

# The One-Dimensional Lebesgue Measure

Józef Białas  
Łódź University  
Łódź

**Summary.** The paper is the crowning of a series of articles written in the Mizar language, being a formalization of notions needed for the description of the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure. The formalization of the notion as classical as the Lebesgue measure determines the powers of the PC Mizar system as a tool for the strict, precise notation and verification of the correctness of deductive theories. Following the successive articles [6], [8], [10], [11] constructed so that the final one should include the definition and the basic properties of the Lebesgue measure, we observe one of the paths relatively simple in the sense of the definition, enabling us the formal introduction of this notion. This way, although toilsome, since such is the nature of formal theories, is greatly instructive. It brings home the proper succession of the introduction of the definitions of intermediate notions and points out to those elements of the theory which determine the essence of the complexity of the notion being introduced.

The paper includes the definition of the  $\sigma$ -field of Lebesgue measurable sets, the definition of the Lebesgue measure and the basic set of the theorems describing its properties.

MML Identifier: MEASURE7.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [21], [24], [20], [25], [14], [12], [13], [2], [19], [3], [17], [6], [8], [10], [9], [5], [7], [18], [11], [23], [1], [4], [16], [22], and [15].

The following propositions are true:

- (1) For every function  $F$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every natural number  $n$  holds  $F(n) = 0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$  holds  $\sum F = 0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$ .
- (2) For every function  $F$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $F$  is non-negative and for every natural number  $n$  holds  $F(n) \leq (\text{Ser } F)(n)$ .
- (3) Let  $F, G, H$  be functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $G$  is non-negative and  $H$  is non-negative. Suppose that for every natural number  $n$  holds  $F(n) = G(n) + H(n)$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. Then  $(\text{Ser } F)(n) = (\text{Ser } G)(n) + (\text{Ser } H)(n)$ .

- (4) Let  $F, G, H$  be functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose that for every natural number  $n$  holds  $F(n) = G(n) + H(n)$ . If  $G$  is non-negative and  $H$  is non-negative, then  $\sum F \leq \sum G + \sum H$ .
- (5) Let  $F, G$  be functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $F$  is non-negative and for every natural number  $n$  holds  $F(n) = G(n)$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. Then  $(\text{Ser } F)(n) = (\text{Ser } G)(n)$ .
- (6) Let  $F, G$  be functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $F$  is non-negative and for every natural number  $n$  holds  $F(n) \leq G(n)$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. Then  $(\text{Ser } F)(n) \leq \sum G$ .
- (7) For every function  $F$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $F$  is non-negative and for every natural number  $n$  holds  $(\text{Ser } F)(n) \leq \sum F$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , let  $H$  be a function from  $S$  into  $\mathbb{N}$ , and let  $n$  be an element of  $S$ . Then  $H(n)$  is a natural number.

Let  $G$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , let  $S$  be a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and let  $H$  be a function from  $S$  into  $\mathbb{N}$ . The functor  $\text{On}(G, H)$  yields a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and is defined as follows:

- (Def.1) For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  holds if  $n \in S$ , then  $(\text{On}(G, H))(n) = G(H(n))$  and if  $n \notin S$ , then  $(\text{On}(G, H))(n) = 0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (8) Let  $G$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $G$  is non-negative. Let  $S$  be a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $H$  be a function from  $S$  into  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\text{On}(G, H)$  is non-negative.
- (9) Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $F$  is non-negative. Let  $n, k$  be natural numbers. If  $n \leq k$ , then  $(\text{Ser } F)(n) \leq (\text{Ser } F)(k)$ .
- (10) Let  $k$  be a natural number and let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $F$  is non-negative. Suppose that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \neq k$  holds  $F(n) = 0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$ . Then
- (i) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n < k$  holds  $(\text{Ser } F)(n) = 0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$ , and
- (ii) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $k \leq n$  holds  $(\text{Ser } F)(n) = F(k)$ .
- (11) Let  $G$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $G$  is non-negative. Let  $S$  be a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $H$  be a function from  $S$  into  $\mathbb{N}$ . If  $H$  is one-to-one and  $\text{rng } H = \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\sum \text{On}(G, H) \leq \sum G$ .
- (12) Let  $F, G$  be functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $F$  is non-negative and  $G$  is non-negative. Let  $S$  be a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $H$  be a function from  $S$  into  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $H$  is one-to-one and  $\text{rng } H = \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that for every natural number  $k$  holds if  $k \in S$ , then  $F(k) = G(H(k))$  and if  $k \notin S$ , then  $F(k) = 0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$ . Then  $\sum F \leq \sum G$ .

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . A function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is said to be an interval covering of  $A$  if:

- (Def.2)  $A \subseteq \bigcup \text{rng } it$  and for every natural number  $n$  holds  $it(n)$  is an interval.

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $F$  be an interval covering of  $A$ , and let  $n$  be a natural number. Then  $F(n)$  is an interval.

Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . A function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $(2^{\mathbb{R}})^{\mathbb{N}}$  is said to be an interval covering of  $F$  if:

(Def.3) For every natural number  $n$  holds it( $n$ ) is an interval covering of  $F(n)$ .

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $F$  be an interval covering of  $A$ . The functor  $(F) \text{ vol}$  yields a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and is defined by:

(Def.4) For every natural number  $n$  holds  $(F) \text{ vol}(n) = \text{vol}(F(n))$ .

The following proposition is true

(13) For every subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and for every interval covering  $F$  of  $A$  holds  $(F) \text{ vol}$  is non-negative.

Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , let  $H$  be an interval covering of  $F$ , and let  $n$  be a natural number. Then  $H(n)$  is an interval covering of  $F(n)$ .

Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  and let  $G$  be an interval covering of  $F$ . The functor  $(G) \text{ vol}$  yields a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and is defined by:

(Def.5) For every natural number  $n$  holds  $(G) \text{ vol}(n) = (G(n)) \text{ vol}$ .

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $F$  be an interval covering of  $A$ . The functor  $\text{vol}(F)$  yields a *Real number* and is defined as follows:

(Def.6)  $\text{vol}(F) = \sum((F) \text{ vol})$ .

Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  and let  $G$  be an interval covering of  $F$ . The functor  $\text{vol}(G)$  yielding a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined by:

(Def.7) For every natural number  $n$  holds  $(\text{vol}(G))(n) = \text{vol}(G(n))$ .

One can prove the following proposition

(14) Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , and let  $G$  be an interval covering of  $F$ , and let  $n$  be a natural number. Then  $0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}} \leq (\text{vol}(G))(n)$ .

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The functor  $\text{Svc}(A)$  yielding a non empty subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined by:

(Def.8) For every *Real number*  $x$  holds  $x \in \text{Svc}(A)$  iff there exists an interval covering  $F$  of  $A$  such that  $x = \text{vol}(F)$ .

Let  $A$  be an element of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . The functor  $\mathbb{C}^A$  yields an element of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and is defined as follows:

(Def.9)  $\mathbb{C}^A = \inf \text{Svc}(A)$ .

The function  $\text{OSMeas}$  from  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined by:

(Def.10) For every subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  holds  $(\text{OSMeas})(A) = \inf \text{Svc}(A)$ .

Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $n$  be a natural number. Then  $F(n)$  is a natural number.

Let  $x, y$  be *Real numbers*. Then  $\{x, y\}$  is a subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Let  $H$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $[\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}]$ . The functor  $\text{pr1}(H)$  yielding a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by:

(Def.11) For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  there exists an element  $s$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $H(n) = \langle \text{pr1}(H)(n), s \rangle$ .

Let  $H$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $[\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}]$ . The functor  $\text{pr2}(H)$  yielding a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by:

(Def.12) For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  holds  $H(n) = \langle \text{pr1}(H)(n), \text{pr2}(H)(n) \rangle$ .

Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , let  $G$  be an interval covering of  $F$ , and let  $H$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $[\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}]$ . Let us assume that  $H$  is one-to-one and  $\text{rng } H = [\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}]$ . The functor  $\text{On}(G, H)$  yields an interval covering of  $\bigcup \text{rng } F$  and is defined by:

(Def.13) For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  holds  $(\text{On}(G, H))(n) = G(\text{pr1}(H)(n))(\text{pr2}(H)(n))$ .

Next we state three propositions:

(15) Let  $H$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $[\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}]$ . Suppose  $H$  is one-to-one and  $\text{rng } H = [\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}]$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. Then there exists a natural number  $m$  such that for every function  $F$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  and for every interval covering  $G$  of  $F$  holds  $(\text{Ser}((\text{On}(G, H)) \text{vol}))(k) \leq (\text{Ser vol}(G))(m)$ .

(16) For every function  $F$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  and for every interval covering  $G$  of  $F$  holds  $\inf \text{Svc}(\bigcup \text{rng } F) \leq \sum \text{vol}(G)$ .

(17)<sup>1</sup> OSMeas is a Caratheodor's measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

OSMeas is a Caratheodor's measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The functor  $L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and is defined by:

(Def.14)  $L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD =  $\sigma$ -FIELD(OSMeas).

The  $\sigma$ -measure  $L_{\mu}$  on  $L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD is defined by:

(Def.15)  $L_{\mu} = \sigma$ -Meas(OSMeas).

The following propositions are true:

(18)  $L_{\mu}$  is complete on  $L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD.

(19)  $L_{\mu}$  is a measure on  $L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD.

(20)  $\emptyset \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD and  $\mathbb{R} \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD.

(21) For every set  $A$  such that  $A \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD holds  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD.

(22) For all sets  $A, B$  such that  $A \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD and  $B \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD holds  $A \cup B \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD.

(23) For all sets  $A, B$  such that  $A \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD and  $B \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD holds  $A \cap B \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD.

(24) For all sets  $A, B$  such that  $A \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD and  $B \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD holds  $A \setminus B \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD.

(25) For every family  $T$  of measurable sets of  $L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD holds  $\bigcap T \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD and  $\bigcup T \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD.

(27)<sup>2</sup> For every denumerable family  $M$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $M \subseteq L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD holds  $\bigcap M \in L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD.

(28) For all elements  $A, B$  of  $L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  holds  $L_{\mu}(A \cup B) = L_{\mu}(A) + L_{\mu}(B)$ .

(29) For all elements  $A, B$  of  $L_{\mu}$ - $\sigma$ FIELD such that  $A \subseteq B$  holds  $L_{\mu}(A) \leq L_{\mu}(B)$ .

<sup>1</sup>**Editorial footnote:** The repetition below is caused by the fact that the first sentence is the translation of a Mizar theorem, and the second one – of a Mizar redefinition.

<sup>2</sup>The proposition (26) has been removed.

- (30) For all elements  $A, B$  of  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD such that  $A \subseteq B$  and  $L_\mu(A) < +\infty$  holds  $L_\mu(B \setminus A) = L_\mu(B) - L_\mu(A)$ .
- (31) For all elements  $A, B$  of  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD holds  $L_\mu(A \cup B) \leq L_\mu(A) + L_\mu(B)$ .
- (32)  $L_\mu$  is non-negative and  $L_\mu(\emptyset) = 0_{\mathbb{R}}$  and for every sequence  $F$  of separated subsets of  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD holds  $\sum(L_\mu \cdot F) = L_\mu(\bigcup \text{rng } F)$ .
- (33) For every function  $F$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  holds  $F(n) \subseteq F(n+1)$  holds  $L_\mu(\bigcup \text{rng } F) = \sup \text{rng}(L_\mu \cdot F)$ .
- (34) Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD. Suppose for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  holds  $F(n+1) \subseteq F(n)$  and  $L_\mu(F(0)) < +\infty$ . Then  $L_\mu(\bigcap \text{rng } F) = \inf \text{rng}(L_\mu \cdot F)$ .
- (35) Let  $T$  be a family of measurable sets of  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD. Suppose that for every set  $A$  such that  $A \in T$  holds  $A$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ . Then  $\bigcup T$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ .
- (36) Let  $T$  be a family of measurable sets of  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD. Given a set  $A$  such that  $A \in T$  and  $A$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ . Then  $\bigcap T$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ .
- (37) Let  $T$  be a family of measurable sets of  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD. Suppose that for every set  $A$  such that  $A \in T$  holds  $A$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ . Then  $\bigcap T$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ .
- (38) Let  $A$  be an element of  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD and let  $B$  be a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ . If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ .
- (39) Let  $A, B$  be sets of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ . Then
- (i)  $A \cup B$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ ,
  - (ii)  $A \cap B$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ , and
  - (iii)  $A \setminus B$  is a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ .
- (40) Let  $A$  be an element of  $L_\mu$ - $\sigma$ FIELD and let  $B$  be a set of measure zero w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ . Then  $L_\mu(A \cup B) = L_\mu(A)$  and  $L_\mu(A \cap B) = 0_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $L_\mu(A \setminus B) = L_\mu(A)$ .
- (41) (i)  $\emptyset$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathbb{R}$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ , and
  - (iii) for all sets  $A, B$  such that  $A$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$  and  $B$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$  holds  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$  and  $A \cup B$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$  and  $A \cap B$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ .
- (42) Let  $T$  be a denumerable family of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that for every set  $A$  such that  $A \in T$  holds  $A$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ . Then  $\bigcup T$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$  and  $\bigcap T$  is measurable w.r.t.  $L_\mu$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Countable sets and Hessenberg's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):65–69, 1991.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.

- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Zermelo theorem and axiom of choice. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):265–267, 1990.
- [5] Józef Białas. Completeness of the  $\sigma$ -additive measure. measure theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):689–693, 1991.
- [6] Józef Białas. Infimum and supremum of the set of real numbers. Measure theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):163–171, 1991.
- [7] Józef Białas. Properties of Caratheodor's measure. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):67–70, 1992.
- [8] Józef Białas. Series of positive real numbers. Measure theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):173–183, 1991.
- [9] Józef Białas. Several properties of the  $\sigma$ -additive measure. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):493–497, 1991.
- [10] Józef Białas. The  $\sigma$ -additive measure theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):263–270, 1991.
- [11] Józef Białas. Some properties of the intervals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):21–26, 1996.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):245–254, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [17] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [18] Białas Józef. Properties of the intervals of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):263–269, 1992.
- [19] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):187–190, 1990.
- [23] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [24] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [25] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received February 4, 1995

---