

# Basic Formal Properties of Triangular Norms and Conorms

Adam Grabowski  
Institute of Informatics  
University of Białystok  
Poland

**Summary.** In the article we present in the Mizar system [1], [8] the catalogue of triangular norms and conorms, used especially in the theory of fuzzy sets [13]. The name *triangular* emphasizes the fact that in the framework of probabilistic metric spaces they generalize triangle inequality [2].

After defining corresponding Mizar mode using four attributes, we introduced the following t-norms:

- minimum t-norm `minnorm` (Def. 6),
- product t-norm `prodnorm` (Def. 8),
- Łukasiewicz t-norm `Lukasiewicz_norm` (Def. 10),
- drastic t-norm `drastic_norm` (Def. 11),
- nilpotent minimum `nilmin_norm` (Def. 12),
- Hamacher product `Hamacher_norm` (Def. 13),

and corresponding t-conorms:

- maximum t-conorm `maxnorm` (Def. 7),
- probabilistic sum `probsum_conorm` (Def. 9),
- bounded sum `BoundedSum_conorm` (Def. 19),
- drastic t-conorm `drastic_conorm` (Def. 14),
- nilpotent maximum `nilmax_conorm` (Def. 18),
- Hamacher t-conorm `Hamacher_conorm` (Def. 17).

Their basic properties and duality are shown; we also proved the predicate of the ordering of norms [10], [9]. It was proven formally that drastic-norm is the pointwise smallest t-norm and `minnorm` is the pointwise largest t-norm (`maxnorm` is the pointwise smallest t-conorm and `drastic-conorm` is the pointwise largest t-conorm).

This work is a continuation of the development of fuzzy sets in Mizar [6] started in [11] and [3]; it could be used to give a variety of more general operations on fuzzy sets. Our formalization is much closer to the set theory used within the Mizar Mathematical Library than the development of rough sets [4], the approach which was chosen allows however for merging both theories [5], [7].

MSC: 03E72 94D05 03B35

Keywords: fuzzy set; triangular norm; triangular conorm; fuzzy logic

MML identifier: FUZNORM1, version: 8.1.06 5.43.1297

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

One can verify that  $[0, 1]$  is non empty.

Let us consider elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (1)  $\min(a, b) \in [0, 1]$ .
- (2)  $\max(a, b) \in [0, 1]$ .
- (3)  $a \cdot b \in [0, 1]$ .
- (4)  $\max(0, a + b - 1) \in [0, 1]$ .
- (5)  $\min(a + b, 1) \in [0, 1]$ .
- (6) Let us consider elements  $a, b, c$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $\max(0, \max(0, a + b - 1) + c - 1) = \max(0, a + \max(0, b + c - 1) - 1)$ .
- (7) Let us consider an element  $a$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $1 - a \in [0, 1]$ .

Let us consider elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (8)  $a + b - (a \cdot b) \in [0, 1]$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (3).
- (9)  $\frac{a \cdot b}{a + b - (a \cdot b)} \in [0, 1]$ . The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (8).
- (10) If  $\max(a, b) \neq 1$ , then  $a \neq 1$  and  $b \neq 1$ .
- (11) Let us consider elements  $x, y$  of  $[0, 1]$ . If  $x \cdot y = x + y$ , then  $x = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7).

Let us consider elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (12)  $\max(a, b) = 1 - \min(1 - a, 1 - b)$ .
- (13)  $\min(a + b, 1) = 1 - \max(0, 1 - a + (1 - b) - 1)$ .
- (14)  $\frac{a + b - (2 \cdot a \cdot b)}{1 - (a \cdot b)} \in [0, 1]$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (3).

Let  $f$  be a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  and  $a, b$  be real numbers. Let us observe that  $f(a, b)$  is real.

Now we state the propositions:

- (15) Let us consider real numbers  $a, b$ , and a binary operation  $t$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $t(a, b) \in [0, 1]$ .

- (16) Let us consider a binary operation  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ , and real numbers  $a, b$ . Then  $1 - f(1 - a, 1 - b) \in [0, 1]$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (7).
- (17) Let us consider real numbers  $x, y, k$ . Suppose  $k \leq 0$ . Then
- (i)  $k \cdot \min(x, y) = \max(k \cdot x, k \cdot y)$ , and
  - (ii)  $k \cdot \max(x, y) = \min(k \cdot x, k \cdot y)$ .

## 2. BASIC EXAMPLE OF A TRIANGULAR NORM AND CONORM: MIN AND MAX

Let  $A$  be a real-membered set and  $f$  be a binary operation on  $A$ . We say that  $f$  is monotonic if and only if

- (Def. 1) for every elements  $a, b, c, d$  of  $A$  such that  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  holds  $f(a, b) \leq f(c, d)$ .

We say that  $f$  has 1-identity if and only if

- (Def. 2) for every element  $a$  of  $A$ ,  $f(a, 1) = a$ .

We say that  $f$  has 1-annihilating if and only if

- (Def. 3) for every element  $a$  of  $A$ ,  $f(a, 1) = 1$ .

We say that  $f$  has 0-identity if and only if

- (Def. 4) for every element  $a$  of  $A$ ,  $f(a, 0) = a$ .

We say that  $f$  has 0-annihilating if and only if

- (Def. 5) for every element  $a$  of  $A$ ,  $f(a, 0) = 0$ .

The scheme *ExBinOp* deals with a non empty, real-membered set  $\mathcal{A}$  and a binary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a set and states that

- (Sch. 1) There exists a binary operation  $f$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for every elements  $a, b$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $f(a, b) = \mathcal{F}(a, b)$

provided

- for every elements  $a, b$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The functor minnorm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

- (Def. 6) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $it(a, b) = \min(a, b)$ .

Observe that minnorm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 1-identity and there exists a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  which is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 1-identity.

A t-norm is a commutative, associative, monotonic binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  with 1-identity. The functor maxnorm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

(Def. 7) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $it(a, b) = \max(a, b)$ .

One can verify that maxnorm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 0-identity and there exists a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  which is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 0-identity.

A t-conorm is a commutative, associative, monotonic binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  with 0-identity. Now we state the propositions:

(18) Let us consider a commutative, monotonic binary operation  $t$  on  $[0, 1]$  with 1-identity, and an element  $a$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $t(a, 0) = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15).

(19) Let us consider a commutative, monotonic binary operation  $t$  on  $[0, 1]$  with 0-identity, and an element  $a$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $t(a, 1) = 1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15).

Let us note that every commutative, monotonic binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  with 1-identity has 0-annihilating and every commutative, monotonic binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  with 0-identity has 1-annihilating.

### 3. FURTHER EXAMPLES OF TRIANGULAR NORMS

The functor prodnorm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

(Def. 8) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $it(a, b) = a \cdot b$ .

Let us observe that prodnorm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 1-identity.

The functor probsum-conorm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

(Def. 9) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $it(a, b) = a + b - (a \cdot b)$ .

The functor Lukasiewicz-norm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

(Def. 10) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $it(a, b) = \max(0, a + b - 1)$ .

One can check that Lukasiewicz-norm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 1-identity.

The functor drastic-norm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

(Def. 11) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ , if  $\max(a, b) = 1$ , then  $it(a, b) = \min(a, b)$  and if  $\max(a, b) \neq 1$ , then  $it(a, b) = 0$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(20) Let us consider elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Then

- (i) if  $a = 1$ , then  $(\text{drastic-norm})(a, b) = b$ , and
- (ii) if  $b = 1$ , then  $(\text{drastic-norm})(a, b) = a$ , and

(iii) if  $a \neq 1$  and  $b \neq 1$ , then  $(\text{drastic-norm})(a, b) = 0$ .

Note that drastic-norm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 1-identity.

The functor nilmin-norm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by  
 (Def. 12) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ , if  $a + b > 1$ , then  $it(a, b) = \min(a, b)$  and if  $a + b \leq 1$ , then  $it(a, b) = 0$ .

Observe that nilmin-norm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 1-identity.

The functor Hamacher-norm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

(Def. 13) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $it(a, b) = \frac{a \cdot b}{a + b - (a \cdot b)}$ .

One can verify that Hamacher-norm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 1-identity.

#### 4. BASIC TRIANGULAR CONORMS

The functor drastic-conorm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

(Def. 14) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ , if  $\min(a, b) = 0$ , then  $it(a, b) = \max(a, b)$  and if  $\min(a, b) \neq 0$ , then  $it(a, b) = 1$ .

#### 5. TRANSLATING BETWEEN TRIANGULAR NORMS AND CONORMS

Let  $t$  be a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$ . The functor conorm  $t$  yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

(Def. 15) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $it(a, b) = 1 - t(1 - a, 1 - b)$ .

Let  $t$  be a t-norm. Let us observe that conorm  $t$  is monotonic, commutative, and associative and has 0-identity.

Now we state the propositions:

(21)  $\text{maxnorm} = \text{conorm minnorm}$ .

PROOF: For every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $(\text{maxnorm})(a, b) = 1 - (\text{minnorm})(1 - a, 1 - b)$  by (7), (17), [12, (42)].  $\square$

(22) Let us consider a binary operation  $t$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then conorm conorm  $t = t$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7).

## 6. THE ORDERING OF TRIANGULAR NORMS (AND CONORMS)

Let  $f_1, f_2$  be binary operations on  $[0, 1]$ . We say that  $f_1 \leq f_2$  if and only if  
 (Def. 16) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $f_1(a, b) \leq f_2(a, b)$ .

Let us consider a t-norm  $t$ . Now we state the propositions:

(23) drastic-norm  $\leq t$ . The theorem is a consequence of (20).

(24)  $t \leq$  minnorm.

Now we state the proposition:

(25) Let us consider t-norms  $t_1, t_2$ . If  $t_1 \leq t_2$ , then conorm  $t_2 \leq$  conorm  $t_1$ .  
 The theorem is a consequence of (7).

## 7. TRIANGULAR CONORMS GENERATED FROM T-NORMS

The functor Hamacher-conorm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined  
 by

(Def. 17) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ , if  $a = b = 1$ , then  $it(a, b) = 1$  and if  
 $a \neq 1$  or  $b \neq 1$ , then  $it(a, b) = \frac{a+b-(2 \cdot a \cdot b)}{1-(a \cdot b)}$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(26) conorm Hamacher-norm = Hamacher-conorm. The theorem is a consequence of (7).

Let us note that Hamacher-conorm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 0-identity.

Now we state the propositions:

(27) conorm drastic-norm = drastic-conorm. The theorem is a consequence of (7).

(28) conorm prodnorm = probsum-conorm. The theorem is a consequence of (7).

One can check that probsum-conorm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 0-identity.

The functor nilmax-conorm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined  
 by

(Def. 18) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ , if  $a + b < 1$ , then  $it(a, b) = \max(a, b)$  and  
 if  $a + b \geq 1$ , then  $it(a, b) = 1$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(29) conorm nilmin-norm = nilmax-conorm. The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (12).

Let us note that nilmax-conorm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 0-identity.

The functor BoundedSum-conorm yielding a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

(Def. 19) for every elements  $a, b$  of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $it(a, b) = \min(a + b, 1)$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(30) conorm Lukasiewicz-norm = BoundedSum-conorm. The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (13).

One can check that BoundedSum-conorm is commutative, associative, and monotonic and has 0-identity.

Let us consider a t-conorm  $t$ . Now we state the propositions:

(31) maxnorm  $\leq t$ .

(32)  $t \leq$  drastic-conorm.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pał, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Didier Dubois and Henri Prade. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems: Theory and Applications*. Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [3] Adam Grabowski. The formal construction of fuzzy numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 22(4):321–327, 2014. doi:10.2478/forma-2014-0032.
- [4] Adam Grabowski. On the computer-assisted reasoning about rough sets. In B. Dunin-Kępicz, A. Jankowski, A. Skowron, and M. Szczuka, editors, *International Workshop on Monitoring, Security, and Rescue Techniques in Multiagent Systems Location*, volume 28 of *Advances in Soft Computing*, pages 215–226, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005. Springer-Verlag. doi:10.1007/3-540-32370-8\_15.
- [5] Adam Grabowski. Efficient rough set theory merging. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 135(4): 371–385, 2014. doi:10.3233/FI-2014-1129.
- [6] Adam Grabowski. On the computer certification of fuzzy numbers. In M. Ganzha, L. Maciaszek, and M. Paprzycki, editors, *2013 Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems (FedCSIS)*, Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems, pages 51–54, 2013.
- [7] Adam Grabowski and Takashi Mitsuishi. Initial comparison of formal approaches to fuzzy and rough sets. In Leszek Rutkowski, Marcin Korytkowski, Rafal Scherer, Ryszard Tadeusiewicz, Lotfi A. Zadeh, and Jacek M. Zurada, editors, *Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing - 14th International Conference, ICAISC 2015, Zakopane, Poland, June 14-18, 2015, Proceedings, Part I*, volume 9119 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 160–171. Springer, 2015. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-19324-3\_15.
- [8] Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, and Adam Naumowicz. Four decades of Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 55(3):191–198, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9345-1.
- [9] Petr Hájek. *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1998.
- [10] Erich Peter Klement, Radko Mesiar, and Endre Pap. *Triangular Norms*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 2000.
- [11] Takashi Mitsuishi, Noboru Endou, and Yasunari Shidama. The concept of fuzzy set and

membership function and basic properties of fuzzy set operation. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(2):351–356, 2001.

- [12] Takashi Mitsuishi, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Basic properties of fuzzy set operation and membership function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(2):357–362, 2001.
- [13] Lotfi Zadeh. Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8(3):338–353, 1965.

*Received June 27, 2017*

---



The English version of this volume of *Formalized Mathematics* was financed under agreement 548/P-DUN/2016 with the funds from the Polish Minister of Science and Higher Education for the dissemination of science.