

# Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem in the General Case

Karol Pałk  
Institute of Informatics  
University of Białystok  
Poland

**Summary.** In this article we prove the Brouwer fixed point theorem for an arbitrary convex compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  with a non empty interior. This article is based on [15].

MML identifier: BROUWER2, version: 7.11.07 4.160.1126

The notation and terminology used here have been introduced in the following papers: [17], [12], [1], [4], [7], [16], [6], [13], [10], [2], [3], [14], [9], [20], [18], [8], [19], [11], [21], and [5].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention:  $n$  is a natural number,  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $u$ ,  $w$  are points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $S$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$  are convex subsets of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ , and  $r$  is a real number.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1)  $(1 - r) \cdot p + r \cdot q = p + r \cdot (q - p)$ .
- (2) If  $u, w \in \text{halfline}(p, q)$  and  $|u - p| = |w - p|$ , then  $u = w$ .
- (3) Let given  $S$ . Suppose  $p \in S$  and  $p \neq q$  and  $S \cap \text{halfline}(p, q)$  is Bounded. Then there exists  $w$  such that
  - (i)  $w \in \text{Fr } S \cap \text{halfline}(p, q)$ ,
  - (ii) for every  $u$  such that  $u \in S \cap \text{halfline}(p, q)$  holds  $|p - u| \leq |p - w|$ , and
  - (iii) for every  $r$  such that  $r > 0$  there exists  $u$  such that  $u \in S \cap \text{halfline}(p, q)$  and  $|w - u| < r$ .

- (4) For every  $A$  such that  $A$  is closed and  $p \in \text{Int } A$  and  $p \neq q$  and  $A \cap \text{halfline}(p, q)$  is Bounded there exists  $u$  such that  $\text{Fr } A \cap \text{halfline}(p, q) = \{u\}$ .
- (5) If  $r > 0$ , then  $\text{Fr } \overline{\text{Ball}}(p, r) = \text{Sphere}(p, r)$ .

Let  $n$  be an element of  $\mathbb{N}$ , let  $A$  be a Bounded subset of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n$ , and let  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n$ . One can verify that  $p + A$  is Bounded.

## 2. MAIN THEOREMS

Next we state four propositions:

- (6) Let  $n$  be an element of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $A$  be a convex subset of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n$ . Suppose  $A$  is compact and non boundary. Then there exists a function  $h$  from  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n \upharpoonright A$  into  $\text{Tdisk}(0_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n}, 1)$  such that  $h$  is homeomorphism and  $h^\circ \text{Fr } A = \text{Sphere}((0_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n}), 1)$ .
- (7) Let given  $A, B$ . Suppose  $A$  is compact and non boundary and  $B$  is compact and non boundary. Then there exists a function  $h$  from  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n \upharpoonright A$  into  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n \upharpoonright B$  such that  $h$  is homeomorphism and  $h^\circ \text{Fr } A = \text{Fr } B$ .
- (8)<sup>1</sup> For every  $A$  such that  $A$  is compact and non boundary holds every continuous function from  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n \upharpoonright A$  into  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n \upharpoonright A$  has a fixpoint.
- (9) Let  $A$  be a non empty convex subset of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n$ . Suppose  $A$  is compact and non boundary. Let  $F_1$  be a non empty subspace of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n \upharpoonright A$ . If  $\Omega_{(F_1)} = \text{Fr } A$ , then  $F_1$  is not a retract of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n \upharpoonright A$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [5] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [6] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [7] Noboru Endou, Takashi Mitsuishi, and Yasunari Shidama. Convex sets and convex combinations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(1):53–58, 2003.
- [8] Noboru Endou, Takashi Mitsuishi, and Yasunari Shidama. Dimension of real unitary space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(1):23–28, 2003.
- [9] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [10] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [11] Artur Korniłowicz and Yasunari Shidama. Intersections of intervals and balls in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^n$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(3):301–306, 2004.
- [12] Artur Korniłowicz and Yasunari Shidama. Brouwer fixed point theorem for disks on the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):333–336, 2005.
- [13] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):1–13, 1999.

---

<sup>1</sup>Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem

- [14] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [15] Karol Sieklucki. *Geometria i topologia*. PWN, 1979.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [21] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

*Received December 21, 2010*

---