

Built-in Concepts

Andrzej Trybulec¹
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. This abstract contains the second part of the axiomatics of the Mizar system (the first part is in abstract [1]). The axioms listed here characterize the Mizar built-in concepts that are automatically attached to every Mizar article. We give definitional axioms of the following concepts: element, subset, Cartesian product, domain (non empty subset), subdomain (non empty subset of a domain), set domain (domain consisting of sets). Axioms of strong arithmetics of real numbers are also included.

The notation and terminology used here have been introduced in the axiomatics [1]. For simplicity we adopt the following convention: x, y, z denote objects of the type Any; $X, X1, X2, X3, X4, Y$ denote objects of the type set. The following axioms hold:

- (1) $(\text{ex } x \text{ st } x \in X) \text{ implies } (x \text{ is Element of } X \text{ iff } x \in X),$
- (2) $X \text{ is Subset of } Y \text{ iff } X \subseteq Y,$
- (3) $z \in [X, Y] \text{ iff ex } x, y \text{ st } x \in X \ \& \ y \in Y \ \& \ z = \langle x, y \rangle,$
- (4) $X \text{ is DOMAIN iff ex } x \text{ st } x \in X,$
- (5) $[X1, X2, X3] = [[X1, X2], X3],$
- (6) $[X1, X2, X3, X4] = [[X1, X2, X3], X4].$

In the sequel $D1, D2, D3, D4$ will denote objects of the type DOMAIN. Let us introduce the consecutive axioms:

- (7) **for** X **being** Element of $[D1, D2]$ **holds** X **is** TUPLE of $D1, D2,$
- (8) **for** X **being** Element of $[D1, D2, D3]$ **holds** X **is** TUPLE of $D1, D2, D3,$

¹Supported by RBPB.III-24.B1.

- (9) **for** X **being** Element of $\{D1, D2, D3, D4\}$
holds X **is** TUPLE of $D1, D2, D3, D4$.

In the sequel D has the type DOMAIN. The following axioms hold:

- (10) $D1$ **is** SUBDOMAIN of $D2$ **iff** $D1 \subseteq D2$,
(11) D **is** SET_DOMAIN .

In the sequel x, y, z denote objects of the type Element of REAL. The following axioms are true:

- (12) $x + y = y + x$,
(13) $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$,
(14) $x + 0 = x$,
(15) $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$,
(16) $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$,
(17) $x \cdot 1 = x$,
(18) $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$,
(19) **ex** y **st** $x + y = 0$,
(20) $x \neq 0$ **implies** **ex** y **st** $x \cdot y = 1$,
(21) $x \leq y$ & $y \leq x$ **implies** $x = y$,
(22) $x \leq y$ & $y \leq z$ **implies** $x \leq z$,
(23) $x \leq y$ **or** $y \leq x$,
(24) $x \leq y$ **implies** $x + z \leq y + z$,
(25) $x \leq y$ & $0 \leq z$ **implies** $x \cdot z \leq y \cdot z$,
(26) **for** X, Y **being** Subset of REAL **st**
(ex x **st** $x \in X$) & **(ex** x **st** $x \in Y$) & **for** x, y **st** $x \in X$ & $y \in Y$ **holds** $x \leq y$
ex z **st** **for** x, y **st** $x \in X$ & $y \in Y$ **holds** $x \leq z$ & $z \leq y$,
(27) x **is** Real ,
(28) $x \in \text{NAT}$ **implies** $x + 1 \in \text{NAT}$,
(29) **for** A **being** set of Real
st $0 \in A$ & **for** x **st** $x \in A$ **holds** $x + 1 \in A$ **holds** $\text{NAT} \subseteq A$,
(30) $x \in \text{NAT}$ **implies** x **is** Nat .

References

- [1] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.

Received January 1, 1989
