

Many-Argument Relations

Edmund Woronowicz¹
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. Definitions of relations based on finite sequences. The arity of relation, the set of logical values *Boolean* consisting of *false* and *true* and the operations of negation and conjunction on them are defined.

MML Identifier: MARGREL1.

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following papers: [5], [2], [1], [3], and [4]. In the sequel x, y will be arbitrary, k will denote a natural number, and D will denote a non-empty set. Let B, A be non-empty sets, and let b be an element of B . Then $A \mapsto b$ is an element of B^A .

A set is said to be a relation if:

for an arbitrary x such that $x \in$ it holds x is a finite sequence and for all finite sequences a, b such that $a \in$ it and $b \in$ it holds $\text{len } a = \text{len } b$.

We follow a convention: X denotes a set, p, r denote relations, and a, b denote finite sequences. We now state several propositions:

- (4)² For every X such that for every x such that $x \in X$ holds x is a finite sequence and for all a, b such that $a \in X$ and $b \in X$ holds $\text{len } a = \text{len } b$ holds X is a relation.
- (5) If $x \in p$, then x is a finite sequence.
- (6) If $a \in p$ and $b \in p$, then $\text{len } a = \text{len } b$.
- (7) If $X \subseteq p$, then X is a relation.
- (8) $\{a\}$ is a relation.
- (9) $\{\langle x, y \rangle\}$ is a relation.

The scheme *rel_exist* concerns a set \mathcal{A} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

¹Supported by RPBP III.24 C1

²The propositions (1)–(3) became obvious.

there exists r such that for every a holds $a \in r$ if and only if $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{P}[a]$ provided the parameters satisfy the following condition:

- for all a, b such that $\mathcal{P}[a]$ and $\mathcal{P}[b]$ holds $\text{len } a = \text{len } b$.

Let us consider p, r . Let us note that one can characterize the predicate $p = r$ by the following (equivalent) condition: for every a holds $a \in p$ if and only if $a \in r$.

We now state the proposition

- (10) $p = r$ if and only if for every a holds $a \in p$ if and only if $a \in r$.

The relation \emptyset is defined by:

$$a \notin \emptyset.$$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (11) $a \notin \emptyset$.
 (12) $p = \emptyset$ if and only if for no a holds $a \in p$.
 (13) $\emptyset = \emptyset$.

Let us consider p . Let us assume that $p \neq \emptyset$. The functor $\text{Arity}(p)$ yielding a natural number is defined by:

for every a such that $a \in p$ holds $\text{Arity}(p) = \text{len } a$.

We now state two propositions:

- (14) If $p \neq \emptyset$, then for every k holds $k = \text{Arity}(p)$ if and only if for every a such that $a \in p$ holds $k = \text{len } a$.
 (15) If $a \in p$ and $p \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{Arity}(p) = \text{len } a$.

Let us consider k . A relation is called a k -ary relation if:

for every a such that $a \in$ it holds $\text{len } a = k$.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (16) For all k, r such that for every a such that $a \in r$ holds $\text{len } a = k$ holds r is a k -ary relation.
 (17) For every k -ary relation r such that $a \in r$ holds $\text{len } a = k$.

Let X be a set. A relation is called a relation on X if:

for every a such that $a \in$ it holds $\text{rng } a \subseteq X$.

In the sequel X denotes a set. Next we state four propositions:

- (18) For all X, r such that for every a such that $a \in r$ holds $\text{rng } a \subseteq X$ holds r is a relation on X .
 (19) For every relation r on X such that $a \in r$ holds $\text{rng } a \subseteq X$.
 (20) \emptyset is a relation on X .
 (21) \emptyset is a k -ary relation.

Let us consider X, k . A relation is called a k -ary relation of X if:

it is a relation on X and it is a k -ary relation.

Next we state two propositions:

- (22) For every relation r holds r is a k -ary relation of X if and only if r is a relation on X and r is a k -ary relation.

- (23) For every k -ary relation R of X holds R is a relation on X and R is a k -ary relation.

Let us consider D . The functor $\text{Rel}(D)$ yielding a non-empty family of sets is defined as follows:

for every X holds $X \in \text{Rel}(D)$ if and only if $X \subseteq D^*$ and for all finite sequences a, b of elements of D such that $a \in X$ and $b \in X$ holds $\text{len } a = \text{len } b$.

The following propositions are true:

- (24) For every non-empty set D and for every non-empty family S of sets holds $S = \text{Rel}(D)$ if and only if for every X holds $X \in S$ if and only if $X \subseteq D^*$ and for all finite sequences a, b of elements of D such that $a \in X$ and $b \in X$ holds $\text{len } a = \text{len } b$.
- (25) $X \in \text{Rel}(D)$ if and only if $X \subseteq D^*$ and for all finite sequences a, b of elements of D such that $a \in X$ and $b \in X$ holds $\text{len } a = \text{len } b$.

Let D be a non-empty set. A relation on D is an element of $\text{Rel}(D)$.

In the sequel a will denote a finite sequence of elements of D and p, r will denote elements of $\text{Rel}(D)$. Next we state three propositions:

- (26) If $X \subseteq r$, then X is an element of $\text{Rel}(D)$.
- (27) $\{a\}$ is an element of $\text{Rel}(D)$.
- (28) For all elements x, y of D holds $\{\langle x, y \rangle\}$ is an element of $\text{Rel}(D)$.

Let us consider D, p, r . Let us note that one can characterize the predicate $p = r$ by the following (equivalent) condition: for every a holds $a \in p$ if and only if $a \in r$.

One can prove the following proposition

- (29) $p = r$ if and only if for every a holds $a \in p$ if and only if $a \in r$.

The scheme *rel-D-exist* deals with a non-empty set \mathcal{A} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

there exists an element r of $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{A})$ such that for every finite sequence a of elements of \mathcal{A} holds $a \in r$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}[a]$

provided the parameters satisfy the following condition:

- for all finite sequences a, b of elements of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{P}[a]$ and $\mathcal{P}[b]$ holds $\text{len } a = \text{len } b$.

Let us consider D . The functor \emptyset_D yielding an element of $\text{Rel}(D)$ is defined as follows:

$a \notin \emptyset_D$.

The following three propositions are true:

- (30) $r = \emptyset_D$ if and only if for no a holds $a \in r$.
- (31) $a \notin \emptyset_D$.
- (32) $\emptyset_D = \emptyset$.

Let us consider D, p . Let us assume that $p \neq \emptyset_D$. The functor $\text{Arity}(p)$ yielding a natural number is defined by:

if $a \in p$, then $\text{Arity}(p) = \text{len } a$.

Next we state two propositions:

(33) If $p \neq \emptyset_D$, then for every k holds $k = \text{Arity}(p)$ if and only if for every a such that $a \in p$ holds $k = \text{len } a$.

(34) If $a \in p$ and $p \neq \emptyset_D$, then $\text{Arity}(p) = \text{len } a$.

The scheme *rel_D_exist2* concerns a non-empty set \mathcal{A} , a natural number \mathcal{B} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

there exists an element r of $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{A})$ such that for every finite sequence a of elements of \mathcal{A} such that $\text{len } a = \mathcal{B}$ holds $a \in r$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}[a]$ for all values of the parameters.

The non-empty set *Boolean* is defined by:

$$\text{Boolean} = \{0, 1\}.$$

We now define two new functors. The element *false* of *Boolean* is defined by: $\text{false} = 0$.

The element *true* of *Boolean* is defined as follows:

$$\text{true} = 1.$$

The following four propositions are true:

(35) $\text{Boolean} = \{0, 1\}$.

(36) $\text{false} = 0$ and $\text{true} = 1$.

(37) $\text{Boolean} = \{\text{false}, \text{true}\}$.

(38) $\text{false} \neq \text{true}$.

In the sequel u, v, w will denote elements of *Boolean*. Next we state the proposition

(39) $v = \text{false}$ or $v = \text{true}$.

We now define two new functors. Let us consider v . The functor $\neg v$ yielding an element of *Boolean* is defined by:

$$\neg v = \text{true} \text{ if } v = \text{false}, \neg v = \text{false} \text{ if } v = \text{true}.$$

Let us consider w . The functor $v \wedge w$ yielding an element of *Boolean* is defined by:

$$v \wedge w = \text{true} \text{ if } v = \text{true} \text{ and } w = \text{true}, v \wedge w = \text{false}, \text{ otherwise.}$$

The following propositions are true:

(40) $\neg(\neg v) = v$.

(41) $v = \text{false}$ if and only if $\neg v = \text{true}$ but $v = \text{true}$ if and only if $\neg v = \text{false}$.

(42) If $v \neq \text{false}$, then $v = \text{true}$ but if $v \neq \text{true}$, then $v = \text{false}$.

(43) $v \neq \text{true}$ if and only if $v = \text{false}$.

(44) It is not true that: $v = \text{true}$ and $w = \text{true}$ if and only if $v = \text{false}$ or $w = \text{false}$.

(45) $v \wedge w = \text{true}$ if and only if $v = \text{true}$ and $w = \text{true}$ but $v \wedge w = \text{false}$ if and only if $v = \text{false}$ or $w = \text{false}$.

(46) $v \wedge \neg v = \text{false}$.

(47) $\neg(v \wedge \neg v) = \text{true}$.

(48) $v \wedge w = w \wedge v$.

(49) $\text{false} \wedge v = \text{false}$.

$$(50) \quad \text{true} \wedge v = v.$$

$$(51) \quad \text{If } v \wedge v = \text{false}, \text{ then } v = \text{false}.$$

$$(52) \quad v \wedge (w \wedge u) = (v \wedge w) \wedge u.$$

Let us consider X . The functor $\text{Boolean}(\text{false} \notin X)$ yields an element of Boolean and is defined as follows:

$\text{Boolean}(\text{false} \notin X) = \text{true}$ if $\text{false} \notin X$, $\text{Boolean}(\text{false} \notin X) = \text{false}$, otherwise.

One can prove the following proposition

$$(53) \quad \text{false} \notin X \text{ if and only if } \text{Boolean}(\text{false} \notin X) = \text{true} \text{ but } \text{false} \in X \text{ if and only if } \text{Boolean}(\text{false} \notin X) = \text{false}.$$

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [3] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [4] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and frænkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [5] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.

Received June 1, 1990
