

About Supergraphs. Part II

Sebastian Koch¹
Johannes Gutenberg University
Mainz, Germany

Summary. In the previous article [?] supergraphs and several specializations to formalize the process of drawing graphs were introduced. In this paper another such operation is formalized: Drawing a vertex and then immediately drawing edges connecting this vertex with a subset of the other vertices of the graph. In case the new vertex is joined with all vertices of a given graph G , this is known as the join of G and the trivial loopless graph K_1 . While the join of two graphs is known and found in standard literature (like [?], [?] and [?]), the operation described in this article is not.

Alongside the new operation a mode to reverse the directions of a subset of the edges of a graph is introduced. When all edge directions of a graph are reversed, this is commonly known as the converse of a (directed) graph.

MSC: 03B35 68T99

Keywords:

MML identifier: GLIB_007, version: 8.1.08 5.52.1328

1. REVERSING EDGE DIRECTIONS

From now on G, G_2 denote graphs, V, E denote sets, and v denotes an object. Let us consider G and E .

A reverseEdgeDirections of G, E is a graph defined by

- (Def. 1) (i) the vertices of it = the vertices of G and the edges of it = the edges of G and the source of it = (the source of G) + (the target of G) $\setminus E$ and the target of it = (the target of G) + (the source of G) $\setminus E$, if $E \subseteq$ the edges of G ,

¹mailto: skoch02@students.uni-mainz.de

(ii) $it \approx G$, **otherwise**.

A reverseEdgeDirections of G is a reverseEdgeDirections of G , the edges of G . Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider reverseEdgeDirectionss G_1, G_2 of G, E . Then $G_1 \approx G_2$.
- (2) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G, E . If $G_1 \approx G_2$, then G_2 is a reverseEdgeDirections of G, E .

Let us consider G_2, E , and a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2, E . Now we state the propositions:

- (3) G_2 is a reverseEdgeDirections of G_1, E .
- (4) (i) the vertices of $G_1 =$ the vertices of G_2 , and
(ii) the edges of $G_1 =$ the edges of G_2 .

Now we state the propositions:

- (5) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 . Then G_2 is a reverseEdgeDirections of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (4) and (3).
- (6) Let us consider a trivial graph G_2 , a set E , and a graph G_1 . Then $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 is a reverseEdgeDirections of G_2, E .

Let us consider G_2, E , a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2, E , and objects v_1, e, v_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (7) If $E \subseteq$ the edges of G_2 and $e \in E$, then e joins v_1 to v_2 in G_2 iff e joins v_2 to v_1 in G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (4).
- (8) If $E \subseteq$ the edges of G_2 and $e \notin E$, then e joins v_1 to v_2 in G_2 iff e joins v_1 to v_2 in G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (4).
- (9) e joins v_1 and v_2 in G_2 if and only if e joins v_1 and v_2 in G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (3).

Now we state the proposition:

- (10) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2, E . Then v is a vertex of G_1 if and only if v is a vertex of G_2 .

Let us consider G_2, E, V , and a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2, E . Now we state the propositions:

- (11) $G_1.edgesBetween(V) = G_2.edgesBetween(V)$.

PROOF: For every object $e, e \in G_1.edgesBetween(V)$ iff $e \in G_2.edgesBetween(V)$ by (9), [5, (31)]. \square

- (12) $G_1.edgesInOut(V) = G_2.edgesInOut(V)$.

PROOF: For every object $e, e \in G_1.edgesInOut(V)$ iff $e \in G_2.edgesInOut(V)$ by (9), [5, (28)]. \square

Now we state the proposition:

- (13) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E , a vertex v_1 of G_1 , and a vertex v_2 of G_2 . If $v_1 = v_2$, then $v_1.edgesInOut() = v_2.edgesInOut()$. The theorem is a consequence of (12).

Let us consider G_2 , E , and a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E . Now we state the propositions:

- (14) Every walk of G_2 is a walk of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (4) and (9).
 (15) Every walk of G_1 is a walk of G_2 . The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (14).

Now we state the propositions:

- (16) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E , a walk W_2 of G_2 , and a walk W_1 of G_1 . Suppose $E \subseteq$ the edges of G_2 and $W_1 = W_2$ and $W_2.edges() \subseteq E$. Then W_1 is directed if and only if $W_2.reverse()$ is directed.

PROOF: For every odd element n of \mathbb{N} such that $n < \text{len } W_1$ holds $W_1(n+1)$ joins $W_1(n)$ to $W_1(n+2)$ in G_1 by [4, (1)], [6, (12)], [1, (13)], [8, (3)]. \square

- (17) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , a walk W_2 of G_2 , and a walk W_1 of G_1 . Suppose $W_1 = W_2$. Then W_1 is directed if and only if $W_2.reverse()$ is directed. The theorem is a consequence of (16).
 (18) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E , a walk W_2 of G_2 , and a walk W_1 of G_1 . If $W_1 = W_2$, then W_1 is chordal iff W_2 is chordal. The theorem is a consequence of (3).
 (19) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E , and objects v_1, v_2 . Then there exists a walk W_1 of G_1 such that W_1 is walk from v_1 to v_2 if and only if there exists a walk W_2 of G_2 such that W_2 is walk from v_1 to v_2 . The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (14).
 (20) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E , a vertex v_1 of G_1 , and a vertex v_2 of G_2 . If $v_1 = v_2$, then $G_1.reachableFrom(v_1) = G_2.reachableFrom(v_2)$. The theorem is a consequence of (19).
 (21) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E . Then
 (i) $G_1.componentSet() = G_2.componentSet()$, and
 (ii) $G_1.numComponents() = G_2.numComponents()$.

The theorem is a consequence of (10) and (20).

Let G be a trivial graph and E be a set. Observe that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is trivial.

Let G be a non trivial graph. Let us observe that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is non trivial.

Now we state the propositions:

- (22) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E , a set v , and a subgraph G_3 of G_1 with vertex v removed. Then every subgraph of G_2 with vertex v removed is a reverseEdgeDirections of G_3 , $E \setminus G_1.\text{edgesInOut}(\{v\})$. The theorem is a consequence of (11), (2), (3), and (6).
- (23) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E , a vertex v_1 of G_1 , and a vertex v_2 of G_2 . Suppose $v_1 = v_2$. Then
- (i) v_1 is isolated iff v_2 is isolated, and
 - (ii) v_1 is endvertex iff v_2 is endvertex, and
 - (iii) v_1 is cut-vertex iff v_2 is cut-vertex.

The theorem is a consequence of (3).

Let us consider G_2 , E , and a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , E . Now we state the propositions:

- (24) (i) $G_1.\text{order}() = G_2.\text{order}()$, and
(ii) $G_1.\text{size}() = G_2.\text{size}()$.

The theorem is a consequence of (4).

- (25) Suppose $E \subseteq$ the edges of G_2 and G_2 is non-directed-multi and for every objects e_1, e_2, v_1, v_2 such that e_1 joins v_1 and v_2 in G_2 and e_2 joins v_1 and v_2 in G_2 holds $e_1, e_2 \in E$ or $e_1 \notin E$ and $e_2 \notin E$. Then G_1 is non-directed-multi.

PROOF: For every objects e_1, e_2, v_1, v_2 such that e_1 joins v_1 to v_2 in G_1 and e_2 joins v_1 to v_2 in G_1 holds $e_1 = e_2$ by [5, (16)], (9), (7), (8). \square

Let G be a non-directed-multi graph. Let us note that every reverseEdgeDirections of G is non-directed-multi.

Let G be a non non-directed-multi graph. Observe that every reverseEdgeDirections of G is non non-directed-multi.

Let G be a non-multi graph and E be a set. One can verify that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is non-multi.

Let G be a non non-multi graph. Let us note that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is non non-multi.

Let G be a loopless graph. One can check that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is loopless.

Let G be a non loopless graph. One can check that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is non loopless.

Let G be a connected graph. Let us observe that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is connected.

Let G be a non connected graph. Observe that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is non connected.

Let G be an acyclic graph. Note that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is acyclic.

Let G be a non acyclic graph. One can verify that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is non acyclic.

Let G be a complete graph. Observe that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is complete.

Let G be a non complete graph. Observe that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is non complete.

Let G be a chordal graph. Note that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is chordal.

Let G be a finite graph. Let us note that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is finite.

Let G be a non finite graph. One can verify that every reverseEdgeDirections of G , E is non finite.

Now we state the propositions:

(26) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 . Then

- (i) the source of $G_1 =$ the target of G_2 , and
- (ii) the target of $G_1 =$ the source of G_2 .

(27) Let us consider a reverseEdgeDirections G_1 of G_2 , and objects v_1, e, v_2 . Then e joins v_1 to v_2 in G_2 if and only if e joins v_2 to v_1 in G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (26).

2. ADDING A VERTEX AND SEVERAL EDGES TO A GRAPH

Let us consider G, v , and V .

A addAdjVertexToAll of G, v, V is a reverseEdgeDirections of G defined by

(Def. 2) (i) the vertices of $it =$ (the vertices of G) $\cup \{v\}$ and the edges of $it =$ (the edges of G) $\cup (V \mapsto$ (the edges of G)) and the source of $it =$ (the source of G) $+ \cdot ((V \mapsto$ (the edges of G)) $\mapsto v)$ and the target of $it =$ (the target of G) $+ \cdot \pi_1(V \boxtimes \{\text{the edges of } G\})$, if $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G and $v \notin$ the vertices of G ,

(ii) $it \approx G$, **otherwise**.

A addAdjVertexFromAll of G, v, V is a reverseEdgeDirections of G defined by

(Def. 3) (i) the vertices of $it =$ (the vertices of G) $\cup \{v\}$ and the edges of $it =$ (the edges of G) $\cup (V \mapsto$ (the edges of G)) and the source of $it =$ (the source of G) $+ \cdot \pi_1(V \boxtimes \{\text{the edges of } G\})$ and the target

of $it = (\text{the target of } G) + ((V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G)) \mapsto v)$, **if**
 $V \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G$ and $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G$,

(ii) $it \approx G$, **otherwise**.

A `addAdjVertexToAll of G, v` is a `addAdjVertexToAll of G, v`, the vertices of G .

A `addAdjVertexFromAll of G, v` is a `addAdjVertexFromAll of G, v`, the vertices of G . Now we state the propositions:

(28) Let us consider `addAdjVertexToAlls` G_1, G_2 of G, v, V . Then $G_1 \approx G_2$.

(29) Let us consider `addAdjVertexFromAlls` G_1, G_2 of G, v, V . Then $G_1 \approx G_2$.

(30) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G, v, V . If $G_1 \approx G_2$, then G_2 is a `addAdjVertexToAll of G, v, V`.

(31) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_1 of G, v, V . If $G_1 \approx G_2$, then G_2 is a `addAdjVertexFromAll of G, v, V`.

(32) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G, v, V , and a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_2 of G, v, V . Then

(i) the vertices of $G_1 = \text{the vertices of } G_2$, and

(ii) the edges of $G_1 = \text{the edges of } G_2$.

(33) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G_2, v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G_2$ and $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G_2$. Then $G_1.\text{edgesOutOf}(\{v\}) = V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G_2)$.

PROOF: For every object e , $e \in G_1.\text{edgesOutOf}(\{v\})$ iff $e \in V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G_2)$ by [2, (5)], [7, (13)], [3, (13)], [7, (7)]. \square

(34) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_1 of G_2, v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G_2$ and $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G_2$. Then $G_1.\text{edgesInto}(\{v\}) = V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G_2)$.

PROOF: For every object e , $e \in G_1.\text{edgesInto}(\{v\})$ iff $e \in V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G_2)$ by [2, (5)], [7, (13)], [3, (13)], [7, (7)]. \square

(35) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G, v, V , and a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_2 of G, v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G$ and $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G$. Then

(i) G_2 is a `reverseEdgeDirections` of $G_1, G_1.\text{edgesOutOf}(\{v\})$, and

(ii) G_1 is a `reverseEdgeDirections` of $G_2, G_2.\text{edgesInto}(\{v\})$.

The theorem is a consequence of (33) and (34).

(36) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G, v, V , a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_2 of G, v, V , and objects v_1, e, v_2 . Then e joins v_1 and v_2 in G_1 if

and only if e joins v_1 and v_2 in G_2 . The theorem is a consequence of (35) and (9).

(37) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G , v , V , a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_2 of G , v , V , and an object w . Then w is a vertex of G_1 if and only if w is a vertex of G_2 .

(38) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Let us consider objects e_1 , u . Then

(i) e_1 does not join u to v in G_1 , and

(ii) if $u \notin V$, then e_1 does not join v to u in G_1 , and

(iii) for every object e_2 such that e_1 joins v to u in G_1 and e_2 joins v to u in G_1 holds $e_1 = e_2$.

PROOF: e_1 does not join u to v in G_1 by [2, (5)], [3, (13)]. If $u \notin V$, then e_1 does not join v to u in G_1 by [3, (13), (11)], [2, (5)]. $e_1 \notin$ the edges of G_2 and $e_2 \notin$ the edges of G_2 by [2, (5)]. Consider x_1, y_1 being objects such that $x_1 \in V$ and $y_1 \in \{\text{the edges of } G_2\}$ and $e_1 = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle$. Consider x_2, y_2 being objects such that $x_2 \in V$ and $y_2 \in \{\text{the edges of } G_2\}$ and $e_2 = \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$. \square

(39) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Let us consider objects e_1 , u . Then

(i) e_1 does not join v to u in G_1 , and

(ii) if $u \notin V$, then e_1 does not join u to v in G_1 , and

(iii) for every object e_2 such that e_1 joins u to v in G_1 and e_2 joins u to v in G_1 holds $e_1 = e_2$.

PROOF: e_1 does not join v to u in G_1 by [2, (5)], [3, (13)]. If $u \notin V$, then e_1 does not join u to v in G_1 by [3, (13), (11)], [2, (5)]. $e_1 \notin$ the edges of G_2 and $e_2 \notin$ the edges of G_2 by [2, (5)]. Consider x_1, y_1 being objects such that $x_1 \in V$ and $y_1 \in \{\text{the edges of } G_2\}$ and $e_1 = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle$. Consider x_2, y_2 being objects such that $x_2 \in V$ and $y_2 \in \{\text{the edges of } G_2\}$ and $e_2 = \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$. \square

(40) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Let us consider objects e , v_1, v_2 . Suppose $v_1 \neq v$. If e joins v_1 to v_2 in G_1 , then e joins v_1 to v_2 in G_2 .

PROOF: $e \in$ the edges of G_2 by [7, (13)], [3, (13)], [7, (7)]. \square

- (41) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Let us consider objects e , v_1 , v_2 . Suppose $v_2 \neq v$. If e joins v_1 to v_2 in G_1 , then e joins v_1 to v_2 in G_2 .

PROOF: $e \in$ the edges of G_2 by [7, (13)], [3, (13)], [7, (7)]. \square

- (42) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V , and an object v_1 . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and $v_1 \in V$. Then $\langle v_1, \text{the edges of } G_2 \rangle$ joins v to v_1 in G_1 .
- (43) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V , and an object v_1 . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and $v_1 \in V$. Then $\langle v_1, \text{the edges of } G_2 \rangle$ joins v_1 to v in G_1 .

Let us consider G , v , V , a `addAdjVertexToAll` G_1 of G , v , V , and a `addAdjVertexFromAll` G_2 of G , v , V . Now we state the propositions:

- (44) Every walk of G_1 is a walk of G_2 . The theorem is a consequence of (35) and (14).
- (45) Every walk of G_2 is a walk of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (35) and (14).

Let us consider G , v , and V .

A `addAdjVertexAll` of G , v , V is a `reverseEdgeDirections` of G defined by

- (Def. 4) (i) the vertices of $it = (\text{the vertices of } G) \cup \{v\}$ and for every object e , e does not join v and v in it and for every object v_1 , if $v_1 \notin V$, then e does not join v_1 and v in it and for every object v_2 such that $v_1 \neq v$ and $v_2 \neq v$ and e joins v_1 to v_2 in it holds e joins v_1 to v_2 in G and there exists a set E such that $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$ and E misses the edges of G and the edges of $it = (\text{the edges of } G) \cup E$ and for every object v_1 such that $v_1 \in V$ there exists an object e_1 such that $e_1 \in E$ and e_1 joins v_1 and v in it and for every object e_2 such that e_2 joins v_1 and v in it holds $e_1 = e_2$, **if** $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G and $v \notin$ the vertices of G ,
- (ii) $it \approx G$, **otherwise**.

A `addAdjVertexAll` of G , v is a `addAdjVertexAll` of G , v , the vertices of G .

One can verify that a `addAdjVertexToAll` of G , v , V is a `addAdjVertexAll` of G , v , V .

Observe that a `addAdjVertexFromAll` of G , v , V is a `addAdjVertexAll` of G , v , V . Now we state the propositions:

- (46) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , \emptyset . Then the edges of $G_2 =$ the edges of G_1 .

- (47) Let us consider a non empty set V , and a $\text{addAdjVertexAll } G_1$ of G_2 , v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then the edges of $G_1 \neq \emptyset$.
- (48) Let us consider a $\text{addAdjVertexAll } G_1$ of G, v, V . If $G_1 \approx G_2$, then G_2 is a addAdjVertexAll of G, v, V .
- (49) Let us consider a $\text{addAdjVertexAll } G_1$ of G_2, v, V , and objects v_1, e, v_2 . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and $v_1 \neq v$ and $v_2 \neq v$ and e joins v_1 and v_2 in G_1 . Then e joins v_1 and v_2 in G_2 .
- (50) Let us consider a $\text{addAdjVertexAll } G_1$ of G_2, v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then v is a vertex of G_1 .
- (51) Let us consider a $\text{addAdjVertexAll } G_1$ of G_2, v, V , a set E , and objects v_1, e, v_2 . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$ and E misses the edges of G_2 and e joins v_1 and v_2 in G_1 and $e \notin$ the edges of G_2 . Then
- (i) $e \in E$, and
 - (ii) $v_1 = v$ and $v_2 \in V$ or $v_2 = v$ and $v_1 \in V$.
- (52) Let us consider a $\text{addAdjVertexAll } G_1$ of G_2, v, V , and a set E . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$ and E misses the edges of G_2 . Then there exist functions f, g from E into $V \cup \{v\}$ such that
- (i) the source of $G_1 = (\text{the source of } G_2) + \cdot f$, and
 - (ii) the target of $G_1 = (\text{the target of } G_2) + \cdot g$, and
 - (iii) for every object e such that $e \in E$ holds e joins $f(e)$ to $g(e)$ in G_1 and $(f(e) = v \text{ iff } g(e) \neq v)$.

PROOF: Consider E_1 being a set such that $\overline{V} = \overline{E_1}$ and E_1 misses the edges of G_2 and the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E_1$ and for every object v_1 such that $v_1 \in V$ there exists an object e_1 such that $e_1 \in E_1$ and e_1 joins v_1 and v in G_1 and for every object e_2 such that e_2 joins v_1 and v in G_1 holds $e_1 = e_2$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv$ there exists an object v_2 such that $\$1$ joins $\$2$ to v_2 in G_1 . For every object e such that $e \in E$ there exists an object v_1 such that $v_1 \in V \cup \{v\}$ and $\mathcal{P}[e, v_1]$. Consider f being a function from E into $V \cup \{v\}$ such that for every object e such that $e \in E$ holds $\mathcal{P}[e, f(e)]$ from [2, Sch. 1]. Define $\mathcal{Q}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv \1 joins $f(\$1)$ to $\$2$ in G_1 . For every object e such that $e \in E$ there exists an object v_2 such that $v_2 \in V \cup \{v\}$ and $\mathcal{Q}[e, v_2]$ by [5, (16)], (51). Consider g being a function from E into $V \cup \{v\}$ such that for every object e such that $e \in E$ holds $\mathcal{Q}[e, g(e)]$ from [2, Sch. 1]. For every object e such that $e \in \text{dom}(\text{the source of } G_1)$ holds $(\text{the source of } G_1)(e) = ((\text{the source of } G_2) + \cdot f)(e)$ by [3, (11), (13)].

For every object e such that $e \in \text{dom}(\text{the target of } G_1)$ holds (the target of G_1)(e) = ((the target of G_2)+ g)(e) by [3, (11), (13)]. \square

- (53) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then the edges of $G_2 = G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(\text{the vertices of } G_2)$.

PROOF: Set $B = G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(\text{the vertices of } G_2)$. For every object e , $e \in$ the edges of G_2 iff $e \in B$ by [2, (5)], [5, (31)], (49). \square

- (54) Let us consider a graph G_2 , sets v , V , and a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then G_2 is a subgraph of G_1 with vertex v removed. The theorem is a consequence of (53).

- (55) Every `addAdjVertexAll` of G_2 , v , \emptyset is a `addVertex` of G_2 , v . The theorem is a consequence of (46).

- (56) Let us consider an object v_1 , and a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , $\{v_1\}$. Suppose $v_1 \in$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then there exists an object e such that

- (i) $e \notin$ the edges of G_2 , and
- (ii) G_1 is `addAdjVertex` of G_2 , v , e , v_1 or `addAdjVertex` of G_2 , v_1 , e , v .

The theorem is a consequence of (52).

- (57) Let us consider a subset W of V , and a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then there exists a function f from W into $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(W, \{v\})$ such that

- (i) f is one-to-one and onto, and
- (ii) for every object w such that $w \in W$ holds $f(w)$ joins w and v in G_1 .

PROOF: Consider E being a set such that $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$ and E misses the edges of G_2 and the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$ and for every object v_1 such that $v_1 \in V$ there exists an object e_1 such that $e_1 \in E$ and e_1 joins v_1 and v in G_1 and for every object e_2 such that e_2 joins v_1 and v in G_1 holds $e_1 = e_2$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv \mathcal{S}_2$ joins \mathcal{S}_1 and v in G_1 . For every object w such that $w \in W$ there exists an object e such that $e \in G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(W, \{v\})$ and $\mathcal{P}[w, e]$ by [5, (17)]. Consider f being a function from W into $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(W, \{v\})$ such that for every object w such that $w \in W$ holds $\mathcal{P}[w, f(w)]$ from [2, Sch. 1]. For every objects w_1, w_2 such that $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $f(w_1) = f(w_2)$ holds $w_1 = w_2$ by [5, (15)]. For every object e such that $e \in G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(W, \{v\})$ holds $e \in \text{rng } f$ by [?, (17)], [2, (4)]. \square

(58) Let us consider a addAdjVertexAll G_1 of G_2, v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and E misses the edges of G_2 and the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$. Then $E = G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\})$.

PROOF: Consider E_1 being a set such that $\overline{V} = \overline{E_1}$ and E_1 misses the edges of G_2 and the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E_1$ and for every object v_1 such that $v_1 \in V$ there exists an object e_1 such that $e_1 \in E_1$ and e_1 joins v_1 and v in G_1 and for every object e_2 such that e_2 joins v_1 and v in G_1 holds $e_1 = e_2$. For every object $e, e \in E$ iff $e \in G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\})$ by (51), [5, (17)]. \square

(59) Let us consider a addAdjVertexAll G_1 of G_2, v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then

- (i) $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\})$ misses the edges of G_2 , and
- (ii) the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\})$.

PROOF: $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\}) \cap (\text{the edges of } G_2) = \emptyset$ by [? , (17), (72)], [5, (13)]. For every object e such that $e \in$ the edges of G_1 holds $e \in (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\})$ by (51), [5, (17)]. \square

(60) Let us consider a graph G_3 , an object v , sets V_1, V_2 , a addAdjVertexAll G_1 of $G_3, v, V_1 \cup V_2$, and a subgraph G_2 of G_1 with edges $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V_2, \{v\})$ removed. Suppose $V_1 \cup V_2 \subseteq$ the vertices of G_3 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_3 and V_1 misses V_2 . Then G_2 is a addAdjVertexAll of G_3, v, V_1 .

PROOF: Consider E being a set such that $\overline{V_1 \cup V_2} = \overline{E}$ and E misses the edges of G_3 and the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_3) \cup E$ and for every object v_1 such that $v_1 \in V_1 \cup V_2$ there exists an object e_1 such that $e_1 \in E$ and e_1 joins v_1 and v in G_1 and for every object e_2 such that e_2 joins v_1 and v in G_1 holds $e_1 = e_2$. $E = G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V_1 \cup V_2, \{v\})$. For every object e such that $e \in$ the edges of G_3 holds $e \in (\text{the edges of } G_3) \setminus G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V_2, \{v\})$ by [? , (17)]. G_2 is a reverseEdgeDirections of G_3 . \square

(61) Let us consider a graph G_3 , an object v , a set V , a vertex v_1 of G_3 , and a addAdjVertexAll G_1 of $G_3, v, V \cup \{v_1\}$. Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_3 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_3 and $v_1 \notin V$. Then there exists a addAdjVertexAll G_2 of G_3, v, V and there exists an object e such that $e \notin$ the edges of G_3 and G_1 is addEdge of G_2, v, e, v_1 or addEdge of G_2, v_1, e, v .

PROOF: Reconsider $W = \{v_1\}$ as a subset of $V \cup \{v_1\}$. Consider f being a function from W into $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(W, \{v\})$ such that f is one-to-one and onto and for every object w such that $w \in W$ holds $f(w)$ joins w and v in G_1 . $f(v_1) \notin$ the edges of G_3 by [? , (72)], [5, (13)]. v is a vertex of G_1 . \square

- (62) Let us consider a graph G_3 , an object v , a set V , a vertex v_1 of G_3 , an object e , and a `addAdjVertexAll` G_2 of G_3 , v , V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_3 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_3 and $v_1 \notin V$ and $e \notin$ the edges of G_2 . Let us consider a graph G_1 . Suppose G_1 is `addEdge` of G_2 , v_1 , e , v or `addEdge` of G_2 , v , e , v_1 . Then G_1 is a `addAdjVertexAll` of G_3 , v , $V \cup \{v_1\}$.

PROOF: Consider E being a set such that $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$ and E misses the edges of G_3 and the edges of $G_2 = (\text{the edges of } G_3) \cup E$ and for every object v_1 such that $v_1 \in V$ there exists an object e_1 such that $e_1 \in E$ and e_1 joins v_1 and v in G_2 and for every object e_2 such that e_2 joins v_1 and v in G_2 holds $e_1 = e_2$. Consider f being a function such that f is one-to-one and $\text{dom } f = E$ and $\text{rng } f = V$. Set $f_1 = f + \cdot (e \mapsto v_1)$. $\text{rng } f \cap \text{rng}(e \mapsto v_1) = \emptyset$. For every object w such that $w \in \text{rng } f \cup \text{rng}(e \mapsto v_1)$ holds $w \in \text{rng } f_1$ by [3, (83), (18)]. v is a vertex of G_2 and v_1 is a vertex of G_3 . \square

Let us consider G_2 , v , V , a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V , and a walk W of G_1 . Now we state the propositions:

- (63) Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then
- (i) if $W.\text{edges}() \subseteq$ the edges of G_2 and W is not trivial, then $v \notin W.\text{vertices}()$, and
 - (ii) if $v \notin W.\text{vertices}()$, then $W.\text{edges}() \subseteq$ the edges of G_2 .

PROOF: Consider E being a set such that $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$ and E misses the edges of G_2 and the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$ and for every object v_1 such that $v_1 \in V$ there exists an object e_1 such that $e_1 \in E$ and e_1 joins v_1 and v in G_1 and for every object e_2 such that e_2 joins v_1 and v in G_1 holds $e_1 = e_2$. For every object e such that $e \in W.\text{edges}()$ holds $e \in$ the edges of G_2 by [4, (100), (87), (1)], (49). \square

- (64) Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and $(W.\text{edges}() \subseteq$ the edges of G_2 and W is not trivial or $v \notin W.\text{vertices}())$. Then W is a walk of G_2 . The theorem is a consequence of (63).
- (65) If $W.\text{vertices}() \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 , then $W.\text{edges}() \subseteq$ the edges of G_2 . The theorem is a consequence of (63).

Now we state the propositions:

- (66) Let us consider `addAdjVertexAlls` G_1 , G_2 of G , v , V . Then
- (i) the vertices of $G_1 =$ the vertices of G_2 , and
 - (ii) every vertex of G_1 is a vertex of G_2 .

PROOF: The vertices of $G_1 =$ the vertices of G_2 by [5, (85)]. \square

- (67) Let us consider `addAdjVertexAlls` G_1 , G_2 of G , v , V , and objects v_1 , e_1 , v_2 . Suppose e_1 joins v_1 and v_2 in G_1 . Then there exists an object e_2 such that e_2 joins v_1 and v_2 in G_2 .

(68) Let us consider `addAdjVertexAlls` G_1, G_2 of G, v, V . Then there exists a function f from the edges of G_1 into the edges of G_2 such that

- (i) $f \upharpoonright (\text{the edges of } G) = \text{id}_\alpha$, and
- (ii) f is one-to-one and onto, and
- (iii) for every objects v_1, e, v_2 such that e joins v_1 and v_2 in G_1 holds $f(e)$ joins v_1 and v_2 in G_2 ,

where α is the edges of G . The theorem is a consequence of (67), (47), and (51).

Let G be a loopless graph. Let us consider v and V . One can verify that every `addAdjVertexAll` of G, v, V is loopless.

Let G be a non-directed-multi graph. Let us note that every `addAdjVertexAll` of G, v, V is non-directed-multi.

Let G be a non-multi graph. One can verify that every `addAdjVertexAll` of G, v, V is non-multi.

Let G be a directed-simple graph. Note that every `addAdjVertexAll` of G, v, V is directed-simple.

Let G be a simple graph. Let us observe that every `addAdjVertexAll` of G, v, V is simple.

Now we state the proposition:

(69) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2, v, V , a walk W of G_1 , and vertices v_1, v_2 of G_2 . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and $W.\text{first}() = v_1$ and $W.\text{last}() = v_2$ and $v_2 \notin G_2.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1)$. Then $v \in W.\text{vertices}()$. The theorem is a consequence of (64).

Let us consider G_2, v, V , and a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2, v, V . Now we state the propositions:

(70) Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and G_2 is acyclic and for every component G_3 of G_2 and for every vertices w_1, w_2 of G_3 such that $w_1, w_2 \in V$ holds $w_1 = w_2$. Then G_1 is acyclic.

PROOF: Consider E being a set such that $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$ and E misses the edges of G_2 and the edges of $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$ and for every object v_1 such that $v_1 \in V$ there exists an object e_1 such that $e_1 \in E$ and e_1 joins v_1 and v in G_1 and for every object e_2 such that e_2 joins v_1 and v in G_1 holds $e_1 = e_2$. There exists no walk W of G_1 such that W is cycle-like by (65), [?, (57)], [4, (170)], [?, (24)]. \square

(71) Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and (G_2 is not acyclic or there exists a component G_3 of G_2 and there exist vertices w_1, w_2 of G_3 such that $w_1, w_2 \in V$ and $w_1 \neq w_2$). Then G_1 is not acyclic.

(72) Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and for every component G_3 of G_2 , there exists a vertex w of G_3 such that $w \in V$. Then G_1 is connected.

PROOF: For every vertex u of G_1 such that $u \neq v$ there exists a walk W_1 of G_1 such that W_1 is walk from u to v by [? , (75)], [4, (19), (63)]. For every vertices u, w of G_1 , there exists a walk W_1 of G_1 such that W_1 is walk from u to w by [4, (13), (23), (31)]. \square

Let G be a connected graph, v be an object, and V be a non empty set. Note that every `addAdjVertexAll` of G, v, V is connected.

Let us consider G_2, v, V , and a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2, v, V . Now we state the propositions:

(73) Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and there exists a component G_3 of G_2 such that for every vertex w of $G_3, w \notin V$. Then G_1 is not connected.

PROOF: Consider G_3 being a component of G_2 such that for every vertex w of $G_3, w \notin V$. Set $v_1 =$ the vertex of G_3 . There exists no walk W of G_1 such that W is walk from v_1 to v by [4, (160), (127), (125)]. \square

(74) Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and there exists a component G_3 of G_2 such that the vertices of G_3 misses V . Then G_1 is not connected. The theorem is a consequence of (73).

Let G be a non connected graph and v be an object. Let us note that every `addAdjVertexAll` of G, v, \emptyset is non connected.

Now we state the proposition:

(75) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2, v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then G_1 is complete if and only if G_2 is complete and $V =$ the vertices of G_2 .

PROOF: For every vertices u, v of G_1 such that $u \neq v$ holds u and v are adjacent by [5, (14)], [? , (70)]. \square

Let G be a complete graph. Note that every `addAdjVertexAll` of G , the vertices of G is complete.

Now we state the propositions:

(76) Let us consider a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2, v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then

(i) $G_1.\text{order}() = G_2.\text{order}() + 1$, and

(ii) $G_1.\text{size}() = G_2.\text{size}() + \overline{V}$.

(77) Let us consider a finite graph G_2 , an object v , a set V , and a `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2, v, V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then $G_1.\text{order}() = G_2.\text{order}() + 1$.

(78) Let us consider a finite graph G_2 , an object v , a finite set V , and an `addAdjVertexAll` G_1 of G_2 , v , V . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 . Then $G_1.size() = G_2.size() + \overline{V}$.

Let G be a finite graph, v be an object, and V be a set. Note that every `addAdjVertexAll` of G , v , V is finite.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [4] Gilbert Lee. Walks in graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):253–269, 2005.
- [5] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative graph structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):235–252, 2005.
- [6] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian’s fixed point theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):335–338, 1997.
- [7] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [8] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.

Received June 29, 2018
