fellow.

Let us observe that every relational structure which is empty is also real. One can prove the following proposition

(1) For every subset X of \mathbb{R} there exists a strict relational structure R such that the carrier of R = X and R is real.

Let us note that there exists a relational structure which is interval and strict.

The following proposition is true

(2) Let R_1 , R_2 be real relational structures. Suppose the carrier of R_1 = the carrier of R_2 . Then the relational structure of R_1 = the relational structure of R_2 .

Let R be a non empty real relational structure. Observe that every element of R is real.

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R} . The functor RealPoset X yields a real strict relational structure and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) The carrier of RealPoset X = X.

Let X be a non empty subset of \mathbb{R} . Note that RealPoset X is non empty.

Let R be a relational structure and let x, y be elements of R. We introduce $x \leq y$ and $y \geq x$ as synonyms of $x \leq y$.

Let x, y be real numbers. We introduce $x \leq_{\mathbb{R}} y$ and $y \geq_{\mathbb{R}} x$ as synonyms of $x \leq y$. We introduce $y <_{\mathbb{R}} x$ and $x >_{\mathbb{R}} y$ as antonyms of $x \leq y$.

We now state the proposition

(3) For every non empty real relational structure R and for all elements x, y of R holds $x \leq_{\mathbb{R}} y$ iff $x \leq y$.

Let us observe that every relational structure which is real is also reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive.

Let us observe that every real non empty relational structure is connected.

Let R be a non empty real relational structure and let x, y be elements of R. Then $\max(x, y)$ is an element of R.

Let R be a non empty real relational structure and let x, y be elements of R. Then $\min(x, y)$ is an element of R.

Let us note that every real non empty relational structure has l.u.b.'s and g.l.b.'s.

We follow the rules: x, y denote real numbers, R denotes a real non empty relational structure, and a, b denote elements of R.

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (4) $a \sqcup b = \max(a, b).$
- (5) $a \sqcap b = \min(a, b).$
- (6) There exists x such that $x \in$ the carrier of R and for every y such that $y \in$ the carrier of R holds $x \leq y$ if and only if R is lower-bounded.

(7) There exists x such that $x \in$ the carrier of R and for every y such that $y \in$ the carrier of R holds $x \ge y$ if and only if R is upper-bounded.

Let us observe that every non empty relational structure which is interval is also bounded.

The following proposition is true

(8) For every interval non empty relational structure R and for every set X holds sup X exists in R.

Let us observe that every interval non empty relational structure is complete. Let us note that every chain is distributive.

One can check that every interval non empty relational structure is Heyting. One can verify that [0, 1] is non empty.

Let us observe that RealPoset[0, 1] is interval.

2. PRODUCT OF HEYTING LATTICES

We now state several propositions:

- (9) Let I be a non empty set and J be a relational structure yielding nonempty reflexive-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds J(i) is a sup-semilattice. Then $\prod J$ has l.u.b.'s.
- (10) Let I be a non empty set and J be a relational structure yielding nonempty reflexive-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds J(i) is a semilattice. Then $\prod J$ has g.l.b.'s.
- (11) Let I be a non empty set and J be a relational structure yielding nonempty reflexive-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds J(i) is a semilattice. Let f, g be elements of $\prod J$ and i be an element of I. Then $(f \sqcap g)(i) = f(i) \sqcap g(i)$.
- (12) Let I be a non empty set and J be a relational structure yielding nonempty reflexive-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds J(i) is a sup-semilattice. Let f, g be elements of $\prod J$ and i be an element of I. Then $(f \sqcup g)(i) = f(i) \sqcup g(i)$.
- (13) Let I be a non empty set and J be a relational structure yielding nonempty reflexive-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds J(i) is a Heyting complete lattice. Then $\prod J$ is complete and Heyting.

Let A be a non empty set and let R be a complete Heyting lattice. Observe that R^A is Heyting.

3. LATTICE OF FUZZY SETS

Let A be a non empty set. The functor FuzzyLattice A yielding a Heyting complete lattice is defined by:

(Def. 4) FuzzyLattice $A = (\text{RealPoset}[0, 1])^A$.

We now state the proposition

- (14) For every non empty set A holds the carrier of FuzzyLattice $A = [0, 1]^A$. Let A be a non empty set. Note that FuzzyLattice A is constituted functions. Next we state the proposition
- (15) Let R be a complete Heyting lattice, X be a subset of R, and y be an element of R. Then $\bigsqcup_R X \sqcap y = \bigsqcup_R \{x \sqcap y; x \text{ ranges over elements of } R: x \in X\}.$

Let X be a non empty set and let a be an element of FuzzyLattice X. The functor [@]a yields a membership function of X and is defined by:

(Def. 5)
$$^{@}a = a$$
.

Let X be a non empty set and let f be a membership function of X. The functor $f^{@}$ yielding an element of FuzzyLattice X is defined by:

(Def. 6) $f^{@} = f$.

Let X be a non empty set, let f be a membership function of X, and let x be an element of X. Then f(x) is an element of RealPoset[0, 1].

Let X be a non empty set, let f be an element of FuzzyLattice X, and let x be an element of X. Then f(x) is an element of RealPoset[0, 1].

For simplicity, we follow the rules: C is a non empty set, c is an element of C, f, g are membership functions of C, and s, t are elements of FuzzyLattice C. Next we state several propositions:

- (16) For every c holds $f(c) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} g(c)$ iff $f^{@} \leq g^{@}$.
- (17) $s \leq t$ iff for every c holds $({}^{@}s)(c) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} ({}^{@}t)(c)$.
- (18) $\max(f,g) = f^{@} \sqcup g^{@}.$
- (19) $s \sqcup t = \max({}^{@}s, {}^{@}t).$
- (20) $\min(f,g) = f^{@} \sqcap g^{@}.$
- (21) $s \sqcap t = \min({}^{\textcircled{0}}s, {}^{\textcircled{0}}t).$

4. Associativity of Composition of Fuzzy Relations

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme *SupDistributivity* deals with a complete lattice \mathcal{A} , non empty sets \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} , a binary functor \mathcal{F} yielding an element of \mathcal{A} , and two unary predicates \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{Q} , and states that: $\bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{ \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{ \mathcal{F}(x, y); y \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{Q}[y] \}; x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{P}[x] \} = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{ \mathcal{F}(x, y); x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B}, y \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{P}[x] \land \mathcal{Q}[y] \}$

for all values of the parameters.

The scheme *SupDistributivity*' deals with a complete lattice \mathcal{A} , non empty sets \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} , a binary functor \mathcal{F} yielding an element of \mathcal{A} , and two unary predicates \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} , and states that:

 $\bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{\bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mathcal{F}(x,y); x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{P}[x]\}; y \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{Q}[y]\} = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mathcal{F}(x,y); x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B}, y \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{P}[x] \land \mathcal{Q}[y]\}$

for all values of the parameters.

The scheme *FraenkelF'R'* deals with a non empty set \mathcal{A} , a non empty set \mathcal{B} , two binary functors \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} yielding sets, and a binary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

 $\{\mathcal{F}(u_1, v_1); u_1 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A}, v_1 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{P}[u_1, v_1]\} = \{\mathcal{G}(u_2, v_2); u_2 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A}, v_2 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{P}[u_2, v_2]\}$

provided the parameters meet the following condition:

• For every element u of \mathcal{A} and for every element v of \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{P}[u, v]$ holds $\mathcal{F}(u, v) = \mathcal{G}(u, v)$.

The scheme *FraenkelF6*"R deals with a non empty set \mathcal{A} , a non empty set \mathcal{B} , two binary functors \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} yielding sets, and two binary predicates \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{Q} , and states that:

 $\{\mathcal{F}(u_1, v_1); u_1 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A}, v_1 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{P}[u_1, v_1]\} = \{\mathcal{G}(u_2, v_2); u_2 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A}, v_2 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{Q}[u_2, v_2]\}$

provided the following requirements are met:

- For every element u of \mathcal{A} and for every element v of \mathcal{B} holds $\mathcal{P}[u, v]$ iff $\mathcal{Q}[u, v]$, and
- For every element u of \mathcal{A} and for every element v of \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{P}[u, v]$ holds $\mathcal{F}(u, v) = \mathcal{G}(u, v)$.

The scheme *SupCommutativity* deals with a complete lattice \mathcal{A} , non empty sets \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} , two binary functors \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} yielding elements of \mathcal{A} , and two unary predicates \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{Q} , and states that:

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{\bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mathcal{F}(x,y); y \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{Q}[y] \}; x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{P}[x] \} = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{\bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}} \{\mathcal{G}(x',y'); x' \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{P}[x'] \}; y' \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{Q}[y'] \} \end{array}$

provided the parameters meet the following condition:

• For every element x of \mathcal{B} and for every element y of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{P}[x]$ and $\mathcal{Q}[y]$ holds $\mathcal{F}(x, y) = \mathcal{G}(x, y)$.

One can prove the following propositions:

TAKASHI MITSUISHI AND GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

- (22) Let X, Y, Z be non empty sets, R be a membership function of X, Y, S be a membership function of Y, Z, x be an element of X, and z be an element of Z. Then $(RS)(\langle x, z \rangle) = \bigsqcup_{\text{RealPoset}[0,1]} \{R(\langle x, y \rangle) \sqcap S(\langle y, z \rangle) \in S(\langle y, z \rangle)$ $z\rangle$): y ranges over elements of Y}.
- (23) Let X, Y, Z, W be non empty sets, R be a membership function of X, Y, S be a membership function of Y, Z, and T be a membership function of Z, W. Then (RS)T = R(ST).

References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91-96, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. Formalized Mathe*matics*, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):93-107, 1997.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):169–176, 1997
- Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– [6]65, 1990.
- Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153-164, [7]1990. Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [8]
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):131–143, 1997.
- [11] Noboru Endou, Takashi Mitsuishi, and Keiji Ohkubo. Properties of fuzzy relation. Formalized Mathematics, 9(4):691-695, 2001.
- [12]Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):117-121, 1997.
- [13] Takashi Mitsuishi, Noboru Endou, and Yasunari Shidama. The concept of fuzzy set and membership function and basic properties of fuzzy set operation. Formalized Mathematics, 9(**2**):351-356, 2001.
- [14] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [15] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):777-780, 1990.
- Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. To appear in Formalized Mathematics.
- Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, [17]1(1):115-122, 1990.
- [18]Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [19]Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. Formalized [20]Mathematics, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [21] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
 [22] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73-83, 1990.
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181-186, 1990.

Received August 12, 2003

398