

Construction of Rings and Left-, Right-, and Bi-Modules over a Ring

Michał Muzalewski¹
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. Definitions of some classes of rings and left-, right-, and bi-modules over a ring and some elementary theorems on rings and skew fields.

MML Identifier: VECTSP_2.

The articles [9], [8], [11], [3], [1], [10], [7], [4], [2], [5], and [6] provide the notation and terminology for this paper. In the sequel F_1 will denote a field structure. Let us consider F_1 . A scalar of F_1 is an element of the carrier of F_1 .

In the sequel x, y will denote scalars of F_1 . Let us consider F_1, x, y . The functor $x - y$ yields a scalar of F_1 and is defined as follows:

(Def.1) $x - y = x + (-y)$.

In the sequel F denotes a field. A field structure is called a ring if:

(Def.2) Let x, y, z be scalars of it . Then

- (i) $x + y = y + x$,
- (ii) $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$,
- (iii) $x + 0_{it} = x$,
- (iv) $x + (-x) = 0_{it}$,
- (v) $x \cdot (1_{it}) = x$,
- (vi) $(1_{it}) \cdot x = x$,
- (vii) $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$,
- (viii) $(y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$.

The following proposition is true

¹Supported by RPBP.III-24.C6.

- (1) The following conditions are equivalent:
- (i) for all scalars x, y, z of F_1 holds $x+y = y+x$ and $(x+y)+z = x+(y+z)$ and $x + 0_{F_1} = x$ and $x + (-x) = 0_{F_1}$ and $x \cdot (1_{F_1}) = x$ and $(1_{F_1}) \cdot x = x$ and $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ and $(y+z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$,
 - (ii) F_1 is a ring.

In the sequel R is a ring and x, y, z are scalars of R . Next we state several propositions:

- (2) $x + y = y + x$.
- (3) $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$.
- (4) $x + 0_R = x$.
- (5) $x + (-x) = 0_R$.
- (6) $x \cdot (1_R) = x$ and $(1_R) \cdot x = x$.
- (7) $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ and $(y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$.

A ring is called an associative ring if:

- (Def.3) for all scalars x, y, z of it holds $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$.

The following proposition is true

- (8) For all scalars x, y, z of R holds $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ if and only if R is an associative ring.

In the sequel R will denote an associative ring and x, y, z will denote scalars of R . One can prove the following proposition

- (9) $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$.

An associative ring is said to be a commutative ring if:

- (Def.4) for all scalars x, y of it holds $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$.

One can prove the following proposition

- (10) If for all scalars x, y of R holds $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$, then R is a commutative ring.

In the sequel R will denote a commutative ring and x, y will denote scalars of R . The following proposition is true

- (11) $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$.

A commutative ring is said to be an integral domain if:

- (Def.5) $0_{it} \neq 1_{it}$ and for all scalars x, y of it such that $x \cdot y = 0_{it}$ holds $x = 0_{it}$ or $y = 0_{it}$.

We now state two propositions:

- (12) If $0_R \neq 1_R$ and for all x, y such that $x \cdot y = 0_R$ holds $x = 0_R$ or $y = 0_R$, then R is an integral domain.
- (13) F is an integral domain.

In the sequel R denotes an integral domain and x, y denote scalars of R . The following propositions are true:

- (14) $0_R \neq 1_R$.
- (15) If $x \cdot y = 0_R$, then $x = 0_R$ or $y = 0_R$.

An associative ring is called a skew field if:

(Def.6) for every scalar x of it holds if $x \neq 0_{it}$, then there exists a scalar y of it such that $x \cdot y = 1_{it}$ but $0_{it} \neq 1_{it}$.

In the sequel R denotes an associative ring. The following proposition is true

(16) If for every scalar x of R holds if $x \neq 0_R$, then there exists a scalar y of R such that $x \cdot y = 1_R$ but $0_R \neq 1_R$, then R is a skew field.

In the sequel S_1 will denote a skew field and x, y will denote scalars of S_1 . The following propositions are true:

(17) If $x \neq 0_{S_1}$, then there exists y such that $x \cdot y = 1_{S_1}$.

(18) $0_{S_1} \neq 1_{S_1}$.

(19) F is a skew field.

We see that the field is a skew field.

In the sequel R is a ring and x, y, z are scalars of R . Next we state a number of propositions:

(20) $x - y = x + (-y)$.

(21) $-0_R = 0_R$.

(22) $x + y = z$ if and only if $x = z - y$ but $x + y = z$ if and only if $y = z - x$.

(23) $x - 0_R = x$ and $0_R - x = -x$.

(24) If $x + y = x + z$, then $y = z$ but if $x + y = z + y$, then $x = z$.

(25) $-(x + y) = (-x) + (-y)$.

(26) $x \cdot 0_R = 0_R$ and $0_R \cdot x = 0_R$.

(27) $-(-x) = x$.

(28) $(-x) \cdot y = -x \cdot y$.

(29) $x \cdot (-y) = -x \cdot y$.

(30) $(-x) \cdot (-y) = x \cdot y$.

(31) $x \cdot (y - z) = x \cdot y - x \cdot z$.

(32) $(x - y) \cdot z = x \cdot z - y \cdot z$.

(33) $(x + y) - z = x + (y - z)$.

(34) $x = 0_R$ if and only if $-x = 0_R$.

(35) $x - (y + z) = (x - y) - z$.

(36) $x - (y - z) = (x - y) + z$.

(37) $x - x = 0_R$ and $(-x) + x = 0_R$.

(38) For every x, y there exists z such that $x = y + z$ and $x = z + y$.

In the sequel S_1 denotes a skew field and x, y, z denote scalars of S_1 . We now state four propositions:

(39) If $x \cdot y = 1_{S_1}$, then $x \neq 0_{S_1}$ and $y \neq 0_{S_1}$.

(40) If $x \neq 0_{S_1}$, then there exists y such that $y \cdot x = 1_{S_1}$.

(41) If $x \cdot y = 1_{S_1}$, then $y \cdot x = 1_{S_1}$.

(42) If $x \cdot y = x \cdot z$ and $x \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $y = z$.

Let us consider S_1, x . Let us assume that $x \neq 0_{S_1}$. The functor x^{-1} yielding a scalar of S_1 is defined by:

$$(Def.7) \quad x \cdot (x^{-1}) = 1_{S_1}.$$

Let us consider S_1, x, y . Let us assume that $y \neq 0_{S_1}$. The functor $\frac{x}{y}$ yielding a scalar of S_1 is defined by:

$$(Def.8) \quad \frac{x}{y} = x \cdot y^{-1}.$$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (43) If $x \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $x \cdot x^{-1} = 1_{S_1}$ and $x^{-1} \cdot x = 1_{S_1}$.
- (44) If $y \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $\frac{x}{y} = x \cdot y^{-1}$.
- (45) If $x \cdot y = 1_{S_1}$, then $x = y^{-1}$ and $y = x^{-1}$.
- (46) If $x \neq 0_{S_1}$ and $y \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $x^{-1} \cdot y^{-1} = (y \cdot x)^{-1}$.
- (47) If $x \cdot y = 0_{S_1}$, then $x = 0_{S_1}$ or $y = 0_{S_1}$.
- (48) If $x \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $x^{-1} \neq 0_{S_1}$.
- (49) If $x \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$.
- (50) If $x \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $\frac{1_{S_1}}{x} = x^{-1}$ and $\frac{1_{S_1}}{x^{-1}} = x$.
- (51) If $x \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $x \cdot \frac{1_{S_1}}{x} = 1_{S_1}$ and $\frac{1_{S_1}}{x} \cdot x = 1_{S_1}$.
- (52) If $x \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $\frac{x}{x} = 1_{S_1}$.
- (53) If $y \neq 0_{S_1}$ and $z \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{x \cdot z}{y \cdot z}$.
- (54) If $y \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $-\frac{x}{y} = \frac{-x}{y}$ and $\frac{x}{-y} = -\frac{x}{y}$.
- (55) If $z \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $\frac{x}{z} + \frac{y}{z} = \frac{x+y}{z}$ and $\frac{x}{z} - \frac{y}{z} = \frac{x-y}{z}$.
- (56) If $y \neq 0_{S_1}$ and $z \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $\frac{x}{\frac{y}{z}} = \frac{x \cdot z}{y}$.
- (57) If $y \neq 0_{S_1}$, then $\frac{x}{y} \cdot y = x$.

Let us consider F_1 . We consider left module structures over F_1 which are systems

\langle a carrier, a left multiplication \rangle ,

where the carrier is an Abelian group and the left multiplication is a function from $[\text{the carrier of } F_1, \text{ the carrier of the carrier}]$ into the carrier of the carrier.

In the sequel L_1 denotes a left module structure over F_1 . We now define two new modes. Let us consider F_1, L_1 . A scalar of L_1 is a scalar of F_1 .

A vector of L_1 is an element of the carrier of L_1 .

Let us consider F_1 . We consider right module structures over F_1 which are systems

\langle a carrier, a right multiplication \rangle ,

where the carrier is an Abelian group and the right multiplication is a function from $[\text{the carrier of the carrier}, \text{ the carrier of } F_1]$ into the carrier of the carrier.

In the sequel R_1 will denote a right module structure over F_1 . We now define two new modes. Let us consider F_1, R_1 . A scalar of R_1 is a scalar of F_1 .

A vector of R_1 is an element of the carrier of R_1 .

Let us consider F_1 . We consider bimodule structures over F_1 which are systems

\langle a carrier, a left multiplication, a right multiplication \rangle ,
 where the carrier is an Abelian group, the left multiplication is a function from
 $[$ the carrier of F_1 , the carrier of the carrier $]$ into the carrier of the carrier,
 and the right multiplication is a function from $[$ the carrier of the carrier, the
 carrier of $F_1]$ into the carrier of the carrier.

In the sequel B_1 will denote a bimodule structure over F_1 . We now define two new modes. Let us consider F_1, B_1 . A scalar of B_1 is a scalar of F_1 .

A vector of B_1 is an element of the carrier of B_1 .

In the sequel R is a ring. Let us consider R . The functor $\text{AbGr}(R)$ yields an Abelian group and is defined by:

(Def.9) $\text{AbGr}(R) = \langle$ the carrier of R , the addition of R , the reverse-map of R , the zero of R \rangle .

Next we state the proposition

(58) $\text{AbGr}(R) = \langle$ the carrier of R , the addition of R , the reverse-map of R , the zero of R \rangle .

Let us consider R . The functor $\text{LeftModMult}(R)$ yielding a function from $[$ the carrier of R , the carrier of $\text{AbGr}(R)$ $]$ into the carrier of $\text{AbGr}(R)$ is defined as follows:

(Def.10) $\text{LeftModMult}(R) =$ the multiplication of R .

Next we state the proposition

(59) $\text{LeftModMult}(R) =$ the multiplication of R .

Let us consider R . The functor $\text{LeftMod}(R)$ yielding a left module structure over R is defined as follows:

(Def.11) $\text{LeftMod}(R) = \langle \text{AbGr}(R), \text{LeftModMult}(R) \rangle$.

We now state the proposition

(60) $\text{LeftMod}(R) = \langle \text{AbGr}(R), \text{LeftModMult}(R) \rangle$.

In the sequel V will be a left module structure over R . Let us consider R, V , and let x be a scalar of R , and let v be a vector of V . The functor $x \cdot v$ yielding a vector of V is defined as follows:

(Def.12) for every scalar x' of V such that $x' = x$ holds $x \cdot v =$ (the left multiplication of V)(x', v).

The following proposition is true

(62)² For every V being a left module structure over R and for every scalar x of R and for every vector v of V and for every scalar x' of V such that $x' = x$ holds $x \cdot v =$ (the left multiplication of V)(x', v).

Let us consider R . The functor $\text{RightModMult}(R)$ yields a function from $[$ the carrier of $\text{AbGr}(R)$, the carrier of $R]$ into the carrier of $\text{AbGr}(R)$ and is defined as follows:

²The proposition (61) was either repeated or obvious.

(Def.13) $\text{RightModMult}(R) =$ the multiplication of R .

We now state the proposition

(63) $\text{RightModMult}(R) =$ the multiplication of R .

Let us consider R . The functor $\text{RightMod}(R)$ yielding a right module structure over R is defined as follows:

(Def.14) $\text{RightMod}(R) = \langle \text{AbGr}(R), \text{RightModMult}(R) \rangle$.

We now state the proposition

(64) $\text{RightMod}(R) = \langle \text{AbGr}(R), \text{RightModMult}(R) \rangle$.

In the sequel V will denote a right module structure over R . Let us consider R, V , and let x be a scalar of R , and let v be a vector of V . The functor $v \cdot x$ yielding a vector of V is defined as follows:

(Def.15) for every scalar x' of V such that $x' = x$ holds $v \cdot x =$ (the right multiplication of V)(v, x').

We now state the proposition

(66)³ For every V being a right module structure over R and for every scalar x of R and for every vector v of V and for every scalar x' of V such that $x' = x$ holds $v \cdot x =$ (the right multiplication of V)(v, x').

Let us consider R . The functor $\text{BiMod}(R)$ yielding a bimodule structure over R is defined as follows:

(Def.16) $\text{BiMod}(R) = \langle \text{AbGr}(R), \text{LeftModMult}(R), \text{RightModMult}(R) \rangle$.

The following proposition is true

(67) $\text{BiMod}(R) = \langle \text{AbGr}(R), \text{LeftModMult}(R), \text{RightModMult}(R) \rangle$.

In the sequel V is a bimodule structure over R . Let us consider R, V , and let x be a scalar of R , and let v be a vector of V . The functor $x \cdot v$ yields a vector of V and is defined as follows:

(Def.17) for every scalar x' of V such that $x' = x$ holds $x \cdot v =$ (the left multiplication of V)(x', v).

One can prove the following proposition

(69)⁴ For every V being a bimodule structure over R and for every scalar x of R and for every vector v of V and for every scalar x' of V such that $x' = x$ holds $x \cdot v =$ (the left multiplication of V)(x', v).

Let us consider R, V , and let x be a scalar of R , and let v be a vector of V . The functor $v \cdot x$ yields a vector of V and is defined by:

(Def.18) for every scalar x' of V such that $x' = x$ holds $v \cdot x =$ (the right multiplication of V)(v, x').

The following proposition is true

³The proposition (65) was either repeated or obvious.

⁴The proposition (68) was either repeated or obvious.

(70) For every V being a bimodule structure over R and for every scalar x of R and for every vector v of V and for every scalar x' of V such that $x' = x$ holds $v \cdot x = (\text{the right multiplication of } V)(v, x')$.

In the sequel R will denote an associative ring. Next we state the proposition

(71) Let x, y be scalars of R . Let v, w be vectors of $\text{LeftMod}(R)$. Then $x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w$ and $(x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v$ and $(x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v)$ and $(1_R) \cdot v = v$.

Let us consider R . A left module structure over R is called a left module over R if:

(Def.19) Let x, y be scalars of R . Let v, w be vectors of it . Then $x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w$ and $(x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v$ and $(x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v)$ and $(1_R) \cdot v = v$.

We now state the proposition

(72) Let V be a left module structure over R . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) for all scalars x, y of R and for all vectors v, w of V holds $x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w$ and $(x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v$ and $(x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v)$ and $(1_R) \cdot v = v$,
- (ii) V is a left module over R .

Let us consider R . Then $\text{LeftMod}(R)$ is a left module over R .

For simplicity we adopt the following rules: R is an associative ring, x, y are scalars of R , L_2 is a left module over R , and v, w are vectors of L_2 . We now state several propositions:

(73) $x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w$.

(74) $(x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v$.

(75) $(x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v)$.

(76) $(1_R) \cdot v = v$.

(77) Let x, y be scalars of R . Let v, w be vectors of $\text{RightMod}(R)$. Then $(v + w) \cdot x = v \cdot x + w \cdot x$ and $v \cdot (x + y) = v \cdot x + v \cdot y$ and $v \cdot (y \cdot x) = (v \cdot y) \cdot x$ and $v \cdot (1_R) = v$.

Let us consider R . A right module structure over R is said to be a right module over R if:

(Def.20) Let x, y be scalars of R . Let v, w be vectors of it . Then $(v + w) \cdot x = v \cdot x + w \cdot x$ and $v \cdot (x + y) = v \cdot x + v \cdot y$ and $v \cdot (y \cdot x) = (v \cdot y) \cdot x$ and $v \cdot (1_R) = v$.

The following proposition is true

(78) Let V be a right module structure over R . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) for all scalars x, y of R and for all vectors v, w of V holds $(v + w) \cdot x = v \cdot x + w \cdot x$ and $v \cdot (x + y) = v \cdot x + v \cdot y$ and $v \cdot (y \cdot x) = (v \cdot y) \cdot x$ and $v \cdot (1_R) = v$,
- (ii) V is a right module over R .

Let us consider R . Then $\text{RightMod}(R)$ is a right module over R .

For simplicity we follow the rules: R is an associative ring, x, y are scalars of R , R_2 is a right module over R , and v, w are vectors of R_2 . We now state four propositions:

$$(79) \quad (v + w) \cdot x = v \cdot x + w \cdot x.$$

$$(80) \quad v \cdot (x + y) = v \cdot x + v \cdot y.$$

$$(81) \quad v \cdot (y \cdot x) = (v \cdot y) \cdot x.$$

$$(82) \quad v \cdot (1_R) = v.$$

Let us consider R . A bimodule structure over R is said to be a bimodule over R if:

(Def.21) Let x, y be scalars of R . Let v, w be vectors of it . Then

$$(i) \quad x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w,$$

$$(ii) \quad (x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v,$$

$$(iii) \quad (x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v),$$

$$(iv) \quad (1_R) \cdot v = v,$$

$$(v) \quad (v + w) \cdot x = v \cdot x + w \cdot x,$$

$$(vi) \quad v \cdot (x + y) = v \cdot x + v \cdot y,$$

$$(vii) \quad v \cdot (y \cdot x) = (v \cdot y) \cdot x,$$

$$(viii) \quad v \cdot (1_R) = v,$$

$$(ix) \quad x \cdot (v \cdot y) = (x \cdot v) \cdot y.$$

Next we state two propositions:

(83) Let V be a bimodule structure over R . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) for all scalars x, y of R and for all vectors v, w of V holds $x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w$ and $(x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v$ and $(x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v)$ and $(1_R) \cdot v = v$ and $(v + w) \cdot x = v \cdot x + w \cdot x$ and $v \cdot (x + y) = v \cdot x + v \cdot y$ and $v \cdot (y \cdot x) = (v \cdot y) \cdot x$ and $v \cdot (1_R) = v$ and $x \cdot (v \cdot y) = (x \cdot v) \cdot y$,

(ii) V is a bimodule over R .

(84) $\text{BiMod}(R)$ is a bimodule over R .

Let us consider R . Then $\text{BiMod}(R)$ is a bimodule over R .

For simplicity we follow the rules: R will be an associative ring, x, y will be scalars of R , R_2 will be a bimodule over R , and v, w will be vectors of R_2 . The following propositions are true:

$$(85) \quad x \cdot (v + w) = x \cdot v + x \cdot w.$$

$$(86) \quad (x + y) \cdot v = x \cdot v + y \cdot v.$$

$$(87) \quad (x \cdot y) \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v).$$

$$(88) \quad (1_R) \cdot v = v.$$

$$(89) \quad (v + w) \cdot x = v \cdot x + w \cdot x.$$

$$(90) \quad v \cdot (x + y) = v \cdot x + v \cdot y.$$

$$(91) \quad v \cdot (y \cdot x) = (v \cdot y) \cdot x.$$

$$(92) \quad v \cdot (1_R) = v.$$

$$(93) \quad x \cdot (v \cdot y) = (x \cdot v) \cdot y.$$

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [5] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [6] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [7] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [8] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [11] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

Received June 20, 1990
