

Introduction to Stochastic Finance: Random Variables and Arbitrage Theory

Peter Jaeger
Siegmond-Schacky-Str. 18a
80993 Munich, Germany

Summary. Using the Mizar system [1], [6], we start to show, that the Call-Option, the Put-Option and the Straddle (more generally defined as in the literature) are random variables ([5], p. 15). Next we construct and prove the simple random variables ([2], p. 14).

In the second part, we introduce the definition of arbitrage opportunity. Next we show, that this definition can be characterized in a different way (Lemma 1.3. in [5], p. 5). In our formalization for Lemma 1.3 we make the assumption that φ is a sequence of real numbers (there are only finitely many valued of interest, the values of φ in R^d). For the definition of almost sure with probability 1 see p. 6 in [2]. Last we introduce the risk-neutral probability (Definition 1.4, p. 6 in [5]). We give an example in real world: Suppose you have some assets like bonds (riskless assets). Then we can fix our price for these bonds with x for today and $x(1+r)$ for tomorrow, r is the interest rate. So we simply assume, that in every possible market evolution of tomorrow we have a determinated value. Then every probability measure of Ω_{fut1} is a risk-neutral measure (see FINANCE7???:13). This example shows the existence of some risk-neutral measure. If you find more than one of them, you can determine - with an additional condition to the probability measures - whether a market model is arbitrage free or not (see Theorem 1.6. in [5], p. 6.)

A short graph for FINANCE7???:13:

Suppose we have a portfolio with many (in this example infinitely many) assets. For asset d we have the price $jpi.d$ for today, and the price $jpi.d(1+r)$ for tomorrow with some interest rate $r > 0$.

Let G be a sequence of random variables on Ω_{fut1} , Borel sets. So you have many functions $f_k : \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \rightarrow R$ with $G.k = f_k$ and f_k is a random variable of Ω_{fut1} , Borel sets. For every f_k we have $f_k(w) = jpi.k(1+r)$ for $w \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

$$\begin{array}{r}
\begin{array}{cc}
\textit{Today} & \textit{Tomorrow} \\
\text{only one scenario} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} w_{21} = \{1, 2\} \\ w_{22} = \{3, 4\} \end{array} \right. \\
\end{array} \\
\text{for all } d \in \mathbb{N} \text{ holds : } \begin{array}{cc}
\textit{Today} & \textit{Tomorrow} \\
jpi.d & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_d(w) = (G.d)(w) = jpi.d(1+r), \\ w \in w_{w21} \text{ or } w \in w_{22}, \\ r > 0 \text{ is the interest rate.} \end{array} \right.
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

Here, every probability measure of Ω_{fut1} is a risk neutral measure.

MSC: 03B35

Keywords:

MML identifier: FINANCE6, version: 8.1.07 5.47.1318

1. PUT-OPTION, CALL-OPTION AND STRADDLE ARE RANDOM VARIABLES

From now on Ω denotes a non empty set and F denotes a σ -field of subsets of Ω .

Let t be a real number and n be a natural number. The functor **Conv(n, t)** yielding an element of \mathbb{R} is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 1}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} t, \quad \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0, \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

The functor **Conv2(n, t)** yielding an element of \mathbb{R} is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 2}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, \quad \text{if } n = 0, \\ \text{Conv}(n, t), \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

The functor **Conv4(n, t)** yielding an element of \mathbb{R} is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 3}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -1, \quad \text{if } n = 0, \\ \text{Conv}(n, t), \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) $]0, +\infty[$ is an element of the Borel sets.
- (2) Let us consider a random variable R of F and the Borel sets, and a real number K . Then $\chi_{(R-(\Omega \rightarrow K))^{-1}(]0, +\infty[), \Omega}$ is a function from Ω into \mathbb{R} .
- (3) Let us consider a random variable R of F and the Borel sets, an element K of \mathbb{R} , and a function g_2 from Ω into \mathbb{R} . Suppose $g_2 = \chi_{(R-(\Omega \rightarrow K))^{-1}(]0, +\infty[), \Omega}$. Then the Call-Option on R and $K = g_2 \cdot (R - (\Omega \rightarrow K))$.

Let us consider Ω and F . Let R be a random variable of F and the Borel sets and K be an element of \mathbb{R} . One can check that the Call-Option on R and

K yields a random variable of F and the Borel sets. Let R be a function from Ω into \mathbb{R} and w be an element of Ω . The functor **SetForPut-Option(R, w)** yielding an element of \mathbb{R} is defined by the term

$$\text{(Def. 4)} \quad \begin{cases} R(w), & \text{if } R(w) \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us consider F . Let R be a random variable of F and the Borel sets and K be a real number. The functor **Put-Option(R, K)** yielding a function from Ω into \mathbb{R} is defined by

$$\text{(Def. 5)} \quad \text{for every element } w \text{ of } \Omega, \text{ if } ((\Omega \mapsto K) - R)(w) \geq 0, \text{ then } it(w) = ((\Omega \mapsto K) - R)(w) \text{ and if } ((\Omega \mapsto K) - R)(w) < 0, \text{ then } it(w) = 0.$$

Let us consider a random variable R of F and the Borel sets and a real number K . Now we state the propositions:

- (4) $(\Omega \mapsto K) - R$ is a random variable of F and the Borel sets.
- (5) $\chi_{((\Omega \mapsto K) - R)^{-1}([0, +\infty]), \Omega}$ is a function from Ω into \mathbb{R} .

Now we state the proposition:

- (6) Let us consider a random variable R of F and the Borel sets, a real number K , and a function g_2 from Ω into \mathbb{R} . Suppose $g_2 = \chi_{((\Omega \mapsto K) - R)^{-1}([0, +\infty]), \Omega}$. Then $\text{Put-Option}(R, K) = g_2 \cdot ((\Omega \mapsto K) - R)$.

Let us consider Ω and F . Let R be a random variable of F and the Borel sets and K be a real number. Note that the functor $\text{Put-Option}(R, K)$ yields a random variable of F and the Borel sets.

2. SIMPLE RANDOM VARIABLES

Now we state the propositions:

- (7) Let us consider an element A of F . Then $\chi_{A, \Omega}$ is a random variable of F and the Borel sets.
- (8) $\chi_{\Omega, \Omega}$ is random variable on F and the Borel sets.

PROOF: Set $g_2 = \chi_{\Omega, \Omega}$. For every set x such that $x \in$ the Borel sets holds $g_2^{-1}(x) \in F$ by [9, (5), (22), (4)]. \square

Let us consider Ω and F . Let R be a random variable of F and the Borel sets and K be an element of \mathbb{R} . The functor **Straddle(R, K)** yielding a random variable of F and the Borel sets is defined by the term

$$\text{(Def. 6)} \quad \text{Put-Option}(R, K) + (\text{the Call-Option on } R \text{ and } K).$$

Now we state the proposition:

- (9) Let us consider a random variable R of F and the Borel sets, an element K of \mathbb{R} , and an element w of Ω . Then $(\text{Straddle}(R, K))(w) = |(R - (\Omega \mapsto K))(w)|$.

Let us consider Ω and F . The functor **set-of-constant-RV(F)** yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 7) $\{f, \text{ where } f \text{ is a function from } \Omega \text{ into } \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists an element } K \text{ of } \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f \text{ is random variable on } F \text{ and the Borel sets and } f = \Omega \mapsto K\}$.

One can check that **set-of-constant-RV(F)** is non empty.

The functor **set-of-chi-RV(F)** yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 8) $\{f, \text{ where } f \text{ is a function from } \Omega \text{ into } \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists an element } A \text{ of } F \text{ such that } \chi_{A,\Omega} \text{ is random variable on } F \text{ and the Borel sets and } \chi_{A,\Omega} = f\}$.

One can verify that **set-of-chi-RV(F)** is non empty.

Let C_1 be a sequence of **set-of-chi-RV(F)** and n be a natural number. The functor **Conv-chi-RV(C_1, n)** yielding a random variable of F and the Borel sets is defined by the term

(Def. 9) $C_1(n)$.

Let C_2 be a sequence of **set-of-constant-RV(F)**. The functor **Conv-constant-RV(C_2, n)** yielding a random variable of F and the Borel sets is defined by the term

(Def. 10) $C_2(n)$.

Let w be an element of Ω . The functor **Conv2-constant-RV(C_2, w)** yielding a function from \mathbb{N} into \mathbb{R} is defined by

(Def. 11) for every natural number n , $it(n) = (\text{Conv-constant-RV}(C_2, n))(w)$.

Let C_1 be a sequence of **set-of-chi-RV(F)**. The functor **Conv2-chi-RV(C_1, w)** yielding a function from \mathbb{N} into \mathbb{R} is defined by

(Def. 12) for every natural number n , $it(n) = (\text{Conv-chi-RV}(C_1, n))(w)$.

Let C_2 be a sequence of **set-of-constant-RV(F)** and n be a natural number.

The functor **simple-RV-help(C_1, C_2, n)** yielding a function from Ω into \mathbb{R} is defined by

(Def. 13) for every element w of Ω , $it(w) = (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} (\text{Conv2-constant-RV}(C_2, w) \cdot \text{Conv2-chi-RV}(C_1, w))(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$.

A simple-RV of C_2, C_1, n is a random variable of F and the Borel sets defined by

(Def. 14) for every element w of Ω , $it(w) = (\text{simple-RV-help}(C_1, C_2, n))(w)$.

3. ARBITRAGE THEORY: DEFINITION AND ALTERNATIVE REPRESENTATION

From now on φ denotes a sequence of real numbers and jpi denotes a price function.

Let us consider Ω and F . Let q be a natural number and G be a sequence of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. The functor

Change-Element-to-Func(G, q) yielding a real-valued random variable on F is defined by the term

(Def. 15) $G(q)$.

Let us consider a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets and a natural number n . Now we state the propositions:

(10) (The \mathcal{RV} -portfolio value for future extension of φ, F, G and n) $^{-1}([0, +\infty[) \in F$. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

(11) (The \mathcal{RV} -portfolio value for future extension of φ, F, G and n) $^{-1}(]0, +\infty[) \in F$. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider φ, Ω , and F . Let G be a sequence of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets and n be a natural number. The functors: **ArbitrageElSigma1(φ, Ω, F, G, n)** and **ArbitrageElSigma2(φ, Ω, F, G, n)** yielding elements of F are defined by terms

(Def. 16) (the \mathcal{RV} -portfolio value for future extension of φ, F, G and n) $^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$,

(Def. 17) (the \mathcal{RV} -portfolio value for future extension of φ, F, G and n) $^{-1}(]0, +\infty[)$,

respectively. Let P_1 be a probability on F and jpi be a price function. We say that **there exists an arbitrage opportunity w.r.t. P_1, G, jpi and n** if and only if

(Def. 18) there exists a sequence φ of real numbers such that the buy portfolio extension of φ, jpi , and $n \leq 0$ and $P_1(\text{ArbitrageElSigma1}(\varphi, \Omega, F, G, n)) = 1$ and $P_1(\text{ArbitrageElSigma2}(\varphi, \Omega, F, G, n)) > 0$.

Let r be a real number. The functor **RVfirst(r)** yielding an element of the set of random variables on Ω_{now} and the Borel sets is defined by the term

(Def. 19) $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto r$.

Let jpi be a price function and d be a natural number. The functor **RVfourth(jpi, r, d)** yielding an element of the set of random variables on Ω_{fut1} and the Borel sets is defined by the term

(Def. 20) $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto jpi(d) \cdot (1 + r)$.

Let n be a natural number. The functor **RVswitchsecond(n)** yielding an element of the set of random variables on Ω_{now} and the Borel sets is defined by the term

(Def. 21) $\begin{cases} \text{RVfirst}(5), & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \text{RVfirst}(0), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

The functor **RVswitchfirst(n)** yielding an element of the set of random variables on Ω_{now} and the Borel sets is defined by the term

(Def. 22) $\begin{cases} \text{RVfirst}(1), & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \text{RVswitchsecond}(n), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Now we state the propositions:

- (12) There exists a sequence G of the set of random variables on Ω_{now} and the Borel sets such that
- (i) $G(0) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 1$, and
 - (ii) $G(1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 5$, and
 - (iii) for every natural number k such that $k > 1$ holds $G(k) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 0$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{U}(\text{natural number}) = \text{RVswitchfirst}(\$_1)$. Consider f being a sequence of the set of random variables on Ω_{now} and the Borel sets such that for every element d of \mathbb{N} , $f(d) = \mathcal{U}(d)$ from [3, Sch. 4]. $f(0) = \text{RVswitchfirst}(0)$. $f(1) = \text{RVswitchfirst}(1)$. For every natural number k such that $k > 1$ holds $f(k) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 0$. \square

- (13) Let us consider a probability P_1 on Ω_{now} , and a sequence G of the set of random variables on Ω_{now} and the Borel sets. Suppose $G(0) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 1$ and $G(1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 5$ and for every natural number k such that $k > 1$ holds $G(k) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto 0$. Then there exists a price function jpi such that there exists an arbitrage opportunity w.r.t. P_1 , G , jpi and 1.

PROOF: Set $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Set $F = \Omega_{now}$. $P_1(\Omega) = 1$ and $P_1(\emptyset) = 0$ by [9, (30), (4), (31)]. Define $\mathcal{U}(\text{natural number}) = \text{Conv2}(\$_1, 1)$. Consider f being a sequence of real numbers such that for every element d of \mathbb{N} , $f(d) = \mathcal{U}(d)$ from [3, Sch. 4]. f is a price function. Consider jpi being a price function such that $jpi = f$. Define $\mathcal{U}(\text{natural number}) = \text{Conv4}(\$_1, 1)$. Consider φ being a sequence of real numbers such that for every element k of \mathbb{N} , $\varphi(k) = \mathcal{U}(k)$ from [3, Sch. 4]. $P_1(\text{ArbitrageElSigma1}(\varphi, \Omega, F, G, 1)) = 1$ and $P_1(\text{ArbitrageElSigma2}(\varphi, \Omega, F, G, 1)) > 0$ and the buy portfolio extension of φ , jpi , and $1 \leq 0$ by [8, (9)], [10, (7)]. \square

- (14) Let us consider a natural number n , a real number r , and a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. Then $\{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{ the portfolio value for future extension of } n, \varphi, F, G \text{ and } w \geq 0\} = (\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future extension of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } n)^{-1}([0, +\infty])$. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider natural numbers d, d_2 , a real number r , and a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. Now we state the propositions:

- (15) Suppose $d_2 = d - 1$. Then $\{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{ the portfolio value for future of } d, \varphi, F, G \text{ and } w \geq (1 + r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)\} = ((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1 + r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty])$.

PROOF: Set $S_1 = \{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{ the portfolio value for future of } d, \varphi, F, G \text{ and } w \geq (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)\}$. Set $S_2 = ((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$. For every object $x, x \in S_1$ iff $x \in S_2$ by (1), [10, (7)]. \square

- (16) $((\text{The } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$ is an event of F .

Now we state the proposition:

- (17) Let us consider a natural number d , a real number r , and a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. Then $\{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{ the portfolio value for future extension of } d, \varphi, F, G \text{ and } w > 0\} = (\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future extension of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d)^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider natural numbers d, d_2 , a real number r , and a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. Now we state the propositions:

- (18) Suppose $d_2 = d - 1$. Then $\{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{ the portfolio value for future of } d, \varphi, F, G \text{ and } w > (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)\} = ((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$.

PROOF: Set $S_1 = \{w, \text{ where } w \text{ is an element of } \Omega : \text{ the portfolio value for future of } d, \varphi, F, G \text{ and } w > (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)\}$. Set $S_2 = ((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$. For every object $x, x \in S_1$ iff $x \in S_2$ by (1), [10, (7)]. \square

- (19) $((\text{The } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } \varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$ is an event of F .

Let d, n be natural numbers, jpi be a price function, and φ be a sequence of real numbers. The functor **Helpme**(φ, jpi, n, d) yielding an element of \mathbb{R} is defined by the term

$$\text{(Def. 23)} \quad \begin{cases} -(\text{the buy portfolio of } \varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d), & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \varphi(n), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we state the proposition:

- (20) Let us consider a price function jpi , and natural numbers d, d_2 . Suppose $d > 0$ and $d_2 = d - 1$. Let us consider a probability P_1 on F , and a real number r . Suppose $r > -1$. Let us consider a sequence G of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. Suppose the element of F , the Borel sets, G , and $0 = \Omega \mapsto 1+r$. Then there exists an arbitrage opportunity w.r.t. P_1, G, jpi and d if and only if there exists a sequence

$my\varphi$ of real numbers such that $P_1(((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } my\varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } my\varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)) = 1$ and $P_1(((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } my\varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } my\varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)) > 0$.

PROOF: If there exists an arbitrage opportunity w.r.t. P_1, G, jpi and d , then there exists a sequence $my\varphi$ of real numbers such that $P_1(((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } my\varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } my\varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)) = 1$ and $P_1(((\text{the } \mathcal{RV}\text{-portfolio value for future of } my\varphi, F, G \text{ and } d_2) - (\Omega \mapsto (1+r) \cdot (\text{the buy portfolio of } my\varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)))^{-1}([0, +\infty[)) > 0$ by (14), (1), [7, (14)], (15). Define $\mathcal{U}(\text{natural number}) = \text{Helpme}(my\varphi, jpi, \$1, d)$. Consider φ being a sequence of real numbers such that for every element n of \mathbb{N} , $\varphi(n) = \mathcal{U}(n)$ from [3, Sch. 4]. For every natural number n , if $n = 0$, then $\varphi(n) = -(\text{the buy portfolio of } my\varphi, jpi, \text{ and } d)$ and if $n > 0$, then $\varphi(n) = my\varphi(n)$. The buy portfolio extension of φ, jpi , and $d = 0$ by (1), [7, (11)]. $P_1(\text{ArbitrageElSigma}1)$ by (1), [7, (12)], [10, (7)]. $P_1(\text{ArbitrageElSigma}2(\varphi, \Omega, F, G, d)) > 0$ by (1), [7, (12)], [10, (7)]. \square

4. RISK-NEUTRAL PROBABILITY MEASURE

Let us consider Ω and F . Let R be a real-valued random variable on F , d be a natural number, and r be a real number. The functor **Real-RV(d, r, R)** yielding a real-valued random variable on F is defined by the term

(Def. 24) $R \cdot (\frac{1}{1+r})$.

Let jpi be a price function and G be a sequence of the set of random variables on F and the Borel sets. We say that **there exists a risk neutral measure w.r.t. G, jpi and** if and only if

(Def. 25) there exists a probability P_1 on F such that for every natural number d , $jpi(d) = E_{P_1}\{\text{Real-RV}(d, r, \text{Change-Element-to-Func}(G, d))\}$.

From now on P_1 denotes a probability on Ω_{fut1} .

Now we state the propositions:

(21) Let us consider a real number r . Suppose $r > 0$. Let us consider a price function jpi , and a natural number d . Then there exists a real-valued random variable f on Ω_{fut1} such that

- (i) $f = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto jpi(d) \cdot (1+r)$, and
- (ii) f is integrable on P2M P_1 , and
- (iii) f is simple function in Ω_{fut1} .

PROOF: Set $\Omega_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Define \mathcal{U} (element of Ω_2) = $jpi(d) \cdot (1+r)$ ($\in \mathbb{R}$). Consider f being a function from Ω_2 into \mathbb{R} such that for every element d of Ω_2 , $f(d) = \mathcal{U}(d)$ from [3, Sch. 4]. Set $g = \Omega_2 \mapsto jpi(d) \cdot (1+r)$ ($\in \mathbb{R}$). $f = g$ by (1), [10, (7)]. f is integrable on P2M P_1 by [7, (9), (3)], [4, (12)], [10, (7)]. \square

- (22) Let us consider a natural number n , and a real number r . Suppose $r > 0$. Let us consider a price function jpi , a natural number d , and a real-valued random variable R on Ω_{fut1} . Suppose $R = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto jpi(d) \cdot (1+r)$ and R is integrable on P2M P_1 and R is simple function in Ω_{fut1} . Then $jpi(d) = E_{P_1}\{\text{Real-RV}(d, r, R)\}$.

PROOF: Set $F_2 = \Omega_{fut1}$. $\overline{\mathbb{R}}(R) = R$ and R is non-negative by [10, (7)]. Set $m_3 = jpi(d) \cdot (1+r)$. for every object x such that $x \in \text{dom } \overline{\mathbb{R}}(R)$ holds $(\overline{\mathbb{R}}(R))(x) = m_3$ and $\text{dom } \overline{\mathbb{R}}(R) \in F_2$ and $0 \leq m_3$ by [10, (7)], [9, (5)]. \square

- (23) Let us consider a real number r . Suppose $r > 0$. Let us consider a price function jpi . Then there exists a sequence G of the set of random variables on Ω_{fut1} and the Borel sets such that for every natural number d , $\text{Change-Element-to-Func}(G, d) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto jpi(d) \cdot (1+r)$ and $\text{Change-Element-to-Func}(G, d)$ is integrable on P2M P_1 and $\text{Change-Element-to-Func}(G, d)$ is simple function in Ω_{fut1} .

PROOF: Define \mathcal{U} (natural number) = $\text{RVfourth}(jpi, r, \$1)$. Consider g being a sequence of the set of random variables on Ω_{fut1} and the Borel sets such that for every element d of \mathbb{N} , $g(d) = \mathcal{U}(d)$ from [3, Sch. 4]. There exists a real-valued random variable R on Ω_{fut1} such that $R = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto jpi(d) \cdot (1+r)$ ($\in \mathbb{R}$) and R is integrable on P2M P_1 and R is simple function in Ω_{fut1} . \square

- (24) Let us consider a real number r . Suppose $r > 0$. Let us consider a price function jpi , and a sequence G of the set of random variables on Ω_{fut1} and the Borel sets. Suppose for every natural number d , $\text{Change-Element-to-Func}(G, d) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \mapsto jpi(d) \cdot (1+r)$ and $\text{Change-Element-to-Func}(G, d)$ is integrable on P2M P_1 and $\text{Change-Element-to-Func}(G, d)$ is simple function in Ω_{fut1} . Then

- (i) there exists a risk neutral measure w.r.t. G , jpi and r , and
- (ii) for every natural number s , $jpi(s) = E_{P_1}\{\text{Real-RV}(s, r, \text{Change-Element-to-Func}(G, s))\}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (22).

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and

beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8.17.

- [2] Heinz Bauer. *Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie*. de Gruyter-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 2002.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [4] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. The measurability of extended real valued functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):525–529, 2001.
- [5] Hans Föllmer and Alexander Schied. *Stochastic Finance: An Introduction in Discrete Time*, volume 27 of *Studies in Mathematics*. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2nd edition, 2004.
- [6] Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, and Adam Naumowicz. Four decades of Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 55(3):191–198, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9345-1.
- [7] Peter Jaeger. Elementary introduction to stochastic finance in discrete time. *Formalized Mathematics*, 20(1):1–5, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0001-5.
- [8] Peter Jaeger. Modelling real world using stochastic processes and filtration. *Formalized Mathematics*, 24(1):1–16, 2016. doi:10.1515/forma-2016-0001.
- [9] Andrzej Nędzusiak. σ -fields and probability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.

Received March 27, 2018
