FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 5, Number 4, 1996
Warsaw University - Białystok

On the Closure Operator and the Closure System of Many Sorted Sets

Artur Korniłowicz
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. In this paper definitions of many sorted closure system and many sorted closure operator are introduced. These notations are also introduced in [11], but in another meaning. In this article closure system is absolutely multiplicative subset family of many sorted sets and in [11] is many sorted absolutely multiplicative subset family of many sorted sets. Analogously, closure operator is function between many sorted sets and in [11] is many sorted function from a many sorted set into a many sorted set.

MML Identifier: CLOSURE2.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following papers: [21], [22], [7], [16], [23], [4], [5], [3], [8], [18], [6], [1], [20], [19], [2], [12], [13], [14], [15], [17], [10], and [9].

1. Preliminaries

For simplicity we follow a convention: I will denote a set, i, x will be arbitrary, A, B, M will denote many sorted sets indexed by I, and f, f_1 will denote functions.

One can prove the following three propositions:

(1) For every non empty set M and for all elements X, Y of M such that $X \subseteq Y$ holds $\mathrm{id}_M(X) \subseteq \mathrm{id}_M(Y)$.

(2) If $A \subseteq B$, then $A \setminus M \subseteq B$.

(3) Let I be a non empty set, and let A be a many sorted set indexed by I, and let B be a many sorted subset of A. Then $\mathrm{rng}\, B \subseteq \bigcup \mathrm{rng}(2^A)$.

ISSN 1426–2630

One can check that every set which is empty is also functional.

One can verify that there exists a set which is empty and functional.

Let f, g be functions. Note that $\{f, g\}$ is functional.

2. Set of Many Sorted Subsets of a Many Sorted Set

Let us consider I, M. The functor $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ yields a set and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $x \in \mathrm{Bool}(M)$ iff x is a many sorted subset of M.

Let us consider I, M. One can verify that $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ is non empty and functional and has common domain.

Let us consider I, M.

(Def. 2) A subset of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ is called a family of many sorted subsets of M.

Let us consider I, M. Then $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ is a family of many sorted subsets of M.

Let us consider I, M. One can check that there exists a family of many sorted subsets of M which is non empty and functional and has common domain.

Let us consider I, M. One can check that there exists a family of many sorted subsets of M which is empty and finite.

In the sequel S_1, S_2 will denote families of many sorted subsets of M.

Let us consider I, M and let S be a non empty family of many sorted subsets of M. We see that the element of S is a many sorted subset of M.

We now state several propositions:

(4) $S_1 \cup S_2$ is a family of many sorted subsets of M.

(5) $S_1 \cap S_2$ is a family of many sorted subsets of M.

(6) $S_1 \setminus x$ is a family of many sorted subsets of M.

(7) $S_1 \dot{-} S_2$ is a family of many sorted subsets of M.

(8) If $A \subseteq M$, then $\{A\}$ is a family of many sorted subsets of M.

(9) If $A \subseteq M$ and $B \subseteq M$, then $\{A, B\}$ is a family of many sorted subsets of M.

In the sequel E, T are elements of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$.

One can prove the following four propositions:

(10) $E \cap T \in \mathrm{Bool}(M)$.

(11) $E \cup T \in \mathrm{Bool}(M)$.

(12) $E \setminus A \in \mathrm{Bool}(M)$.

(13) $E \dot{-} T \in \mathrm{Bool}(M)$.

3. Many Sorted Operator corresponding to the Operator on Many Sorted Subsets

Let S be a functional set. The functor $|S|$ yielding a function is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) (i) There exists a non empty functional set A such that $A = S$ and $\operatorname{dom}|S| = \bigcap\{\operatorname{dom} x : x \text{ ranges over elements of } A\}$ and for every i such that $i \in \operatorname{dom}|S|$ holds $|S|(i) = \{x(i) : x \text{ ranges over elements of } A\}$ if $S \neq \emptyset$,

(ii) $|S| = \emptyset$, otherwise.

Next we state the proposition

(14) For every non empty family S_1 of many sorted subsets of M holds $\operatorname{dom}|S_1| = I$.

Let S be an empty functional set. Observe that $|S|$ is empty.

Let us consider I, M and let S be a family of many sorted subsets of M. The functor $|{:}S{:}|$ yielding a many sorted set indexed by I is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) (i) $|{:}S{:}| = |S|$ if $S \neq \emptyset$,

(ii) $|{:}S{:}| = \emptyset_I$, otherwise.

Let us consider I, M and let S be an empty family of many sorted subsets of M. Note that $|{:}S{:}|$ is empty yielding.

The following proposition is true

(15) If S_1 is non empty, then for every i such that $i \in I$ holds $|{:}S_1{:}|(i) = \{x(i) : x \text{ ranges over elements of } \operatorname{Bool}(M),\ x \in S_1\}$.

Let us consider I, M and let S_1 be a non empty family of many sorted subsets of M. Note that $|{:}S_1{:}|$ is non-empty.

One can prove the following propositions:

(16) $\operatorname{dom}|\{f\}| = \operatorname{dom} f$.

(17) $\operatorname{dom}|\{f, f_1\}| = \operatorname{dom} f \cap \operatorname{dom} f_1$.

(18) If $i \in \operatorname{dom} f$, then $|\{f\}|(i) = \{f(i)\}$.

(19) If $i \in I$ and $S_1 = \{f\}$, then $|{:}S_1{:}|(i) = \{f(i)\}$.

(20) If $i \in \operatorname{dom}|\{f, f_1\}|$, then $|\{f, f_1\}|(i) = \{f(i), f_1(i)\}$.

(21) If $i \in I$ and $S_1 = \{f, f_1\}$, then $|{:}S_1{:}|(i) = \{f(i), f_1(i)\}$.

Let us consider I, M, S_1. Then $|{:}S_1{:}|$ is a subset family of M.

We now state several propositions:

(22) If $A \in S_1$, then $A \in |{:}S_1{:}|$.

(23) If $S_1 = \{A, B\}$, then $\bigcup |{:}S_1{:}| = A \cup B$.

(24) If $S_1 = \{E, T\}$, then $\bigcap |{:}S_1{:}| = E \cap T$.

(25) Let Z be a many sorted subset of M. Suppose that for every many sorted set Z_1 indexed by I such that $Z_1 \in S_1$ holds $Z \subseteq Z_1$. Then $Z \subseteq \bigcap |{:}S_1{:}|$.

(26) $|{:}\operatorname{Bool}(M){:}| = 2^M$.

Let us consider I, M and let I_1 be a family of many sorted subsets of M. We say that I_1 is additive if and only if:

(Def. 5) For all A, B such that $A \in I_1$ and $B \in I_1$ holds $A \cup B \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is absolutely-additive if and only if:

(Def. 6) For every family F of many sorted subsets of M such that $F \subseteq I_1$ holds $\bigcup |:F:| \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is multiplicative if and only if:

(Def. 7) For all A, B such that $A \in I_1$ and $B \in I_1$ holds $A \cap B \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is absolutely-multiplicative if and only if:

(Def. 8) For every family F of many sorted subsets of M such that $F \subseteq I_1$ holds $\bigcap |:F:| \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is properly upper bound if and only if:

(Def. 9) $M \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is properly lower bound if and only if:

(Def. 10) $\emptyset_I \in I_1$.

Let us consider I, M. Observe that there exists a family of many sorted subsets of M which is non empty functional additive absolutely-additive multiplicative absolutely-multiplicative properly upper bound and properly lower bound and has common domain.

Let us consider I, M. Then $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ is an additive absolutely-additive multiplicative absolutely-multiplicative properly upper bound properly lower bound family of many sorted subsets of M.

Let us consider I, M. Observe that every family of many sorted subsets of M which is absolutely-additive is also additive.

Let us consider I, M. One can verify that every family of many sorted subsets of M which is absolutely-multiplicative is also multiplicative.

Let us consider I, M. One can check that every family of many sorted subsets of M which is absolutely-multiplicative is also properly upper bound.

Let us consider I, M. One can check that every family of many sorted subsets of M which is properly upper bound is also non empty.

Let us consider I, M. One can check that every family of many sorted subsets of M which is absolutely-additive is also properly lower bound.

Let us consider I, M. Note that every family of many sorted subsets of M which is properly lower bound is also non empty.

4. Properties of Closure Operators

Let us consider I, M.

(Def. 11) A function from $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ into $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ is called a set operation in M.

Let us consider I, M, let f be a set operation in M, and let x be an element of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$. Then $f(x)$ is an element of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$.

Let us consider I, M and let I_1 be a set operation in M. We say that I_1 is reflexive if and only if:

(Def. 12) For every element x of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ holds $x \subseteq I_1(x)$.

We say that I_1 is monotonic if and only if:

(Def. 13) For all elements x, y of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ such that $x \subseteq y$ holds $I_1(x) \subseteq I_1(y)$.

We say that I_1 is idempotent if and only if:

(Def. 14) For every element x of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ holds $I_1(x) = I_1(I_1(x))$.

We say that I_1 is topological if and only if:

(Def. 15) For all elements x, y of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ holds $I_1(x \cup y) = I_1(x) \cup I_1(y)$.

Let us consider I, M. Observe that there exists a set operation in M which is reflexive monotonic idempotent and topological.

Next we state four propositions:

(27) $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Bool}(A)}$ is a reflexive set operation in A.

(28) $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Bool}(A)}$ is a monotonic set operation in A.

(29) $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Bool}(A)}$ is an idempotent set operation in A.

(30) $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Bool}(A)}$ is a topological set operation in A.

In the sequel g, h are set operations in M.

One can prove the following three propositions:

(31) If $E = M$ and g is reflexive, then $E = g(E)$.

(32) If g is reflexive and for every element X of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ holds $g(X) \subseteq X$, then g is idempotent.

(33) For every element A of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ such that $A = E \cap T$ holds if g is monotonic, then $g(A) \subseteq g(E) \cap g(T)$.

Let us consider I, M. One can check that every set operation in M which is topological is also monotonic.

Next we state the proposition

(34) For every element A of $\mathrm{Bool}(M)$ such that $A = E \setminus T$ holds if g is topological, then $g(E) \setminus g(T) \subseteq g(A)$.

Let us consider I, M, h, g. Then $g \cdot h$ is a set operation in M.

The following four propositions are true:

(35) If g is reflexive and h is reflexive, then $g \cdot h$ is reflexive.

(36) If g is monotonic and h is monotonic, then $g \cdot h$ is monotonic.

(37) If g is idempotent and h is idempotent and $g \cdot h = h \cdot g$, then $g \cdot h$ is idempotent.

(38) If g is topological and h is topological, then $g \cdot h$ is topological.

5. On the Closure Operator and the Closure System

In the sequel S will be a 1-sorted structure.

Let us consider S. We consider closure system structures over S as extensions of many-sorted structure over S as systems

$\langle$ sorts, a family $\rangle$,

where the sorts constitute a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of S and the family is a family of many sorted subsets of the sorts.

In the sequel M_1 is a many-sorted structure over S.

Let us consider S and let I_1 be a closure system structure over S. We say that I_1 is additive if and only if:

(Def. 16) The family of I_1 is additive.

We say that I_1 is absolutely-additive if and only if:

(Def. 17) The family of I_1 is absolutely-additive.

We say that I_1 is multiplicative if and only if:

(Def. 18) The family of I_1 is multiplicative.

We say that I_1 is absolutely-multiplicative if and only if:

(Def. 19) The family of I_1 is absolutely-multiplicative.

We say that I_1 is properly upper bound if and only if:

(Def. 20) The family of I_1 is properly upper bound.

We say that I_1 is properly lower bound if and only if:

(Def. 21) The family of I_1 is properly lower bound.

Let us consider S, M_1. The functor Full(M_1) yielding a closure system structure over S is defined as follows:

(Def. 22) Full(M_1) = $\langle$the sorts of M_1, Bool(the sorts of M_1)$\rangle$.

Let us consider S, M_1. Note that Full(M_1) is strict additive absolutely-additive multiplicative absolutely-multiplicative properly upper bound and properly lower bound.

Let us consider S and let M_1 be a non-empty many-sorted structure over S. Observe that Full(M_1) is non-empty.

Let us consider S. Note that there exists a closure system structure over S which is strict non-empty additive absolutely-additive multiplicative absolutely-multiplicative properly upper bound and properly lower bound.

Let us consider S and let C_1 be an additive closure system structure over S. Note that the family of C_1 is additive.

Let us consider S and let C_1 be an absolutely-additive closure system structure over S. Note that the family of C_1 is absolutely-additive.

Let us consider S and let C_1 be a multiplicative closure system structure over S. Note that the family of C_1 is multiplicative.

Let us consider S and let C_1 be an absolutely-multiplicative closure system structure over S. Note that the family of C_1 is absolutely-multiplicative.

Let us consider S and let C_1 be a properly upper bound closure system structure over S. One can verify that the family of C_1 is properly upper bound.

Let us consider S and let C_1 be a properly lower bound closure system structure over S. Observe that the family of C_1 is properly lower bound.

Let us consider S, let M be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of S, and let F be a family of many sorted subsets of M. Note that $\langle M, F\rangle$ is non-empty.

Let us consider S, M_1 and let F be an additive family of many sorted subsets of the sorts of M_1. Note that $\langle$the sorts of M_1, $F\rangle$ is additive.

Let us consider S, M_1 and let F be an absolutely-additive family of many sorted subsets of the sorts of M_1. Note that $\langle$the sorts of M_1, $F\rangle$ is absolutely-additive.

Let us consider S, M_1 and let F be a multiplicative family of many sorted subsets of the sorts of M_1. Observe that $\langle$the sorts of M_1, $F\rangle$ is multiplicative.

Let us consider S, M_1 and let F be an absolutely-multiplicative family of many sorted subsets of the sorts of M_1. One can check that $\langle$the sorts of M_1, $F\rangle$ is absolutely-multiplicative.

Let us consider S, M_1 and let F be a properly upper bound family of many sorted subsets of the sorts of M_1. Note that $\langle$the sorts of M_1, $F\rangle$ is properly upper bound.

Let us consider S, M_1 and let F be a properly lower bound family of many sorted subsets of the sorts of M_1. Note that $\langle$the sorts of M_1, $F\rangle$ is properly lower bound.

Let us consider S. Observe that every closure system structure over S which is absolutely-additive is also additive.

Let us consider S. Note that every closure system structure over S which is absolutely-multiplicative is also multiplicative.

Let us consider S. Observe that every closure system structure over S which is absolutely-multiplicative is also properly upper bound.

Let us consider S. One can check that every closure system structure over S which is absolutely-additive is also properly lower bound.

Let us consider S. A closure system of S is an absolutely-multiplicative closure system structure over S.

Let us consider I, M. A closure operator of M is a reflexive monotonic idempotent set operation in M.

Next we state the proposition

(39) Let A be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of S, and let f be a reflexive monotonic set operation in A, and let D be a family of many sorted subsets of A. Suppose $D = \{x : x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathrm{Bool}(A), f(x) = x\}$. Then $\langle A, D\rangle$ is a closure system of S.

Let us consider S, let A be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of S, and let g be a closure operator of A. The functor $\mathrm{ClSys}(g)$ yielding a strict closure system of S is defined by:

(Def. 23) The sorts of $\mathrm{ClSys}(g) = A$ and the family of $\mathrm{ClSys}(g) = \{x : x$ ranges over elements of $\mathrm{Bool}(A)$, $g(x) = x\}$.

Let us consider S, let A be a closure system of S, and let C be a many sorted subset of the sorts of A. The functor $\overline{C}$ yielding an element of Bool(the sorts of A) is defined by the condition (Def. 24).

(Def. 24) There exists a family F of many sorted subsets of the sorts of A such that $\overline{C} = \bigcap |{:}F{:}|$ and $F = \{X : X$ ranges over elements of Bool(the sorts of A), $C \subseteq X \ \wedge \ X \in$ the family of $A\}$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(40) Let D be a closure system of S, and let a be an element of Bool(the sorts of D), and let f be a set operation in the sorts of D. Suppose $a \in$ the family of D and for every element x of Bool(the sorts of D) holds $f(x) = \overline{x}$. Then $f(a) = a$.

(41) Let D be a closure system of S, and let a be an element of Bool(the sorts of D), and let f be a set operation in the sorts of D. Suppose $f(a) = a$ and for every element x of Bool(the sorts of D) holds $f(x) = \overline{x}$. Then $a \in$ the family of D.

(42) Let D be a closure system of S and let f be a set operation in the sorts of D. Suppose that for every element x of Bool(the sorts of D) holds $f(x) = \overline{x}$. Then f is reflexive monotonic and idempotent.

Let us consider S and let D be a closure system of S. The functor $\mathrm{ClOp}(D)$ yields a closure operator of the sorts of D and is defined by:

(Def. 25) For every element x of Bool(the sorts of D) holds $(\mathrm{ClOp}(D))(x) = \overline{x}$.

Next we state two propositions:

(43) For every many sorted set A indexed by the carrier of S and for every closure operator f of A holds $\mathrm{ClOp}(\mathrm{ClSys}(f)) = f$.

(44) For every closure system D of S holds $\mathrm{ClSys}(\mathrm{ClOp}(D)) =$ the closure system structure of D.

References

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cartesian product of functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**4**):547–552, 1991.

[2] Ewa Burakowska. Subalgebras of many sorted algebra. Lattice of subalgebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**1**):47–54, 1996.

[3] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**4**):669–676, 1990.

[4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):55–65, 1990.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):153–164, 1990.

[6] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):521–527, 1990.

[7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):47–53, 1990.

[8] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):165–167, 1990.

[9] Artur Korniłowicz. Certain facts about families of subsets of many sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**3**):451–456, 1996.
[10] Artur Korniłowicz. Definitions and basic properties of boolean & union of many sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**2**):279–281, 1996.
[11] Artur Korniłowicz. On the many sorted closure operator and the many sorted closure system. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**4**):529–536, 1996.
[12] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**1**):61–65, 1996.
[13] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(**1**):103–108, 1993.
[14] Beata Madras. Products of many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**1**):55–60, 1996.
[15] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**2**):167–172, 1996.
[16] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):147–152, 1990.
[17] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**2**):233–236, 1996.
[18] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):495–500, 1990.
[19] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**1**):37–42, 1996.
[20] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(**1**):15–22, 1993.
[21] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):9–11, 1990.
[22] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):17–23, 1990.
[23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):73–83, 1990.

Received February 7, 1996