

# Lattice of Subgroups of a Group. Frattini Subgroup

Wojciech A. Trybulec<sup>1</sup>  
Warsaw University

**Summary.** We define the notion of a subgroup generated by a set of elements of a group and two closely connected notions, namely lattice of subgroups and the Frattini subgroup. The operations on the lattice are the intersection of subgroups (introduced in [18]) and multiplication of subgroups, which result is defined as a subgroup generated by a sum of carriers of the two subgroups. In order to define the Frattini subgroup and to prove theorems concerning it we introduce notion of maximal subgroup and non-generating element of the group (see page 30 in [6]). The Frattini subgroup is defined as in [6] as an intersection of all maximal subgroups. We show that an element of the group belongs to the Frattini subgroup of the group if and only if it is a non-generating element. We also prove theorems that should be proved in [1] but are not.

MML Identifier: GROUP\_4.

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following articles: [3], [13], [4], [11], [20], [10], [19], [8], [16], [5], [17], [2], [15], [18], [14], [12], [21], [7], [9], and [1]. Let  $D$  be a non-empty set, and let  $F$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $D$ , and let  $X$  be a set. Then  $F - X$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $D$ .

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme *SubsetD* deals with a non-empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

$\{d : \mathcal{P}[d]\}$ , where  $d$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A}$ , is a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$

for all values of the parameters.

The scheme *MeetSbgEx* deals with a group  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

there exists a subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that the carrier of  $H = \bigcap \{A : \bigvee_K [A = \text{the carrier of } K \wedge \mathcal{P}[K]]\}$ , where  $A$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $K$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}$  provided the parameters have the following property:

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by RBPB.III-24.C1

- there exists a subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[H]$ .

For simplicity we adopt the following rules:  $X$  denotes a set,  $k, l, m, n$  denote natural numbers,  $i, i_1, i_2, i_3, j$  denote integers,  $G$  denotes a group,  $a, b, c$  denote elements of  $G$ ,  $A, B$  denote subsets of  $G$ ,  $H, H_1, H_2, H_3, K$  denote subgroups of  $G$ ,  $N_1, N_2$  denote normal subgroups of  $G$ ,  $h$  denotes an element of  $H$ ,  $F, F_1, F_2$  denote finite sequences of elements of the carrier of  $G$ , and  $I, I_1, I_2$  denote finite sequences of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The scheme *SubgrSep* deals with a group  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

there exists  $X$  such that  $X \subseteq \text{SubGr } \mathcal{A}$  and for every subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  holds  $H \in X$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}[H]$

for all values of the parameters.

Let  $i$  be an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The functor  $@i$  yields an integer and is defined by:

(Def.1)  $@i = i$ .

We now state the proposition

- (1) For every element  $i$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  holds  $@i = i$ .

Let us consider  $i$ . The functor  $@i$  yielding an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is defined as follows:

(Def.2)  $@i = i$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (2)  $@i = i$ .  
(3) If  $a = h$ , then  $a^n = h^n$ .  
(4) If  $a = h$ , then  $a^i = h^i$ .  
(5) If  $a \in H$ , then  $a^n \in H$ .  
(6) If  $a \in H$ , then  $a^i \in H$ .

Let us consider  $G, F$ . The functor  $\prod F$  yielding an element of  $G$  is defined as follows:

(Def.3)  $\prod F =$  the operation of  $G \odot F$ .

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (7)  $\prod F =$  the operation of  $G \odot F$ .  
(8)  $\prod(F_1 \wedge F_2) = \prod F_1 \cdot \prod F_2$ .  
(9)  $\prod(F \wedge \langle a \rangle) = \prod F \cdot a$ .  
(10)  $\prod(\langle a \rangle \wedge F) = a \cdot \prod F$ .  
(11)  $\prod \varepsilon_{\text{the carrier of } G} = 1_G$ .  
(12)  $\prod \langle a \rangle = a$ .  
(13)  $\prod \langle a, b \rangle = a \cdot b$ .  
(14)  $\prod \langle a, b, c \rangle = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$  and  $\prod \langle a, b, c \rangle = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ .  
(15)  $\prod(n \mapsto a) = a^n$ .  
(16)  $\prod(F - \{1_G\}) = \prod F$ .  
(17) If  $\text{len } F_1 = \text{len } F_2$  and for every  $k$  such that  $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } F_1)$  holds  $F_2((\text{len } F_1 - k) + 1) = (\pi_k F_1)^{-1}$ , then  $\prod F_1 = (\prod F_2)^{-1}$ .

- (18) If  $G$  is an Abelian group, then for every permutation  $P$  of  $\text{Seg}(\text{len } F_1)$  such that  $F_2 = F_1 \cdot P$  holds  $\prod F_1 = \prod F_2$ .
- (19) If  $G$  is an Abelian group and  $F_1$  is one-to-one and  $F_2$  is one-to-one and  $\text{rng } F_1 = \text{rng } F_2$ , then  $\prod F_1 = \prod F_2$ .
- (20) If  $G$  is an Abelian group and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } F_1$  and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } F_2$  and for every  $k$  such that  $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } F)$  holds  $F(k) = \pi_k F_1 \cdot \pi_k F_2$ , then  $\prod F = \prod F_1 \cdot \prod F_2$ .
- (21) If  $\text{rng } F \subseteq \overline{H}$ , then  $\prod F \in H$ .

Let us consider  $G, I, F$ . Let us assume that  $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$ . The functor  $F^I$  yields a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $G$  and is defined as follows:

(Def.4)  $\text{len}(F^I) = \text{len } F$  and for every  $k$  such that  $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } F)$  holds  $(F^I)(k) = \pi_k F^{\textcircled{+}(\pi_k I)}$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (22) If  $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$  and  $\text{len } F_1 = \text{len } F$  and for every  $k$  such that  $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } F)$  holds  $F_1(k) = \pi_k F^{\textcircled{+}(\pi_k I)}$ , then  $F_1 = F^I$ .
- (23) If  $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$ , then for every  $k$  such that  $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } F)$  holds  $(F^I)(k) = \pi_k F^{\textcircled{+}(\pi_k I)}$ .
- (24) If  $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$ , then  $\text{len}(F^I) = \text{len } F$ .
- (25) If  $\text{len } F_1 = \text{len } I_1$  and  $\text{len } F_2 = \text{len } I_2$ , then  $(F_1 \wedge F_2)^{I_1 \wedge I_2} = F_1^{I_1} \wedge F_2^{I_2}$ .
- (26) If  $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$  and  $\text{rng } F \subseteq \overline{H}$ , then  $\prod(F^I) \in H$ .
- (27)  $\varepsilon_{\text{the carrier of } G}^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon$ .
- (28)  $\langle a \rangle^{\textcircled{+}i} = \langle a^i \rangle$ .
- (29)  $\langle a, b \rangle^{\textcircled{+}i, \textcircled{+}j} = \langle a^i, b^j \rangle$ .
- (30)  $\langle a, b, c \rangle^{\textcircled{+}i_1, \textcircled{+}i_2, \textcircled{+}i_3} = \langle a^{i_1}, b^{i_2}, c^{i_3} \rangle$ .
- (31)  $F^{\text{len } F \textcircled{+} (+1)} = F$ .
- (32)  $F^{\text{len } F \textcircled{+} (+0)} = \text{len } F \textcircled{+} 1_G$ .
- (33) If  $\text{len } I = n$ , then  $(n \textcircled{+} 1_G)^I = n \textcircled{+} 1_G$ .

Let us consider  $G, A$ . The functor  $\text{gr}(A)$  yielding a subgroup of  $G$  is defined as follows:

(Def.5)  $A \subseteq$  the carrier of  $\text{gr}(A)$  and for every  $H$  such that  $A \subseteq$  the carrier of  $H$  holds  $\text{gr}(A)$  is a subgroup of  $H$ .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (34) If  $A \subseteq$  the carrier of  $H_1$  and for every  $H_2$  such that  $A \subseteq$  the carrier of  $H_2$  holds  $H_1$  is a subgroup of  $H_2$ , then  $H_1 = \text{gr}(A)$ .
- (35)  $A \subseteq$  the carrier of  $\text{gr}(A)$ .
- (36) If  $A \subseteq$  the carrier of  $H$ , then  $\text{gr}(A)$  is a subgroup of  $H$ .
- (37)  $a \in \text{gr}(A)$  if and only if there exist  $F, I$  such that  $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$  and  $\text{rng } F \subseteq A$  and  $\prod(F^I) = a$ .
- (38) If  $a \in A$ , then  $a \in \text{gr}(A)$ .
- (39)  $\text{gr}(\emptyset_{\text{the carrier of } G}) = \{\mathbf{1}\}_G$ .

- (40)  $\text{gr}(\overline{H}) = H$ .  
(41) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\text{gr}(A)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{gr}(B)$ .  
(42)  $\text{gr}(A \cap B)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{gr}(A) \cap \text{gr}(B)$ .  
(43) The carrier of  $\text{gr}(A) = \bigcap \{B : \bigvee_H [B = \text{the carrier of } H \wedge A \subseteq \overline{H}]\}$ .  
(44)  $\text{gr}(A) = \text{gr}(A \setminus \{1_G\})$ .

We now define two new predicates. Let us consider  $G, a$ . We say that  $a$  is non-generating if and only if:

- (Def.6) for every  $A$  such that  $\text{gr}(A) = G$  holds  $\text{gr}(A \setminus \{a\}) = G$ .  
 $a$  is generating stands for  $a$  is not non-generating.

We now state the proposition

- (46)<sup>2</sup>  $1_G$  is non-generating.

Let us consider  $G, H$ . We say that  $H$  is maximal if and only if:

- (Def.7)  $H \neq G$  and for every  $K$  such that  $H \neq K$  and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $K$  holds  $K = G$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (48)<sup>3</sup> If  $H$  is maximal and  $a \notin H$ , then  $\text{gr}(\overline{H} \cup \{a\}) = G$ .

Let us consider  $G$ . The functor  $\Phi(G)$  yields a subgroup of  $G$  and is defined as follows:

- (Def.8) the carrier of  $\Phi(G) = \bigcap \{A : \bigvee_H [A = \text{the carrier of } H \wedge H \text{ is maximal}]\}$  if there exists  $H$  such that  $H$  is maximal,  $\Phi(G) = G$ , otherwise.

We now state several propositions:

- (49) If there exists  $H$  such that  $H$  is maximal and the carrier of  $H = \bigcap \{A : \bigvee_K [A = \text{the carrier of } K \wedge K \text{ is maximal}]\}$ , then  $H = \Phi(G)$ .  
(50) If for every  $H$  holds  $H$  is not maximal, then  $\Phi(G) = G$ .  
(51) If there exists  $H$  such that  $H$  is maximal, then the carrier of  $\Phi(G) = \bigcap \{A : \bigvee_K [A = \text{the carrier of } K \wedge K \text{ is maximal}]\}$ .  
(52) If there exists  $H$  such that  $H$  is maximal, then  $a \in \Phi(G)$  if and only if for every  $H$  such that  $H$  is maximal holds  $a \in H$ .  
(53) If for every  $H$  holds  $H$  is not maximal, then  $a \in \Phi(G)$ .  
(54) If  $H$  is maximal, then  $\Phi(G)$  is a subgroup of  $H$ .  
(55) The carrier of  $\Phi(G) = \{a : a \text{ is non-generating}\}$ .  
(56)  $a \in \Phi(G)$  if and only if  $a$  is non-generating.

Let us consider  $G, H_1, H_2$ . The functor  $H_1 \cdot H_2$  yielding a subset of  $G$  is defined as follows:

- (Def.9)  $H_1 \cdot H_2 = \overline{H_1} \cdot \overline{H_2}$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (57)  $H_1 \cdot H_2 = \overline{H_1} \cdot \overline{H_2}$  and  $H_1 \cdot H_2 = H_1 \cdot \overline{H_2}$  and  $H_1 \cdot H_2 = \overline{H_1} \cdot H_2$ .

<sup>2</sup>The proposition (45) was either repeated or obvious.

<sup>3</sup>The proposition (47) was either repeated or obvious.

- (58)  $H \cdot H = \overline{H}$ .  
(59)  $(H_1 \cdot H_2) \cdot H_3 = H_1 \cdot (H_2 \cdot H_3)$ .  
(60)  $(a \cdot H_1) \cdot H_2 = a \cdot (H_1 \cdot H_2)$ .  
(61)  $(H_1 \cdot H_2) \cdot a = H_1 \cdot (H_2 \cdot a)$ .  
(62)  $(A \cdot H_1) \cdot H_2 = A \cdot (H_1 \cdot H_2)$ .  
(63)  $(H_1 \cdot H_2) \cdot A = H_1 \cdot (H_2 \cdot A)$ .  
(64)  $N_1 \cdot N_2 = N_2 \cdot N_1$ .  
(65) If  $G$  is an Abelian group, then  $H_1 \cdot H_2 = H_2 \cdot H_1$ .

Let us consider  $G, H_1, H_2$ . The functor  $H_1 \sqcup H_2$  yielding a subgroup of  $G$  is defined as follows:

(Def.10)  $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = \text{gr}(\overline{H_1} \cup \overline{H_2})$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (66)  $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = \text{gr}(\overline{H_1} \cup \overline{H_2})$ .  
(67)  $a \in H_1 \sqcup H_2$  if and only if there exist  $F, I$  such that  $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$  and  $\text{rng } F \subseteq \overline{H_1} \cup \overline{H_2}$  and  $a = \prod(F^I)$ .  
(68)  $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = \text{gr}(H_1 \cdot H_2)$ .  
(69) If  $H_1 \cdot H_2 = H_2 \cdot H_1$ , then the carrier of  $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_1 \cdot H_2$ .  
(70) If  $G$  is an Abelian group, then the carrier of  $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_1 \cdot H_2$ .  
(71) The carrier of  $N_1 \sqcup N_2 = N_1 \cdot N_2$ .  
(72)  $N_1 \sqcup N_2$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .  
(73)  $H \sqcup H = H$ .  
(74)  $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_2 \sqcup H_1$ .  
(75)  $(H_1 \sqcup H_2) \sqcup H_3 = H_1 \sqcup (H_2 \sqcup H_3)$ .  
(76)  $\{\mathbf{1}\}_G \sqcup H = H$  and  $H \sqcup \{\mathbf{1}\}_G = H$ .  
(77)  $\Omega_G \sqcup H = G$  and  $H \sqcup \Omega_G = G$ .  
(78)  $H_1$  is a subgroup of  $H_1 \sqcup H_2$  and  $H_2$  is a subgroup of  $H_1 \sqcup H_2$ .  
(79)  $H_1$  is a subgroup of  $H_2$  if and only if  $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_2$ .  
(80) If  $H_1$  is a subgroup of  $H_2$ , then  $H_1$  is a subgroup of  $H_2 \sqcup H_3$ .  
(81) If  $H_1$  is a subgroup of  $H_3$  and  $H_2$  is a subgroup of  $H_3$ , then  $H_1 \sqcup H_2$  is a subgroup of  $H_3$ .  
(82) If  $H_1$  is a subgroup of  $H_2$ , then  $H_1 \sqcup H_3$  is a subgroup of  $H_2 \sqcup H_3$ .  
(83)  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is a subgroup of  $H_1 \sqcup H_2$ .  
(84)  $(H_1 \cap H_2) \sqcup H_2 = H_2$ .  
(85)  $H_1 \cap (H_1 \sqcup H_2) = H_1$ .  
(86)  $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_2$  if and only if  $H_1 \cap H_2 = H_1$ .

In the sequel  $S_1, S_2$  are elements of  $\text{SubGr } G$  and  $o$  is a binary operation on  $\text{SubGr } G$ . Let us consider  $G$ . The functor  $\text{SubJoin } G$  yields a binary operation on  $\text{SubGr } G$  and is defined by:

- (Def.11) for all  $S_1, S_2, H_1, H_2$  such that  $S_1 = H_1$  and  $S_2 = H_2$  holds  
 $(\text{SubJoin } G)(S_1, S_2) = H_1 \sqcup H_2$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (87) If for all  $S_1, S_2, H_1, H_2$  such that  $S_1 = H_1$  and  $S_2 = H_2$  holds  $o(S_1, S_2) = H_1 \sqcup H_2$ , then  $o = \text{SubJoin } G$ .
- (88) If  $H_1 = S_1$  and  $H_2 = S_2$ , then  $\text{SubJoin } G(S_1, S_2) = H_1 \sqcup H_2$ .

Let us consider  $G$ . The functor  $\text{SubMeet } G$  yields a binary operation on  $\text{SubGr } G$  and is defined as follows:

- (Def.12) for all  $S_1, S_2, H_1, H_2$  such that  $S_1 = H_1$  and  $S_2 = H_2$  holds  
 $(\text{SubMeet } G)(S_1, S_2) = H_1 \cap H_2$ .

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (89) If for all  $S_1, S_2, H_1, H_2$  such that  $S_1 = H_1$  and  $S_2 = H_2$  holds  $o(S_1, S_2) = H_1 \cap H_2$ , then  $o = \text{SubMeet } G$ .
- (90) If  $H_1 = S_1$  and  $H_2 = S_2$ , then  $\text{SubMeet } G(S_1, S_2) = H_1 \cap H_2$ .

Let us consider  $G$ . The functor  $\mathbb{L}_G$  yielding a lattice is defined as follows:

- (Def.13)  $\mathbb{L}_G = \langle \text{SubGr } G, \text{SubJoin } G, \text{SubMeet } G \rangle$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (91)  $\mathbb{L}_G = \langle \text{SubGr } G, \text{SubJoin } G, \text{SubMeet } G \rangle$ .
- (92) The carrier of  $\mathbb{L}_G = \text{SubGr } G$ .
- (93) The join operation of  $\mathbb{L}_G = \text{SubJoin } G$ .
- (94) The meet operation of  $\mathbb{L}_G = \text{SubMeet } G$ .
- (95)  $\mathbb{L}_G$  is a lower bound lattice.
- (96)  $\mathbb{L}_G$  is an upper bound lattice.
- (97)  $\mathbb{L}_G$  is a bound lattice.
- (98)  $\perp_{\mathbb{L}_G} = \{\mathbf{1}\}_G$ .
- (99)  $\top_{\mathbb{L}_G} = \Omega_G$ .
- (100)  $n \bmod 2 = 0$  or  $n \bmod 2 = 1$ .
- (101)  $k \cdot n \bmod k = 0$  and  $k \cdot n \bmod n = 0$ .
- (102) If  $k > 1$ , then  $1 \bmod k = 1$ .
- (103) If  $k \bmod n = 0$  and  $l = k - m \cdot n$ , then  $l \bmod n = 0$ .
- (104) If  $n \neq 0$  and  $k \bmod n = 0$  and  $l < n$ , then  $(k + l) \bmod n = l$ .
- (105) If  $k \bmod n = 0$  and  $l \bmod n = 0$ , then  $(k + l) \bmod n = 0$ .
- (106) If  $n \neq 0$  and  $k \bmod n = 0$  and  $l \bmod n = 0$ , then  $(k + l) \div n = (k \div n) + (l \div n)$ .
- (107) If  $k \neq 0$ , then  $k \cdot n \div k = n$ .

## References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.

- [2] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [5] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [6] M. I. Kargapolow and J. I. Mierzlakow. *Podstawy teorii grup*. PWN, Warszawa, 1989.
- [7] Rafał Kwiatek and Grzegorz Zwara. The divisibility of integers and integer relative primes. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):829–832, 1990.
- [8] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Semilattice operations on finite subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):369–376, 1990.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [12] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [13] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Binary operations on finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):979–981, 1990.
- [14] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Classes of conjugation. Normal subgroups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):955–962, 1990.
- [15] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [16] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [17] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subgroup and cosets of subgroups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):855–864, 1990.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [21] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):215–222, 1990.

*Received August 22, 1990*

---