

Field Extensions and Kronecker's Construction

Christoph Schwarzweller
Institute of Informatics
University of Gdańsk
Poland

Summary. This is the fourth part of a four-article series containing a Mizar [3], [1], [2] formalization of Kronecker's construction about roots of polynomials in field extensions, i.e. that for every field F and every polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ there exists a field extension E of F such that p has a root over E . The formalization follows Kronecker's classical proof using $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ as the desired field extension E [6], [4], [5].

In the first part we show that an irreducible polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ has a root over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Note, however, that this statement cannot be true in a rigid formal sense: We do not have $F \subseteq F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ as sets, so F is not a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$, and hence formally p is not even a polynomial over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Consequently, we translate p along the canonical monomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ and show that the translated polynomial $\phi(p)$ has a root over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$.

Because F is not a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ we construct in the second part the field $(E \setminus \phi F) \cup F$ for a given monomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow E$ and show that this field both is isomorphic to F and includes F as a subfield. In the literature this part of the proof usually consists of saying that "one can identify F with its image ϕF in $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ and therefore consider F as a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ ". Interestingly, to do so we need to assume that $F \cap E = \emptyset$, in particular Kronecker's construction can be formalized for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$.

Surprisingly, as we show in the third part, this condition is not automatically true for arbitrary fields F : With the exception of \mathbb{Z}_2 we construct for every field F an isomorphic copy F' of F with $F' \cap F'[X] \neq \emptyset$. We also prove that for Mizar's representations of \mathbb{Z}_n , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} we have $\mathbb{Z}_n \cap \mathbb{Z}_n[X] = \emptyset$, $\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}[X] = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{R}[X] = \emptyset$, respectively.

In this fourth part we finally define field extensions: E is a field extension of F iff F is a subfield of E . Note, that in this case we have $F \subseteq E$ as sets, and thus a polynomial p over F is also a polynomial over E . We then apply the

construction of the second part to $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ with the canonical monomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Together with the first part this gives – for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$ – a field extension E of F in which $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ has a root.

MSC: 12E05 12F05 68T99 03B35

Keywords: roots of polynomials; field extensions; Kronecker's construction

MML identifier: FIELD.4, version: 8.1.09 5.59.1363

1. PRELIMINARIES

From now on K, F, E denote fields and R, S denote rings.

Now we state the proposition:

- (1) K is a subfield of K .

Let R be a non degenerated ring. One can verify that every subring of R is non degenerated.

Let R be a commutative ring. Note that every subring of R is commutative.

Let R be an integral domain. Let us observe that every subring of R is integral domain-like.

Now we state the proposition:

- (2) Let us consider a subring S of R , a finite sequence F of elements of R , and a finite sequence G of elements of S . If $F = G$, then $\sum F = \sum G$.

2. RING AND FIELD EXTENSIONS

Let R, S be rings. We say that S is R -extending if and only if

- (Def. 1) R is a subring of S .

Let R be a ring. Note that there exists a ring which is R -extending.

Let R be a commutative ring. One can check that there exists a commutative ring which is R -extending.

Let R be an integral domain. One can verify that there exists an integral domain which is R -extending.

Let F be a field. Let us observe that there exists a field which is F -extending.

Let R be a ring.

A RingExtension of R is an R -extending ring. Let R be a commutative ring.

A comRingExtension of R is an R -extending commutative ring. Let R be an integral domain.

A `domRingExtension` of R is an R -extending integral domain. Let F be a field.

A `FieldExtension` of F is an F -extending field. Now we state the propositions:

- (3) R is a `RingExtension` of R .
- (4) Every commutative ring is a `comRingExtension` of R .
- (5) Every integral domain is a `domRingExtension` of R .
- (6) F is a `FieldExtension` of F .
- (7) E is a `FieldExtension` of F if and only if F is a subfield of E .

One can check that \mathbb{C}_F is (\mathbb{R}_F) -extending and \mathbb{R}_F is (\mathbb{F}_Q) -extending and \mathbb{F}_Q is (\mathbb{Z}^R) -extending.

Let R be a ring and S be a `RingExtension` of R . One can check that every `RingExtension` of S is R -extending.

Let R be a commutative ring and S be a `comRingExtension` of R . One can verify that every `comRingExtension` of S is R -extending.

Let R be an integral domain and S be a `domRingExtension` of R . Let us observe that every `domRingExtension` of S is R -extending.

Let F be a field and E be a `FieldExtension` of F . Observe that every `FieldExtension` of E is F -extending.

Let R be a non degenerated ring. Observe that every `RingExtension` of R is non degenerated.

3. EXTENSIONS OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS

Now we state the propositions:

- (8) Let us consider a `RingExtension` S of R . Then every polynomial over R is a polynomial over S .
- (9) Let us consider a subring R of S . Then every polynomial over R is a polynomial over S .
- (10) Let us consider a `RingExtension` S of R . Then the carrier of `PolyRing`(R) \subseteq the carrier of `PolyRing`(S). The theorem is a consequence of (8).
- (11) If S is a `RingExtension` of R , then $0_{\text{PolyRing}(S)} = 0_{\text{PolyRing}(R)}$.
- (12) If S is a `RingExtension` of R , then $\mathbf{0}.S = \mathbf{0}.R$. The theorem is a consequence of (11).
- (13) If S is a `RingExtension` of R , then $1_{\text{PolyRing}(S)} = 1_{\text{PolyRing}(R)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (12).
- (14) Let us consider a `RingExtension` S of R . Then $\mathbf{1}.S = \mathbf{1}.R$. The theorem is a consequence of (13).

- (15) Let us consider a RingExtension S of R , polynomials p, q over R , and polynomials p_1, q_1 over S . If $p = p_1$ and $q = q_1$, then $p + q = p_1 + q_1$.
- (16) Let us consider a RingExtension S of R . Then the addition of $\text{PolyRing}(R) =$ (the addition of $\text{PolyRing}(S)$) \uparrow (the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$). The theorem is a consequence of (10) and (15).
- (17) Let us consider a RingExtension S of R , polynomials p, q over R , and polynomials p_1, q_1 over S . If $p = p_1$ and $q = q_1$, then $p * q = p_1 * q_1$. The theorem is a consequence of (2).
- (18) Suppose S is a RingExtension of R . Then the multiplication of $\text{PolyRing}(R) =$ (the multiplication of $\text{PolyRing}(S)$) \uparrow (the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$). The theorem is a consequence of (10) and (17).

Let R be a ring and S be a RingExtension of R . One can verify that $\text{PolyRing}(S)$ is $(\text{PolyRing}(R))$ -extending.

Now we state the propositions:

- (19) Let us consider a ring R , and a RingExtension S of R . Then $\text{PolyRing}(S)$ is a RingExtension of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$.
- (20) Let us consider a RingExtension S of R , an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, and an element q of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(S)$. If $p = q$, then $\text{deg } p = \text{deg } q$. The theorem is a consequence of (11).
- (21) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , a RingExtension S of R , an element a of R , and an element b of S . If $a = b$, then $\text{rpoly}(1, a) = \text{rpoly}(1, b)$. The theorem is a consequence of (10).

4. EVALUATION OF POLYNOMIALS IN RING EXTENSIONS

Now we state the propositions:

- (22) Let us consider an element a of S . Suppose S is a RingExtension of R . Then $\text{ExtEval}(\mathbf{0}.R, a) = 0_S$.
- (23) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , a RingExtension S of R , and an element a of S . Then $\text{ExtEval}(\mathbf{1}.R, a) = 1_S$.
- (24) Let us consider a RingExtension S of R , an element a of S , and polynomials p, q over R . Then $\text{ExtEval}(p + q, a) = \text{ExtEval}(p, a) + \text{ExtEval}(q, a)$.
- (25) Let us consider a commutative ring R , a comRingExtension S of R , an element a of S , and polynomials p, q over R . Then $\text{ExtEval}(p * q, a) = (\text{ExtEval}(p, a)) \cdot (\text{ExtEval}(q, a))$.
- (26) Let us consider a RingExtension S of R , an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, an element q of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(S)$, and an ele-

ment a of S . If $p = q$, then $\text{ExtEval}(p, a) = \text{eval}(q, a)$. The theorem is a consequence of (11).

- (27) Let us consider a RingExtension S of R , an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, an element q of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(S)$, an element a of R , and an element b of S . If $q = p$ and $b = a$, then $\text{eval}(q, b) = \text{eval}(p, a)$. The theorem is a consequence of (26).

Let R be a ring, S be a RingExtension of R , p be an element of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, and a be an element of S . We say that a is a root of p in S if and only if

(Def. 2) $\text{ExtEval}(p, a) = 0_S$.

We say that p has roots in S if and only if

(Def. 3) there exists an element a of S such that a is a root of p in S .

The functor $\text{Roots}(S, p)$ yielding a subset of S is defined by the term

(Def. 4) $\{a, \text{ where } a \text{ is an element of } S : a \text{ is a root of } p \text{ in } S\}$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (28) Let us consider a RingExtension S of R , and an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$. Then $\text{Roots}(p) \subseteq \text{Roots}(S, p)$.

Let R be a ring, S be a non generated ring, and p be a polynomial over R . We say that p splits in S if and only if

(Def. 5) there exists a non zero element a of S and there exists a product of linear polynomials q of S such that $p = a \cdot q$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (29) Let us consider a field F , and a polynomial p over F . If $\deg p = 1$, then p splits in F .

5. THE DEGREE OF FIELD EXTENSIONS

Let R be a ring and S be a RingExtension of R . The functor $\text{VecSp}(S, R)$ yielding a strict vector space structure over R is defined by

(Def. 6) the carrier of it = the carrier of S and the addition of it = the addition of S and the zero of $it = 0_S$ and the left multiplication of it = (the multiplication of S) | ((the carrier of R) \times (the carrier of S)).

Observe that $\text{VecSp}(S, R)$ is non empty and $\text{VecSp}(S, R)$ is Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, and right complementable and $\text{VecSp}(S, R)$ is scalar distributive, scalar associative, scalar unital, and vector distributive.

Now we state the proposition:

(30) Let us consider a RingExtension S of R . Then $\text{VecSp}(S, R)$ is a vector space over R .

Let F be a field and E be a FieldExtension of F . The functor $\text{deg}(E, F)$ yielding an integer is defined by the term

(Def. 7)
$$\begin{cases} \dim(\text{VecSp}(E, F)), & \text{if } \text{VecSp}(E, F) \text{ is finite dimensional,} \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us note that $\text{deg}(E, F)$ is a dim-like.

We say that E is F -finite if and only if

(Def. 8) $\text{VecSp}(E, F)$ is finite dimensional.

Observe that there exists a FieldExtension of F which is F -finite.

Let E be a F -finite FieldExtension of F . One can verify that $\text{deg}(E, F)$ is natural.

6. KRONECKER'S CONSTRUCTION

Let F be a field and p be a non constant element of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(F)$. Let us note that the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(p)$ is F -polynomial membered and $\text{PolyRing}(p)$ is F -polynomial membered.

Let p be an irreducible element of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(F)$. The functor $\text{KroneckerIso}(p)$ yielding a function from the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(p)$ into the carrier of $\text{KroneckerField}(F, p)$ is defined by

(Def. 9) for every element q of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(p)$, $it(q) = [q]_{\text{EqRel}(\text{PolyRing}(F), \{p\}\text{-ideal})}$

Observe that $\text{KroneckerIso}(p)$ is additive, multiplicative, unity-preserving, one-to-one, and onto and $\text{KroneckerField}(F, p)$ is $(\text{PolyRing}(p))$ -homomorphic, $(\text{PolyRing}(p))$ -monomorphic, and $(\text{PolyRing}(p))$ -isomorphic and $\text{PolyRing}(p)$ is $(\text{KroneckerField}(F, p))$ -homomorphic, $(\text{KroneckerField}(F, p))$ -monomorphic, and $(\text{KroneckerField}(F, p))$ -isomorphic and $\text{PolyRing}(p)$ is F -homomorphic and F -monomorphic.

Now we state the proposition:

(31) Let us consider a polynomial-disjoint field F , and a non constant element f of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(F)$. Then there exists a FieldExtension E of F such that f has roots in E .

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8_17.

- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [3] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Christoph Schwarzweller. On algebraic hierarchies in mathematical repository of Mizar. In M. Ganzha, L. Maciaszek, and M. Paprzycki, editors, *Proceedings of the 2016 Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems (FedCSIS)*, volume 8 of *Annals of Computer Science and Information Systems*, pages 363–371, 2016. doi:10.15439/2016F520.
- [4] Nathan Jacobson. *Basic Algebra I*. Dover Books on Mathematics, 1985.
- [5] Heinz Lüneburg. *Gruppen, Ringe, Körper: Die grundlegenden Strukturen der Algebra*. Oldenbourg Verlag, 1999.
- [6] Knut Radbruch. *Algebra I*. Lecture Notes, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany, 1991.

Accepted August 29, 2019
