FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Vol. 25, No. 4, Pages 291–314, 2017
DOI: 10.1515/forma-2017-0028

DE GRUYTER OPEN
degruyter.com/view/j/forma

Tarski Geometry Axioms. Part III

Roland Coghetto
Rue de la Brasserie 5
7100 La Louvière, Belgium

Adam Grabowski
Institute of Informatics
University of Białystok
Poland

Summary. czkam rzewnie

MSC: 51A05 51M04 03B35

Keywords: Tarski's geometry axioms; foundations of geometry; Euclidean plane

MML identifier: GTARSKI3, version: 8.1.06 5.45.1311

1. Congruence Properties

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry and the axiom of congruence equivalence relation and a, b, c, d, e, f denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(1) 2.1 Satz:
$\overline{ab} \cong \overline{ab}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(2) 2.1 Satz bis:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence equivalence relation and the axiom of segment construction, and points a, b of S. Then $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{ab}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(3) 2.2 Satz:
If $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cd}$, then $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{ab}$. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Now we state the proposition:

ISSN 1426–2630(Print), 1898-9934(Online)

(4) 2.2 Satz bis:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence equivalence relation and the axiom of segment construction, and points a, b, c, d of S. If $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cd}$, then $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{ab}$. The theorem is a consequence of (2).

Now we state the proposition:

(5) 2.3 Satz:
If $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cd}$ and $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{ef}$, then $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{ef}$. The theorem is a consequence of (3).

Now we state the proposition:

(6) 2.4 Satz:
If $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cd}$, then $\overline{ba} \cong \overline{cd}$. The theorem is a consequence of (5).

Now we state the proposition:

(7) 2.5 Satz:
If $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cd}$, then $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{dc}$. The theorem is a consequence of (5).

Now we state the proposition:

(8) 2.8 Satz:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence identity and the axiom of segment construction, and points a, b of S. Then $\overline{aa} \cong \overline{bb}$.

Let S be a Tarski plane. We say that S satisfies SST (A5) if and only if

(Def. 1) for every points a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' of S such that $a \neq b$ and b lies between a and c and b' lies between a' and c' and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{a'b'}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{b'c'}$ and $\overline{ad} \cong \overline{a'd'}$ and $\overline{bd} \cong \overline{b'd'}$ holds $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{c'd'}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(9) S satisfies the axiom of SAS if and only if S satisfies SST (A5). The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (7).

One can check that every Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry and the axiom of congruence equivalence relation which satisfies SST (A5) satisfies also the axiom of SAS and every Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry and the axiom of congruence equivalence relation which satisfies the axiom of SAS satisfies also SST (A5).

Let S be a Tarski plane and a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' be points of S. We say that a, b, c, d AFS a', b', c', d' if and only if

(Def. 2) b lies between a and c and b' lies between a' and c' and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{a'b'}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{b'c'}$ and $\overline{ad} \cong \overline{a'd'}$ and $\overline{bd} \cong \overline{b'd'}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(10) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, and the axiom of

SAS, and points a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' of S. Suppose a, b, c, d AFS a', b', c', d' and $a \neq b$. Then $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{c'd'}$.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of segment construction, and the axiom of SAS and q, a, b, c, a', b', c', x_1, x_2 denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(11) 2.11 Satz:
If b lies between a and c and b' lies between a' and c' and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{a'b'}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{b'c'}$, then $\overline{ac} \cong \overline{a'c'}$. The theorem is a consequence of (6), (7), (8), and (3).

Now we state the proposition:

(12) 2.12 Satz:
Suppose $q \neq a$. If a lies between q and x_1 and $\overline{ax_1} \cong \overline{bc}$ and a lies between q and x_2 and $\overline{ax_2} \cong \overline{bc}$, then $x_1 = x_2$. The theorem is a consequence of (3), (5), (1), and (11).

2. Between Properties

Now we state the proposition:

(13) 3.1 Satz:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence identity and the axiom of segment construction, and points a, b of S. Then b lies between a and b.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and the axiom of Pasch and a, b, c, d denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(14) 3.2 Satz:
If b lies between a and c, then b lies between c and a. The theorem is a consequence of (13).

Now we state the proposition:

(15) 3.3 Satz:
a lies between a and b.

Now we state the proposition:

(16) 3.4 Satz:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of betweenness identity

and the axiom of Pasch, and points a, b, c of S. If b lies between a and c and a lies between b and c, then $a = b$.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and a, b, c, d denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(17) 3.5 Satz:
If b lies between a and d and c lies between b and d, then b lies between a and c and c lies between a and d.

Now we state the proposition:

(18) 3.6 Satz:
If b lies between a and c and c lies between a and d, then c lies between b and d and b lies between a and d.

Now we state the proposition:

(19) 3.7 Satz:
If b lies between a and c and c lies between b and d and $b \neq c$, then c lies between a and d and b lies between a and d.

Let S be a Tarski plane and a, b, c, d be points of S. We say that between4a, b, c, d if and only if

(Def. 3) b lies between a and c and b lies between a and d and c lies between a and d and c lies between b and d.

Let S be a Tarski plane and a, b, c, d, e be points of S. We say that between5a, b, c, d, e if and only if

(Def. 4) b lies between a and c and b lies between a and d and b lies between a and e and c lies between a and d and c lies between a and e and d lies between a and e and c lies between b and d and c lies between b and e and d lies between b and e and d lies between c and e.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and the axiom of Pasch and a, b, c, d, e denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(20) 3.9 Satz (n = 3):
If b lies between a and c, then b lies between c and a.

Now we state the proposition:

(21) 3.9 Satz (n = 4):
If between4a, b, c, d, then between4d, c, b, a.

Now we state the proposition:

(22) 3.9 Satz (n = 5):
If between5a, b, c, d, e, then between5e, d, c, b, a.

Now we state the proposition:

(23) 3.10 Satz (n = 4):

Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and the axiom of Pasch, and points a, b, c, d of S. Suppose between4a, b, c, d. Then

(i) b lies between a and c, and

(ii) b lies between a and d, and

(iii) c lies between a and d, and

(iv) c lies between b and d.

Now we state the proposition:

(24) 3.10 Satz (n = 5):

Suppose between5a, b, c, d, e. Then

(i) b lies between a and c, and

(ii) b lies between a and d, and

(iii) b lies between a and e, and

(iv) c lies between a and d, and

(v) c lies between a and e, and

(vi) d lies between a and e, and

(vii) c lies between b and d, and

(viii) c lies between b and e, and

(ix) d lies between b and e, and

(x) d lies between c and e, and

(xi) between4a, b, c, d, and

(xii) between4a, b, c, e, and

(xiii) between4a, c, d, e, and

(xiv) between4b, c, d, e.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and a, b, c, d, p denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(25) 3.11 Satz (n = 3, l = 1):

If b lies between a and c and p lies between a and b, then between4a, p, b, c.

Now we state the proposition:

(26) 3.11 Satz (n = 3, l = 2):
If b lies between a and c and p lies between b and c, then between4a, b, p, c.

Now we state the proposition:

(27) 3.11 Satz (n = 3, l = 1):
If between4a, b, c, d and p lies between a and b, then between5a, p, b, c, d.

Now we state the proposition:

(28) 3.11 Satz (n = 3, l = 2):
If between4a, b, c, d and p lies between b and c, then between5a, b, p, c, d.

Now we state the proposition:

(29) 3.11 Satz (n = 3, l = 3):
If between4a, b, c, d and p lies between c and d, then between5a, b, c, p, d.

Now we state the proposition:

(30) 3.12 Satz (n = 3, l = 1):
If b lies between a and c and c lies between a and p, then between4a, b, c, p and if $a \neq c$, then between4a, b, c, p.

Now we state the proposition:

(31) 3.12 Satz (n = 3, l = 2):
If b lies between a and c and c lies between b and p, then c lies between b and p and if $b \neq c$, then between4a, b, c, p.

Now we state the proposition:

(32) 3.12 Satz (n = 4, l = 1):
If between4a, b, c, d and d lies between a and p, then between5a, b, c, d, p and if $a \neq d$, then between5a, b, c, d, p.

Now we state the proposition:

(33) 3.12 Satz (n = 4, l = 2):
If between4a, b, c, d and d lies between b and p, then between4b, c, d, p and if $b \neq d$, then between5a, b, c, d, p.

Now we state the proposition:

(34) 3.12 Satz (n = 4, l = 3):
If between4a, b, c, d and d lies between c and p, then d lies between c and p and if $c \neq d$, then between5a, b, c, d, p.

Let us note that there exists Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms which satisfies Lower Dimension Axiom.

Now we state the proposition:

(35) 3.13 Satz:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence identity,

the axiom of segment construction, and Lower Dimension Axiom. Then there exist points a, b, c of S such that

(i) b does not lie between a and c, and

(ii) c does not lie between b and a, and

(iii) a does not lie between c and b, and

(iv) $a \neq b$, and

(v) $b \neq c$, and

(vi) $c \neq a$.

The theorem is a consequence of (13).

Now we state the proposition:

(36) 3.14 Satz:

Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of segment construction, and Lower Dimension Axiom, and points a, b of S. Then there exists a point c of S such that

(i) b lies between a and c, and

(ii) $b \neq c$.

The theorem is a consequence of (35) and (3).

Now we state the proposition:

(37) 3.15 Satz (n = 3):

Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and Lower Dimension Axiom, and points a_1, a_2 of S. Suppose $a_1 \neq a_2$. Then there exists a point a_3 of S such that

(i) a_2 lies between a_1 and a_3, and

(ii) a_1, a_2, a_3 are mutually different.

The theorem is a consequence of (36).

Now we state the proposition:

(38) 3.15 Satz (n = 4):

Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and Lower Dimension Axiom, and points a_1, a_2 of S. Suppose $a_1 \neq a_2$. Then there exist points a_3, a_4 of S such that

(i) $\mathrm{between4} a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$, and

(ii) a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 are mutually different.

The theorem is a consequence of (37).

Now we state the proposition:

(39) 3.15 Satz (n = 5):
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and Lower Dimension Axiom, and points a_1, a_2 of S. Suppose $a_1 \neq a_2$. Then there exist points a_3, a_4, a_5 of S such that

(i) between5a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, and

(ii) a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4,a_5 are mutually different.

The theorem is a consequence of (38) and (37).

Now we state the proposition:

(40) 3.17 Satz:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms, and points a, b, c, p, a', b', c' of S. Suppose b lies between a and c and b' lies between a' and c and p lies between a and a'. Then there exists a point q of S such that

(i) q lies between p and c, and

(ii) q lies between b and b'.

The theorem is a consequence of (14).

3. Collinearity

Let S be a Tarski plane and a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' be points of S. We say that $a, b, c, d \,\mathrm{IFS}\, a', b', c', d'$ if and only if

(Def. 5) b lies between a and c and b' lies between a' and c' and $\overline{ac} \cong \overline{a'c'}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{b'c'}$ and $\overline{ad} \cong \overline{a'd'}$ and $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{c'd'}$.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(41) 4.2 Satz:
If $a, b, c, d \,\mathrm{IFS}\, a', b', c', d'$, then $\overline{bd} \cong \overline{b'd'}$. The theorem is a consequence of (3), (6), (7), and (14).

Now we state the proposition:

(42) 4.3 Satz:
If b lies between a and c and b' lies between a' and c' and $\overline{ac} \cong \overline{a'c'}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{b'c'}$, then $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{a'b'}$. The theorem is a consequence of (6), (8), (7), and (41).

Now we state the proposition:

(43) 4.5 Satz:

If b lies between a and c and $\overline{ac} \cong \overline{a'c'}$, then there exists b' such that b' lies between a' and c' and $\triangle abc \cong \triangle a'b'c'$. The theorem is a consequence of (3), (8), (13), (14), (11), and (12).

Now we state the proposition:

(44) 4.6 Satz:

If b lies between a and c and $\triangle abc \cong \triangle a'b'c'$, then b' lies between a' and c'. The theorem is a consequence of (43), (3), (5), (6), (1), (7), and (41).

Now we state the proposition:

(45) 4.11 Satz:

Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and the axiom of Pasch, and points a, b, c of S. Suppose a, b and c are collinear. Then

(i) b, c and a are collinear, and

(ii) c, a and b are collinear, and

(iii) c, b and a are collinear, and

(iv) b, a and c are collinear, and

(v) a, c and b are collinear.

Now we state the propositions:

(46) 4.12 Satz:

Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence identity and the axiom of segment construction, and points a, b of S. Then a, a and b are collinear.

(47) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry and the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, and points a, b, c, a', b', c' of S. Suppose $\triangle abc \cong \triangle a'b'c'$. Then $\triangle bca \cong \triangle b'c'a'$. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (7).

Now we state the proposition:

(48) 4.13 Satz:

Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms, and points a, b, c, a', b', c' of S. Suppose a, b and c are collinear and $\triangle abc \cong \triangle a'b'c'$. Then a', b' and c' are collinear. The theorem is a consequence of (47) and (44).

Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry and the axiom of congruence equivalence relation and points a, b, c, a', b', c' of S. Now we state the propositions:

(49) If $\triangle bac \cong \triangle b'a'c'$, then $\triangle abc \cong \triangle a'b'c'$. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (7).

(50) If $\triangle acb \cong \triangle a'c'b'$, then $\triangle abc \cong \triangle a'b'c'$. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (7).

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d', p, q denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(51) 4.14 Satz:
If a, b and c are collinear and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{a'b'}$, then there exists a point c' of S such that $\triangle abc \cong \triangle a'b'c'$. The theorem is a consequence of (3), (11), (14), (6), (7), (49), (43), and (50).

Let S be a Tarski plane and a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' be points of S. We say that $a, b, c, d \,\mathrm{FS}\, a', b', c', d'$ if and only if

(Def. 6) a, b and c are collinear and $\triangle abc \cong \triangle a'b'c'$ and $\overline{ad} \cong \overline{a'd'}$ and $\overline{bd} \cong \overline{b'd'}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(52) 4.16 Satz:
If $a, b, c, d \,\mathrm{FS}\, a', b', c', d'$ and $a \neq b$, then $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{c'd'}$. The theorem is a consequence of (44), (47), (41), (14), and (49).

Now we state the proposition:

(53) 4.17 Satz:
If $a \neq b$ and a, b and c are collinear and $\overline{ap} \cong \overline{aq}$ and $\overline{bp} \cong \overline{bq}$, then $\overline{cp} \cong \overline{cq}$. The theorem is a consequence of (1) and (52).

Now we state the proposition:

(54) 4.18 Satz:
If $a \neq b$ and a, b and c are collinear and $\overline{ac} \cong \overline{ac'}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{bc'}$, then $c = c'$. The theorem is a consequence of (53) and (3).

Now we state the proposition:

(55) 4.19 Satz:
If c lies between a and b and $\overline{ac} \cong \overline{ac'}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{bc'}$, then $c = c'$. The theorem is a consequence of (3), (14), and (54).

4. Between Transitivity le??

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and a, b, c, d, e, f, a', b', c', d' denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(56) 5.1 SATZ:
If $a \neq b$ and b lies between a and c and b lies between a and d, then c lies between a and d or d lies between a and c.

Now we state the proposition:

(57) 5.2 SATZ:
If $a \neq b$ and b lies between a and c and b lies between a and d, then c lies between b and d or d lies between b and c. The theorem is a consequence of (56).

Now we state the proposition:

(58) 5.3 SATZ:
If b lies between a and d and c lies between a and d, then b lies between a and c or c lies between a and b. The theorem is a consequence of (13), (14), (3), and (57).

Let S be a Tarski plane and a, b, c, d be points of S. We say that $a, b \leqslant c, d$ if and only if

(Def. 7) there exists a point y of S such that y lies between c and d and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cy}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(59) 5.5 SATZ:
$a, b \leqslant c, d$ if and only if there exists a point x of S such that b lies between a and x and $\overline{ax} \cong \overline{cd}$. The theorem is a consequence of (3), (51), (44), (6), and (7).

Now we state the proposition:

(60) 5.6 SATZ:
If $a, b \leqslant c, d$ and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{a'b'}$ and $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{c'd'}$, then $a', b' \leqslant c', d'$. The theorem is a consequence of (59), (51), (3), (5), and (44).

Now we state the proposition:

(61) 5.7 SATZ:
$a, b \leqslant a, b$. The theorem is a consequence of (13) and (1).

Now we state the proposition:

(62) 5.8 SATZ:
If $a, b \leqslant c, d$ and $c, d \leqslant e, f$, then $a, b \leqslant e, f$. The theorem is a consequence of (59), (3), (51), (44), and (5).

Now we state the proposition:

(63) 5.9 SATZ:
If $a, b \leqslant c, d$ and $c, d \leqslant a, b$, then $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cd}$. The theorem is a consequence of (59), (14), (3), (12), and (16).

Now we state the proposition:

(64) 5.10 Satz:

(i) $a, b \leqslant c, d$, or

(ii) $c, d \leqslant a, b$.

The theorem is a consequence of (3), (59), (14), and (56).

Now we state the proposition:

(65) 5.11 Satz:
$a, a \leqslant b, c$. The theorem is a consequence of (59).

Now we state the proposition:

(66) 5.12 Lemma 1:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, the axiom of Pasch, the axiom of congruence symmetry, and the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, and points a, b, c, d of S. If $a, b \leqslant c, d$, then $b, a \leqslant c, d$.

Now we state the proposition:

(67) 5.12 Lemma 2:
If $a, b \leqslant c, d$, then $a, b \leqslant d, c$. The theorem is a consequence of (59) and (7).

Now we state the proposition:

(68) 5.12 Lemma 3:
If b lies between a and c and $\overline{ac} \cong \overline{ab}$, then $c = b$. The theorem is a consequence of (14), (6), (3), (7), (44), and (16).

Now we state the proposition:

(69) METAMATH: endofsegidand:
If c lies between a and b and $a, b \leqslant a, c$, then $b = c$. The theorem is a consequence of (59) and (68).

Now we state the proposition:

(70) 5.12 Satz:
If a, b and c are collinear, then b lies between a and c iff $a, b \leqslant a, c$ and $b, c \leqslant a, c$. The theorem is a consequence of (1), (14), (6), (67), (69), and (13).

5. Out Lines

Let S be a Tarski plane and a, b, p be points of S. We say that p out a, b if and only if

(Def. 8) $p \neq a$ and $p \neq b$ and (a lies between p and b or b lies between p and a).

From now on p denotes a point of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(71) 6.2 Satz:

If $a \neq p$ and $b \neq p$ and $c \neq p$ and p lies between a and c, then p lies between b and c iff p out a, b. The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (57).

Now we state the proposition:

(72) 6.3 Satz:

p out a, b if and only if $a \neq p$ and $b \neq p$ and there exists c such that $c \neq p$ and p lies between a and c and p lies between b and c. The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (71).

Now we state the proposition:

(73) 6.4 Satz:

p out a, b if and only if a, p and b are collinear and p does not lie between a and b. The theorem is a consequence of (14), (16), and (13).

Now we state the proposition:

(74) 6.5 Satz:

If $a \neq p$, then p out a, a.

Now we state the proposition:

(75) 6.6 Satz:

If p out a, b, then p out b, a.

Now we state the proposition:

(76) 6.7 Satz:

If p out a, b and p out b, c, then p out a, c.

Now we state the proposition:

(77) METAMATH: segcon2:

There exists a point x of S such that

(i) a lies between p and x or x lies between p and a, and

(ii) $\overline{px} \cong \overline{bc}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (3), (14), and (57).

In the sequel r denotes a point of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(78) 6.11 Satz a):

If $r \neq a$ and $b \neq c$, then there exists a point x of S such that a out x, r and $\overline{ax} \cong \overline{bc}$. The theorem is a consequence of (77) and (3).

Let S be a Tarski plane and a, p be points of S. The functor half $-$ line(p, a) yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 9) $\{x$, where x is a point of $S : p$ out $x, a\}$.

From now on x, y denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(79) 6.11 Satz b):
If $r \neq a$ and $b \neq c$ and a out x, r and $\overline{ax} \cong \overline{bc}$ and a out y, r and $\overline{ay} \cong \overline{bc}$, then $x = y$. The theorem is a consequence of (72), (14), (12), and (57).

Now we state the proposition:

(80) 6.13 Satz:
If p out a, b, then $p, a \leqslant p, b$ iff a lies between p and b. The theorem is a consequence of (1), (79), and (70).

Let S be a non empty Tarski plane and p, q be points of S. The functor Line(p, q) yielding a subset of S is defined by the term

(Def. 10) $\{x$, where x is a point of $S : p$, q and x are collinear$\}$.

In the sequel S denotes a non empty Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and p, q, r, s denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(81) 6.15 Satz:
If $p \neq q$ and $p \neq r$ and p lies between q and r, then Line$(p, q) =$ (half $-$ line$(p, q) \cup \{p\}) \cup$ half $-$ line(p, r). The theorem is a consequence of (14), (57), and (13).

Let S be a non empty Tarski plane and A be a subset of S. We say that $A$is a line if and only if

(Def. 11) there exist points p, q of S such that $p \neq q$ and $A =$ Line(p, q).

Now we state the proposition:

(82) 6.16 Satz:
If $p \neq q$ and $s \neq p$ and $s \in$ Line(p, q), then Line$(p, q) =$ Line(p, s). The theorem is a consequence of (56), (14), (58), and (57).

In the sequel S denotes a non empty Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and the axiom of Pasch and a, b, p, q denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(83) 6.17 Satz:

(i) p, $q \in$ Line(p, q), and

(ii) Line$(p, q) =$ Line(q, p).

The theorem is a consequence of (13) and (14).

In the sequel S denotes a non empty Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms, A, B denote subsets of S, and a, b, c, p, q, r, s denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(84) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms, and elements a, b, c of S. Then $a \neq b$ and a, b and c are collinear if and only if c lies on the line passing through a and b.

Let us consider a non empty Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and points a, b, x, y of S. Now we state the propositions:

(85) If the line passing through a and b is equal to the line passing through x and y, then $\mathrm{Line}(a, b) = \mathrm{Line}(x, y)$. The theorem is a consequence of (84).

(86) If $a \neq b$ and $x \neq y$ and $\mathrm{Line}(a, b) = \mathrm{Line}(x, y)$, then the line passing through a and b is equal to the line passing through x and y.

Now we state the proposition:

(87) 6.18 Satz:
If $A$is a line and $a \neq b$ and a, $b \in A$, then $A = \mathrm{Line}(a, b)$. The theorem is a consequence of (85).

Now we state the proposition:

(88) 6.19 Satz:
If $a \neq b$ and $A$is a line and a, $b \in A$ and $B$is a line and a, $b \in B$, then $A = B$. The theorem is a consequence of (87).

Now we state the proposition:

(89) 6.21 Satz:
If $A$is a line and $B$is a line and $A \neq B$ and $a \in A$ and $a \in B$ and $b \in A$ and $b \in B$, then $a = b$.

Now we state the proposition:

(90) 6.23 Satz:
If there exists p and there exists q such that $p \neq q$, then a, b and c are collinear iff there exists A such that $A$is a line and a, b, $c \in A$. The theorem is a consequence of (87) and (13).

Now we state the proposition:

(91) 6.24 Satz:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying (A8). Then there exist points a, b, c of S such that a, b and c are not collinear.

Now we state the propositions:

(92) 6.25 Satz:
Let us consider a non empty Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geo-

metry axioms, and points a, b of S. Suppose S satisfies (A8) and $a \neq b$. Then there exists a point c of S such that a, b and c are not collinear. The theorem is a consequence of (91), (13), and (87).

(93) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms, and points p, a, b of S. If p out a, b and $p, a \leqslant p, b$, then a lies between p and b.

(94) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms, and elements a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h of S. Suppose $c, d \nleq a, b$ and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{ef}$ and $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{gh}$. Then $e, f \leqslant g, h$. The theorem is a consequence of (64) and (60).

Now we state the proposition:

(95) 6.28 Satz, introduced by Beeson:
Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms, and elements a, b, c, a_1, b_1, c_1 of S. Suppose b out a, c and b_1 out a_1, c_1 and $\overline{ba} \cong \overline{b_1a_1}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{b_1c_1}$. Then $\overline{ac} \cong \overline{a_1c_1}$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (6), (42), (94), (93), and (14).

6. Midpoint

Let S be a Tarski plane and a, b, m be points of S. We say that Middlea, m, b if and only if

(Def. 12) m lies between a and b and $\overline{ma} \cong \overline{mb}$.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and the axiom of Pasch and a, b, m denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(96) 7.2 Satz:
If Middlea, m, b, then Middleb, m, a.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of segment construction, and the axiom of betweenness identity and a, b, m denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(97) 7.3 Satz:
Middlea, m, a if and only if $m = a$.

Now we state the proposition:

(98) 7.4 EXISTENCE:

Let us consider a point p of S. Then there exists a point p' of S such that Middlep, a, p'. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (3), and (97).

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of segment construction, and the axiom of SAS and a denotes a point of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(99) 7.4 UNIQUENESS:

Let us consider points p, p_1, p_2 of S. If Middlep, a, p_1 and Middlep, a, p_2, then $p_1 = p_2$. The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (12).

Let S be Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and the axiom of SAS and a, p be points of S. The functor reflection(a, p) yielding a point of S is defined by

(Def. 13) Middlep, a, it.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and the axiom of SAS and a, p, p' denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(100) 7.6 SATZ:

reflection$(a, p) = p'$ if and only if Middlep, a, p'.

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, the axiom of SAS, and the axiom of Pasch and a, p, p' denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(101) 7.7 SATZ:

reflection$(a, (\text{reflection}(a, p))) = p$. The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (3).

Now we state the proposition:

(102) 7.8 SATZ:

There exists p such that reflection$(a, p) = p'$. The theorem is a consequence of (101).

Now we state the proposition:

(103) 7.9 SATZ:

If reflection(a,p) = reflection(a,p'), then $p = p'$. The theorem is a consequence of (101).

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying the axiom of congruence identity, the axiom of congruence symmetry, the axiom of congruence equivalence relation, the axiom of segment construction, the axiom of betweenness identity, and the axiom of SAS and a, p denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(104) 7.10 Satz:
reflection$(a,p) = p$ if and only if $p = a$. The theorem is a consequence of (13) and (1).

From now on S denotes Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and a, b, c, d, m, p, p', q, r, s denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(105) 7.13 Satz:
$\overline{pq} \cong \overline{\text{reflection}(a,p)\,\text{reflection}(a,q)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (104), (14), (26), (28), (3), (6), (7), (11), (5), (1), and (41).

Now we state the proposition:

(106) 7.15 Satz:
q lies between p and r if and only if reflection(a,q) lies between reflection(a,p) and reflection(a,r). The theorem is a consequence of (101).

Now we state the proposition:

(107) 7.16 Satz:
$\overline{pq} \cong \overline{rs}$ if and only if $\overline{\text{reflection}(a,p)\,\text{reflection}(a,q)} \cong \overline{\text{reflection}(a,r)\,\text{reflection}(a,s)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (101).

Now we state the proposition:

(108) 7.17 Satz:
If Middlep,a,p' and Middlep,b,p', then $a = b$. The theorem is a consequence of (105), (101), (5), (6), (7), (55), and (104).

Now we state the proposition:

(109) 7.18 Satz:
If reflection(a,p) = reflection(b,p), then $a = b$. The theorem is a consequence of (108).

Now we state the proposition:

(110) 7.19 Satz:
reflection$(b,(\text{reflection}(a,p)))$ = reflection$(a,(\text{reflection}(b,p)))$ if and only if $a = b$. The theorem is a consequence of (106), (107), (101), (108), and (104).

Now we state the proposition:

(111) 7.20 SATZ:

If a, m and b are collinear and $\overline{ma} \cong \overline{mb}$, then $a = b$ or Middlea, m, b. The theorem is a consequence of (14), (13), (7), (6), (1), (42), and (3).

From now on S denotes a non empty Tarski plane satisfying seven Tarski's geometry axioms and a, b, c, d, p denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(112) 7.21 SATZ:

Suppose a, b and c are not collinear and $b \neq d$ and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cd}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{da}$ and a, p and c are collinear and b, p and d are collinear. Then

(i) Middlea, p, c, and

(ii) Middleb, p, d.

The theorem is a consequence of (14), (51), (48), (7), (6), (3), (52), (13), (83), (88), and (111).

From now on a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, m_1, m_2 denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(113) 7.22 SATZ, PART 1:

Suppose c lies between a_1 and a_2 and c lies between b_1 and b_2 and $\overline{ca_1} \cong \overline{cb_1}$ and $\overline{ca_2} \cong \overline{cb_2}$ and Middlea_1, m_1, b_1 and Middlea_2, m_2, b_2 and $c, a_1 \leqslant c, a_2$. Then c lies between m_1 and m_2. The theorem is a consequence of (59), (3), (13), (1), (105), (104), (60), (14), (103), (56), (80), (106), (40), (107), (7), (6), (41), (53), and (108).

Now we state the proposition:

(114) 7.22 SATZ, PART 2:

Suppose c lies between a_1 and a_2 and c lies between b_1 and b_2 and $\overline{ca_1} \cong \overline{cb_1}$ and $\overline{ca_2} \cong \overline{cb_2}$ and Middlea_1, m_1, b_1 and Middlea_2, m_2, b_2 and $c, a_2 \leqslant c, a_1$. Then c lies between m_1 and m_2. The theorem is a consequence of (59), (3), (13), (14), (1), (105), (104), (60), (103), (56), (80), (106), (40), (107), (7), (6), (41), (53), and (108).

Now we state the proposition:

(115) 7.22 SATZ: KRIPPENLEMMA, (GUPTA 1965, 3.45 THEOREM):

Suppose c lies between a_1 and a_2 and c lies between b_1 and b_2 and $\overline{ca_1} \cong \overline{cb_1}$ and $\overline{ca_2} \cong \overline{cb_2}$ and Middlea_1, m_1, b_1 and Middlea_2, m_2, b_2. Then c lies between m_1 and m_2. The theorem is a consequence of (64), (113), and (114).

Let S be a Tarski plane and a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c, m_1, m_2 be points of S. We say that Krippenfigur$a_1, m_1, b_1, c, b_2, m_2, a_2$ if and only if

(Def. 14) c lies between a_1 and a_2 and c lies between b_1 and b_2 and $\overline{ca_1} \cong \overline{cb_1}$ and $\overline{ca_2} \cong \overline{cb_2}$ and Middlea_1, m_1, b_1 and Middlea_2, m_2, b_2.

Now we state the proposition:

(116) KRIPPENFIGUR:
If Krippenfigura$a_1, m_1, b_1, c, b_2, m_2, a_2$, then c lies between m_1 and m_2.

Let us observe that there exists Tarski plane satisfying Lower Dimension Axiom and seven Tarski's geometry axioms which is non empty.

In the sequel S denotes a non empty Tarski plane satisfying Lower Dimension Axiom and seven Tarski's geometry axioms and a, b, c, p, q, r denote points of S.

Now we state the proposition:

(117) If $\overline{ca} \cong \overline{cb}$, then there exists a point x of S such that Middlea, x, b. The theorem is a consequence of (14), (111), (13), (1), (36), (3), (7), (10), (6), (43), (41), (48), (88), (83), (87), and (53).

7. Note about Makarios's Simplification of Tarski's Axiom of Geometry

Let S be a Tarski plane. We say that **S satisfies (RE)** if and only if

(Def. 15) for every points a, b of S, $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{ba}$.

We say that **S satisfies (TE)** if and only if

(Def. 16) for every points a, b, p, q, r, s of S such that $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{pq}$ and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{rs}$ holds $\overline{pq} \cong \overline{rs}$.

We say that **S satisfies (IE)** if and only if

(Def. 17) for every points a, b, c of S such that $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cc}$ holds $a = b$.

We say that **S satisfies (SC)** if and only if

(Def. 18) for every points a, b, c, q of S, there exists a point x of S such that a lies between q and x and $\overline{ax} \cong \overline{bc}$.

We say that **S satisfies (FS)** if and only if

(Def. 19) for every points a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' of S such that $a \neq b$ and b lies between a and c and b' lies between a' and c' and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{a'b'}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{b'c'}$ and $\overline{ad} \cong \overline{a'd'}$ and $\overline{bd} \cong \overline{b'd'}$ holds $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{c'd'}$.

We say that **S satisfies (IB)** if and only if

(Def. 20) for every points a, b of S such that b lies between a and a holds $a = b$.

We say that **S satisfies (Pa)** if and only if

(Def. 21) for every points a, b, c, p, q of S such that p lies between a and c and q lies between b and c there exists a point x of S such that x lies between p and b and x lies between q and a.

We say that **S satisfies (Lo2)** if and only if

(Def. 22) there exist points a, b, c of S such that b does not lie between a and c and c does not lie between b and a and a does not lie between c and b.

We say that **S satisfies (Up2)** if and only if

(Def. 23) for every points a, b, c, p, q of S such that $p \neq q$ and $\overline{ap} \cong \overline{aq}$ and $\overline{bp} \cong \overline{bq}$ and $\overline{cp} \cong \overline{cq}$ holds b lies between a and c or c lies between b and a or a lies between c and b.

We say that **S satisfies (Eu)** if and only if

(Def. 24) for every points a, b, c, d, t of S such that d lies between a and t and d lies between b and c and $a \neq d$ there exist points x, y of S such that b lies between a and x and c lies between a and y and t lies between x and y.

We say that **S satisfies (Co)** if and only if

(Def. 25) for every sets X, Y such that there exists a point a of S such that for every points x, y of S such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ holds x lies between a and y there exists a point b of S such that for every points x, y of S such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ holds b lies between x and y.

We say that **S satisfies (FS')** if and only if

(Def. 26) for every points a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' of S such that $a \neq b$ and b lies between a and c and b' lies between a' and c' and $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{a'b'}$ and $\overline{bc} \cong \overline{b'c'}$ and $\overline{ad} \cong \overline{a'd'}$ and $\overline{bd} \cong \overline{b'd'}$ holds $\overline{dc} \cong \overline{c'd'}$.

In the sequel S denotes a Tarski plane.

Now we state the propositions:

(118) S satisfies the axiom of congruence symmetry if and only if S satisfies (RE).

(119) S satisfies the axiom of congruence equivalence relation if and only if S satisfies (TE).

(120) S satisfies the axiom of congruence identity if and only if S satisfies (IE).

(121) S satisfies the axiom of segment construction if and only if S satisfies (SC).

(122) S satisfies the axiom of betweenness identity if and only if S satisfies (IB).

(123) S satisfies the axiom of Pasch if and only if S satisfies (Pa).

(124) S satisfies Lower Dimension Axiom if and only if S satisfies (Lo2).

(125) S satisfies Upper Dimension Axiom if and only if S satisfies (Up2).

(126) S satisfies Euclid Axiom if and only if S satisfies (Eu).

(127) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying the axiom of congruence symmetry and the axiom of congruence equivalence relation. Then S satisfies the axiom of SAS if and only if S satisfies (FS).

(128) Let us consider a non empty Tarski plane S. Then S satisfies Continuity Axiom if and only if S satisfies (Co).

One can verify that every Tarski plane which satisfies (RE) satisfies also the axiom of congruence symmetry and every Tarski plane which satisfies (TE) satisfies also the axiom of congruence equivalence relation and every Tarski plane which satisfies (IE) satisfies also the axiom of congruence identity and every Tarski plane which satisfies (SC) satisfies also the axiom of segment construction and every Tarski plane which satisfies (IB) satisfies also the axiom of betweenness identity and every Tarski plane which satisfies (Pa) satisfies also the axiom of Pasch and every Tarski plane which satisfies (Lo2) satisfies also Lower Dimension Axiom and every Tarski plane which satisfies (Up2) satisfies also Upper Dimension Axiom and every Tarski plane which satisfies (Eu) satisfies also Euclid Axiom and every Tarski plane which satisfies (Co) satisfies also Continuity Axiom and every Tarski plane which satisfies the axiom of congruence symmetry satisfies also (RE) and every Tarski plane which satisfies the axiom of congruence equivalence relation satisfies also (TE) and every Tarski plane which satisfies the axiom of congruence identity satisfies also (IE) and every Tarski plane which satisfies the axiom of segment construction satisfies also (SC) and every Tarski plane which satisfies the axiom of betweenness identity satisfies also (IB) and every Tarski plane which satisfies the axiom of Pasch satisfies also (Pa) and every Tarski plane which satisfies Lower Dimension Axiom satisfies also (Lo2) and every Tarski plane which satisfies Upper Dimension Axiom satisfies also (Up2) and every Tarski plane which satisfies Euclid Axiom satisfies also (Eu) and every non empty Tarski plane which satisfies Continuity Axiom satisfies also (Co) and there exists a Tarski plane which satisfies (RE) and (TE).

Now we state the proposition:

(129) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying (RE) and (TE). Then S satisfies the axiom of SAS if and only if S satisfies (FS).

One can check that every Tarski plane satisfying (RE) and (TE) which satisfies (FS) satisfies also the axiom of SAS and there exists Tarski plane satisfying (RE) and (TE) which satisfies (FS).

From now on S denotes a Tarski plane.

Now we state the propositions:

(130) Makarios: Lemma 6:
Let us consider a Tarski plane S. Suppose S satisfies (RE) and (TE). Then S satisfies (FS) if and only if S satisfies (FS').

(131) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying (RE) and (TE). Then S satisfies (FS) if and only if S satisfies (FS').

Let us note that every Tarski plane satisfying (RE) and (TE) which satisfies (FS') satisfies also (FS) and there exists a Tarski plane which satisfies (TE) and (SC) and there exists Tarski plane satisfying (RE) and (TE) which satisfies (FS') and there exists Tarski plane satisfying (RE), (TE), and (FS') which satisfies (SC).

Now we state the propositions:

(132) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying (TE) and (SC), and points a, b of S. Then $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{ab}$.

(133) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying (IE) and (SC), and points a, b of S. Then b lies between a and b.

(134) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying (TE) and (SC), and points a, b, c, d of S. If $\overline{ab} \cong \overline{cd}$, then $\overline{cd} \cong \overline{ab}$.

(135) Let us consider Tarski plane S satisfying (TE), (SC), and (FS'), and points a, b, c, d, e, f of S. Suppose $a \neq b$ and a lies between b and c and a lies between d and e and $\overline{ba} \cong \overline{da}$ and $\overline{ac} \cong \overline{ae}$ and $\overline{bf} \cong \overline{df}$. Then $\overline{fc} \cong \overline{ef}$. The theorem is a consequence of (2).

Let S be a Tarski plane. We say that S satisfies (RE') if and only if

(Def. 27) for every points a, b, c, d of S such that $a \neq b$ and a lies between b and c holds $\overline{dc} \cong \overline{cd}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(136) Every Tarski plane satisfying (TE), (SC), and (FS') satisfies (RE'). The theorem is a consequence of (2) and (135).

Let us note that every Tarski plane which satisfies (TE), (SC), and (FS') satisfies also (RE') and there exists Tarski plane satisfying (IE) which satisfies (RE') and there exists Tarski plane satisfying (RE') and (IE) which satisfies (SC) and there exists a non empty Tarski plane satisfying (IE) which is trivial and there exists a non empty Tarski plane satisfying (IE) and (SC) which is trivial.

Now we state the proposition:

(137) Every trivial, non empty Tarski plane satisfying (IE) and (SC) satisfies (RE). The theorem is a consequence of (8).

One can verify that there exists a non empty Tarski plane satisfying (TE), (IE), and (SC) which satisfies (RE').

Now we state the proposition:

(138) Every non empty Tarski plane satisfying (RE'), (TE), (IE), and (SC) satisfies (RE). The theorem is a consequence of (8), (13), and (4).

Note that there exists a non empty Tarski plane satisfying (TE), (IE), and (SC) which satisfies (FS').

Now we state the propositions:

(139) Every non empty Tarski plane satisfying (TE), (IE), (SC), and (FS') satisfies (RE).

(140) Every non empty Tarski plane satisfying (TE), (IE), (SC), and (FS') satisfies (FS). The theorem is a consequence of (138).

8. Main Results and Corollaries

Let us note that every Tarski plane which satisfies (RE), (TE), and (FS) satisfies also (FS') and every non empty Tarski plane which satisfies (TE), (IE), (SC), and (FS') satisfies also (FS) and every non empty Tarski plane which satisfies (TE), (IE), (SC), and (FS') satisfies also (RE) and every non empty Tarski plane which satisfies (TE), (IE), (SC), and (FS') satisfies also the axiom of SAS and there exists a non empty Tarski plane which satisfies (RE), (TE), (IE), (SC), (FS), (IB), (Pa), (Lo2), (Up2), (Eu), and (Co).

A MakariosCE2 is a non empty Tarski plane satisfying (RE), (TE), (IE), (SC), (FS), (IB), (Pa), (Lo2), (Up2), (Eu), and (Co).

A MakariosCE'2 is a non empty Tarski plane satisfying (TE), (IE), (SC), (FS'), (IB), (Pa), (Lo2), (Up2), (Eu), and (Co). Now we state the propositions:

(141) Every MakariosCE2 is a MakariosCE'2.

(142) Every MakariosCE'2 is a MakariosCE2.

(143) Every MakariosCE2 satisfies seven Tarski's geometry axioms, Lower Dimension Axiom, Upper Dimension Axiom, Euclid Axiom, and Continuity Axiom.

(144) Every MakariosCE'2 satisfies seven Tarski's geometry axioms, Lower Dimension Axiom, Upper Dimension Axiom, Euclid Axiom, and Continuity Axiom.

References

Received November 29, 2017

The English version of this volume of Formalized Mathematics was financed under agreement 548/P-DUN/2016 with the funds from the Polish Minister of Science and Higher Education for the dissemination of science.