FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Vol. **27**, No. **3**, Pages 223–228, **2019**
DOI: 10.2478/forma-2019-0021

sciendo
https://www.sciendo.com/

On the Intersection of Fields F with $F[X]$

Christoph Schwarzweller
Institute of Informatics
University of Gdańsk
Poland

Summary. This is the third part of a four-article series containing a Mizar [4], [2], [3] formalization of Kronecker's construction about roots of polynomials in field extensions, i.e. that for every field F and every polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ there exists a field extension E of F such that p has a root over E. The formalization follows Kronecker's classical proof using $F[X]/<p>$ as the desired field extension E [7], [5], [6].

In the first part we show that an irreducible polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ has a root over $F[X]/<p>$. Note, however, that this statement cannot be true in a rigid formal sense: We do not have $F \subseteq F[X]/<p>$ as sets, so F is not a subfield of $F[X]/<p>$, and hence formally p is not even a polynomial over $F[X]/<p>$. Consequently, we translate p along the canonical monomorphism $\phi : F \longrightarrow F[X]/<p>$ and show that the translated polynomial $\phi(p)$ has a root over $F[X]/<p>$.

Because F is not a subfield of $F[X]/<p>$ we construct in the second part the field $(E \setminus \phi F) \cup F$ for a given monomorphism $\phi : F \longrightarrow E$ and show that this field both is isomorphic to F and includes F as a subfield. In the literature this part of the proof usually consists of saying that "one can identify F with its image ϕF in $F[X]/<p>$ and therefore consider F as a subfield of $F[X]/<p>$". Interestingly, to do so we need to assume that $F \cap E = \emptyset$, in particular Kronecker's construction can be formalized for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$.

Surprisingly, as we show in this third part, this condition is not automatically true for arbitrary fields F: With the exception of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ we construct for every field F an isomorphic copy F' of F with $F' \cap F'[X] \neq \emptyset$. We also prove that for Mizar's representations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ we have $\mathbb{Z}_n \cap \mathbb{Z}_n[X] = \emptyset$, $\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}[X] = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{R}[X] = \emptyset$, respectively.

In the fourth part we finally define field extensions: E is a field extension of F iff F is a subfield of E. Note, that in this case we have $F \subseteq E$ as sets, and thus a polynomial p over F is also a polynomial over E. We then apply the construction of the second part to $F[X]/<p>$ with the canonical monomorphism

ISSN 1426–2630(Print), 1898-9934(Online)

$\phi : F \longrightarrow F[X]/<p>$. Together with the first part this gives – for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$ - a field extension E of F in which $p \in F[X] \backslash F$ has a root.

MSC: 12E05 12F05 68T99 03B35

Keywords: roots of polynomials; field extensions; Kronecker's construction

MML identifier: FIELD_3, version: 8.1.09 5.57.1363

1. Preliminaries

Now we state the propositions:

(1) Let us consider a natural number n, and an object x. If $n = \{x\}$, then $x = 0$.

(2) Let us consider a natural number n, and objects x, y. If $n = \{x, y\}$ and $x \neq y$, then $x = 0$ and $y = 1$ or $x = 1$ and $y = 0$.

(3) Let us consider a natural number n. If $1 < n$, then $0_{\mathbb{Z}/n} = 0$.

(4) $1_{\mathbb{Z}/2} + 1_{\mathbb{Z}/2} = 0_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. The theorem is a consequence of (3).

(5) Let us consider a ring R, and a non zero natural number n. Then $\mathrm{power}_R(0_R, n) = 0_R$.
Proof: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \mathrm{power}_R(0_R, \$_1) = 0_R$. For every non zero natural number k, $\mathcal{P}[k]$ from [1, Sch. 10]. □

One can verify that $\mathbb{Z}/3$ is non degenerated and almost left invertible and there exists a field which is finite and there exists a field which is infinite.

Let L be a non empty double loop structure. We say that L is almost trivial if and only if

(Def. 1) for every element a of L, $a = 1_L$ or $a = 0_L$.

Observe that every ring which is degenerated is also almost trivial and there exists a field which is non almost trivial.

Now we state the proposition:

(6) Let us consider a ring R. Then R is almost trivial if and only if R is degenerated or R and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (4).

Let R be a ring and a be an element of R. We say that a is trivial if and only if

(Def. 2) $a = 1_R$ or $a = 0_R$.

Let R be a non almost trivial ring. One can verify that there exists an element of R which is non trivial.

Let R be a ring. We say that R is polynomial-disjoint if and only if

(Def. 3) $\Omega_R \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{PolyRing}(R)} = \emptyset$.

2. Some Negative Results

Let R be a non almost trivial ring, x be a non trivial element of R, and o be an object. The functor carr(x, o) yielding a non empty set is defined by the term

(Def. 4) $(\Omega_R \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{o\}$.

Let a, b be elements of carr(x, o). The functor addR(a, b) yielding an element of carr(x, o) is defined by the term

(Def. 5)
$$\begin{cases} (\text{the addition of } R)(x,x), & \textbf{if } a = o \text{ and } b = o \text{ and } (\text{the addition of } R)(x,x) \neq x \\ (\text{the addition of } R)(a,x), & \textbf{if } a \neq o \text{ and } b = o \text{ and } (\text{the addition of } R)(a,x) \neq x \\ (\text{the addition of } R)(x,b), & \textbf{if } a = o \text{ and } b \neq o \text{ and } (\text{the addition of } R)(x,b) \neq x \\ (\text{the addition of } R)(a,b), & \textbf{if } a \neq o \text{ and } b \neq o \text{ and } (\text{the addition of } R)(a,b) \neq x \\ o, & \textbf{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The functor addR(x, o) yielding a binary operation on carr(x, o) is defined by

(Def. 6) for every elements a, b of carr(x, o), $it(a, b) = \mathrm{addR}(a, b)$.

Let a, b be elements of carr(x, o). The functor multR(a, b) yielding an element of carr(x, o) is defined by the term

(Def. 7)
$$\begin{cases} (\text{the multiplication of } R)(x,x), & \textbf{if } a = o \text{ and } b = o \text{ and } (\text{the multiplication of } \\ (\text{the multiplication of } R)(a,x), & \textbf{if } a \neq o \text{ and } b = o \text{ and } (\text{the multiplication of } \\ (\text{the multiplication of } R)(x,b), & \textbf{if } a = o \text{ and } b \neq o \text{ and } (\text{the multiplication of } \\ (\text{the multiplication of } R)(a,b), & \textbf{if } a \neq o \text{ and } b \neq o \text{ and } (\text{the multiplication of } \\ o, & \textbf{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The functor multR(x, o) yielding a binary operation on carr(x, o) is defined by

(Def. 8) for every elements a, b of carr(x, o), $it(a, b) = \mathrm{multR}(a, b)$.

Let F be a non almost trivial field and x be a non trivial element of F. The functor ExField(x, o) yielding a strict double loop structure is defined by

(Def. 9) the carrier of it = carr(x, o) and the addition of it = addR(x, o) and the multiplication of it = multR(x, o) and the one of $it = 1_F$ and the zero of $it = 0_F$.

One can check that ExField(x, o) is non degenerated and ExField(x, o) is Abelian.

From now on o denotes an object, F denotes a non almost trivial field, and x, a denote elements of F.

Let us consider a non trivial element x of F and an object o. Now we state the propositions:

(7) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then $\mathrm{ExField}(x, o)$ is right zeroed and right complementable.

(8) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then $\mathrm{ExField}(x, o)$ is add-associative.

Let F be a non almost trivial field, x be a non trivial element of F, and o be an object. One can verify that $\mathrm{ExField}(x, o)$ is commutative.

Let us consider a non trivial element x of F and an object o. Now we state the propositions:

(9) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then $\mathrm{ExField}(x, o)$ is well unital.

(10) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then $\mathrm{ExField}(x, o)$ is associative.

(11) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then $\mathrm{ExField}(x, o)$ is distributive.

(12) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then $\mathrm{ExField}(x, o)$ is almost left invertible.

Now we state the propositions:

(13) Let us consider a non trivial element x of F, and a ring P. Suppose $P = \mathrm{ExField}(x, \langle 0_F, 1_F \rangle)$. Then $\langle 0_F, 1_F \rangle \in \Omega_P \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{PolyRing}(P)}$.

(14) There exists a field K such that $\Omega_K \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{PolyRing}(K)} \neq \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (10), (9), (12), (11), and (13).

In the sequel n denotes a non zero natural number.

Now we state the propositions:

(15) There exists a field K and there exists a polynomial p over K such that $\deg p = n$ and $p \in \Omega_K \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{PolyRing}(K)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (10), (9), (12), (11), and (5).

(16) There exists a field K and there exists an object x such that $x \notin$ rng(the canonical homomorphism of K into quotient field) and $x \in \Omega_K \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{PolyRing}(K)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (10), (9), (12), (11), and (13).

Let us note that there exists a field which is non polynomial-disjoint.

Let F be a non almost trivial field, x be a non trivial element of F, and o be an object. The functor isoR(x, o) yielding a function from F into $\mathrm{ExField}(x, o)$ is defined by

(Def. 10) $it(x) = o$ and for every element a of F such that $a \neq x$ holds $it(a) = a$.

One can check that isoR(x, o) is onto.

Now we state the propositions:

(17) Let us consider a non trivial element x of F, and an object o. If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then isoR(x, o) is one-to-one.

(18) Let us consider a non trivial element x of F, and an object u. Suppose $u \notin \Omega_F$. Then isoR(x, u) is additive, multiplicative, and unity-preserving.

The theorem is a consequence of (7), (10), (8), (9), and (11).

Let us consider a non almost trivial field F. Now we state the propositions:

(19) There exists a non polynomial-disjoint field K such that K and F are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), and (18).

(20) There exists a non polynomial-disjoint field K and there exists a polynomial p over K such that K and F are isomorphic and $\deg p = n$ and $p \in \Omega_K \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{PolyRing}(K)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (10), (9), (12), (11), (5), and (18).

3. An Intuitive "Solution"

Let R be a ring. We say that R is flat if and only if

(Def. 11) for every elements a, b of R, $\mathrm{rk}(a) = \mathrm{rk}(b)$.

One can check that there exists a ring which is flat.

Now we state the proposition:

(21) Let us consider a flat ring R, and a polynomial p over R. Then $p \notin \Omega_R$.

Note that every flat ring is polynomial-disjoint.

Now we state the proposition:

(22) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R. Suppose $0 \in$ the carrier of R. Then R is not flat.

One can check that $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{R}}$ is non flat and $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is non flat and $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is non flat.

Let n be a non trivial natural number. One can verify that $\mathbb{Z}/n$ is non flat.

4. Some Positive Results

Now we state the proposition:

(23) Let us consider a ring R, a polynomial p over R, and a natural number n. Then $p \neq n$.

Let n be a non trivial natural number. Let us observe that $\mathbb{Z}/n$ is polynomial-disjoint and there exists a finite field which is polynomial-disjoint.

Now we state the proposition:

(24) Let us consider a ring R, a polynomial p over R, and an integer i. Then $p \neq i$. The theorem is a consequence of (23).

One can verify that $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{R}}$ is polynomial-disjoint.

Now we state the proposition:

(25) Let us consider a ring R, a polynomial p over R, and a rational number r. Then $p \neq r$.

Observe that $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is polynomial-disjoint.

Now we state the proposition:

(26) Let us consider a ring R, a polynomial p over R, and a real number r. Then $p \neq r$.

Note that $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is polynomial-disjoint and there exists an infinite field which is polynomial-disjoint.

Let R be a polynomial-disjoint ring. Let us observe that $\mathrm{PolyRing}(R)$ is polynomial-disjoint.

Let F be a field and p be an element of $\Omega_{\mathrm{PolyRing}(F)}$. One can check that $\frac{\mathrm{PolyRing}(F)}{\{p\}\text{–ideal}}$ is polynomial-disjoint.

Let F be a polynomial-disjoint field and p be a non constant element of the carrier of $\mathrm{PolyRing}(F)$. One can check that $\mathrm{PolyRing}(p)$ is polynomial-disjoint.

References

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):41–46, 1990.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8_17.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.

[4] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Christoph Schwarzweller. On algebraic hierarchies in mathematical repository of Mizar. In M. Ganzha, L. Maciaszek, and M. Paprzycki, editors, *Proceedings of the 2016 Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems (FedCSIS)*, volume 8 of *Annals of Computer Science and Information Systems*, pages 363–371, 2016. doi:10.15439/2016F520.

[5] Nathan Jacobson. *Basic Algebra I.* Dover Books on Mathematics, 1985.

[6] Heinz Lüneburg. *Gruppen, Ringe, Körper: Die grundlegenden Strukturen der Algebra.* Oldenbourg Verlag, 1999.

[7] Knut Radbruch. *Algebra I.* Lecture Notes, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany, 1991.

Accepted August 29, 2019