

On Two Alternative Axiomatizations of Lattices by McKenzie and Sholander

Adam Grabowski
Institute of Informatics
University of Białystok
Poland

Damian Sawicki
Institute of Informatics
University of Białystok
Poland

Summary. The main result of the article is to prove formally that two sets of axioms, proposed by McKenzie and Sholander [???], axiomatize lattices and distributive lattices, respectively.

MSC: 03B35 68T99

Keywords:

MML identifier: ROBBINS5, version: 8.1.08 5.52.1328

1. PRELIMINARIES: SHOLANDER AXIOMS

From now on L denotes a non empty lattice structure and $v_{64}, v_{65}, v_{66}, v_{67}, v_{103}, v_3, v_{102}, v_{101}, v_{100}, v_2, v_1, v_0$ denote elements of L .

Let us consider L . We say that L is satisfying-Sholander-1 if and only if

(Def. 1) for every v_0, v_1 , and v_2 , $v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$.

Let us consider v_0 . Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Suppose L is join-absorbing and for every v_0, v_2 , and v_1 , $v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$. Then $v_0 \sqcap v_0 = v_0$.
- (2) Suppose L is join-absorbing and for every v_0, v_2 , and v_1 , $v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$. Then $v_0 \sqcup v_0 = v_0$. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider v_0 and v_1 . Now we state the propositions:

- (3) Suppose L is join-absorbing and for every v_0, v_2 , and $v_1, v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$. Then $v_0 \sqcap v_1 = v_1 \sqcap v_0$. The theorem is a consequence of (2).
- (4) Suppose L is join-absorbing and for every v_0, v_2 , and $v_1, v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$. Then $v_0 \sqcup v_1 = v_1 \sqcup v_0$. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Now we state the propositions:

- (5) Suppose L is join-absorbing and for every v_0, v_2 , and $v_1, v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$. $(v_0 \sqcap v_1) \sqcap v_2 = v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcap v_2)$. The theorem is a consequence of (1), (2), (4), and (3).
- (6) If for every v_1 and $v_0, v_0 \sqcap (v_0 \sqcup v_1) = v_0$, then for every v_0 and $v_1, v_0 \sqcap (v_0 \sqcup v_1) = v_0$.
- (7) Suppose L is join-absorbing and for every v_0, v_2 , and $v_1, v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$. $v_0 \sqcup (v_0 \sqcap v_1) = v_0$. The theorem is a consequence of (1), (3), and (4).

Let us consider v_0, v_1 , and v_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (8) Suppose L is join-absorbing and for every v_0, v_2 , and $v_1, v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$. Then $(v_0 \sqcup v_1) \sqcup v_2 = v_0 \sqcup (v_1 \sqcup v_2)$. The theorem is a consequence of (1), (3), (7), (2), (5), and (4).
- (9) Suppose L is join-absorbing and for every v_0, v_2 , and $v_1, v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$. Then $v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_0 \sqcap v_1) \sqcup (v_0 \sqcap v_2)$. The theorem is a consequence of (4) and (3).
- (10) Suppose L is join-absorbing and for every v_0, v_2 , and $v_1, v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$. Then $v_0 \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_2) = (v_0 \sqcup v_1) \sqcap (v_0 \sqcup v_2)$. The theorem is a consequence of (5), (1), (4), (8), (2), and (3).

From now on L denotes a distributive, join-commutative, meet-commutative, non empty lattice structure and v_0, v_1, v_2 denote elements of L .

Now we state the propositions:

- (11) $v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2) = (v_2 \sqcap v_0) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_0)$.
- (12) Let us consider a non empty lattice structure L . Then L is a distributive lattice if and only if L is join-absorbing and satisfying-Sholander-1. The theorem is a consequence of (11), (9), (3), (4), (5), (8), and (7).

Let us observe that every non empty lattice structure which is join-absorbing and satisfying-Sholander-1 is also distributive and lattice-like and every non empty lattice structure which is distributive, join-commutative, and meet-commutative is also satisfying-Sholander-1.

2. FOUR AXIOMS FOR LATTICES PROPOSED BY MCKENZIE

From now on L denotes a non empty lattice structure and $v_{103}, v_3, v_{102}, v_{101}, v_{100}, v_2, v_1, v_0$ denote elements of L .

Let us consider L . We say that **L is satisfying-McKenzie-1** if and only if

(Def. 2) for every v_1, v_2 , and $v_0, v_0 \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap (v_0 \sqcap v_2)) = v_0$.

We say that **L is satisfying-McKenzie-2** if and only if

(Def. 3) for every v_1, v_2 , and $v_0, v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup (v_0 \sqcup v_2)) = v_0$.

We say that **L is satisfying-McKenzie-3** if and only if

(Def. 4) for every v_2, v_1 , and $v_0, ((v_0 \sqcap v_1) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_2)) \sqcup v_1 = v_1$.

We say that **L is satisfying-McKenzie-4** if and only if

(Def. 5) for every v_2, v_1 , and $v_0, ((v_0 \sqcup v_1) \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2)) \sqcap v_1 = v_1$.

Now we state the propositions:

(13) Suppose L is satisfying-McKenzie-1 and satisfying-McKenzie-2 and for every v_2, v_1 , and $v_0, ((v_0 \sqcap v_1) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_2)) \sqcup v_1 = v_1$ and for every v_2, v_1 , and $v_0, ((v_0 \sqcup v_1) \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2)) \sqcap v_1 = v_1$. Then

(i) for every v_1 and $v_0, v_0 \sqcap (v_0 \sqcup v_1) = v_0$, and

(ii) for every v_1 and $v_0, v_0 \sqcup (v_0 \sqcap v_1) = v_0$, and

(iii) L is join-commutative, meet-commutative, meet-associative, and join-associative.

(14) Suppose L is join-commutative, join-associative, meet-commutative, and meet-associative and for every v_1 and $v_0, v_0 \sqcap (v_0 \sqcup v_1) = v_0$ and for every v_1 and $v_0, v_0 \sqcup (v_0 \sqcap v_1) = v_0$. Then

(i) for every v_1, v_2 , and $v_0, v_0 \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap (v_0 \sqcap v_2)) = v_0$, and

(ii) for every v_1, v_2 , and $v_0, v_0 \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup (v_0 \sqcup v_2)) = v_0$, and

(iii) for every v_2, v_1 , and $v_0, ((v_0 \sqcap v_1) \sqcup (v_1 \sqcap v_2)) \sqcup v_1 = v_1$, and

(iv) for every v_2, v_1 , and $v_0, ((v_0 \sqcup v_1) \sqcap (v_1 \sqcup v_2)) \sqcap v_1 = v_1$.

Let L be a non empty lattice structure. We say that **L is satisfying-4-McKenzie-axioms** if and only if

(Def. 6) L is satisfying-McKenzie-1, satisfying-McKenzie-2, satisfying-McKenzie-3, and satisfying-McKenzie-4.

One can verify that every non empty lattice structure which is satisfying-4-McKenzie-ax is also satisfying-McKenzie-1, satisfying-McKenzie-2, satisfying-McKenzie-3, and satisfying-McKenzie-4 and every non empty lattice structure which is satisfying-McKenzie-1, satisfying-McKenzie-2, satisfying-McKenzie-3, and satisfying-McKenzie-4 is also satisfying-4-McKenzie-axioms.

From now on L denotes a non empty lattice structure.

Now we state the proposition:

- (15) L is a lattice if and only if L is satisfying-4-McKenzie-axioms. The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (13).

Let us observe that every non empty lattice structure which is lattice-like is also satisfying-4-McKenzie-axioms and every non empty lattice structure which is satisfying-4-McKenzie-axioms is also lattice-like.

Received June 29, 2018
