## Increasing and Continuous Ordinal Sequences

Grzegorz Bancerek Warsaw University Białystok

**Summary.** Concatenation of two ordinal sequences, the mode of all ordinals belonging to a universe and the mode of sequences of them with length equal to the rank of the universe are introduced. Besides, the increasing and continuous transfinite sequences, the limes of ordinal sequences and the power of ordinals, and the fact that every increasing and continuous transfinite sequence has critical numbers (fixed points) are discussed.

MML Identifier: ORDINAL4.

The terminology and notation used here have been introduced in the following papers: [6], [4], [2], [3], [1], and [5]. We adopt the following convention: phi, fi, psi are sequences of ordinal numbers and A, B, C are ordinal numbers. The following proposition is true

(1) If dom  $fi = \operatorname{succ} A$ , then (last fi) (as an ordinal) is the limit of fi and  $\lim fi = \operatorname{last} fi$ .

Let us consider fi, psi. The functor  $fi \cap psi$  yields a sequence of ordinal numbers and is defined as follows:

 $\operatorname{dom}(fi \cap psi) = \operatorname{dom} fi + \operatorname{dom} psi$  and for every A such that  $A \in \operatorname{dom} fi$ holds  $(fi \cap psi)(A) = fi(A)$  and for every A such that  $A \in \operatorname{dom} psi$  holds  $(fi \cap psi)(\operatorname{dom} fi + A) = psi(A)$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (2) Let chi be a sequence of ordinal numbers. Then  $chi = fi \cap psi$  if and only if dom chi = dom fi + dom psi and for every A such that  $A \in \text{dom } fi$ holds chi(A) = fi(A) and for every A such that  $A \in \text{dom } psi$  holds chi(dom fi + A) = psi(A).
- (3) If A is the limit of psi, then A is the limit of  $fi \cap psi$ .
- (4) If A is the limit of  $f_i$ , then B + A is the limit of  $B + f_i$ .

C 1990 Fondation Philippe le Hodey ISSN 0777-4028

## GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

- (5) If A is the limit of  $f_i$ , then  $A \cdot B$  is the limit of  $f_i \cdot B$ .
- (6) If dom fi = dom psi and B is the limit of fi and C is the limit of psi but for every A such that  $A \in \text{dom} fi$  holds  $fi(A) \subseteq psi(A)$  or for every A such that  $A \in \text{dom} fi$  holds  $fi(A) \in psi(A)$ , then  $B \subseteq C$ .

In the sequel  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  denote sequences of ordinal numbers. One can prove the following propositions:

- (7) If dom  $f_1 = \text{dom } fi$  and dom  $fi = \text{dom } f_2$  and A is the limit of  $f_1$ and A is the limit of  $f_2$  and for every A such that  $A \in \text{dom } fi$  holds  $f_1(A) \subseteq fi(A)$  and  $fi(A) \subseteq f_2(A)$ , then A is the limit of fi.
- (8) If dom  $fi \neq \mathbf{0}$  and dom fi is a limit ordinal number and fi is increasing, then  $\sup fi$  is the limit of fi and  $\lim fi = \sup fi$ .
- (9) If fi is increasing and  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \in \text{dom } fi$ , then  $fi(A) \subseteq fi(B)$ .
- (10) If fi is increasing and  $A \in \text{dom } fi$ , then  $A \subseteq fi(A)$ .
- (11) If phi is increasing, then  $phi^{-1} A$  is an ordinal number.
- (12) If  $f_1$  is increasing, then  $f_2 \cdot f_1$  is a sequence of ordinal numbers.
- (13) If  $f_1$  is increasing and  $f_2$  is increasing, then there exists phi such that  $phi = f_1 \cdot f_2$  and phi is increasing.
- (14) If  $f_1$  is increasing and A is the limit of  $f_2$  and  $\sup(\operatorname{rng} f_1) = \operatorname{dom} f_2$  and  $f_i = f_2 \cdot f_1$ , then A is the limit of  $f_i$ .
- (15) If phi is increasing, then  $phi \upharpoonright A$  is increasing.
- (16) If phi is increasing and dom phi is a limit ordinal number, then  $\sup phi$  is a limit ordinal number.
- (17) If fi is increasing and fi is continuous and psi is continuous and  $phi = psi \cdot fi$ , then phi is continuous.
- (18) If for every A such that  $A \in \text{dom } fi$  holds fi(A) = C + A, then fi is increasing.
- (19) If  $C \neq \mathbf{0}$  and for every A such that  $A \in \text{dom } fi$  holds  $fi(A) = A \cdot C$ , then fi is increasing.
- (20) If  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{0}^A = \mathbf{0}$ .
- (21) If  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$  and A is a limit ordinal number, then for every fi such that dom fi = A and for every B such that  $B \in A$  holds  $fi(B) = C^B$  holds  $C^A$  is the limit of fi.
- (22) If  $C \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $C^A \neq \mathbf{0}$ .
- (23) If  $\mathbf{1} \in C$ , then  $C^A \in C^{\operatorname{succ} A}$ .
- (24) If  $\mathbf{1} \in C$  and  $A \in B$ , then  $C^A \in C^B$ .
- (25) If  $\mathbf{1} \in C$  and for every A such that  $A \in \text{dom } fi$  holds  $fi(A) = C^A$ , then fi is increasing.
- (26) If  $\mathbf{1} \in C$  and  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$  and A is a limit ordinal number, then for every fi such that dom fi = A and for every B such that  $B \in A$  holds  $fi(B) = C^B$  holds  $C^A = \sup fi$ .
- (27) If  $C \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $C^A \subseteq C^B$ .

- (28) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A^C \subseteq B^C$ .
- (29) If  $\mathbf{1} \in C$  and  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{1} \in C^A$ .
- (30)  $C^{A+B} = (C^B) \cdot (C^A).$
- $(31) \quad (C^A)^B = C^{B \cdot A}.$
- (32) If  $\mathbf{1} \in C$ , then  $A \subseteq C^A$ .

The scheme *CriticalNumber* concerns a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding an ordinal number and states that:

there exists A such that  $\mathcal{F}(A) = A$ 

provided the parameter meets the following conditions:

- for all A, B such that  $A \in B$  holds  $\mathcal{F}(A) \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ ,
- for every A such that  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$  and A is a limit ordinal number for every *phi* such that dom fi = A and for every B such that  $B \in A$ holds  $phi(B) = \mathcal{F}(B)$  holds  $\mathcal{F}(A)$  is the limit of *phi*.

In the sequel W will be a universal class. We now define two new modes. Let us consider W. An ordinal number is said to be an ordinal of W if:

it  $\in W$ .

A sequence of ordinal numbers is called a transfinite sequence of ordinals of W if:

dom it = On W and rng it  $\subseteq On W$ .

We now state two propositions:

(33) A is an ordinal of W if and only if  $A \in W$ .

(34) phi is a transfinite sequence of ordinals of W if and only if dom phi = On W and  $rng phi \subseteq On W$ .

In the sequel  $A_1$ ,  $B_1$  will be ordinals of W and phi will be a transfinite sequence of ordinals of W. The scheme  $UOS\_Lambda$  concerns a universal class  $\mathcal{A}$  and a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding an ordinal of  $\mathcal{A}$  and states that:

there exists a transfinite sequence phi of ordinals of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for every ordinal a of  $\mathcal{A}$  holds  $phi(a) = \mathcal{F}(a)$ 

for all values of the parameters.

We now define two new functors. Let us consider W. The functor  $\mathbf{0}_W$  yielding an ordinal of W is defined as follows:

 $\mathbf{0}_W = \mathbf{0}.$ 

The functor  $\mathbf{1}_W$  yields an ordinal of W and is defined by:

 $1_W = 1.$ 

Let us consider phi,  $A_1$ . Then  $phi(A_1)$  is an ordinal of W.

Let us consider W, and let  $p_2$ ,  $p_1$  be transfinite sequences of ordinals of W. Then  $p_1 \cdot p_2$  is a transfinite sequence of ordinals of W.

We now state the proposition

(35)  $0_W = 0$  and  $1_W = 1$ .

Let us consider W,  $A_1$ . Then succ  $A_1$  is an ordinal of W. Let us consider  $B_1$ . Then  $A_1 + B_1$  is an ordinal of W.

Let us consider  $W, A_1, B_1$ . Then  $A_1 \cdot B_1$  is an ordinal of W.

The following propositions are true:

- (36)  $A_1 \in \operatorname{dom} phi.$
- (37) If dom  $fi \in W$  and rng  $fi \subseteq W$ , then sup  $fi \in W$ .
- We now state the proposition
- (38) If phi is increasing and phi is continuous and  $\omega \in W$ , then there exists A such that  $A \in \text{dom } phi$  and phi(A) = A.

## References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. Ordinal arithmetics. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):515-519, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):281–290, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Bogdan Nowak and Grzegorz Bancerek. Universal classes. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):595–600, 1990.
- [6] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.

Received May 31, 1990