

Subsequences of Almost, Weakly and Poorly One-to-one Finite Sequences¹

Robert Milewski
Institute of Computer Science
University of Białystok
Akademicka 2, 15-267 Białystok, Poland

MML identifier: JORDAN23, version: 7.5.01 4.39.921

The articles [21], [24], [1], [3], [2], [23], [4], [11], [9], [22], [16], [20], [19], [6], [7], [12], [8], [13], [17], [14], [15], [5], [18], and [10] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

In this paper n is a natural number.

The following three propositions are true:

- (1) For every finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and for every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ holds $\text{len} \downarrow p, f \geq 1$.
- (2) For every non empty finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and for every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $\text{len} \downarrow f, p \geq 1$.
- (3) For every finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and for all points p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $\downarrow \downarrow p, f, q \neq \emptyset$.

Let x be a set. One can check that $\langle x \rangle$ is one-to-one.

Let f be a finite sequence. We say that f is almost one-to-one if and only if:

- (Def. 1) For all natural numbers i, j such that $i \in \text{dom } f$ and $j \in \text{dom } f$ and $i \neq 1$ or $j \neq \text{len } f$ and $i \neq \text{len } f$ or $j \neq 1$ and $f(i) = f(j)$ holds $i = j$.

Let f be a finite sequence. We say that f is weakly one-to-one if and only if:

- (Def. 2) For every natural number i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i < \text{len } f$ holds $f(i) \neq f(i + 1)$.

¹This work has been partially supported by the KBN grant 4 T11C 039 24.

- Let f be a finite sequence. We say that f is poorly one-to-one if and only if:
- (Def. 3)(i) For every natural number i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i < \text{len } f$ holds $f(i) \neq f(i+1)$ if $\text{len } f \neq 2$,
- (ii) TRUE, otherwise.

The following three propositions are true:

- (4) Let D be a set and f be a finite sequence of elements of D . Then f is almost one-to-one if and only if for all natural numbers i, j such that $i \in \text{dom } f$ and $j \in \text{dom } f$ and $i \neq 1$ or $j \neq \text{len } f$ and $i \neq \text{len } f$ or $j \neq 1$ and $f_i = f_j$ holds $i = j$.
- (5) Let D be a set and f be a finite sequence of elements of D . Then f is weakly one-to-one if and only if for every natural number i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i < \text{len } f$ holds $f_i \neq f_{i+1}$.
- (6) Let D be a set and f be a finite sequence of elements of D . Then f is poorly one-to-one if and only if if $\text{len } f \neq 2$, then for every natural number i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i < \text{len } f$ holds $f_i \neq f_{i+1}$.

Let us note that every finite sequence which is one-to-one is also almost one-to-one.

One can check that every finite sequence which is almost one-to-one is also poorly one-to-one.

The following proposition is true

- (7) For every finite sequence f such that $\text{len } f \neq 2$ holds f is weakly one-to-one iff f is poorly one-to-one.

Let us note that \emptyset is weakly one-to-one.

Let x be a set. One can verify that $\langle x \rangle$ is weakly one-to-one.

Let x, y be sets. Observe that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is poorly one-to-one.

Let us mention that there exists a finite sequence which is weakly one-to-one and non empty.

Let D be a non empty set. Observe that there exists a finite sequence of elements of D which is weakly one-to-one, circular, and non empty.

We now state three propositions:

- (8) For every finite sequence f such that f is almost one-to-one holds $\text{Rev}(f)$ is almost one-to-one.
- (9) For every finite sequence f such that f is weakly one-to-one holds $\text{Rev}(f)$ is weakly one-to-one.
- (10) For every finite sequence f such that f is poorly one-to-one holds $\text{Rev}(f)$ is poorly one-to-one.

Let us observe that there exists a finite sequence which is one-to-one and non empty.

Let f be an almost one-to-one finite sequence. Observe that $\text{Rev}(f)$ is almost one-to-one.

Let f be a weakly one-to-one finite sequence. Observe that $\text{Rev}(f)$ is weakly one-to-one.

Let f be a poorly one-to-one finite sequence. Observe that $\text{Rev}(f)$ is poorly one-to-one.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (11) Let D be a non empty set and f be a finite sequence of elements of D . Suppose f is almost one-to-one. Let p be an element of D . Then $f \circ p$ is almost one-to-one.
- (12) Let D be a non empty set and f be a finite sequence of elements of D . Suppose f is weakly one-to-one and circular. Let p be an element of D . Then $f \circ p$ is weakly one-to-one.
- (13) Let D be a non empty set and f be a finite sequence of elements of D . Suppose f is poorly one-to-one and circular. Let p be an element of D . Then $f \circ p$ is poorly one-to-one.

Let D be a non empty set. One can check that there exists a finite sequence of elements of D which is one-to-one, circular, and non empty.

Let D be a non empty set, let f be an almost one-to-one finite sequence of elements of D , and let p be an element of D . Note that $f \circ p$ is almost one-to-one.

Let D be a non empty set, let f be a circular weakly one-to-one finite sequence of elements of D , and let p be an element of D . Note that $f \circ p$ is weakly one-to-one.

Let D be a non empty set, let f be a circular poorly one-to-one finite sequence of elements of D , and let p be an element of D . One can verify that $f \circ p$ is poorly one-to-one.

The following proposition is true

- (14) Let D be a non empty set and f be a finite sequence of elements of D . Then f is almost one-to-one if and only if $f|_1$ is one-to-one and $f \upharpoonright (\text{len } f - 1)$ is one-to-one.

Let C be a compact non vertical non horizontal subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and let n be a natural number. Observe that $\text{Cage}(C, n)$ is almost one-to-one.

Let C be a compact non vertical non horizontal subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and let n be a natural number. One can check that $\text{Cage}(C, n)$ is weakly one-to-one.

The following propositions are true:

- (15) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and f is weakly one-to-one, then $\downarrow\downarrow p, f, p = \langle p \rangle$.
- (16) For every finite sequence f such that f is one-to-one holds f is weakly one-to-one.

One can check that every finite sequence which is one-to-one is also weakly one-to-one.

The following propositions are true:

- (17) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is weakly one-to-one. Let p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then $\downarrow\downarrow p, f, q = \text{Rev}(\downarrow\downarrow q, f, p)$.
- (18) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , and i_1 be a natural number. Suppose f is poorly one-to-one, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $1 < i_1$ and $i_1 \leq \text{len } f$ and $p = f(i_1)$. Then $\text{Index}(p, f) + 1 = i_1$.
- (19) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is weakly one-to-one. Let p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then $(\downarrow\downarrow p, f, q)_1 = p$.
- (20) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is weakly one-to-one. Let p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then $(\downarrow\downarrow p, f, q)_{\text{len } \downarrow\downarrow p, f, q} = q$.
- (21) For every finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and for every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ holds $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\downarrow p, f) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.
- (22) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and f is weakly one-to-one, then $\mathcal{L}(\downarrow\downarrow p, f, q) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.
- (23) For all finite sequences f, g holds $\text{dom } f \subseteq \text{dom}(f \curvearrowright g)$.
- (24) For every non empty finite sequence f and for every finite sequence g holds $\text{dom } g \subseteq \text{dom}(f \curvearrowright g)$.
- (25) For all finite sequences f, g such that $f \curvearrowright g$ is constant holds f is constant.
- (26) For all finite sequences f, g such that $f \curvearrowright g$ is constant and $f(\text{len } f) = g(1)$ and $f \neq \emptyset$ holds g is constant.
- (27) For every special finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and for all natural numbers i, j holds $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$ is special.
- (28) For every unfolded finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and for all natural numbers i, j holds $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$ is unfolded.
- (29) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is special. Let p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then $\downarrow p, f$ is special.
- (30) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is special. Let p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then $\downarrow f, p$ is special.
- (31) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is special and weakly one-to-one. Let p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then $\downarrow\downarrow p, f, q$ is special.
- (32) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is unfolded. Let p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then $\downarrow p, f$ is unfolded.
- (33) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is unfolded. Let

- p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then $\downarrow f, p$ is unfolded.
- (34) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is unfolded and weakly one-to-one. Let p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then $\downarrow\downarrow p, f, q$ is unfolded.
- (35) Let f, g be finite sequences of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is almost one-to-one, special, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \neq f(1)$ and $g = (\text{mid}(f, 1, \text{Index}(p, f))) \wedge \langle p \rangle$. Then g is a special sequence joining f_1, p .
- (36) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is poorly one-to-one, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p = f(\text{Index}(p, f) + 1)$ and $p \neq f(\text{len } f)$. Then $\text{Index}(p, \text{Rev}(f)) + \text{Index}(p, f) + 1 = \text{len } f$.
- (37) Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If f is weakly one-to-one and $\text{len } f \geq 2$, then $\downarrow f_1, f = f$.
- (38) Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is poorly one-to-one, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \neq f(\text{len } f)$. Then $\downarrow p, \text{Rev}(f) = \text{Rev}(\downarrow f, p)$.
- (39) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is almost one-to-one, special, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \neq f(1)$. Then $\downarrow f, p$ is a special sequence joining f_1, p .
- (40) Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is almost one-to-one, special, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \neq f(\text{len } f)$ and $p \neq f(1)$. Then $\downarrow p, f$ is a special sequence joining $p, f_{\text{len } f}$.
- (41) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is almost one-to-one, special, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \neq f(1)$. Then $\downarrow f, p$ is a special sequence.
- (42) Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose f is almost one-to-one, special, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \neq f(\text{len } f)$ and $p \neq f(1)$. Then $\downarrow p, f$ is a special sequence.
- (43) Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose that f is almost one-to-one, special, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $\text{len } f \neq 2$ and $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \neq q$ and $p \neq f(1)$ and $q \neq f(1)$. Then $\downarrow\downarrow p, f, q$ is a special sequence joining p, q .
- (44) Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose that f is almost one-to-one, special, unfolded, and s.n.c. and $\text{len } f \neq 2$ and $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ and $p \neq q$ and $p \neq f(1)$ and $q \neq f(1)$. Then $\downarrow\downarrow p, f, q$ is a special sequence.
- (45) Let C be a compact non vertical non horizontal subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose $p \in \text{BDD } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))$. Then there exists a

- S-sequence B in \mathbb{R}^2 such that
- (i) $B = \Downarrow \text{South-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))),$
 $(\text{Cage}(C, n) \circ (\text{Cage}(C, n))_{\text{Index}(\text{South-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))), \text{Cage}(C, n))}) \uparrow (\text{len}$
 $(\text{Cage}(C, n) \circ (\text{Cage}(C, n))_{\text{Index}(\text{South-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))), \text{Cage}(C, n))}) - 1),$
 $\text{North-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))),$ and
- (ii) there exists a S-sequence P in \mathbb{R}^2 such that P is a sequence which elements belong to the Go-board of $B \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{North-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))), \text{South-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))) \rangle$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\langle \text{North-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))), \text{South-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))) \rangle) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(P)$ and $P_1 = \text{North-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n)))$ and $P_{\text{len } P} = \text{South-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n)))$ and $\text{len } P \geq 2$ and there exists a S-sequence B_1 in \mathbb{R}^2 such that B_1 is a sequence which elements belong to the Go-board of $B \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{North-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))), \text{South-Bound}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n))) \rangle$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(B) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(B_1)$ and $B_1 = (B_1)_1$ and $B_{\text{len } B} = (B_1)_{\text{len } B_1}$ and $\text{len } B \leq \text{len } B_1$ and there exists a non constant standard special circular sequence g such that $g = B_1 \rightsquigarrow P$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):241–245, 1996.
- [5] Czesław Byliński and Mariusz Żynel. Cages – the external approximation of Jordan’s curve. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(1):19–24, 2001.
- [6] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [9] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):475–480, 1991.
- [10] Artur Kornilowicz. The ordering of points on a curve. Part IV. *Formalized Mathematics*, 10(3):173–177, 2002.
- [11] Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):275–278, 1992.
- [12] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board – part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):107–115, 1992.
- [13] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board – part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):117–121, 1992.
- [14] Yatsuka Nakamura and Czesław Byliński. Extremal properties of vertices on special polygons. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):97–102, 1996.
- [15] Yatsuka Nakamura and Roman Matuszewski. Reconstructions of special sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):255–263, 1997.
- [16] Yatsuka Nakamura and Piotr Rudnicki. Vertex sequences induced by chains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):297–304, 1996.
- [17] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-board into cells. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):323–328, 1996.

- [18] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):1–13, 1999.
- [19] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [20] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. On the decomposition of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):317–322, 1996.
- [23] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [24] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

Received February 1, 2005
