

# Introduction to Stochastic Finance: Random Variables and Arbitrage Theory

Peter Jaeger Siegmund-Schacky-Str. 18a 80993 Munich, Germany

**Summary.** Using the Mizar system [1], [5], we start to show, that the Call-Option, the Put-Option and the Straddle (more generally defined as in the literature) are random variables ([4], p. 15), see (Def. 1) and (Def. 2). Next we construct and prove the simple random variables ([2], p. 14) in (Def. 8).

In the third section, we introduce the definition of arbitrage opportunity, see (Def. 12). Next we show, that this definition can be characterized in a different way (Lemma 1.3. in [4], p. 5), see (17). In our formalization for Lemma 1.3 we make the assumption that  $\varphi$  is a sequence of real numbers (there are only finitely many valued of interest, the values of  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ). For the definition of almost sure with probability 1 see p. 6 in [2]. Last we introduce the risk-neutral probability (Definition 1.4, p. 6 in [4]), here see (Def. 16).

We give an example in real world: Suppose you have some assets like bonds (riskless assets). Then we can fix our price for these bonds with x for today and  $x \cdot (1 + r)$  for tomorrow, r is the interest rate. So we simply assume, that in every possible market evolution of tomorrow we have a determinated value. Then every probability measure of  $\Omega_{fut1}$  is a risk-neutral measure, see (21). This example shows the existence of some risk-neutral measure. If you find more than one of them, you can determine – with an additional condition to the probability measures – whether a market model is arbitrage free or not (see Theorem 1.6. in [4], p. 6.)

A short graph for (21):

Suppose we have a portfolio with many (in this example infinitely many) assets. For asset d we have the price  $\pi(d)$  for today, and the price  $\pi(d) \cdot (1+r)$  for tomorrow with some interest rate r > 0.

Let G be a sequence of random variables on  $\Omega_{fut1}$ , Borel sets. So you have many functions  $f_k : \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \to R$  with  $G(k) = f_k$  and  $f_k$  is a random variable of  $\Omega_{fut1}$ , Borel sets. For every  $f_k$  we have  $f_k(w) = \pi(k) \cdot (1+r)$  for  $w \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Today

Tomorrow

only one scenario	$\begin{cases} w_{21} = \{1, 2\}, \\ w_{22} = \{3, 4\}, \end{cases}$
for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ holds $\pi(d)$	$\begin{cases} f_d(w) = G(d)(w) = \pi(d) \cdot (1+r), \\ w \in w_{21} \text{ or } w \in w_{22}, \\ r > 0 \text{ is the interest rate.} \end{cases}$

Here, every probability measure of  $\Omega_{fut1}$  is a risk-neutral measure.

MSC: 28A05 03B35

Keywords: random variable; arbitrage theory; risk-neutral measure

 $\mathrm{MML} \ \mathrm{identifier:} \ \mathtt{FINANCE6}, \ \mathrm{version:} \ \mathtt{8.1.07} \ \ \mathtt{5.47.1318}$ 

1. PUT-OPTION, CALL-OPTION AND STRADDLE ARE RANDOM VARIABLES

From now on  $\Omega$  denotes a non empty set and F denotes a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (1)  $]0, +\infty[$  is an element of the Borel sets.
- (2) Let us consider a random variable R of F and the Borel sets, an element K of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and a function g from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $g = \chi_{(R-(\Omega \longmapsto K))^{-1}([0,+\infty[),\Omega)}$ . Then Call-Option $(R,K) = g \cdot (R (\Omega \longmapsto K))$ .
- (3) Let us consider a random variable R of F and the Borel sets, and a real number K. Then  $(\Omega \longmapsto K) R$  is a random variable of F and the Borel sets.
- (4) Let us consider an element A of F. Then  $\chi_{A,\Omega}$  is a random variable of F and the Borel sets.
- (5)  $\chi_{\Omega,\Omega}$  is random variable on F and the Borel sets. The theorem is a consequence of (4).
- (6) Let us consider random variables f, R of F and the Borel sets, and a real number K. Then (f − R)<sup>-1</sup>([0, +∞[) is an element of F. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider  $\Omega$  and F. Let R be a random variable of F and the Borel sets and K be a real number. Let us note that the functor Call-Option(R, K)yields a random variable of F and the Borel sets. The functor Put-Option(R, K)yielding a function from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined by

(Def. 1) for every element w of  $\Omega$ , if  $((\Omega \longmapsto K) - R)(w) \ge 0$ , then  $it(w) = ((\Omega \longmapsto K) - R)(w)$  and if  $((\Omega \longmapsto K) - R)(w) < 0$ , then it(w) = 0.

Now we state the proposition:

(7) Let us consider a random variable R of F and the Borel sets, a real number K, and a function g from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose g =

 $\chi_{((\Omega \longmapsto K) - R)^{-1}([0, +\infty[), \Omega)}$ . Then Put-Option $(R, K) = g \cdot ((\Omega \longmapsto K) - R)$ .

Let us consider  $\Omega$  and F. Let R be a random variable of F and the Borel sets and K be a real number. Note that the functor Put-Option(R, K) yields a random variable of F and the Borel sets.

#### 2. SIMPLE RANDOM VARIABLES

Let us consider  $\Omega$  and F. Let R be a random variable of F and the Borel sets and K be a real number. The functor Straddle(R, K) yielding a random variable of F and the Borel sets is defined by the term

(Def. 2) Put-Option(R, K) + Call-Option(R, K).

Now we state the proposition:

(8) Let us consider a random variable R of F and the Borel sets, a real number K, and an element w of  $\Omega$ . Then  $(\text{Straddle}(R, K))(w) = |(R - (\Omega \longmapsto K))(w)|$ .

Let us consider  $\Omega$  and F. The functors: the set of constants F and the set of  $\chi_F$  yielding sets are defined by terms

- (Def. 3)  $\{f, \text{ where } f \text{ is a function from } \Omega \text{ into } \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is random variable on } F \text{ and the Borel sets and constant}\},$
- (Def. 4)  $\{\chi_{A,\Omega}, \text{ where } A \text{ is an element of } F : \chi_{A,\Omega} \text{ is random variable on } F \text{ and the Borel sets}\},$

respectively. Let X be a set. We say that X is F-random membered if and only if

(Def. 5) for every object x such that  $x \in X$  there exists a function f from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that f = x and f is random variable on F and the Borel sets.

Observe that the set of constants F is non empty and the set of  $\chi_F$  is non empty and the set of constants F is F-random membered and the set of  $\chi_F$  is F-random membered and there exists a set which is F-random membered and non empty.

Let D be an F-random membered, non empty set,  $C_1$  be a sequence of D, and n be a natural number. The change type of  $C_1$  and n yielding a random variable of F and the Borel sets is defined by the term

## (Def. 6) $C_1(n)$ .

Let  $C_2$  be a sequence of D and w be an element of  $\Omega$ . The change all types of  $C_2$  and w yielding a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined by (Def. 7) for every natural number n,  $it(n) = (the change type of <math>C_2$  and n)(w).

Let  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  be *F*-random membered, non empty sets,  $C_1$  be a sequence of  $D_1$ ,  $C_2$  be a sequence of  $D_2$ , and *n* be a natural number. The simple  $\mathcal{RV}$  of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and *n* yielding a function from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined by

(Def. 8) for every element w of  $\Omega$ ,  $it(w) = (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} ((\text{the change all types of } C_2 \text{ and } w) \cdot (\text{the change all types of } C_1 \text{ and } w))(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n).$ 

Observe that the simple  $\mathcal{RV}$  of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and n yields a random variable of F and the Borel sets.

### 3. Arbitrage Theory: Definition and Alternative Representation

From now on  $\varphi$  denotes a sequence of real numbers and  $\pi$  denotes a price function.

Let us consider  $\Omega$  and F. Let q be a natural number and G be a sequence of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. The change element to functions G and q yielding a real-valued random variable on F is defined by the term

(Def. 9) G(q).

Let us consider  $\varphi$ . Let *n* be a natural number. The functors: the first  $\mathcal{AO}$ set of  $\varphi$ ,  $\Omega$ , *F*, *G* and *n* and the second  $\mathcal{AO}$ -set of  $\varphi$ ,  $\Omega$ , *F*, *G* and *n* yielding elements of *F* are defined by terms

- (Def. 10) (the  $\mathcal{RV}$ -portfolio value for future extension of  $\varphi$ , F, G and n)<sup>-1</sup>([0, + $\infty$ [),
- (Def. 11) (the  $\mathcal{RV}$ -portfolio value for future extension of  $\varphi$ , F, G and n)<sup>-1</sup>(]0, + $\infty$ [), respectively. Let P be a probability on F and  $\pi$  be a price function. We say that there exists an  $\mathcal{AO}$  w.r.t. P, G,  $\pi$  and n if and only if
- (Def. 12) there exists a sequence  $\varphi$  of real numbers such that the buy portfolio extension of  $\varphi$ ,  $\pi$ , and  $n \leq 0$  and P(the first  $\mathcal{AO}$ -set of  $\varphi$ ,  $\Omega$ , F, G and n) = 1 and P(the second  $\mathcal{AO}$ -set of  $\varphi$ ,  $\Omega$ , F, G and n) > 0.

Let r be a real number. The first  $\mathcal{RV}$  of r yielding an element of the set of random variables on  $\Omega_{now}$  and the Borel sets is defined by the term

(Def. 13)  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto r.$ 

Let  $\pi$  be a price function and d be a natural number. The first  $\mathcal{RV}$  of  $\pi$ , r and d yielding an element of the set of random variables on  $\Omega_{fut1}$  and the Borel sets is defined by the term

(Def. 14) the first  $\mathcal{RV}$  of  $\pi(d) \cdot (1+r)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(9) There exists a sequence G of the set of random variables on  $\Omega_{now}$  and the Borel sets such that

- (i)  $G(0) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 1$ , and
- (ii)  $G(1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \longmapsto 5$ , and
- (iii) for every natural number k such that k > 1 holds  $G(k) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \longmapsto 0.$

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{U}(\text{natural number}) = (\$_1 = 0 \rightarrow \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } 1, (\$_1 = 1 \rightarrow \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } 5, \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } 0))$ . Consider f being a sequence of the set of random variables on  $\Omega_{now}$  and the Borel sets such that for every element d of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(d) = \mathcal{U}(d)$ .  $f(0) = (0 = 0 \rightarrow \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } 1, (0 = 1 \rightarrow \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } 5, \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } 0))$ .  $f(1) = (1 = 0 \rightarrow \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } 1, (1 = 1 \rightarrow \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } 5, \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } 0))$ . For every natural number k such that k > 1 holds  $f(k) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \longmapsto 0$ .  $\Box$ 

(10) Let us consider a probability P on  $\Omega_{now}$ , and a sequence G of the set of random variables on  $\Omega_{now}$  and the Borel sets. Suppose  $G(0) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 1$  and  $G(1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 5$  and for every natural number k such that k > 1 holds  $G(k) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 0$ . Then there exists a price function  $\pi$  such that there exists an  $\mathcal{AO}$  w.r.t.  $P, G, \pi$  and 1. PROOF: Set  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Set  $F = \Omega_{now}$ .  $P(\Omega) = 1$  and  $P(\emptyset) = 0$ .

PROOF: Set  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Set  $F = \Omega_{now}$ .  $P(\Omega) = 1$  and  $P(\emptyset) = 0$ . Define  $\mathcal{U}(\text{element of } \mathbb{N}) = (\$_1 = 0 \to 1, (\$_1 = 1 \to 1, 0)) (\in \mathbb{R})$ . Consider fbeing a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every element d of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(d) = \mathcal{U}(d)$ . f is a price function. Reconsider  $\pi = f$  as a price function. Define  $\mathcal{U}(\text{element of } \mathbb{N}) = (\$_1 = 0 \to -1, (\$_1 = 1 \to 1, 0)) (\in \mathbb{R})$ . Consider  $\varphi$  being a sequence of real numbers such that for every element k of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varphi(k) = \mathcal{U}(k)$ .  $P(\text{the first } \mathcal{AO}\text{-set of } \varphi, \Omega, F, G \text{ and } 1) = 1$  and  $P(\text{the second } \mathcal{AO}\text{-set of} \varphi, \Omega, F, G \text{ and } 1) > 0$  and the buy portfolio extension of  $\varphi, \pi$ , and  $1 \leq 0$ by [7, (9)].  $\Box$ 

(11) Let us consider a natural number n, a real number r, and a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. Then  $\{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{the portfolio value for future extension of } n, \varphi, F, G and <math>w \ge 0\} = (\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future extension of } \varphi, F, G and <math>n)^{-1}([0, +\infty[))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider natural numbers d,  $d_1$ , a real number r, and a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets.

(12) Suppose  $d_1 = d-1$ . Then  $\{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{the portfolio}$ value for future of  $d, \varphi, F, G$  and  $w \ge (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d)\} = ((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_1) - (\Omega \longmapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[).$ PROOF: Set  $S_1 = \{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{ the portfolio value for future of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d)\}$ . Set  $S_2 = ((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } w \ge (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d)\}$ .  $d_1) - (\Omega \longmapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[).$  For every object  $x, x \in S_1$  iff  $x \in S_2$ .  $\Box$ 

- (13) ((The  $\mathcal{RV}$ -portfolio value for future of  $\varphi$ , F, G and  $d_1$ ) ( $\Omega \mapsto (1 + r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d))$ )<sup>-1</sup>([0, + $\infty$ [) is an event of F.
- (14) Let us consider a natural number d, a real number r, and a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. Then  $\{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{the portfolio value for future extension of } d, \varphi, F, G and <math>w > 0\} = (\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future extension of } \varphi, F, G and <math>d)^{-1}(]0, +\infty[)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider natural numbers d,  $d_1$ , a real number r, and a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets.

- (15) Suppose  $d_1 = d-1$ . Then  $\{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{the portfolio}$ value for future of d,  $\varphi$ , F, G and  $w > (1 + r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d)\} = ((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_1) (\Omega \longmapsto (1 + r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}(]0, +\infty[).$ PROOF: Set  $S_1 = \{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{ the portfolio value for future of } d, \varphi, F, G \text{ and } w > (1 + r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d)\}.$  Set  $S_2 = ((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_1) - (\Omega \longmapsto (1 + r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}(]0, +\infty[).$  For every object  $x, x \in S_1$  iff  $x \in S_2$ .  $\Box$
- (16) ((The  $\mathcal{RV}$ -portfolio value for future of  $\varphi$ , F, G and  $d_1$ ) ( $\Omega \mapsto (1 + r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, \pi, \text{ and } d))$ )<sup>-1</sup>(]0, + $\infty$ [) is an event of F.
- (17) Let us consider a price function  $\pi$ , and natural numbers d,  $d_1$ . Suppose d > 0 and  $d_1 = d 1$ . Let us consider a probability P on F, and a real number r. Suppose r > -1. Let us consider a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. Suppose the element of F, the Borel sets, G, and  $0 = \Omega \longmapsto 1 + r$ . Then there exists an  $\mathcal{AO}$  w.r.t.  $P, G, \pi$  and d if and only if there exists a sequence  $\varphi_1$  of real numbers such that  $P(((\text{the }\mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of }\varphi_1, F, G \text{ and } d_1) (\Omega \longmapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of }\varphi_1, \pi, \text{ and } d_1))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)) = 1$  and  $P(((\text{the }\mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of }\varphi_1, F, G \text{ and } d_1) (\Omega \longmapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of }\varphi_1, \pi, \text{ and } d_1)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)) > 0.$

PROOF: If there exists an  $\mathcal{AO}$  w.r.t.  $P, G, \pi$  and d, then there exists a sequence  $\varphi_1$  of real numbers such that  $P(((\text{the }\mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value}$ for future of  $\varphi_1, F, G$  and  $d_1) - (\Omega \longmapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio}$ of  $\varphi_1, \pi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)) = 1$  and  $P(((\text{the }\mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for}$ future of  $\varphi_1, F, G$  and  $d_1) - (\Omega \longmapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi_1, \pi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}(]0, +\infty[)) > 0$ . Define  $\mathcal{U}(\text{natural number}) = (\$_1 = 0 \rightarrow -(\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi_1, \pi, \text{ and } d), \varphi_1(\$_1))(\in \mathbb{R})$ . Consider  $\varphi$  being a sequence of real numbers such that for every element n of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varphi(n) = \mathcal{U}(n)$ . For every natural number n, if n = 0, then  $\varphi(n) = -$ (the buy portfolio of  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\pi$ , and d) and if n > 0, then  $\varphi(n) = \varphi_1(n)$ . The buy portfolio extension of  $\varphi$ ,  $\pi$ , and d = 0. P(the first  $\mathcal{AO}$ -set of  $\varphi$ ,  $\Omega$ , F, G and d) = 1. P(the second  $\mathcal{AO}$ -set of  $\varphi$ ,  $\Omega$ , F, G and d) > 0.  $\Box$ 

## 4. RISK-NEUTRAL PROBABILITY MEASURE

Let us consider  $\Omega$  and F. Let R be a real-valued random variable on F and r be a real number. The r-discounted value of R yielding a real-valued random variable on F is defined by the term

(Def. 15)  $R \cdot \frac{1}{1+r}$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a price function and G be a sequence of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. We say that there exists a risk neutral measure w.r.t. G,  $\pi$  and r if and only if

(Def. 16) there exists a probability P on F such that for every natural number d,  $\pi(d) = E_P \{ \text{the } r \text{-discounted value of (the change element to functions } G \text{ and } d ) \}.$ 

From now on P denotes a probability on  $\Omega_{fut1}$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (18) Let us consider a real number r. Suppose r > 0. Let us consider a price function  $\pi$ , and a natural number d. Then there exists a real-valued random variable f on  $\Omega_{fut1}$  such that
  - (i)  $f = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto \pi(d) \cdot (1+r)$ , and
  - (ii) f is integrable on P2M(P), and
  - (iii) f is simple function in  $\Omega_{fut1}$ .

PROOF: Set  $\Omega_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Define  $\mathcal{U}(\text{element of }\Omega_2) = \pi(d) \cdot (1+r) (\in \mathbb{R})$ . Consider f being a function from  $\Omega_2$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every element d of  $\Omega_2$ ,  $f(d) = \mathcal{U}(d)$ . Set  $g = \Omega_2 \mapsto \pi(d) \cdot (1+r) (\in \mathbb{R})$ . For every object x such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  holds f(x) = g(x). f is integrable on P2M(P) by [6, (9), (3)], [3, (12)].  $\Box$ 

(19) Let us consider a natural number n, and a real number r. Suppose r > 0. Let us consider a price function  $\pi$ , a natural number d, and a real-valued random variable R on  $\Omega_{fut1}$ . Suppose  $R = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto \pi(d) \cdot (1 + r)$  and R is integrable on P2M(P) and R is simple function in  $\Omega_{fut1}$ . Then  $\pi(d) = E_P\{\text{the } r\text{-discounted value of } R\}.$  PROOF: Set  $F = \Omega_{fut1}$ .  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}(R) = R$  and R is non-negative. Set  $m = \pi(d) \cdot (1+r)$ . for every object x such that  $x \in \operatorname{dom} \overline{\mathbb{R}}(R)$  holds  $(\overline{\mathbb{R}}(R))(x) = m$  and  $\operatorname{dom} \overline{\mathbb{R}}(R) \in F$  and  $0 \leq m$ .  $\Box$ 

(20) Let us consider a real number r. Suppose r > 0. Let us consider a price function  $\pi$ . Then there exists a sequence G of the set of random variables on  $\Omega_{fut1}$  and the Borel sets such that for every natural number d, G(d) = $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto \pi(d) \cdot (1 + r)$  and the change element to functions G and dis integrable on P2M(P) and the change element to functions G and d is simple function in  $\Omega_{fut1}$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{U}(\text{natural number}) = \text{the first } \mathcal{RV} \text{ of } \pi, r \text{ and } \$_1.$  Consider g being a sequence of the set of random variables on  $\Omega_{fut1}$  and the Borel sets such that for every element d of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $g(d) = \mathcal{U}(d)$ . There exists a real-valued random variable R on  $\Omega_{fut1}$  such that  $R = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto \pi(d) \cdot (1+r) (\in \mathbb{R})$  and R is integrable on P2M(P) and R is simple function in  $\Omega_{fut1}$ .  $\Box$ 

- (21) Let us consider a real number r. Suppose r > 0. Let us consider a price function  $\pi$ , and a sequence G of the set of random variables on  $\Omega_{fut1}$  and the Borel sets. Suppose for every natural number  $d, G(d) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto \pi(d) \cdot (1+r)$  and the change element to functions G and d is integrable on P2M(P) and the change element to functions G and d is simple function in  $\Omega_{fut1}$ . Then
  - (i) there exists a risk neutral measure w.r.t. G,  $\pi$  and r, and
  - (ii) for every natural number s,  $\pi(s) = E_P \{\text{the } r\text{-discounted value of } (\text{the change element to functions } G \text{ and } s) \}.$

The theorem is a consequence of (19).

#### References

- Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pak, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Heinz Bauer. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie. de Gruyter-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 2002.
- [3] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. The measurability of extended real valued functions. Formalized Mathematics, 9(3):525–529, 2001.
- [4] Hans Föllmer and Alexander Schied. Stochastic Finance: An Introduction in Discrete Time, volume 27 of Studies in Mathematics. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2nd edition, 2004.
- [5] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Adam Naumowicz. Four decades of Mizar. Journal of Automated Reasoning, 55(3):191–198, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9345-1.
- [6] Peter Jaeger. Elementary introduction to stochastic finance in discrete time. Formalized Mathematics, 20(1):1–5, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0001-5.

[7] Peter Jaeger. Modelling real world using stochastic processes and filtration. Formalized Mathematics, 24(1):1–16, 2016. doi:10.1515/forma-2016-0001.

Received March 27, 2018