

# Introduction to the Homotopy Theory

Adam Grabowski  
University of Białystok

**Summary.** The paper introduces some preliminary notions concerning the homotopy theory according to [15]: paths and arcwise connected to topological spaces. The basic operations on paths (addition and reversing) are defined. In the last section the predicate: *P, Q are homotopic* is defined. We also showed some properties of the product of two topological spaces needed to prove reflexivity and symmetry of the above predicate.

MML Identifier: BORSUK\_2.

The articles [27], [30], [26], [16], [10], [32], [7], [23], [13], [12], [25], [28], [24], [4], [1], [33], [11], [21], [31], [9], [19], [29], [17], [8], [34], [14], [6], [5], [22], [20], [2], [18], and [3] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper  $T, T_1, T_2, S$  denote non empty topological spaces.

The scheme *FrCard* deals with a non empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , a set  $\mathcal{B}$ , a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a set, and a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

$$\overline{\{\mathcal{F}(w); w \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A} : w \in \mathcal{B} \wedge \mathcal{P}[w]\}} \leq \overline{\mathcal{B}}$$

for all values of the parameters.

The following proposition is true

- (1) Let  $f$  be a map from  $T_1$  into  $S$  and  $g$  be a map from  $T_2$  into  $S$ . Suppose that
  - (i)  $T_1$  is a subspace of  $T$ ,
  - (ii)  $T_2$  is a subspace of  $T$ ,
  - (iii)  $\Omega_{(T_1)} \cup \Omega_{(T_2)} = \Omega_T$ ,
  - (iv)  $T_1$  is compact,

- (v)  $T_2$  is compact,
- (vi)  $T$  is a  $T_2$  space,
- (vii)  $f$  is continuous,
- (viii)  $g$  is continuous, and
- (ix) for every set  $p$  such that  $p \in \Omega_{(T_1)} \cap \Omega_{(T_2)}$  holds  $f(p) = g(p)$ .

Then there exists a map  $h$  from  $T$  into  $S$  such that  $h = f+g$  and  $h$  is continuous.

Let  $S, T$  be non empty topological spaces. One can verify that there exists a map from  $S$  into  $T$  which is continuous.

One can prove the following proposition

- (2) For all non empty topological spaces  $S, T$  holds every continuous mapping from  $S$  into  $T$  is a continuous map from  $S$  into  $T$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological structure. Note that  $\text{id}_T$  is open and continuous.

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological structure. Observe that there exists a map from  $T$  into  $T$  which is continuous and one-to-one.

We now state the proposition

- (3) Let  $S, T$  be non empty topological spaces and  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . If  $f$  is a homeomorphism, then  $f^{-1}$  is open.

## 2. PATHS AND ARCWISE CONNECTED SPACES

Let  $T$  be a topological structure and let  $a, b$  be points of  $T$ . Let us assume that there exists a map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $T$  such that  $f$  is continuous and  $f(0) = a$  and  $f(1) = b$ . A map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $T$  is said to be a path from  $a$  to  $b$  if:

- (Def. 1) It is continuous and  $\text{it}(0) = a$  and  $\text{it}(1) = b$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (4) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space and  $a$  be a point of  $T$ . Then there exists a map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $T$  such that  $f$  is continuous and  $f(0) = a$  and  $f(1) = a$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space and let  $a$  be a point of  $T$ . Note that there exists a path from  $a$  to  $a$  which is continuous.

Let  $T$  be a topological structure. We say that  $T$  is arcwise connected if and only if:

- (Def. 2) For all points  $a, b$  of  $T$  there exists a map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $T$  such that  $f$  is continuous and  $f(0) = a$  and  $f(1) = b$ .

Let us observe that there exists a topological space which is arcwise connected and non empty.

Let  $T$  be an arcwise connected topological structure and let  $a, b$  be points of  $T$ . Let us note that the path from  $a$  to  $b$  can be characterized by the following (equivalent) condition:

(Def. 3) It is continuous and  $it(0) = a$  and  $it(1) = b$ .

Let  $T$  be an arcwise connected topological structure and let  $a, b$  be points of  $T$ . Note that every path from  $a$  to  $b$  is continuous.

Next we state the proposition

(5) For every non empty topological space  $G_1$  such that  $G_1$  is arcwise connected holds  $G_1$  is connected.

Let us mention that every non empty topological space which is arcwise connected is also connected.

### 3. BASIC OPERATIONS ON PATHS

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space, let  $a, b, c$  be points of  $T$ , let  $P$  be a path from  $a$  to  $b$ , and let  $Q$  be a path from  $b$  to  $c$ . Let us assume that there exist maps  $f, g$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $T$  such that  $f$  is continuous and  $f(0) = a$  and  $f(1) = b$  and  $g$  is continuous and  $g(0) = b$  and  $g(1) = c$ . The functor  $P + Q$  yielding a path from  $a$  to  $c$  is defined by the condition (Def. 4).

(Def. 4) Let  $t$  be a point of  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $t'$  be a real number such that  $t = t'$ . Then

- (i) if  $0 \leq t' \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $(P + Q)(t) = P(2 \cdot t')$ , and
- (ii) if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq t' \leq 1$ , then  $(P + Q)(t) = Q(2 \cdot t' - 1)$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space and let  $a$  be a point of  $T$ . Note that there exists a path from  $a$  to  $a$  which is constant.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (6) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $a$  be a point of  $T$ , and  $P$  be a constant path from  $a$  to  $a$ . Then  $P = \mathbb{I} \mapsto a$ .
- (7) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $a$  be a point of  $T$ , and  $P$  be a constant path from  $a$  to  $a$ . Then  $P + P = P$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space, let  $a$  be a point of  $T$ , and let  $P$  be a constant path from  $a$  to  $a$ . Observe that  $P + P$  is constant.

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space, let  $a, b$  be points of  $T$ , and let  $P$  be a path from  $a$  to  $b$ . Let us assume that there exists a map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $T$  such that  $f$  is continuous and  $f(0) = a$  and  $f(1) = b$ . The functor  $-P$  yields a path from  $b$  to  $a$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) For every point  $t$  of  $\mathbb{I}$  and for every real number  $t'$  such that  $t = t'$  holds  $(-P)(t) = P(1 - t')$ .

The following proposition is true

- (8) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $a$  be a point of  $T$ , and  $P$  be a constant path from  $a$  to  $a$ . Then  $-P = P$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space, let  $a$  be a point of  $T$ , and let  $P$  be a constant path from  $a$  to  $a$ . One can verify that  $-P$  is constant.

#### 4. THE PRODUCT OF TWO TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

One can prove the following proposition

- (9) Let  $X, Y$  be non empty topological spaces,  $A$  be a family of subsets of  $Y$ , and  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\bigcup A) = \bigcup(f^{-1}(A))$ .

Let  $S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2$  be non empty topological spaces, let  $f$  be a map from  $S_1$  into  $S_2$ , and let  $g$  be a map from  $T_1$  into  $T_2$ . Then  $[f, g]$  is a map from  $[S_1, T_1]$  into  $[S_2, T_2]$ .

Next we state three propositions:

- (10) Let  $S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2$  be non empty topological spaces,  $f$  be a continuous map from  $S_1$  into  $T_1$ ,  $g$  be a continuous map from  $S_2$  into  $T_2$ , and  $P_1, P_2$  be subsets of the carrier of  $[T_1, T_2]$ . If  $P_2 \in \text{BaseAppr}(P_1)$ , then  $[f, g]^{-1}(P_2)$  is open.
- (11) Let  $S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2$  be non empty topological spaces,  $f$  be a continuous map from  $S_1$  into  $T_1$ ,  $g$  be a continuous map from  $S_2$  into  $T_2$ , and  $P_2$  be a subset of the carrier of  $[T_1, T_2]$ . If  $P_2$  is open, then  $[f, g]^{-1}(P_2)$  is open.
- (12) Let  $S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2$  be non empty topological spaces,  $f$  be a continuous map from  $S_1$  into  $T_1$ , and  $g$  be a continuous map from  $S_2$  into  $T_2$ . Then  $[f, g]$  is continuous.

Let us note that every topological structure which is empty is also  $T_0$ .

Let  $T_1, T_2$  be discernible non empty topological spaces. One can check that  $[T_1, T_2]$  is discernible.

We now state two propositions:

- (13) For all  $T_0$ -spaces  $T_1, T_2$  holds  $[T_1, T_2]$  is a  $T_0$ -space.
- (14) Let  $T_1, T_2$  be non empty topological spaces. Suppose  $T_1$  is a  $T_1$  space and  $T_2$  is a  $T_1$  space. Then  $[T_1, T_2]$  is a  $T_1$  space.

Let  $T_1, T_2$  be a  $T_1$  space non empty topological spaces. Observe that  $[T_1, T_2]$  is a  $T_1$  space.

Let  $T_1, T_2$  be  $T_2$  non empty topological spaces. Observe that  $[T_1, T_2]$  is  $T_2$ .

Let us note that  $\mathbb{I}$  is compact and  $T_2$ .

Let us mention that  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is  $T_2$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty arcwise connected topological space, let  $a, b$  be points of  $T$ , and let  $P, Q$  be paths from  $a$  to  $b$ . We say that  $P, Q$  are homotopic if and only if the condition (Def. 6) is satisfied.

- (Def. 6) There exists a map  $f$  from  $[\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I}]$  into  $T$  such that
- (i)  $f$  is continuous, and
  - (ii) for every point  $s$  of  $\mathbb{I}$  holds  $f(s, 0) = P(s)$  and  $f(s, 1) = Q(s)$  and for every point  $t$  of  $\mathbb{I}$  holds  $f(0, t) = a$  and  $f(1, t) = b$ .

Let us notice that the predicate  $P, Q$  are homotopic is reflexive and symmetric.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. Dyadic numbers and  $T_4$  topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):361–366, 1996.
- [3] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. The theorem of Weierstrass. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):353–359, 1996.
- [4] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):245–254, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [15] Marvin J. Greenberg. *Lectures on Algebraic Topology*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1973.
- [16] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [17] Zbigniew Karno. Continuity of mappings over the union of subspaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):1–16, 1992.
- [18] Zbigniew Karno. On Kolmogorov topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):119–124, 1996.
- [19] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):471–475, 1990.
- [20] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):563–571, 1991.
- [21] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):239–244, 1990.
- [22] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):93–96, 1991.
- [23] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [24] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.

- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [30] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [31] Toshihiko Watanabe. The Brouwer fixed point theorem for intervals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):85–88, 1992.
- [32] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [33] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.
- [34] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski.  $T_0$  topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):75–77, 1996.

*Received September 10, 1997*

---

## Some Properties of Real Maps

Adam Grabowski<sup>1</sup>  
University of Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** The main goal of the paper is to show logical equivalence of the two definitions of the *open subset*: one from [2] and the other from [23]. This has been used to show that the other two definitions are equivalent: the continuity of the map as in [20] and in [22]. We used this to show that continuous and one-to-one maps are monotone (see theorems 16 and 17 for details).

MML Identifier: JORDAN5A.

The terminology and notation used here are introduced in the following articles: [26], [13], [27], [28], [4], [5], [24], [22], [17], [18], [10], [3], [23], [6], [25], [29], [16], [14], [19], [11], [20], [8], [7], [9], [15], [21], [2], [1], and [12].

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (1) For all points  $p, q$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and for every subset  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $P$  is an arc from  $p$  to  $q$  holds  $P$  is compact.
- (2) For every real number  $r$  holds  $0 \leq r$  and  $r \leq 1$  iff  $r \in$  the carrier of  $\mathbb{I}$ .
- (3) For all points  $p_1, p_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and for all real numbers  $r_1, r_2$  such that  $(1 - r_1) \cdot p_1 + r_1 \cdot p_2 = (1 - r_2) \cdot p_1 + r_2 \cdot p_2$  holds  $r_1 = r_2$  or  $p_1 = p_2$ .
- (4) Let  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $p_1 \neq p_2$ . Then there exists a map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$  such that for every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in [0, 1]$  holds  $f(x) = (1 - x) \cdot p_1 + x \cdot p_2$  and  $f$  is a homeomorphism and  $f(0) = p_1$  and  $f(1) = p_2$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This paper was written while the author visited the Shinshu University in the winter of 1997.

One can verify that  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is arcwise connected.

One can check that there exists a subspace of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  which is compact and non empty.

The following proposition is true

- (5) Let  $a, b$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $f$  be a path from  $a$  to  $b$ ,  $P$  be a non empty compact subspace of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $P$ . If  $f$  is one-to-one and  $g = f$  and  $\Omega_P = \text{rng } f$ , then  $g$  is a homeomorphism.

## 2. EQUIVALENCE OF ANALYTICAL AND TOPOLOGICAL DEFINITIONS OF CONTINUITY

We now state a number of propositions:

- (6) Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $X \in$  the open set family of the metric space of real numbers if and only if  $X$  is open.
- (7) Let  $f$  be a map from  $\mathbb{R}^1$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $x$  be a point of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $g$  be a partial function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $x_1$  be a real number. If  $f$  is continuous at  $x$  and  $f = g$  and  $x = x_1$ , then  $g$  is continuous in  $x_1$ .
- (8) Let  $f$  be a continuous map from  $\mathbb{R}^1$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and  $g$  be a partial function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $f = g$ , then  $g$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (9) Let  $f$  be a continuous one-to-one map from  $\mathbb{R}^1$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Then
- (i) for all points  $x, y$  of  $\mathbb{I}$  and for all real numbers  $p, q, f_1, f_2$  such that  $x = p$  and  $y = q$  and  $p < q$  and  $f_1 = f(x)$  and  $f_2 = f(y)$  holds  $f_1 < f_2$ , or
  - (ii) for all points  $x, y$  of  $\mathbb{I}$  and for all real numbers  $p, q, f_1, f_2$  such that  $x = p$  and  $y = q$  and  $p < q$  and  $f_1 = f(x)$  and  $f_2 = f(y)$  holds  $f_1 > f_2$ .
- (10) Let  $r, g_1, a, b$  be real numbers and  $x$  be an element of the carrier of  $[a, b]_M$ . If  $a \leq b$  and  $x = r$  and  $g_1 > 0$  and  $]r - g_1, r + g_1[ \subseteq [a, b]$ , then  $]r - g_1, r + g_1[ = \text{Ball}(x, g_1)$ .
- (11) Let  $a, b$  be real numbers and  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $a < b$  and  $a \notin X$  and  $b \notin X$ . If  $X \in$  the open set family of  $[a, b]_M$ , then  $X$  is open.
- (12) For every open subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and for all real numbers  $a, b$  such that  $X \subseteq [a, b]$  holds  $a \notin X$  and  $b \notin X$ .
- (13) Let  $a, b$  be real numbers,  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $V$  be a subset of the carrier of  $[a, b]_M$ . Suppose  $a \leq b$  and  $V = X$ . If  $X$  is open, then  $V \in$  the open set family of  $[a, b]_M$ .
- (14) Let  $a, b, c, d, x_1$  be real numbers,  $f$  be a map from  $[a, b]_T$  into  $[c, d]_T$ ,  $x$  be a point of  $[a, b]_T$ , and  $g$  be a partial function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $a < b$  and  $c < d$  and  $f$  is continuous at  $x$  and  $f(a) = c$  and  $f(b) = d$  and  $f$  is one-to-one and  $f = g$  and  $x = x_1$ . Then  $g|_{[a, b]}$  is continuous in  $x_1$ .

- (15) Let  $a, b, c, d$  be real numbers,  $f$  be a map from  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  into  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , and  $g$  be a partial function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $f$  is continuous and one-to-one and  $a < b$  and  $c < d$  and  $f = g$  and  $f(a) = c$  and  $f(b) = d$ . Then  $g$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ .

### 3. ON THE MONOTONICITY OF CONTINUOUS MAPS

One can prove the following propositions:

- (16) Let  $a, b, c, d$  be real numbers and  $f$  be a map from  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  into  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Suppose  $a < b$  and  $c < d$  and  $f$  is continuous and one-to-one and  $f(a) = c$  and  $f(b) = d$ . Let  $x, y$  be points of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $p, q, f_1, f_2$  be real numbers. If  $x = p$  and  $y = q$  and  $p < q$  and  $f_1 = f(x)$  and  $f_2 = f(y)$ , then  $f_1 < f_2$ .
- (17) Let  $f$  be a continuous one-to-one map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $\mathbb{I}$ . Suppose  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$ . Let  $x, y$  be points of  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $p, q, f_1, f_2$  be real numbers. If  $x = p$  and  $y = q$  and  $p < q$  and  $f_1 = f(x)$  and  $f_2 = f(y)$ , then  $f_1 < f_2$ .
- (18) Let  $a, b, c, d$  be real numbers,  $f$  be a map from  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  into  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $P$  be a non empty subset of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , and  $P_1, Q_1$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Suppose  $a < b$  and  $c < d$  and  $P_1 = P$  and  $f$  is continuous and one-to-one and  $P_1$  is compact and  $f(a) = c$  and  $f(b) = d$  and  $f^\circ P = Q_1$ . Then  $f(\inf(\Omega_{(P_1)})) = \inf(\Omega_{(Q_1)})$ .
- (19) Let  $a, b, c, d$  be real numbers,  $f$  be a map from  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  into  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $P, Q$  be non empty subsets of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , and  $P_1, Q_1$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Suppose  $a < b$  and  $c < d$  and  $P_1 = P$  and  $Q_1 = Q$  and  $f$  is continuous and one-to-one and  $P_1$  is compact and  $f(a) = c$  and  $f(b) = d$  and  $f^\circ P = Q$ . Then  $f(\sup(\Omega_{(P_1)})) = \sup(\Omega_{(Q_1)})$ .
- (20) For all real numbers  $a, b$  such that  $a \leq b$  holds  $\inf[a, b] = a$  and  $\sup[a, b] = b$ .
- (21) Let  $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h$  be real numbers and  $F$  be a map from  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  into  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Suppose  $a < b$  and  $c < d$  and  $e < f$  and  $a \leq e$  and  $f \leq b$  and  $F$  is a homeomorphism and  $F(a) = c$  and  $F(b) = d$  and  $g = F(e)$  and  $h = F(f)$ . Then  $F^\circ[e, f] = [g, h]$ .
- (22) Let  $P, Q$  be subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$ . Suppose  $P$  meets  $Q$  and  $P \cap Q$  is closed and  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . Then there exists a point  $E_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  such that
- (i)  $E_1 \in P \cap Q$ , and
  - (ii) there exists a map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2) \upharpoonright P$  and there exists a real number  $s_2$  such that  $g$  is a homeomorphism and  $g(0) = p_1$  and  $g(1) = p_2$  and  $g(s_2) = E_1$  and  $0 \leq s_2$  and  $s_2 \leq 1$  and for every real number  $t$  such that  $0 \leq t$  and  $t < s_2$  holds  $g(t) \notin Q$ .

- (23) Let  $P, Q$  be subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  meets  $Q$  and  $P \cap Q$  is closed and  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . Then there exists a point  $E_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that
- (i)  $E_1 \in P \cap Q$ , and
  - (ii) there exists a map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus P$  and there exists a real number  $s_2$  such that  $g$  is a homeomorphism and  $g(0) = p_1$  and  $g(1) = p_2$  and  $g(s_2) = E_1$  and  $0 \leq s_2$  and  $s_2 \leq 1$  and for every real number  $t$  such that  $1 \geq t$  and  $t > s_2$  holds  $g(t) \notin Q$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. The theorem of Weierstrass. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):353–359, 1996.
- [2] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [12] Adam Grabowski. Introduction to the homotopy theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):449–454, 1997.
- [13] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [14] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [15] Zbigniew Karno. Continuity of mappings over the union of subspaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):1–16, 1992.
- [16] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [17] Jarosław Kotowicz. Partial functions from a domain to the set of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):703–709, 1990.
- [18] Jarosław Kotowicz. Properties of real functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):781–786, 1990.
- [19] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):93–96, 1991.
- [20] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [21] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [22] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Real function continuity. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):787–791, 1990.
- [23] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [25] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.

- [26] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [29] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

*Received September 10, 1997*

---



# The Ordering of Points on a Curve. Part I

Adam Grabowski<sup>1</sup>  
University of Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** Some auxiliary theorems needed to formalize the proof of the Jordan Curve Theorem according to [25] are proved.

MML Identifier: JORDAN5B.

The articles [26], [29], [13], [1], [22], [24], [31], [2], [4], [5], [11], [28], [20], [12], [16], [23], [9], [8], [27], [10], [30], [15], [17], [18], [14], [19], [21], [6], [7], and [3] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

The following propositions are true:

- (1) For every natural number  $i_1$  such that  $1 \leq i_1$  holds  $i_1 - 1 < i_1$ .
- (2) For all natural numbers  $i, k$  such that  $i + 1 \leq k$  holds  $1 \leq k - i$ .
- (3) For all natural numbers  $i, k$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $1 \leq k$  holds  $k - i + 1 \leq k$ .
- (4) For every real number  $r$  such that  $r \in$  the carrier of  $\mathbb{I}$  holds  $1 - r \in$  the carrier of  $\mathbb{I}$ .
- (5) For all points  $p, q, p_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1^2$  such that  $p_2 \neq q_2$  and  $p_1 \in \mathcal{L}(p, q)$  holds if  $(p_1)_2 = p_2$ , then  $(p_1)_1 = p_1$ .
- (6) For all points  $p, q, p_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1^2$  such that  $p_1 \neq q_1$  and  $p_1 \in \mathcal{L}(p, q)$  holds if  $(p_1)_1 = p_1$ , then  $(p_1)_2 = p_2$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This paper was written while the author visited the Shinshu University in the winter of 1997.

- (7) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $F$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$ , and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  and  $f$  is a special sequence and  $P = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $F$  is a homeomorphism and  $F(0) = \pi_1 f$  and  $F(1) = \pi_{\text{len } f} f$ . Then there exist real numbers  $p_1, p_2$  such that  $p_1 < p_2$  and  $0 \leq p_1$  and  $p_1 \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq p_2$  and  $p_2 \leq 1$  and  $\mathcal{L}(f, i) = F^\circ[p_1, p_2]$  and  $F(p_1) = \pi_i f$  and  $F(p_2) = \pi_{i+1} f$ .
- (8) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q, R$  be non empty subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $F$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|Q$ ,  $i$  be a natural number, and  $P$  be a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{I}$ . Suppose that
- (i)  $f$  is a special sequence,
  - (ii)  $F$  is a homeomorphism,
  - (iii)  $F(0) = \pi_1 f$ ,
  - (iv)  $F(1) = \pi_{\text{len } f} f$ ,
  - (v)  $1 \leq i$ ,
  - (vi)  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ ,
  - (vii)  $F^\circ P = \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ ,
  - (viii)  $Q = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , and
  - (ix)  $R = \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ .

Then there exists a map  $G$  from  $\mathbb{I}|P$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|R$  such that  $G = F|P$  and  $G$  is a homeomorphism.

## 2. SOME PROPERTIES OF REAL INTERVALS

One can prove the following propositions:

- (9) For all points  $p_1, p_2, p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$  holds  $\text{LE}(p, p, p_1, p_2)$ .
- (10) For all points  $p, p_1, p_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$  holds  $\text{LE}(p_1, p, p_1, p_2)$ .
- (11) For all points  $p, p_1, p_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$  and  $p_1 \neq p_2$  holds  $\text{LE}(p, p_2, p_1, p_2)$ .
- (12) For all points  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, q_3$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $\text{LE}(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2)$  and  $\text{LE}(q_2, q_3, p_1, p_2)$  holds  $\text{LE}(q_1, q_3, p_1, p_2)$ .
- (13) For all points  $p, q$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $p \neq q$  holds  $\mathcal{L}(p, q) = \{p_1; p_1 \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^2: \text{LE}(p, p_1, p, q) \wedge \text{LE}(p_1, q, p, q)\}$ .
- (14) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $P$  is an arc from  $p_2$  to  $p_1$ .
- (15) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $f$  is a special sequence and

$1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  and  $P = \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ . Then  $P$  is an arc from  $\pi_i f$  to  $\pi_{i+1} f$ .

3. CUTTING OFF SEQUENCES

One can prove the following propositions:

- (16) Let  $g_1$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq \text{len } g_1$  and  $g_1$  is a special sequence. If  $\pi_1 g_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(g_1, i, \text{len } g_1))$ , then  $i = 1$ .
- (17) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $f$  is a special sequence and  $p = f(\text{len } f)$ , then  $\downarrow p, f = \langle p, p \rangle$ .
- (18) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $k$  be a natural number. If  $1 \leq k$  and  $k \leq \text{len } f$ , then  $\text{mid}(f, k, k) = \langle \pi_k f \rangle$ .
- (19) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $f$  is a special sequence and  $p = f(1)$ , then  $\downarrow f, p = \langle p \rangle$ .
- (20) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $f$  is a special sequence and  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\downarrow f, p) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (21) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $p \neq f(\text{len } f)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence, then  $\text{Index}(p, \downarrow p, f) = 1$ .
- (22) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence, then  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\downarrow p, f)$ .
- (23) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence and  $p \neq f(1)$ , then  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\downarrow f, p)$ .
- (24) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $p \neq f(\text{len } f)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence, then  $\downarrow \downarrow p, f, p = \langle p \rangle$ .
- (25) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p, q$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $p = f(\text{len } f)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence, then  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\downarrow q, f)$ .
- (26) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p, q$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence, then  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\downarrow q, f)$  or  $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\downarrow p, f)$ .
- (27) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p, q$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $p \neq f(\text{len } f)$  or  $q \neq f(\text{len } f)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence. Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\downarrow \downarrow p, f, q) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (28) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence and  $i, j$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $j \leq \text{len the Go-board of } f$  and  $i < j$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}(\text{(the Go-board of } f)_{1, \text{width the Go-board of } f}, \text{(the Go-board of } f)_{i, \text{width the Go-board of } f}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{(the Go-board of } f)_{j, \text{width the Go-board of } f}, \text{(the Go-board of } f)_{\text{len the Go-board of } f, \text{width the Go-board of } f}) = \emptyset$ .

- (29) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence and  $i, j$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $j \leq \text{width the Go-board of } f$  and  $i < j$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}(\text{the Go-board of } f)_{\text{len the Go-board of } f, 1}, (\text{the Go-board of } f)_{\text{len the Go-board of } f, i} \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{the Go-board of } f)_{\text{len the Go-board of } f, j}, (\text{the Go-board of } f)_{\text{len the Go-board of } f, \text{width the Go-board of } f} = \emptyset$ .
- (30) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $f$  is a special sequence, then  $\downarrow \pi_1 f, f = f$ .
- (31) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $f$  is a special sequence, then  $\downarrow f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f = f$ .
- (32) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence and  $p \neq f(\text{len } f)$ , then  $p \in \mathcal{L}(\pi_{\text{Index}(p,f)} f, \pi_{\text{Index}(p,f)+1} f)$ .
- (33) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $i$  be a natural number. If  $f$  is a special sequence, then if  $\pi_1 f \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ , then  $i = 1$ .
- (34) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence,  $j$  be a natural number, and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $1 \leq j$  and  $j \leq \text{width the Go-board of } f$  and  $P = \mathcal{L}(\text{the Go-board of } f)_{1,j}, (\text{the Go-board of } f)_{\text{len the Go-board of } f, j}$ . Then  $P$  is a special polygonal arc joining  $(\text{the Go-board of } f)_{1,j}$  and  $(\text{the Go-board of } f)_{\text{len the Go-board of } f, j}$ .
- (35) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence,  $j$  be a natural number, and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $1 \leq j$  and  $j \leq \text{len the Go-board of } f$  and  $P = \mathcal{L}(\text{the Go-board of } f)_{j,1}, (\text{the Go-board of } f)_{j, \text{width the Go-board of } f}$ . Then  $P$  is a special polygonal arc joining  $(\text{the Go-board of } f)_{j,1}$  and  $(\text{the Go-board of } f)_{j, \text{width the Go-board of } f}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):241–245, 1996.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.

- [11] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [13] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [14] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):475–480, 1991.
- [15] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [16] Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):275–278, 1992.
- [17] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):107–115, 1992.
- [18] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):117–121, 1992.
- [19] Yatsuka Nakamura and Jarosław Kotowicz. Connectedness conditions using polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):101–106, 1992.
- [20] Yatsuka Nakamura and Roman Matuszewski. Reconstructions of special sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):255–263, 1997.
- [21] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-board into cells. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):323–328, 1996.
- [22] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [23] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [24] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [25] Yukio Takeuchi and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the Jordan curve theorem. Technical Report 19804, Dept. of Information Eng., Shinshu University, 500 Wakasato, Nagano city, Japan, April 1980.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [28] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [29] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [30] Toshihiko Watanabe. The Brouwer fixed point theorem for intervals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):85–88, 1992.
- [31] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Received September 10, 1997*

---



## The Ordering of Points on a Curve. Part II

Adam Grabowski<sup>1</sup>  
University of Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** The proof of the Jordan Curve Theorem according to [14] is continued. The notions of the first and last point of a oriented arc are introduced as well as ordering of points on a curve in  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ .

MML Identifier: JORDAN5C.

The papers [15], [18], [10], [1], [13], [20], [2], [3], [4], [8], [17], [11], [9], [12], [6], [5], [16], [7], and [19] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

### 1. FIRST AND LAST POINT OF A CURVE

One can prove the following proposition

- (1) Let  $P, Q$  be subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $f$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright P$ , and  $s_1$  be a real number. Suppose that
- (i)  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ ,
  - (ii)  $q_1 \in P$ ,
  - (iii)  $q_1 \in Q$ ,
  - (iv)  $f(s_1) = q_1$ ,
  - (v)  $f$  is a homeomorphism,
  - (vi)  $f(0) = p_1$ ,
  - (vii)  $f(1) = p_2$ ,
  - (viii)  $0 \leq s_1$ ,
  - (ix)  $s_1 \leq 1$ , and

---

<sup>1</sup>This paper was written while the author visited the Shinshu University in the winter of 1997.

(x) for every real number  $t$  such that  $0 \leq t$  and  $t < s_1$  holds  $f(t) \notin Q$ .

Let  $g$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$  and  $s_2$  be a real number. Suppose  $g$  is a homeomorphism and  $g(0) = p_1$  and  $g(1) = p_2$  and  $g(s_2) = q_1$  and  $0 \leq s_2$  and  $s_2 \leq 1$ . Let  $t$  be a real number. If  $0 \leq t$  and  $t < s_2$ , then  $g(t) \notin Q$ .

Let  $P, Q$  be subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Let us assume that  $P$  meets  $Q$  and  $P \cap Q$  is closed and  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . The functor  $\text{FPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q)$  yielding a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 1).

(Def. 1)(i)  $\text{FPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) \in P \cap Q$ , and

(ii) for every map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$  and for every real number  $s_2$  such that  $g$  is a homeomorphism and  $g(0) = p_1$  and  $g(1) = p_2$  and  $g(s_2) = \text{FPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q)$  and  $0 \leq s_2$  and  $s_2 \leq 1$  and for every real number  $t$  such that  $0 \leq t$  and  $t < s_2$  holds  $g(t) \notin Q$ .

One can prove the following three propositions:

(2) Let  $P, Q$  be subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p, p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p \in P$  and  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $Q = \{p\}$ , then  $\text{FPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) = p$ .

(3) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p_1 \in Q$  and  $P \cap Q$  is closed and  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $\text{FPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) = p_1$ .

(4) Let  $P, Q$  be subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $f$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$ , and  $s_1$  be a real number. Suppose that

(i)  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ ,

(ii)  $q_1 \in P$ ,

(iii)  $q_1 \in Q$ ,

(iv)  $f(s_1) = q_1$ ,

(v)  $f$  is a homeomorphism,

(vi)  $f(0) = p_1$ ,

(vii)  $f(1) = p_2$ ,

(viii)  $0 \leq s_1$ ,

(ix)  $s_1 \leq 1$ , and

(x) for every real number  $t$  such that  $1 \geq t$  and  $t > s_1$  holds  $f(t) \notin Q$ .

Let  $g$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$  and  $s_2$  be a real number. Suppose  $g$  is a homeomorphism and  $g(0) = p_1$  and  $g(1) = p_2$  and  $g(s_2) = q_1$  and  $0 \leq s_2$  and  $s_2 \leq 1$ . Let  $t$  be a real number. If  $1 \geq t$  and  $t > s_2$ , then  $g(t) \notin Q$ .

Let  $P, Q$  be subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Let us assume that  $P$  meets  $Q$  and  $P \cap Q$  is closed and  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . The functor  $\text{LPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q)$  yielding a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 2).

(Def. 2)(i)  $\text{LPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) \in P \cap Q$ , and

(ii) for every map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$  and for every real number  $s_2$  such that  $g$  is a homeomorphism and  $g(0) = p_1$  and  $g(1) = p_2$  and  $g(s_2) =$

$\text{LPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q)$  and  $0 \leq s_2$  and  $s_2 \leq 1$  and for every real number  $t$  such that  $1 \geq t$  and  $t > s_2$  holds  $g(t) \notin Q$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (5) Let  $P, Q$  be subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p, p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p \in P$  and  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $Q = \{p\}$ , then  $\text{LPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) = p$ .
- (6) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $p_2 \in Q$  and  $P \cap Q$  is closed and  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $\text{LPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) = p_2$ .
- (7) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P \subseteq Q$  and  $P$  is closed and an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . Then  $\text{FPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) = p_1$  and  $\text{LPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) = p_2$ .

## 2. THE ORDERING OF POINTS ON A CURVE

Let  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . We say that LE  $q_1, q_2, P, p_1, p_2$  if and only if the conditions (Def. 3) are satisfied.

- (Def. 3)(i)  $q_1 \in P$ ,
- (ii)  $q_2 \in P$ , and
- (iii) for every map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$  and for all real numbers  $s_1, s_2$  such that  $g$  is a homeomorphism and  $g(0) = p_1$  and  $g(1) = p_2$  and  $g(s_1) = q_1$  and  $0 \leq s_1$  and  $s_1 \leq 1$  and  $g(s_2) = q_2$  and  $0 \leq s_2$  and  $s_2 \leq 1$  holds  $s_1 \leq s_2$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (8) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $g$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$ , and  $s_1, s_2$  be real numbers. Suppose that
  - (i)  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ ,
  - (ii)  $g$  is a homeomorphism,
  - (iii)  $g(0) = p_1$ ,
  - (iv)  $g(1) = p_2$ ,
  - (v)  $g(s_1) = q_1$ ,
  - (vi)  $0 \leq s_1$ ,
  - (vii)  $s_1 \leq 1$ ,
  - (viii)  $g(s_2) = q_2$ ,
  - (ix)  $0 \leq s_2$ ,
  - (x)  $s_2 \leq 1$ , and
  - (xi)  $s_1 \leq s_2$ .

Then LE  $q_1, q_2, P, p_1, p_2$ .

- (9) Let  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $q_1 \in P$ , then LE  $q_1, q_1, P, p_1, p_2$ .
- (10) Let  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $q_1 \in P$ . Then LE  $p_1, q_1, P, p_1, p_2$  and LE  $q_1, p_2, P, p_1, p_2$ .
- (11) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then LE  $p_1, p_2, P, p_1, p_2$ .
- (12) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and LE  $q_1, q_2, P, p_1, p_2$  and LE  $q_2, q_1, P, p_1, p_2$ . Then  $q_1 = q_2$ .
- (13) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, q_3$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and LE  $q_1, q_2, P, p_1, p_2$  and LE  $q_2, q_3, P, p_1, p_2$ . Then LE  $q_1, q_3, P, p_1, p_2$ .
- (14) Let  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $q_1 \in P$  and  $q_2 \in P$  and  $q_1 \neq q_2$ . Then LE  $q_1, q_2, P, p_1, p_2$  and not LE  $q_2, q_1, P, p_1, p_2$  or LE  $q_2, q_1, P, p_1, p_2$  and not LE  $q_1, q_2, P, p_1, p_2$ .

### 3. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE ORDERING OF POINTS ON A CURVE

We now state a number of propositions:

- (15) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $q$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $f$  is a special sequence and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \cap Q$  is closed and  $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $q \in Q$ . Then LE  $\text{FPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q)$ ,  $q, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f$ .
- (16) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $q$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $f$  is a special sequence and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \cap Q$  is closed and  $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $q \in Q$ . Then LE  $q, \text{LPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q)$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f$ .
- (17) For all points  $q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $p_1 \neq p_2$  holds if LE  $q_1, q_2, \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2), p_1, p_2$ , then LE  $(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2)$ .
- (18) Let  $P, Q$  be subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  and  $P \cap Q$  is closed. Then  $\text{FPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) = \text{LPoint}(P, p_2, p_1, Q)$  and  $\text{LPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q) = \text{FPoint}(P, p_2, p_1, Q)$ .
- (19) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  meets  $Q$  and  $Q$  is closed and  $f$  is a special sequence and  $1 \leq i$

- and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  and  $\text{FPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q) \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ . Then  $\text{FPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q) = \text{FPoint}(\mathcal{L}(f, i), \pi_i f, \pi_{i+1} f, Q)$ .
- (20) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  meets  $Q$  and  $Q$  is closed and  $f$  is a special sequence and  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  and  $\text{LPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q) \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ . Then  $\text{LPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q) = \text{LPoint}(\mathcal{L}(f, i), \pi_i f, \pi_{i+1} f, Q)$ .
- (21) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  and  $f$  is a special sequence and  $\text{FPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, \mathcal{L}(f, i)) \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ . Then  $\text{FPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, \mathcal{L}(f, i)) = \pi_i f$ .
- (22) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  and  $f$  is a special sequence and  $\text{LPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, \mathcal{L}(f, i)) \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ . Then  $\text{LPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, \mathcal{L}(f, i)) = \pi_{i+1} f$ .
- (23) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $f$  is a special sequence and  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ . Then  $\text{LE } \pi_i f, \pi_{i+1} f, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f$ .
- (24) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $i, k$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $f$  is a special sequence and  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + k + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ . Then  $\text{LE } \pi_i f, \pi_{i+k} f, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f$ .
- (25) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $q$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $f$  is a special sequence and  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  and  $q \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ . Then  $\text{LE } \pi_i f, q, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f$ .
- (26) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $q$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $f$  is a special sequence and  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  and  $q \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ . Then  $\text{LE } q, \pi_{i+1} f, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f$ .
- (27) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $q$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $i, j$  be natural numbers. Suppose that
- (i)  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  meets  $Q$ ,
  - (ii)  $f$  is a special sequence,
  - (iii)  $Q$  is closed,
  - (iv)  $\text{FPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q) \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ ,
  - (v)  $1 \leq i$ ,
  - (vi)  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ ,
  - (vii)  $q \in \mathcal{L}(f, j)$ ,
  - (viii)  $1 \leq j$ ,
  - (ix)  $j + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ ,
  - (x)  $q \in Q$ , and
  - (xi)  $\text{FPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q) \neq q$ .

Then  $i \leq j$  and if  $i = j$ , then  $\text{LE}(\text{FPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q), q, \pi_i f, \pi_{i+1} f)$ .

- (28) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $q$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $i, j$  be natural numbers. Suppose that
- (i)  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  meets  $Q$ ,
  - (ii)  $f$  is a special sequence,
  - (iii)  $Q$  is closed,
  - (iv)  $\text{LPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q) \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ ,
  - (v)  $1 \leq i$ ,
  - (vi)  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ ,
  - (vii)  $q \in \mathcal{L}(f, j)$ ,
  - (viii)  $1 \leq j$ ,
  - (ix)  $j + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ ,
  - (x)  $q \in Q$ , and
  - (xi)  $\text{LPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q) \neq q$ .

Then  $i \geq j$  and if  $i = j$ , then  $\text{LE}(q, \text{LPoint}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f, Q), \pi_i f, \pi_{i+1} f)$ .

- (29) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $i$  be a natural number. Suppose  $q_1 \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$  and  $q_2 \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence and  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ . If  $\text{LE } q_1, q_2, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f$ , then  $\text{LE } q_1, q_2, \mathcal{L}(f, i), \pi_i f, \pi_{i+1} f$ .
- (30) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $q_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $q_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $f$  is a special sequence and  $q_1 \neq q_2$ . Then  $\text{LE } q_1, q_2, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \pi_1 f, \pi_{\text{len } f} f$  if and only if for all natural numbers  $i, j$  such that  $q_1 \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$  and  $q_2 \in \mathcal{L}(f, j)$  and  $1 \leq i$  and  $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  and  $1 \leq j$  and  $j + 1 \leq \text{len } f$  holds  $i \leq j$  and if  $i = j$ , then  $\text{LE}(q_1, q_2, \pi_i f, \pi_{i+1} f)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [5] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [6] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [10] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [11] Yatsuka Nakamura and Roman Matuszewski. Reconstructions of special sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):255–263, 1997.

- [12] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [13] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [14] Yukio Takeuchi and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the Jordan curve theorem. Technical Report 19804, Dept. of Information Eng., Shinshu University, 500 Wakasato, Nagano city, Japan, April 1980.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [17] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [18] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [19] Toshihiko Watanabe. The Brouwer fixed point theorem for intervals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):85–88, 1992.
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Received September 10, 1997*

---



# On the Categories Without Uniqueness of cod and dom . Some Properties of the Morphisms and the Functors

Artur Korniłowicz  
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: `ALTCAT_4`.

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers:  
[9], [4], [10], [16], [2], [3], [1], [7], [8], [11], [15], [5], [12], [13], [6], and [14].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper  $C$  denotes a category and  $o_1, o_2, o_3$  denote objects of  $C$ .

Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure with units and let  $o$  be an object of  $C$ . Observe that  $\langle o, o \rangle$  is non empty.

The following propositions are true:

- (1) Let  $v$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ ,  $u$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_3$ , and  $f$  be a morphism from  $o_2$  to  $o_3$ . If  $u = f \cdot v$  and  $f^{-1} \cdot f = \text{id}_{(o_2)}$  and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_3 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_3, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ , then  $v = f^{-1} \cdot u$ .
- (2) Let  $v$  be a morphism from  $o_2$  to  $o_3$ ,  $u$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_3$ , and  $f$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . If  $u = v \cdot f$  and  $f \cdot f^{-1} = \text{id}_{(o_2)}$  and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_3 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ , then  $v = u \cdot f^{-1}$ .
- (3) For every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  such that  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $m$  is iso holds  $m^{-1}$  is iso.
- (4) For every non empty category structure  $C$  with units and for every object  $o$  of  $C$  holds  $\text{id}_o$  is epi and mono.

Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure with units and let  $o$  be an object of  $C$ . One can verify that  $\text{id}_o$  is epi mono retraction and coretraction.

Let  $C$  be a category and let  $o$  be an object of  $C$ . Note that  $\text{id}_o$  is iso.

We now state two propositions:

- (5) Let  $f$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  and  $g, h$  be morphisms from  $o_2$  to  $o_1$ . If  $h \cdot f = \text{id}_{(o_1)}$  and  $f \cdot g = \text{id}_{(o_2)}$  and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ , then  $g = h$ .
- (6) Suppose that for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  holds every morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  is coretraction. Let  $a, b$  be objects of  $C$  and  $g$  be a morphism from  $a$  to  $b$ . If  $\langle a, b \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle b, a \rangle \neq \emptyset$ , then  $g$  is iso.

## 2. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE INITIAL AND TERMINAL OBJECTS

The following propositions are true:

- (7) For all morphisms  $m, m'$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  such that  $m$  is zero and  $m'$  is zero and there exists an object of  $C$  which is zero holds  $m = m'$ .
- (8) Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure,  $O, A$  be objects of  $C$ , and  $M$  be a morphism from  $O$  to  $A$ . If  $O$  is terminal, then  $M$  is mono.
- (9) Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure,  $O, A$  be objects of  $C$ , and  $M$  be a morphism from  $A$  to  $O$ . If  $O$  is initial, then  $M$  is epi.
- (10) If  $o_2$  is terminal and  $o_1, o_2$  are iso, then  $o_1$  is terminal.
- (11) If  $o_1$  is initial and  $o_1, o_2$  are iso, then  $o_2$  is initial.
- (12) If  $o_1$  is initial and  $o_2$  is terminal and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ , then  $o_2$  is initial and  $o_1$  is terminal.

## 3. THE PROPERTIES OF THE FUNCTORS

One can prove the following propositions:

- (13) Let  $A, B$  be transitive non empty category structures with units,  $F$  be a contravariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ , and  $a$  be an object of  $A$ . Then  $F(\text{id}_a) = \text{id}_{F(a)}$ .
- (14) Let  $C_1, C_2$  be non empty category structures and  $F$  be a precontravariant functor structure from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$ . Then  $F$  is full if and only if for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C_1$  holds  $\text{Morph-Map}_F(o_2, o_1)$  is onto.
- (15) Let  $C_1, C_2$  be non empty category structures and  $F$  be a precontravariant functor structure from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$ . Then  $F$  is faithful if and only if for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C_1$  holds  $\text{Morph-Map}_F(o_2, o_1)$  is one-to-one.

- (16) Let  $C_1, C_2$  be non empty category structures,  $F$  be a precovariant functor structure from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $C_1$ , and  $F_1$  be a morphism from  $F(o_1)$  to  $F(o_2)$ . Suppose  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F$  is full and feasible. Then there exists a morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  such that  $F_1 = F(m)$ .
- (17) Let  $C_1, C_2$  be non empty category structures,  $F$  be a precontravariant functor structure from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $C_1$ , and  $F_1$  be a morphism from  $F(o_2)$  to  $F(o_1)$ . Suppose  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F$  is full and feasible. Then there exists a morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  such that  $F_1 = F(m)$ .
- (18) Let  $A, B$  be transitive non empty category structures with units,  $F$  be a covariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . If  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $a$  is retraction, then  $F(a)$  is retraction.
- (19) Let  $A, B$  be transitive non empty category structures with units,  $F$  be a covariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . If  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $a$  is coretraction, then  $F(a)$  is coretraction.
- (20) Let  $A, B$  be categories,  $F$  be a covariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . If  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $a$  is iso, then  $F(a)$  is iso.
- (21) Let  $A, B$  be categories,  $F$  be a covariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ , and  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ . If  $o_1, o_2$  are iso, then  $F(o_1), F(o_2)$  are iso.
- (22) Let  $A, B$  be transitive non empty category structures with units,  $F$  be a contravariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . If  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $a$  is retraction, then  $F(a)$  is coretraction.
- (23) Let  $A, B$  be transitive non empty category structures with units,  $F$  be a contravariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . If  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $a$  is coretraction, then  $F(a)$  is retraction.
- (24) Let  $A, B$  be categories,  $F$  be a contravariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . If  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $a$  is iso, then  $F(a)$  is iso.
- (25) Let  $A, B$  be categories,  $F$  be a contravariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ , and  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ . If  $o_1, o_2$  are iso, then  $F(o_2), F(o_1)$  are iso.
- (26) Let  $A, B$  be transitive non empty category structures with units,  $F$  be a covariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . Suppose  $F$  is full and faithful and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(a)$  is retraction. Then  $a$  is retraction.
- (27) Let  $A, B$  be transitive non empty category structures with units,  $F$

be a covariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . Suppose  $F$  is full and faithful and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(a)$  is coretraction. Then  $a$  is coretraction.

- (28) Let  $A, B$  be categories,  $F$  be a covariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . Suppose  $F$  is full and faithful and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(a)$  is iso. Then  $a$  is iso.
- (29) Let  $A, B$  be categories,  $F$  be a covariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ , and  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ . Suppose  $F$  is full and faithful and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(o_1), F(o_2)$  are iso. Then  $o_1, o_2$  are iso.
- (30) Let  $A, B$  be transitive non empty category structures with units,  $F$  be a contravariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . Suppose  $F$  is full and faithful and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(a)$  is retraction. Then  $a$  is coretraction.
- (31) Let  $A, B$  be transitive non empty category structures with units,  $F$  be a contravariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . Suppose  $F$  is full and faithful and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(a)$  is coretraction. Then  $a$  is retraction.
- (32) Let  $A, B$  be categories,  $F$  be a contravariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ , and  $a$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ . Suppose  $F$  is full and faithful and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(a)$  is iso. Then  $a$  is iso.
- (33) Let  $A, B$  be categories,  $F$  be a contravariant functor from  $A$  to  $B$ , and  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $A$ . Suppose  $F$  is full and faithful and  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(o_2), F(o_1)$  are iso. Then  $o_1, o_2$  are iso.

#### 4. THE SUBCATEGORIES OF THE MORPHISMS

We now state two propositions:

- (34) Let  $C$  be a category structure and  $D$  be a substructure of  $C$ . Suppose the carrier of  $C =$  the carrier of  $D$  and the arrows of  $C =$  the arrows of  $D$ . Then  $D$  is full.
- (35) Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure with units and  $D$  be a substructure of  $C$ . Suppose the carrier of  $C =$  the carrier of  $D$  and the arrows of  $C =$  the arrows of  $D$ . Then  $D$  is full and id-inheriting.

Let  $C$  be a category. Observe that there exists a subcategory of  $C$  which is full, non empty, and strict.

Next we state several propositions:

- (36) For every non empty subcategory  $B$  of  $C$  holds every non empty subcategory of  $B$  is a non empty subcategory of  $C$ .

- (37) Let  $C$  be a non empty transitive category structure,  $D$  be a non empty transitive substructure of  $C$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $C$ ,  $p_1, p_2$  be objects of  $D$ ,  $m$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ , and  $n$  be a morphism from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  such that  $p_1 = o_1$  and  $p_2 = o_2$  and  $m = n$  and  $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . Then
- (i) if  $m$  is mono, then  $n$  is mono, and
  - (ii) if  $m$  is epi, then  $n$  is epi.
- (38) Let  $D$  be a non empty subcategory of  $C$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $C$ ,  $p_1, p_2$  be objects of  $D$ ,  $m$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ ,  $m_1$  be a morphism from  $o_2$  to  $o_1$ ,  $n$  be a morphism from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , and  $n_1$  be a morphism from  $p_2$  to  $p_1$  such that  $p_1 = o_1$  and  $p_2 = o_2$  and  $m = n$  and  $m_1 = n_1$  and  $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle p_2, p_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . Then
- (i)  $m$  is left inverse of  $m_1$  iff  $n$  is left inverse of  $n_1$ , and
  - (ii)  $m$  is right inverse of  $m_1$  iff  $n$  is right inverse of  $n_1$ .
- (39) Let  $D$  be a full non empty subcategory of  $C$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $C$ ,  $p_1, p_2$  be objects of  $D$ ,  $m$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ , and  $n$  be a morphism from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  such that  $p_1 = o_1$  and  $p_2 = o_2$  and  $m = n$  and  $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle p_2, p_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . Then
- (i) if  $m$  is retraction, then  $n$  is retraction,
  - (ii) if  $m$  is coretraction, then  $n$  is coretraction, and
  - (iii) if  $m$  is iso, then  $n$  is iso.
- (40) Let  $D$  be a non empty subcategory of  $C$ ,  $o_1, o_2$  be objects of  $C$ ,  $p_1, p_2$  be objects of  $D$ ,  $m$  be a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ , and  $n$  be a morphism from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  such that  $p_1 = o_1$  and  $p_2 = o_2$  and  $m = n$  and  $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle p_2, p_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . Then
- (i) if  $n$  is retraction, then  $m$  is retraction,
  - (ii) if  $n$  is coretraction, then  $m$  is coretraction, and
  - (iii) if  $n$  is iso, then  $m$  is iso.

Let  $C$  be a category. The functor  $\text{AllMono } C$  yields a strict non empty transitive substructure of  $C$  and is defined by the conditions (Def. 1).

- (Def. 1)(i) The carrier of  $\text{AllMono } C =$  the carrier of  $C$ ,
- (ii) the arrows of  $\text{AllMono } C \subseteq$  the arrows of  $C$ , and
  - (iii) for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  holds  $m \in$  (the arrows of  $\text{AllMono } C$ )( $o_1, o_2$ ) iff  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $m$  is mono.

Let  $C$  be a category. Note that  $\text{AllMono } C$  is id-inheriting.

Let  $C$  be a category. The functor  $\text{AllEpi } C$  yields a strict non empty transitive substructure of  $C$  and is defined by the conditions (Def. 2).

- (Def. 2)(i) The carrier of  $\text{AllEpi } C =$  the carrier of  $C$ ,
- (ii) the arrows of  $\text{AllEpi } C \subseteq$  the arrows of  $C$ , and
  - (iii) for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  holds  $m \in$  (the arrows of  $\text{AllEpi } C$ )( $o_1, o_2$ ) iff  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $m$  is epi.

Let  $C$  be a category. Observe that  $\text{AllEpi } C$  is id-inheriting.

Let  $C$  be a category. The functor  $\text{AllRetr } C$  yielding a strict non empty transitive substructure of  $C$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 3).

- (Def. 3)(i) The carrier of  $\text{AllRetr } C =$  the carrier of  $C$ ,  
(ii) the arrows of  $\text{AllRetr } C \subseteq$  the arrows of  $C$ , and  
(iii) for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  holds  $m \in$  (the arrows of  $\text{AllRetr } C$ )( $o_1, o_2$ ) iff  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $m$  is retraction.

Let  $C$  be a category. One can check that  $\text{AllRetr } C$  is id-inheriting.

Let  $C$  be a category. The functor  $\text{AllCoretr } C$  yielding a strict non empty transitive substructure of  $C$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

- (Def. 4)(i) The carrier of  $\text{AllCoretr } C =$  the carrier of  $C$ ,  
(ii) the arrows of  $\text{AllCoretr } C \subseteq$  the arrows of  $C$ , and  
(iii) for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  holds  $m \in$  (the arrows of  $\text{AllCoretr } C$ )( $o_1, o_2$ ) iff  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $m$  is coretraction.

Let  $C$  be a category. One can verify that  $\text{AllCoretr } C$  is id-inheriting.

Let  $C$  be a category. The functor  $\text{AllIso } C$  yields a strict non empty transitive substructure of  $C$  and is defined by the conditions (Def. 5).

- (Def. 5)(i) The carrier of  $\text{AllIso } C =$  the carrier of  $C$ ,  
(ii) the arrows of  $\text{AllIso } C \subseteq$  the arrows of  $C$ , and  
(iii) for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  holds  $m \in$  (the arrows of  $\text{AllIso } C$ )( $o_1, o_2$ ) iff  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and  $m$  is iso.

Let  $C$  be a category. Note that  $\text{AllIso } C$  is id-inheriting.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (41)  $\text{AllIso } C$  is a non empty subcategory of  $\text{AllRetr } C$ .  
(42)  $\text{AllIso } C$  is a non empty subcategory of  $\text{AllCoretr } C$ .  
(43)  $\text{AllCoretr } C$  is a non empty subcategory of  $\text{AllMono } C$ .  
(44)  $\text{AllRetr } C$  is a non empty subcategory of  $\text{AllEpi } C$ .  
(45) If for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  holds every morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  is mono, then the category structure of  $C = \text{AllMono } C$ .  
(46) If for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  holds every morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  is epi, then the category structure of  $C = \text{AllEpi } C$ .  
(47) Suppose that for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  holds  $m$  is retraction and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . Then the category structure of  $C = \text{AllRetr } C$ .  
(48) Suppose that for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  holds  $m$  is coretraction and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . Then the category structure of  $C = \text{AllCoretr } C$ .

- (49) Suppose that for all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  holds  $m$  is iso and  $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . Then the category structure of  $C = \text{AllIso } C$ .
- (50) For all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $\text{AllMono } C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  such that  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  holds  $m$  is mono.
- (51) For all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $\text{AllEpi } C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  such that  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  holds  $m$  is epi.
- (52) For all objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $\text{AllIso } C$  and for every morphism  $m$  from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$  such that  $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$  holds  $m$  is iso and  $m^{-1} \in \langle o_2, o_1 \rangle$ .
- (53)  $\text{AllMono AllMono } C = \text{AllMono } C$ .
- (54)  $\text{AllEpi AllEpi } C = \text{AllEpi } C$ .
- (55)  $\text{AllIso AllIso } C = \text{AllIso } C$ .
- (56)  $\text{AllIso AllMono } C = \text{AllIso } C$ .
- (57)  $\text{AllIso AllEpi } C = \text{AllIso } C$ .
- (58)  $\text{AllIso AllRetr } C = \text{AllIso } C$ .
- (59)  $\text{AllIso AllCoretr } C = \text{AllIso } C$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [5] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):61–65, 1996.
- [6] Beata Madras. Basic properties of objects and morphisms. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):329–334, 1997.
- [7] Michał Muzalewski and Wojciech Skaba. Three-argument operations and four-argument operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):221–224, 1991.
- [8] Yozo Toda. The formalization of simple graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):137–144, 1996.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Categories without uniqueness of **cod** and **dom**. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):259–267, 1996.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Examples of category structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):493–500, 1996.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Functors for alternative categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):595–608, 1996.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):37–42, 1996.
- [16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received October 3, 1997



# The loop and Times Macroinstruction for $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$

Noriko Asamoto  
Ochanomizu University  
Tokyo

**Summary.** We implement two macroinstructions `loop` and `Times` which iterate macroinstructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . In a `loop` macroinstruction it jumps to the head when the original macroinstruction stops, in a `Times` macroinstruction it behaves as if the original macroinstruction repeats  $n$  times.

MML Identifier: `SCMFSA8C`.

The articles [22], [29], [16], [8], [12], [30], [13], [14], [11], [7], [9], [28], [15], [17], [23], [20], [21], [27], [24], [25], [1], [10], [19], [26], [5], [6], [4], [2], [3], and [18] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and let  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . We say that  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  if and only if the condition (Def. 1) is satisfied.

(Def. 1) There exists a natural number  $k$  such that

$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)} = \text{insloc}(\text{card ProgramPart}(P))$   
and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n < k$  holds

$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } P$ .

Let  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . We say that  $P$  is pseudo-paraclosed if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .

Let us note that there exists a macro instruction which is pseudo-paraclosed.

Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and let  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Let us assume that  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .

The functor  $\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, P)$  yielding a natural number is defined as follows:

(Def. 3)  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{pseudo}-\text{LifeSpan}(s,P))} = \text{insloc}(\text{card ProgramPart}(P))$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \notin \text{dom } P$  holds  $\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, P) \leq n$ .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (1) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n < \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, P)$ , then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } P$  and  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)) \neq \mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (2) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $P$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose  $P$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \leq k$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(P+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } P$ . Then  $k < \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, P)$ .
- (3) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I, J$  be macro instructions. Suppose  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose  $k \leq \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, I)$ . Then  $(\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s+((I;J)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (4) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ , then  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .
- (5) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ , then  $\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I)) = \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 1$ .
- (6) For every function  $f$  and for every set  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f+(x \mapsto f(x)) = f$ .
- (7) For every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $l + 0 = l$ .
- (8) For every instruction  $i$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{IncAddr}(i, 0) = i$ .
- (9) For every programmed finite partial state  $P$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(P, 0)) = P$ .
- (10) For all finite partial states  $P, Q$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$  holds  $\text{ProgramPart}(P) \subseteq \text{ProgramPart}(Q)$ .
- (11) For all programmed finite partial states  $P, Q$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every natural number  $k$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$  holds  $\text{Shift}(P, k) \subseteq \text{Shift}(Q, k)$ .

- (12) For all finite partial states  $P, Q$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every natural number  $k$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$  holds  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(P, k)) \subseteq \text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(Q, k))$ .
- (13) Let  $I, J$  be macro instructions and  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose  $\text{card } I \leq k$  and  $k < \text{card } I + \text{card } J$ . Let  $i$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . If  $i = J(\text{insloc}(k -' \text{card } I))$ , then  $(I; J)(\text{insloc}(k)) = \text{IncAddr}(i, \text{card } I)$ .
- (14) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $\mathbf{IC}_s = \text{insloc}(0)$  holds  $\text{Initialize}(s) = s$ .
- (15) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Initialize}(\text{Initialize}(s)) = \text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (16) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $s + \cdot (\text{Initialized}(I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))) = \text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$ .
- (17) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{IExec}(I, s) = \text{IExec}(I, \text{Initialize}(s))$ .
- (18) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  such that  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  holds  $s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))) = s + \cdot \text{Initialized}(I)$ .
- (19) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq \text{Initialized}(I)$ .
- (20) For every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $l \in \text{dom } I$  iff  $l \in \text{dom } \text{Initialized}(I)$ .
- (21) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is closed on  $s$  iff  $I$  is closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (22) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is halting on  $s$  iff  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (23) For every macro instruction  $I$  such that for every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is halting.
- (24) For every macro instruction  $I$  such that for every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is halting on  $s$  holds  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is halting.
- (25) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Initialized}(I)) = I$ .
- (26) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $l$  be an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $x$  be a set. If  $x \in \text{dom } I$ , then  $I(x) = (s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(l)))(x)$ .
- (27) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  holds  $\text{Initialize}(s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (28) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be an integer location, and  $l$  be an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Then  $(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(l)))(a) = s(a)$ .

- (29) For every programmed finite partial state  $I$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \in \text{dom}(I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(l))$ .
- (30) For every programmed finite partial state  $I$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $(I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(l))(\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) = l$ .
- (31) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $P$  be a finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $l$  be an instruction-location of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{s+(P+\cdot \text{Start-At}(l))} = l$ .
- (32) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every instruction  $i$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $\text{InsCode}(i) \in \{0, 6, 7, 8\}$  holds  $\text{Exec}(i, s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (33) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose that
- (i)  $s_1(\text{intloc}(0)) = s_2(\text{intloc}(0))$ ,
  - (ii) for every read-write integer location  $a$  holds  $s_1(a) = s_2(a)$ , and
  - (iii) for every finite sequence location  $f$  holds  $s_1(f) = s_2(f)$ .
- Then  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (34) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every programmed finite partial state  $P$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $(s+\cdot P) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (35) For all states  $s, s_3$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $(s+\cdot s_3 \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (36) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Initialize}(s) \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}} = s \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (37) Let  $s, s_3$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Then  $(s_3+\cdot s \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_3 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (38) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{IExec}(\text{Stop}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s) = \text{Initialize}(s)+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))$ .
- (39) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  such that  $I$  is closed on  $s$  holds  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } I$ .
- (40) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every paraclosed macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } I$ .
- (41) For every instruction  $i$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{rng Macro}(i) = \{i, \mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}\}$ .
- (42) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. Suppose  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(I, n)) \subseteq s_2$  and  $\mathbf{IC}_{(s_2)} = \text{insloc}(n)$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Let  $i$  be a natural number. Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)} + n = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)}$  and

- $\text{IncAddr}(\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)), n) =$   
 $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_2))(i))$  and  
 $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) =$   
 $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}).$
- (43) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_2$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Let  $i$  be a natural number. Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)}$  and  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_2))(i))$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (44) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$  and  $I+\cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_2$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Then  $\text{LifeSpan}(s_1) = \text{LifeSpan}(s_2)$ .
- (45) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose that
- (i)  $s_1(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ ,
  - (ii)  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$ ,
  - (iii) for every read-write integer location  $a$  holds  $s_1(a) = s_2(a)$ , and
  - (iv) for every finite sequence location  $f$  holds  $s_1(f) = s_2(f)$ .
- Then  $\text{IExec}(I, s_1) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(I, s_2) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (46) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $s_1(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Then  $\text{IExec}(I, s_1) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(I, s_2) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- Let  $I$  be a macro instruction. Observe that  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is initial.
- One can prove the following propositions:
- (47) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Then  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  if and only if  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (48) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  such that  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  holds  $\text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s, \text{Initialized}(I)) = \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s), I)$ .
- (49) For every state  $s$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  such that  $\text{Initialized}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  holds  $\text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s, \text{Initialized}(I)) = \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s), I)$ .

- (50) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be an initial finite partial state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$  and  $\text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s, I) = \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))), I)$ .
- (51) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$  and  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s_1$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. Suppose  $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(I, n)) \subseteq s_2$  and  $\mathbf{IC}_{(s_2)} = \text{insloc}(n)$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . Then
- (i) for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i < \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s_1, I)$  holds  $\text{IncAddr}(\text{CurInstr}(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i), n) = \text{CurInstr}(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)$ , and
  - (ii) for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \leq \text{pseudo-LifeSpan}(s_1, I)$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i) + n} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)}$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (52) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ . If  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s_1$ , then  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s_2$ .
- (53) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ . Then  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  if and only if  $I$  is pseudo-closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ .
- (54) Let  $a$  be an integer location and  $I, J$  be macro instructions. Then  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } if = 0(a, I, J)$  and  $\text{insloc}(1) \in \text{dom } if = 0(a, I, J)$  and  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } if > 0(a, I, J)$  and  $\text{insloc}(1) \in \text{dom } if > 0(a, I, J)$ .
- (55) Let  $a$  be an integer location and  $I, J$  be macro instructions. Then  $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(0)) = \mathbf{if } a = 0 \mathbf{goto } \text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 3)$  and  $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(1)) = \mathbf{goto } \text{insloc}(2)$  and  $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(0)) = \mathbf{if } a > 0 \mathbf{goto } \text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 3)$  and  $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(1)) = \mathbf{goto } \text{insloc}(2)$ .
- (56) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n < \text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3$ , then  $\text{insloc}(n) \in \text{dom } if = 0(a, I, J)$  and  $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(n)) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (57) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n < \text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3$ , then  $\text{insloc}(n) \in \text{dom } if > 0(a, I, J)$  and  $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(n)) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (58) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then
- (i)  $I; \text{Stop}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$  is closed on  $s$ ,
  - (ii)  $I; \text{Stop}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$  is halting on  $s$ ,

- (iii)  $\text{LifeSpan}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I)),$
- (iv) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n < \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I))$  holds  
 $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(n))} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(n))},$  and
- (v) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \leq \text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I))$  holds  
 $(\text{Computation}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(n)\upharpoonright D = (\text{Computation}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(n)\upharpoonright D.$
- (59) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ , then  
 $\text{Result}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))\upharpoonright D = (\text{Computation}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I))\upharpoonright D.$
- (60) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ , then  
 $\text{IExec}(I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s)\upharpoonright D = (\text{Computation}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(\text{pseudo} - \text{LifeSpan}(s, \text{Directed}(I))\upharpoonright D.$
- (61) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  
 $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3)) = \mathbf{halt}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}.$
- (62) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  
 $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3)) = \mathbf{halt}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}.$
- (63) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  
 $(if = 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 2)) = \text{goto insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3).$
- (64) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  
 $(if > 0(a, I, J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 2)) = \text{goto insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3).$
- (65) For every macro instruction  $J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  
 $(if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), J))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 3)) = \text{goto insloc}(\text{card } J + 5).$
- (66) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(a) = 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  
 $\text{LifeSpan}(s+\cdot(if = 0(a, I, J)+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s+\cdot((I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 1.$
- (67) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) = 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  
 $\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s)\upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(I;\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s)\upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}).$

- (68) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(a) > 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (if > 0(a, I, J) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot ((I; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 1$ .
- (69) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) > 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(I; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (70) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(a) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (if = 0(a, I, J) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot ((J; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 3$ .
- (71) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(J; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (72) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(a) \leq 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (if > 0(a, I, J) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot ((J; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 3$ .
- (73) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) \leq 0$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(J; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (74) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$ .
- (75) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I, J$  be macro instructions, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $\text{Directed}(I)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$  and  $\text{Directed}(J)$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ . Then  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is halting on  $s$ .
- (76) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $\text{Directed}(I)$  does not destroy  $a$ .

- (77) Let  $i$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $i$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $\text{Macro}(i)$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (78) For every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$  does not refer  $a$ .
- (79) For all integer locations  $a, b, c$  such that  $a \neq b$  holds  $\text{AddTo}(c, b)$  does not refer  $a$ .
- (80) Let  $i$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $i$  does not refer  $a$ , then  $\text{Macro}(i)$  does not refer  $a$ .
- (81) Let  $I, J$  be macro instructions and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $J$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $I;J$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (82) Let  $J$  be a macro instruction,  $i$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $i$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $J$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $i;J$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (83) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $j$  be an instruction of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $j$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $I;j$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (84) Let  $i, j$  be instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $i$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $j$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $i;j$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (85) For every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{Stop}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (86) For every integer location  $a$  and for every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds  $\text{Goto}(l)$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (87) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ . Then
- (i) for every read-write integer location  $a$  holds  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) = (\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(a)$ , and
  - (ii) for every finite sequence location  $f$  holds  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(f) = (\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(f)$ .
- (88) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) = (\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(a)$ .
- (89) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be an integer location, and  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  and halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  and  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) = (\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(a)$ .
- (90) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction,  $a$  be an integer location, and  $k$  be a natural number. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ ,

then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) =$   
 $(\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(a).$

- (91) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(a) = (\text{Initialize}(s))(a).$
- (92) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a keeping 0 macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ . Then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and for every natural number  $k$  holds  $(\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1.$
- (93) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. If  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)} \in \text{dom } I$ , then  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k + 1)(a) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(a).$
- (94) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $a$  be an integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ . Let  $m$  be a natural number. Suppose that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n < m$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } I$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n \leq m$ , then  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)(a) = s(a).$
- (95) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good macro instruction, and  $m$  be a natural number. Suppose that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n < m$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)} \in \text{dom } I$ . Let  $n$  be a natural number. If  $n \leq m$ , then  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)(\text{intloc}(0)) = s(\text{intloc}(0)).$
- (96) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a good macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is halting on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$  and closed on  $\text{Initialize}(s)$ . Then  $(\text{IExec}(I, s))(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and for every natural number  $k$  holds  $(\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1.$
- (97) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a good macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. Then  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = s(\text{intloc}(0)).$
- (98) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a keeping 0 parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ . Then  $(\text{Computation}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot ((I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(\text{Initialize}(s) + \cdot ((I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(a) = s(a) - 1.$
- (99) For every instruction  $i$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $i$  does not destroy  $\text{intloc}(0)$  holds  $\text{Macro}(i)$  is good.

- (100) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright D = s_2 \upharpoonright D$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. Then
- (i)  $(\text{Computation}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)) = \text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k))$ .
- (101) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright D = s_2 \upharpoonright D$ . Then  $\text{LifeSpan}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{LifeSpan}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$  and  $\text{Result}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$  and  $\text{Result}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (102) Let  $N$  be a non empty set with non empty elements,  $S$  be a steady-programmed von Neumann definite AMI over  $N$ , and  $s$  be a state of  $S$ . Suppose  $s$  is halting. Then there exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $s$  halts at  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)}$ .
- (103) Let  $s_1, s_2$  be states of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose that
- (i)  $I$  is closed on  $s_1$  and halting on  $s_1$ ,
  - (ii)  $I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$ ,
  - (iii)  $I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_2$ , and
  - (iv) there exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(k)$  and  $s_2$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .  
Then  $\text{Result}(s_1)$  and  $\text{Result}(s_2)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .

## 2. THE loop MACROINSTRUCTION

Let  $I$  be a macro instruction and let  $k$  be a natural number. One can verify that  $\text{IncAddr}(I, k)$  is initial and programmed.

Let  $I$  be a macro instruction. The functor  $\text{loop } I$  yields a halt-free macro instruction and is defined by:

(Def. 4)  $\text{loop } I = (\text{id}_{\text{the instructions of } \mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} + \cdot (\mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \mapsto \text{goto insloc}(0))) \cdot I$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (104) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{loop } I = \text{Directed}(I, \text{insloc}(0))$ .
- (105) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction and  $a$  be an integer location. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $\text{loop } I$  does not destroy  $a$ .

Let  $I$  be a good macro instruction. One can verify that  $\text{loop } I$  is good.

The following propositions are true:

- (106) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\text{dom } \text{loop } I = \text{dom } I$ .
- (107) For every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $\mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \notin \text{rng } \text{loop } I$ .
- (108) For every macro instruction  $I$  and for every set  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } I$  holds if  $I(x) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ , then  $(\text{loop } I)(x) = I(x)$ .
- (109) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ . Let  $m$  be a natural number. Suppose  $m \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$ . Then  $(\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m)$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s+(\text{loop } I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (110) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ . Let  $m$  be a natural number. If  $m < \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$ , then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m)) = \text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(\text{loop } I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m))$ .
- (111) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ . Let  $m$  be a natural number. If  $m \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$ , then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(\text{loop } I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(m)) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (112) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a macro instruction. If  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ , then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(\text{loop } I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))) = \text{goto } \text{insloc}(0)$ .
- (113) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a paraclosed macro instruction. Suppose  $I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s$  and  $s$  is halting. Let  $m$  be a natural number. Suppose  $m \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s)$ . Then  $(\text{Computation}(s))(m)$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s+\text{loop } I))(m)$  are equal outside the instruction locations of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ .
- (114) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction. Suppose  $\text{Initialized}(I) \subseteq s$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number. If  $k \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s)$ , then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+\text{loop } I))(k)) \neq \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .

### 3. THE Times MACROINSTRUCTION

Let  $a$  be an integer location and let  $I$  be a macro instruction. The functor  $\text{Times}(a, I)$  yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

(Def. 5)  $\text{Times}(a, I) = if > 0(a, \text{loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))), \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (115) For every good macro instruction  $I$  and for every read-write integer location  $a$  holds  $if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$  is good.
- (116) For all macro instructions  $I, J$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $(if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))))$   
 $(\text{insloc}(\text{card}(I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))) + 3)) = \text{goto}$   
 $\text{insloc}(\text{card}(I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))) + 5)$ .
- (117) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) > 0$ . Then  $\text{loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .
- (118) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(a) > 0$ . Then  $\text{Initialized}(\text{loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0))))$  is pseudo-closed on  $s$ .
- (119) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ . Then  $\text{Times}(a, I)$  is closed on  $s$  and  $\text{Times}(a, I)$  is halting on  $s$ .
- (120) Let  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. If  $I$  does not destroy  $a$ , then  $\text{Initialized}(\text{Times}(a, I))$  is halting.
- (121) Let  $I, J$  be macro instructions and  $a, c$  be integer locations. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $c$  and  $J$  does not destroy  $c$ . Then  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  does not destroy  $c$  and  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  does not destroy  $c$ .
- (122) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) > 0$ . Then there exists a state  $s_2$  of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and there exists a natural number  $k$  such that
- (i)  $s_2 = s + \cdot (\text{loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$   
 $+ \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$ ,
  - (ii)  $k = \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$   
 $+ \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 1$ ,
  - (iii)  $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)(a) = s(a) - 1$ ,
  - (iv)  $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$ ,
  - (v) for every read-write integer location  $b$  such that  $b \neq a$  holds  
 $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)(b) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(b)$ ,

- (vi) for every finite sequence location  $f$  holds  $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)(f) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(f)$ ,
  - (vii)  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(k)} = \text{insloc}(0)$ , and
  - (viii) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \leq k$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(n)} \in \text{dom loop } if = 0(a, \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(2)), I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)))$ .
- (123) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. If  $s(\text{intloc}(0)) = 1$  and  $s(a) \leq 0$ , then  $\text{IExec}(\text{Times}(a, I), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (124) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a good parahalting macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  does not destroy  $a$  and  $s(a) > 0$ . Then  $(\text{IExec}(I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)), s))(a) = s(a) - 1$  and  $\text{IExec}(\text{Times}(a, I), s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(\text{Times}(a, I), \text{IExec}(I; \text{SubFrom}(a, \text{intloc}(0)), s)) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .

#### 4. AN EXAMPLE

One can prove the following proposition

- (125) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and  $a, b, c$  be read-write integer locations. If  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$  and  $b \neq c$  and  $s(a) \geq 0$ , then  $(\text{IExec}(\text{Times}(a, \text{Macro}(\text{AddTo}(b, c))), s))(b) = s(b) + s(c) \cdot s(a)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Noriko Asamoto. Some multi instructions defined by sequence of instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):615–619, 1996.
- [2] Noriko Asamoto. Conditional branch macro instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):65–72, 1997.
- [3] Noriko Asamoto. Conditional branch macro instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):73–80, 1997.
- [4] Noriko Asamoto. Constant assignment macro instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):59–63, 1997.
- [5] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):41–47, 1997.
- [6] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part III. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):53–57, 1997.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Development of terminology for **scm**. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):61–67, 1993.

- [11] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):701–709, 1991.
- [16] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [17] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [18] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [19] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Memory handling for  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):29–36, 1997.
- [20] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):1–8, 1996.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Modifying addresses of instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):571–576, 1996.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Relocability for  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):583–586, 1996.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Noriko Asamoto. On the compositions of macro instructions. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):21–27, 1997.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):519–528, 1996.
- [28] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [29] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [30] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received October 29, 1997

---



# Algebraic and Arithmetic Lattices. Part II<sup>1</sup>

Robert Milewski  
University of Białystok

**Summary.** The article is a translation of [13, pp. 89–92]

MML Identifier: WAYBEL15.

The articles [21], [22], [1], [8], [9], [12], [20], [19], [18], [3], [11], [17], [2], [4], [14], [24], [6], [5], [10], [7], [23], [15], and [16] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

The following propositions are true:

- (1) Let  $R$  be a relational structure and  $S$  be a full relational substructure of  $R$ . Then every full relational substructure of  $S$  is a full relational substructure of  $R$ .
- (2) Let  $X, Y, Z$  be non empty 1-sorted structures,  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $Y$  into  $Z$ . If  $f$  is onto and  $g$  is onto, then  $g \cdot f$  is onto.
- (3) For every non empty 1-sorted structure  $X$  and for every subset  $Y$  of the carrier of  $X$  holds  $(\text{id}_X)^\circ Y = Y$ .
- (4) For every set  $X$  and for every element  $a$  of  $2_{\subseteq}^X$  holds  $\uparrow a = \{Y; Y \text{ ranges over subsets of } X: a \subseteq Y\}$ .
- (5) Let  $L$  be an upper-bounded non empty antisymmetric relational structure and  $a$  be an element of  $L$ . If  $\top_L \leq a$ , then  $a = \top_L$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

- (6) Let  $S, T$  be non empty posets,  $g$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and  $d$  be a map from  $T$  into  $S$ . If  $g$  is onto and  $\langle g, d \rangle$  is Galois, then  $T$  and  $\text{Im } d$  are isomorphic.
- (7) Let  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  be non empty posets,  $g_1$  be a map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$ ,  $g_2$  be a map from  $L_2$  into  $L_3$ ,  $d_1$  be a map from  $L_2$  into  $L_1$ , and  $d_2$  be a map from  $L_3$  into  $L_2$ . If  $\langle g_1, d_1 \rangle$  is Galois and  $\langle g_2, d_2 \rangle$  is Galois, then  $\langle g_2 \cdot g_1, d_1 \cdot d_2 \rangle$  is Galois.
- (8) Let  $L_1, L_2$  be non empty posets,  $f$  be a map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$ , and  $f_1$  be a map from  $L_2$  into  $L_1$ . Suppose  $f_1 = (f \text{ qua function})^{-1}$  and  $f$  is isomorphic. Then  $\langle f, f_1 \rangle$  is Galois and  $\langle f_1, f \rangle$  is Galois.
- (9) For every set  $X$  holds  $2_{\underline{C}}^X$  is arithmetic.

Next we state four propositions:

- (10) Let  $L_1, L_2$  be up-complete non empty posets and  $f$  be a map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$ . If  $f$  is isomorphic, then for every element  $x$  of  $L_1$  holds  $f^\circ \downarrow x = \downarrow f(x)$ .
- (11) For all non empty posets  $L_1, L_2$  such that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are isomorphic and  $L_1$  is continuous holds  $L_2$  is continuous.
- (12) Let  $L_1, L_2$  be lattices. Suppose  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are isomorphic and  $L_1$  is lower-bounded and arithmetic. Then  $L_2$  is arithmetic.
- (13) Let  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  be non empty posets,  $f$  be a map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $L_2$  into  $L_3$ . Suppose  $f$  is directed-sups-preserving and  $g$  is directed-sups-preserving. Then  $g \cdot f$  is directed-sups-preserving.

## 2. MAPS PRESERVING SUP'S AND INF'S

One can prove the following propositions:

- (14) Let  $L_1, L_2$  be non empty relational structures,  $f$  be a map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$ , and  $X$  be a subset of  $\text{Im } f$ . Then  $(f_\circ)^\circ X = X$ .
- (15) Let  $X$  be a set and  $c$  be a map from  $2_{\underline{C}}^X$  into  $2_{\underline{C}}^X$ . Suppose  $c$  is idempotent and directed-sups-preserving. Then  $c_\circ$  is directed-sups-preserving.
- (16) Let  $L$  be a continuous complete lattice and  $p$  be a kernel map from  $L$  into  $L$ . If  $p$  is directed-sups-preserving, then  $\text{Im } p$  is a continuous lattice.
- (17) Let  $L$  be a continuous complete lattice and  $p$  be a projection map from  $L$  into  $L$ . If  $p$  is directed-sups-preserving, then  $\text{Im } p$  is a continuous lattice.
- (18) Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded lattice. Then  $L$  is continuous if and only if there exists an arithmetic lower-bounded lattice  $A$  such that there exists a map from  $A$  into  $L$  which is onto, infs-preserving, and directed-sups-preserving.
- (19) Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded lattice. Then  $L$  is continuous if and only if there exists an algebraic lower-bounded lattice  $A$  such that there exists

a map from  $A$  into  $L$  which is onto, infs-preserving, and directed-sups-preserving.

- (20) Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded lattice. Then  $L$  is continuous if and only if there exists a set  $X$  and there exists a projection map  $p$  from  $2_{\subseteq}^X$  into  $2_{\subseteq}^L$  such that  $p$  is directed-sups-preserving and  $L$  and  $\text{Im } p$  are isomorphic.

### 3. ATOMS ELEMENTS

Next we state two propositions:

- (21) For every non empty relational structure  $L$  and for every element  $x$  of  $L$  holds  $x \in \text{PRIME}(L^{\text{op}})$  iff  $x$  is co-prime.
- (22) Let  $L$  be a sup-semilattice and  $a$  be an element of  $L$ . Then  $a$  is co-prime if and only if for all elements  $x, y$  of  $L$  such that  $a \leq x \sqcup y$  holds  $a \leq x$  or  $a \leq y$ .

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $a$  be an element of  $L$ . We say that  $a$  is an atom if and only if:

- (Def. 1)  $\perp_L < a$  and for every element  $b$  of  $L$  such that  $\perp_L < b$  and  $b \leq a$  holds  $b = a$ .

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure. The functor  $\text{ATOM}(L)$  yielding a subset of  $L$  is defined by:

- (Def. 2) For every element  $x$  of  $L$  holds  $x \in \text{ATOM}(L)$  iff  $x$  is atom.

The following proposition is true

- (23) For every Boolean lattice  $L$  and for every element  $a$  of  $L$  holds  $a$  is atom iff  $a$  is co-prime and  $a \neq \perp_L$ .

Let  $L$  be a Boolean lattice. Observe that every element of  $L$  which is atom is also co-prime.

Next we state several propositions:

- (24) For every Boolean lattice  $L$  holds  $\text{ATOM}(L) = \text{PRIME}(L^{\text{op}}) \setminus \{\perp_L\}$ .
- (25) For every Boolean lattice  $L$  and for all elements  $x, a$  of  $L$  such that  $a$  is atom holds  $a \leq x$  iff  $a \not\leq \neg x$ .
- (26) Let  $L$  be a complete Boolean lattice,  $X$  be a subset of  $L$ , and  $x$  be an element of  $L$ . Then  $x \sqcap \sup X = \bigsqcup_L \{x \sqcap y; y \text{ ranges over elements of } L: y \in X\}$ .
- (27) Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded antisymmetric non empty relational structure with g.l.b.'s and  $x, y$  be elements of  $L$ . If  $x$  is atom and  $y$  is atom and  $x \neq y$ , then  $x \sqcap y = \perp_L$ .
- (28) Let  $L$  be a complete Boolean lattice,  $x$  be an element of  $L$ , and  $A$  be a subset of  $L$ . If  $A \subseteq \text{ATOM}(L)$ , then  $x \in A$  iff  $x$  is atom and  $x \leq \sup A$ .

- (29) Let  $L$  be a complete Boolean lattice and  $X, Y$  be subsets of  $L$ . If  $X \subseteq \text{ATOM}(L)$  and  $Y \subseteq \text{ATOM}(L)$ , then  $X \subseteq Y$  iff  $\sup X \leq \sup Y$ .

#### 4. MORE ON THE BOOLEAN LATTICE

One can prove the following propositions:

- (30) For every Boolean lattice  $L$  holds  $L$  is arithmetic iff there exists a set  $X$  such that  $L$  and  $2_{\subseteq}^X$  are isomorphic.
- (31) For every Boolean lattice  $L$  holds  $L$  is arithmetic iff  $L$  is algebraic.
- (32) For every Boolean lattice  $L$  holds  $L$  is arithmetic iff  $L$  is continuous.
- (33) For every Boolean lattice  $L$  holds  $L$  is arithmetic iff  $L$  is continuous and  $L^{\text{op}}$  is continuous.
- (34) For every Boolean lattice  $L$  holds  $L$  is arithmetic iff  $L$  is completely-distributive.
- (35) Let  $L$  be a Boolean lattice. Then  $L$  is arithmetic if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
- (i)  $L$  is complete, and
  - (ii) for every element  $x$  of  $L$  there exists a subset  $X$  of  $L$  such that  $X \subseteq \text{ATOM}(L)$  and  $x = \sup X$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The well ordering relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):123–129, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Quantales. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):85–91, 1996.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Duality in relation structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):227–232, 1997.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):131–143, 1997.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [13] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
- [14] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):117–121, 1997.
- [15] Beata Madras. Irreducible and prime elements. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):233–239, 1997.
- [16] Robert Milewski. Algebraic lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):249–254, 1997.

- [17] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):563–571, 1991.
- [18] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [19] Yozo Toda. The formalization of simple graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):137–144, 1996.
- [20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [23] Mariusz Żynel. The equational characterization of continuous lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):199–205, 1997.
- [24] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):123–130, 1997.

*Received October 29, 1997*

---



# Projections in n-Dimensional Euclidean Space to Each Coordinates

Roman Matuszewski<sup>1</sup>  
University of Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** In the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ , a projection operator to each coordinate is defined. It is proven that such an operator is linear. Moreover, it is continuous as a mapping from  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  to  $R^1$ , the carrier of which is a set of all reals. If  $n$  is 1, the projection becomes a homeomorphism, which means that  $\mathcal{E}_T^1$  is homeomorphic to  $R^1$ .

MML Identifier: JORDAN2B.

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [30], [35], [34], [20], [1], [37], [33], [27], [12], [29], [11], [26], [23], [36], [2], [8], [9], [5], [32], [3], [18], [17], [25], [15], [10], [14], [31], [16], [19], [22], [7], [24], [13], [21], [4], [6], and [28].

## 1. PROJECTIONS

For simplicity, we use the following convention:  $a, b, s, s_1, r, r_1, r_2$  denote real numbers,  $n, i$  denote natural numbers,  $X$  denotes a non empty topological space,  $p, p_1, p_2, q$  denote points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $P$  denotes a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ , and  $f$  denotes a map from  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$ .

Let  $n, i$  be natural numbers and let  $p$  be an element of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . The functor  $\text{Proj}(p, i)$  yielding a real number is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) For every finite sequence  $g$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $g = p$  holds  
$$\text{Proj}(p, i) = \pi_i g.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The work was done, while the author stayed at Nagano in the fall of 1996.

The following propositions are true:

- (1) For every  $i$  there exists a map  $f$  from  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that for every element  $p$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  holds  $f(p) = \text{Proj}(p, i)$ .
- (2) For every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $\underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n(i) = 0$ .
- (3) For every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $\text{Proj}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}, i) = 0$ .
- (4) For all  $r, p, i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $\text{Proj}(r \cdot p, i) = r \cdot \text{Proj}(p, i)$ .
- (5) For all  $p, i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $\text{Proj}(-p, i) = -\text{Proj}(p, i)$ .
- (6) For all  $p_1, p_2, i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $\text{Proj}(p_1 + p_2, i) = \text{Proj}(p_1, i) + \text{Proj}(p_2, i)$ .
- (7) For all  $p_1, p_2, i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $\text{Proj}(p_1 - p_2, i) = \text{Proj}(p_1, i) - \text{Proj}(p_2, i)$ .
- (8)  $\text{len} \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n = n$ .
- (9) For every  $i$  such that  $i \leq n$  holds  $\underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n \upharpoonright i = \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_i$ .
- (10) For every  $i$  holds  $\underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n \downharpoonright i = \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_{n-i}$ .
- (11) For every  $i$  holds  $\sum \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_i = 0$ .
- (12) For every finite sequence  $w$  and for all  $r, i$  holds  $\text{len}(w + \cdot (i, r)) = \text{len } w$ .
- (13) For every finite sequence  $w$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  and for all  $r, i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } \text{len } w$  holds  $w + \cdot (i, r) = (w \upharpoonright i -' 1) \wedge \langle r \rangle \wedge (w \downharpoonright i)$ .
- (14) For all  $i, r$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $\sum (\underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n + \cdot (i, r)) = r$ .
- (15) For every element  $q$  of  $\mathcal{R}^n$  and for all  $p, i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  and  $q = p$  holds  $\text{Proj}(p, i) \leq |q|$  and  $(\text{Proj}(p, i))^2 \leq |q|^2$ .

## 2. CONTINUITY OF PROJECTIONS

Next we state several propositions:

- (16) For all  $s_1, P, i$  such that  $P = \{p : s_1 > \text{Proj}(p, i)\}$  and  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $P$  is open.
- (17) For all  $s_1, P, i$  such that  $P = \{p : s_1 < \text{Proj}(p, i)\}$  and  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $P$  is open.
- (18) Let  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $a, b$  be real numbers, and given  $i$ . Suppose  $P = \{p; p \text{ ranges over elements of the carrier of } \mathcal{E}_T^n: a < \text{Proj}(p, i) \wedge \text{Proj}(p, i) < b\}$  and  $i \in \text{Seg } n$ . Then  $P$  is open.

- (19) Let  $a, b$  be real numbers,  $f$  be a map from  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , and given  $i$ . Suppose that for every element  $p$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  holds  $f(p) = \text{Proj}(p, i)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\{s : a < s \wedge s < b\}) = \{p; p \text{ ranges over elements of the carrier of } \mathcal{E}_T^n : a < \text{Proj}(p, i) \wedge \text{Proj}(p, i) < b\}$ .
- (20) Let  $M$  be a metric space and  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $M_{\text{top}}$ . Suppose that for every real number  $r$  and for every element  $u$  of the carrier of  $M$  and for every subset  $P$  of the carrier of  $M_{\text{top}}$  such that  $r > 0$  and  $P = \text{Ball}(u, r)$  holds  $f^{-1}(P)$  is open. Then  $f$  is continuous.
- (21) Let  $u$  be a point of the metric space of real numbers and  $r, u_1$  be real numbers. If  $u_1 = u$  and  $r > 0$ , then  $\text{Ball}(u, r) = \{s : u_1 - r < s \wedge s < u_1 + r\}$ .
- (22) Let  $f$  be a map from  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and given  $i$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  and for every element  $p$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  holds  $f(p) = \text{Proj}(p, i)$ . Then  $f$  is continuous.

### 3. 1-DIMENSIONAL AND 2-DIMENSIONAL CASES

The following three propositions are true:

- (23) For every  $s$  holds  $|\langle s \rangle| = \langle |s| \rangle$ .
- (24) For every element  $p$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^1$  there exists  $r$  such that  $p = \langle r \rangle$ .
- (25) For every element  $w$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}^1$  there exists  $r$  such that  $w = \langle r \rangle$ .

Let us consider  $r$ . The functor  $||r||$  yields a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^1$  and is defined by:

(Def. 2)  $||r|| = \langle r \rangle$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (26) For all  $r, s$  holds  $s \cdot ||r|| = ||s \cdot r||$ .
- (27) For all  $r_1, r_2$  holds  $||r_1 + r_2|| = ||r_1|| + ||r_2||$ .
- (28)  $||0|| = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^1}$ .
- (29) For all  $r_1, r_2$  such that  $||r_1|| = ||r_2||$  holds  $r_1 = r_2$ .
- (30) For every subset  $P$  of the carrier of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and for every real number  $b$  such that  $P = \{s : s < b\}$  holds  $P$  is open.
- (31) For every subset  $P$  of the carrier of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and for every real number  $a$  such that  $P = \{s : a < s\}$  holds  $P$  is open.
- (32) For every subset  $P$  of the carrier of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and for all real numbers  $a, b$  such that  $P = \{s : a < s \wedge s < b\}$  holds  $P$  is open.
- (33) For every point  $u$  of  $\mathcal{E}^1$  and for all real numbers  $r, u_1$  such that  $\langle u_1 \rangle = u$  and  $r > 0$  holds  $\text{Ball}(u, r) = \{\langle s \rangle : u_1 - r < s \wedge s < u_1 + r\}$ .
- (34) Let  $f$  be a map from  $\mathcal{E}_T^1$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Suppose that for every element  $p$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^1$  holds  $f(p) = \text{Proj}(p, 1)$ . Then  $f$  is a homeomorphism.

- (35) For every element  $p$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{Proj}(p, 1) = p_1$  and  $\text{Proj}(p, 2) = p_2$ .
- (36) For every element  $p$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{Proj}(p, 1) = (\text{proj1})(p)$  and  $\text{Proj}(p, 2) = (\text{proj2})(p)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [4] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations applied to finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):643–649, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Semigroup operations on finite subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):651–656, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [17] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [18] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [19] Agata Darmochwał and Andrzej Trybulec. Similarity of formulae. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):635–642, 1991.
- [20] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [21] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [22] Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):275–278, 1992.
- [23] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [24] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [25] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [26] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [27] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.

- [28] Agnieszka Sakowicz, Jarosław Gryko, and Adam Grabowski. Sequences in  $\mathcal{E}_T^N$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):93–96, 1996.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [31] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [32] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [33] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [34] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [35] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [36] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [37] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

*Received November 3, 1997*

---



# Intermediate Value Theorem and Thickness of Simple Closed Curves

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

Andrzej Trybulec  
University of Białystok

**Summary.** Various types of the intermediate value theorem ([25]) are proved. For their special cases, the Bolzano theorem is also proved. Using such a theorem, it is shown that if a curve is a simple closed curve, then it is not horizontally degenerated, neither is it vertically degenerated.

MML Identifier: TOPREAL5.

The articles [29], [33], [28], [16], [1], [27], [34], [6], [7], [4], [8], [32], [22], [35], [11], [10], [24], [2], [5], [31], [17], [3], [12], [13], [14], [15], [18], [19], [21], [26], [23], [30], [9], and [20] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. INTERMEDIATE VALUE THEOREMS AND BOLZANO THEOREM

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention:  $a, b, c, d, r_1, r_2, r_3, r, r_4, s_1, s_2$  are real numbers,  $p, q$  are points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $P$  is a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $X, Y, Z$  are non empty topological spaces.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (1) For all  $a, b, c$  holds  $c \in [a, b]$  iff  $a \leq c$  and  $c \leq b$ .
- (2) Let  $f$  be a continuous mapping from  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $g$  be a continuous mapping from  $Y$  into  $Z$ . Then  $g \cdot f$  is a continuous mapping from  $X$  into  $Z$ .
- (3) Let  $A, B$  be subsets of the carrier of  $X$ . If  $A$  is open and  $B$  is open and  $A \cap B = \emptyset_X$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are separated.

- (4) Let  $A, B_1, B_2$  be subsets of the carrier of  $X$ . Suppose  $B_1$  is open and  $B_2$  is open and  $B_1 \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B_2 \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $A \subseteq B_1 \cup B_2$  and  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ . Then  $A$  is not connected.
- (5) Let  $f$  be a continuous mapping from  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $A$  be a subset of the carrier of  $X$ . If  $A$  is connected and  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f^\circ A$  is connected.
- (6) For all  $r_1, r_2$  such that  $r_1 \leq r_2$  holds  $\Omega_{[(r_1), r_2]_{\mathbb{T}}}$  is connected.
- (7) For every subset  $A$  of the carrier of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and for every  $a$  such that  $A = \{r : a < r\}$  holds  $A$  is open.
- (8) For every subset  $A$  of the carrier of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and for every  $a$  such that  $A = \{r : a > r\}$  holds  $A$  is open.
- (9) Let  $A$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and given  $a$ . Suppose  $a \notin A$  and there exist  $b, d$  such that  $b \in A$  and  $d \in A$  and  $b < a$  and  $a < d$ . Then  $A$  is not connected.
- (10) Let  $X$  be a non empty topological space,  $x_1, x_2$  be points of  $X$ ,  $a, b, d$  be real numbers, and  $f$  be a continuous mapping from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Suppose  $X$  is connected and  $f(x_1) = a$  and  $f(x_2) = b$  and  $a \leq d$  and  $d \leq b$ . Then there exists a point  $x_3$  of  $X$  such that  $f(x_3) = d$ .
- (11) Let  $X$  be a non empty topological space,  $x_1, x_2$  be points of  $X$ ,  $B$  be a subset of the carrier of  $X$ ,  $a, b, d$  be real numbers, and  $f$  be a continuous mapping from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Suppose  $B$  is connected and  $f(x_1) = a$  and  $f(x_2) = b$  and  $a \leq d$  and  $d \leq b$  and  $x_1 \in B$  and  $x_2 \in B$ . Then there exists a point  $x_3$  of  $X$  such that  $x_3 \in B$  and  $f(x_3) = d$ .
- (12) Let given  $r_1, r_2, a, b$ . Suppose  $r_1 < r_2$ . Let  $f$  be a continuous mapping from  $[(r_1), r_2]_{\mathbb{T}}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and given  $d$ . Suppose  $f(r_1) = a$  and  $f(r_2) = b$  and  $a < d$  and  $d < b$ . Then there exists  $r_3$  such that  $f(r_3) = d$  and  $r_1 < r_3$  and  $r_3 < r_2$ .
- (13) Let given  $r_1, r_2, a, b$ . Suppose  $r_1 < r_2$ . Let  $f$  be a continuous mapping from  $[(r_1), r_2]_{\mathbb{T}}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and given  $d$ . Suppose  $f(r_1) = a$  and  $f(r_2) = b$  and  $a > d$  and  $d > b$ . Then there exists  $r_3$  such that  $f(r_3) = d$  and  $r_1 < r_3$  and  $r_3 < r_2$ .
- (14) Let  $r_1, r_2$  be real numbers,  $g$  be a continuous mapping from  $[(r_1), r_2]_{\mathbb{T}}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , and given  $s_1, s_2$ . Suppose  $r_1 < r_2$  and  $s_1 \cdot s_2 < 0$  and  $s_1 = g(r_1)$  and  $s_2 = g(r_2)$ . Then there exists  $r_4$  such that  $g(r_4) = 0$  and  $r_1 < r_4$  and  $r_4 < r_2$ .
- (15) Let  $g$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and given  $s_1, s_2$ . Suppose  $g$  is continuous and  $g(0) \neq g(1)$  and  $s_1 = g(0)$  and  $s_2 = g(1)$ . Then there exists  $r_4$  such that  $0 < r_4$  and  $r_4 < 1$  and  $g(r_4) = \frac{s_1 + s_2}{2}$ .

## 2. SIMPLE CLOSED CURVES ARE NOT FLAT

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (16) For every map  $f$  from  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that  $f = \text{proj1}$  holds  $f$  is continuous.
- (17) For every map  $f$  from  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that  $f = \text{proj2}$  holds  $f$  is continuous.
- (18) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $f$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright P$ . Suppose  $f$  is continuous. Then there exists a map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that  $g$  is continuous and for all  $r, q$  such that  $r \in$  the carrier of  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $q = f(r)$  holds  $q_1 = g(r)$ .
- (19) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $f$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \upharpoonright P$ . Suppose  $f$  is continuous. Then there exists a map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that  $g$  is continuous and for all  $r, q$  such that  $r \in$  the carrier of  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $q = f(r)$  holds  $q_2 = g(r)$ .
- (20) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is simple closed curve. Then it is not true that there exists  $r$  such that for every  $p$  such that  $p \in P$  holds  $p_2 = r$ .
- (21) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is simple closed curve. Then it is not true that there exists  $r$  such that for every  $p$  such that  $p \in P$  holds  $p_1 = r$ .
- (22) For every compact non empty subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $C$  is a simple closed curve holds  $\text{N-bound } C > \text{S-bound } C$ .
- (23) For every compact non empty subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $C$  is a simple closed curve holds  $\text{E-bound } C > \text{W-bound } C$ .
- (24) For every compact non empty subset  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $P$  is a simple closed curve holds  $\text{S-min } P \neq \text{N-max } P$ .
- (25) For every compact non empty subset  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $P$  is a simple closed curve holds  $\text{W-min } P \neq \text{E-max } P$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.

- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Simple closed curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):663–664, 1991.
- [16] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [17] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [18] Zbigniew Karno. Separated and weakly separated subspaces of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):665–674, 1991.
- [19] Zbigniew Karno. Continuity of mappings over the union of subspaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):1–16, 1992.
- [20] Roman Matuszewski and Yatsuka Nakamura. Projections in n-dimensional Euclidean space to each coordinates. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):505–509, 1997.
- [21] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):239–244, 1990.
- [22] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [23] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [24] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [25] Georgi E. Shilov, editor. *Elementary Real and Complex Analysis(English translation, translated by Richard A. Silverman)*. The MIT Press, 1973.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [31] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [32] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [33] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [34] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [35] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

*Received November 13, 1997*

---

# The Jónson's Theorem

Jarosław Gryko  
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: LATTICE5.

The papers [30], [16], [34], [36], [35], [13], [14], [6], [33], [29], [21], [26], [2], [18], [23], [3], [4], [1], [31], [28], [22], [15], [19], [24], [27], [32], [25], [20], [10], [12], [5], [17], [37], [7], [11], [8], [9], and [38] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

The scheme *RecChoice* deals with a set  $\mathcal{A}$  and a ternary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

There exists a function  $f$  such that  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{N}$  and  $f(0) = \mathcal{A}$  and for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[n, f(n), f(n+1)]$

provided the following condition is satisfied:

- For every natural number  $n$  and for every set  $x$  there exists a set  $y$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n, x, y]$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For every function  $f$  and for every function yielding function  $F$  such that  $f = \bigcup \text{rng } F$  holds  $\text{dom } f = \bigcup \text{rng}(\text{dom}_\kappa F(\kappa))$ .
- (2) For all non empty sets  $A, B$  holds  $[\bigcup A, \bigcup B] = \bigcup\{[a, b]; a \text{ ranges over elements of } A, b \text{ ranges over elements of } B: a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ .
- (3) For every non empty set  $A$  such that  $A$  is  $\subseteq$ -linear holds  $[\bigcup A, \bigcup A] = \bigcup\{[a, a]; a \text{ ranges over elements of } A: a \in A\}$ .

## 2. AN EQUIVALENCE LATTICE OF A SET

In the sequel  $X$  is a non empty set.

Let  $A$  be a non empty set. The functor  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$  yielding a poset is defined as follows:

(Def. 1)  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A) = \text{Poset}(\text{EqRelLatt}(A))$ .

Let  $A$  be a non empty set. One can check that  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$  is non empty and has g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (4) Let  $A$  be a non empty set and  $x$  be a set. Then  $x \in$  the carrier of  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$  if and only if  $x$  is an equivalence relation of  $A$ .
- (5) For every non empty set  $A$  and for all elements  $x, y$  of the carrier of  $\text{EqRelLatt}(A)$  holds  $x \sqsubseteq y$  iff  $x \subseteq y$ .
- (6) For every non empty set  $A$  and for all elements  $a, b$  of  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$  holds  $a \leq b$  iff  $a \subseteq b$ .
- (7) For every lattice  $L$  and for all elements  $a, b$  of  $\text{Poset}(L)$  holds  $a \sqcap b = 'a \cap b$ .
- (8) For every non empty set  $A$  and for all elements  $a, b$  of  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$  holds  $a \sqcap b = a \cap b$ .
- (9) For every lattice  $L$  and for all elements  $a, b$  of  $\text{Poset}(L)$  holds  $a \sqcup b = 'a \cup b$ .
- (10) Let  $A$  be a non empty set,  $a, b$  be elements of  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ , and  $E_1, E_2$  be equivalence relations of  $A$ . If  $a = E_1$  and  $b = E_2$ , then  $a \sqcup b = E_1 \cup E_2$ .
- (11) Let  $L$  be a lattice,  $X$  be a set, and  $b$  be an element of  $L$ . Then  $b \leq X$  if and only if  $b \leq X \cap$  the carrier of  $L$ .

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure. Let us observe that  $L$  is complete if and only if the condition (Def. 2) is satisfied.

(Def. 2) Let  $X$  be a subset of  $L$ . Then there exists an element  $a$  of  $L$  such that  $a \leq X$  and for every element  $b$  of  $L$  such that  $b \leq X$  holds  $b \leq a$ .

Let  $A$  be a non empty set. Note that  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$  is complete.

## 3. A TYPE OF A SUBLATTICE OF EQUIVALENCE LATTICE OF A SET

Let  $L_1, L_2$  be lattices. One can check that there exists a map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$  which is meet-preserving and join-preserving.

Let  $L_1, L_2$  be lattices. A homomorphism from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$  is a meet-preserving join-preserving map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$ .

Let  $L$  be a lattice. One can check that there exists a relational substructure of  $L$  which is meet-inheriting, join-inheriting, and strict.

Let  $L_1, L_2$  be lattices and let  $f$  be a homomorphism from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ . Then  $\text{Im } f$  is a strict full sublattice of  $L_2$ .

We follow the rules:  $e, e_1, e_2$  denote equivalence relations of  $X$  and  $x, y$  denote sets.

Let us consider  $X$ , let  $f$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of  $X$ , let us consider  $x, y$ , and let  $R$  be a binary relation. We say that  $x$  and  $y$  are joint by  $f$  and  $R$  if and only if:

(Def. 3)  $f(1) = x$  and  $f(\text{len } f) = y$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $i < \text{len } f$  holds  $\langle f(i), f(i+1) \rangle \in R$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

(12) Let  $x$  be a set,  $o$  be a natural number,  $R$  be a binary relation, and  $f$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of  $X$ . If  $R$  is reflexive in  $X$  and  $f = o \mapsto x$ , then  $x$  and  $x$  are joint by  $f$  and  $R$ .

(13) Let  $x, y, z$  be sets,  $R$  be a binary relation, and  $f, g$  be non empty finite sequences of elements of  $X$ . Suppose  $R$  is reflexive in  $X$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are joint by  $f$  and  $R$  and  $y$  and  $z$  are joint by  $g$  and  $R$ . Then there exists a non empty finite sequence  $h$  of elements of  $X$  such that  $h = f \wedge g$  and  $x$  and  $z$  are joint by  $h$  and  $R$ .

(14) Let  $x, y$  be sets,  $R$  be a binary relation, and  $n, m$  be natural numbers. Suppose that

- (i)  $n \leq m$ ,
- (ii)  $R$  is reflexive in  $X$ , and
- (iii) there exists a non empty finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $X$  such that  $\text{len } f = n$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are joint by  $f$  and  $R$ .

Then there exists a non empty finite sequence  $h$  of elements of  $X$  such that  $\text{len } h = m$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are joint by  $h$  and  $R$ .

Let us consider  $X$  and let  $Y$  be a sublattice of  $\text{EqRelPoset}(X)$ . Let us assume that there exists  $e$  such that  $e \in$  the carrier of  $Y$   $e \neq \text{id}_X$ . And let us assume that there exists a natural number  $o$  such that for all  $e_1, e_2, x, y$  such that  $e_1 \in$  the carrier of  $Y$  and  $e_2 \in$  the carrier of  $Y$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$  there exists a non empty finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $X$  such that  $\text{len } F = o$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are joint by  $F$  and  $e_1 \cup e_2$ . The type of  $Y$  is a natural number and is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

- (Def. 4)(i) For all  $e_1, e_2, x, y$  such that  $e_1 \in$  the carrier of  $Y$  and  $e_2 \in$  the carrier of  $Y$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$  there exists a non empty finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $X$  such that  $\text{len } F = (\text{the type of } Y) + 2$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are joint by  $F$  and  $e_1 \cup e_2$ , and
- (ii) there exist  $e_1, e_2, x, y$  such that  $e_1 \in$  the carrier of  $Y$  and  $e_2 \in$  the carrier of  $Y$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$  and it is not true that there exists a non empty finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $X$  such that  $\text{len } F = (\text{the type of } Y) + 1$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are joint by  $F$  and  $e_1 \cup e_2$ .

One can prove the following proposition

(15) Let  $Y$  be a sublattice of  $\text{EqRelPoset}(X)$  and  $n$  be a natural number.

Suppose that

- (i) there exists  $e$  such that  $e \in$  the carrier of  $Y$  and  $e \neq \text{id}_X$ , and
- (ii) for all  $e_1, e_2, x, y$  such that  $e_1 \in$  the carrier of  $Y$  and  $e_2 \in$  the carrier of  $Y$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$  there exists a non empty finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $X$  such that  $\text{len } F = n + 2$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are joint by  $F$  and  $e_1 \cup e_2$ .

Then the type of  $Y \leq n$ .

#### 4. A MEET-REPRESENTATION OF A LATTICE

In the sequel  $A$  is a non empty set and  $L$  is a lower-bounded lattice.

Let us consider  $A, L$ .

(Def. 5) A function from  $[A, A]$  into the carrier of  $L$  is said to be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$ , let  $f$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ , and let  $x, y$  be elements of  $A$ . Then  $f(x, y)$  is an element of  $L$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$  and let  $f$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . We say that  $f$  is symmetric if and only if:

(Def. 6) For all elements  $x, y$  of  $A$  holds  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$ .

We say that  $f$  is zeroed if and only if:

(Def. 7) For every element  $x$  of  $A$  holds  $f(x, x) = \perp_L$ .

We say that  $f$  satisfies triangle inequality if and only if:

(Def. 8) For all elements  $x, y, z$  of  $A$  holds  $f(x, y) \sqcup f(y, z) \geq f(x, z)$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$ . Observe that there exists a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$  which is symmetric and zeroed and satisfies triangle inequality.

Let us consider  $A, L$ . A distance function of  $A, L$  is a symmetric zeroed bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$  satisfying triangle inequality.

Let us consider  $A, L$  and let  $d$  be a distance function of  $A, L$ . The functor  $\alpha(d)$  yielding a map from  $L$  into  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$  is defined by the condition (Def. 9).

(Def. 9) Let  $e$  be an element of  $L$ . Then there exists an equivalence relation  $E$  of  $A$  such that  $E = (\alpha(d))(e)$  and for all elements  $x, y$  of  $A$  holds  $\langle x, y \rangle \in E$  iff  $d(x, y) \leq e$ .

The following two propositions are true:

(16) For every distance function  $d$  of  $A, L$  holds  $\alpha(d)$  is meet-preserving.

(17) For every distance function  $d$  of  $A, L$  such that  $d$  is onto holds  $\alpha(d)$  is one-to-one.

5. JÓNSON'S THEOREM

Let  $A$  be a set. The functor  $A^*$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 10)  $A^* = A \cup \{\{A\}, \{\{\{A\}\}\}\}$ .

Let  $A$  be a set. One can verify that  $A^*$  is non empty.

Let us consider  $A, L$ , let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ , and let  $q$  be an element of  $[A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$ . The functor  $d_q^*$  yields a bifunction from  $A^*$  into  $L$  and is defined by the conditions (Def. 11).

(Def. 11)(i) For all elements  $u, v$  of  $A$  holds  $d_q^*(u, v) = d(u, v)$ ,

(ii)  $d_q^*({A}, {A}) = \perp_L$ ,

(iii)  $d_q^*({\{A\}}, {\{A\}}) = \perp_L$ ,

(iv)  $d_q^*({\{\{A\}\}}, {\{\{A\}\}}) = \perp_L$ ,

(v)  $d_q^*({\{\{A\}\}}, {\{\{\{A\}\}\}}) = q_3$ ,

(vi)  $d_q^*({\{\{\{A\}\}\}}, {\{\{A\}\}}) = q_3$ ,

(vii)  $d_q^*({A}, {\{\{A\}\}}) = q_4$ ,

(viii)  $d_q^*({\{\{A\}\}}, {A}) = q_4$ ,

(ix)  $d_q^*({A}, {\{\{\{A\}\}\}}) = q_3 \sqcup q_4$ ,

(x)  $d_q^*({\{\{\{\{A\}\}\}\}}, {\{A\}}) = q_3 \sqcup q_4$ , and

(xi) for every element  $u$  of  $A$  holds  $d_q^*(u, {A}) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3$  and  $d_q^*({A}, u) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3$  and  $d_q^*(u, {\{A\}}) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3 \sqcup q_4$  and  $d_q^*({\{A\}}, u) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3 \sqcup q_4$  and  $d_q^*(u, {\{\{A\}\}}) = d(u, q_2) \sqcup q_4$  and  $d_q^*({\{\{\{A\}\}\}}, u) = d(u, q_2) \sqcup q_4$ .

Next we state several propositions:

(18) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . Suppose  $d$  is zeroed. Let  $q$  be an element of  $[A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$ . Then  $d_q^*$  is zeroed.

(19) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . Suppose  $d$  is symmetric. Let  $q$  be an element of  $[A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$ . Then  $d_q^*$  is symmetric.

(20) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . Suppose  $d$  is symmetric and satisfies triangle inequality. Let  $q$  be an element of  $[A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$ . If  $d(q_1, q_2) \leq q_3 \sqcup q_4$ , then  $d_q^*$  satisfies triangle inequality.

(21) For every set  $A$  holds  $A \subseteq A^*$ .

(22) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$  and  $q$  be an element of  $[A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$ . Then  $d \subseteq d_q^*$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$  and let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . The functor  $\text{DistEsti}(d)$  yields a cardinal number and is defined as follows:

(Def. 12)  $\text{DistEsti}(d) \approx \{x, y, a, b\}; x$  ranges over elements of  $A, y$  ranges over elements of  $A, a$  ranges over elements of  $L, b$  ranges over elements of  $L$ :  $d(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b$ .

We now state the proposition

(23) For every distance function  $d$  of  $A$ ,  $L$  holds  $\text{DistEsti}(d) \neq \emptyset$ .

In the sequel  $T$  denotes a transfinite sequence and  $O, O_1, O_2$  denote ordinal numbers.

Let us consider  $A$  and let us consider  $O$ . The functor  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$  is defined by the condition (Def. 13).

(Def. 13) There exists a transfinite sequence  $L_0$  such that

- (i)  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O) = \text{last } L_0$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{dom } L_0 = \text{succ } O$ ,
- (iii)  $L_0(\emptyset) = A$ ,
- (iv) for every ordinal number  $C$  and for every set  $z$  such that  $\text{succ } C \in \text{succ } O$  and  $z = L_0(C)$  holds  $L_0(\text{succ } C) = z^*$ , and
- (v) for every ordinal number  $C$  and for every transfinite sequence  $L_1$  such that  $C \in \text{succ } O$  and  $C \neq \emptyset$  and  $C$  is a limit ordinal number and  $L_1 = L_0 \upharpoonright C$  holds  $L_0(C) = \bigcup \text{rng } L_1$ .

We now state three propositions:

(24)  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, \emptyset) = A$ .

(25)  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, \text{succ } O) = (\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O))^*$ .

(26) Suppose  $O \neq \emptyset$  and  $O$  is a limit ordinal number and  $\text{dom } T = O$  and for every ordinal number  $O_1$  such that  $O_1 \in O$  holds  $T(O_1) = \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O_1)$ . Then  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O) = \bigcup \text{rng } T$ .

Let us consider  $A$  and let us consider  $O$ . Note that  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$  is non empty.

One can prove the following proposition

(27)  $A \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$  and let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . A transfinite sequence of elements of  $\{A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L\}$  is said to be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$  if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 14).

(Def. 14)(i)  $\text{dom}$  it is a cardinal number,

(ii) it is one-to-one, and

(iii)  $\text{rng}$  it =  $\{\langle x, y, a, b \rangle; x \text{ ranges over elements of } A, y \text{ ranges over elements of } A, a \text{ ranges over elements of } L, b \text{ ranges over elements of } L: d(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b\}$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$ , let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ , let  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ , and let us consider  $O$ . Let us assume that  $O \in \text{dom } q$ . The functor  $\text{Quadr}(q, O)$  yielding an element of  $\{ \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O), \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O), \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L \}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 15)  $\text{Quadr}(q, O) = q(O)$ .

One can prove the following proposition

(28) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$  and  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ . Then  $O \in \text{DistEsti}(d)$  if and only if  $O \in \text{dom } q$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$  and let  $z$  be a set. Let us assume that  $z$  is a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . The functor  $\text{BiFun}(z, A, L)$  yields a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 16)  $\text{BiFun}(z, A, L) = z$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$ , let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ , let  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ , and let us consider  $O$ . The functor  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$  is defined by the condition (Def. 17).

(Def. 17) There exists a transfinite sequence  $L_0$  such that

- (i)  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O) = \text{last } L_0$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{dom } L_0 = \text{succ } O$ ,
- (iii)  $L_0(\emptyset) = d$ ,
- (iv) for every ordinal number  $C$  and for every set  $z$  such that  $\text{succ } C \in \text{succ } O$  and  $z = L_0(C)$  holds  $L_0(\text{succ } C) = (\text{BiFun}(z, \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, C), L))_{\text{Quadr}(q, C)}^*$ , and
- (v) for every ordinal number  $C$  and for every transfinite sequence  $L_1$  such that  $C \in \text{succ } O$  and  $C \neq \emptyset$  and  $C$  is a limit ordinal number and  $L_1 = L_0 \upharpoonright C$  holds  $L_0(C) = \bigcup \text{rng } L_1$ .

Next we state four propositions:

(29) For every bifunction  $d$  from  $A$  into  $L$  and for every sequence  $q$  of quadruples of  $d$  holds  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, \emptyset) = d$ .

(30) For every bifunction  $d$  from  $A$  into  $L$  and for every sequence  $q$  of quadruples of  $d$  holds  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, \text{succ } O) = (\text{BiFun}(\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O), \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O), L))_{\text{Quadr}(q, O)}^*$ .

(31) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$  and  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ . Suppose  $O \neq \emptyset$  and  $O$  is a limit ordinal number and  $\text{dom } T = O$  and for every ordinal number  $O_1$  such that  $O_1 \in O$  holds  $T(O_1) = \text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O_1)$ . Then  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O) = \bigcup \text{rng } T$ .

(32) If  $O_1 \subseteq O_2$ , then  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O_1) \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O_2)$ .

Let  $O$  be a non empty ordinal number. Note that every element of  $O$  is ordinal-like.

Next we state the proposition

(33) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$  and  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ . Then  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$  is a bifunction from  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$  into  $L$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$ , let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ , let  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ , and let us consider  $O$ . Then  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$  is a bifunction from  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O)$  into  $L$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (34) For every bifunction  $d$  from  $A$  into  $L$  and for every sequence  $q$  of quadruples of  $d$  holds  $d \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$ .
- (35) For every bifunction  $d$  from  $A$  into  $L$  and for every sequence  $q$  of quadruples of  $d$  such that  $O_1 \subseteq O_2$  holds  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O_1) \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O_2)$ .
- (36) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . Suppose  $d$  is zeroed. Let  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ . Then  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$  is zeroed.
- (37) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . Suppose  $d$  is symmetric. Let  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ . Then  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$  is symmetric.
- (38) Let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . Suppose  $d$  is symmetric and satisfies triangle inequality. Let  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ . If  $O \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$ , then  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$  satisfies triangle inequality.
- (39) Let  $d$  be a distance function of  $A, L$  and  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ . If  $O \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$ , then  $\text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, O)$  is a distance function of  $\text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, O), L$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$  and let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . The functor  $\text{NextSet}(d)$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 18)  $\text{NextSet}(d) = \text{ConsecutiveSet}(A, \text{DistEsti}(d))$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$  and let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ . One can check that  $\text{NextSet}(d)$  is non empty.

Let us consider  $A, L$ , let  $d$  be a bifunction from  $A$  into  $L$ , and let  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ . The functor  $\text{NextDelta}(q)$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 19)  $\text{NextDelta}(q) = \text{ConsecutiveDelta}(q, \text{DistEsti}(d))$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$ , let  $d$  be a distance function of  $A, L$ , and let  $q$  be a sequence of quadruples of  $d$ . Then  $\text{NextDelta}(q)$  is a distance function of  $\text{NextSet}(d), L$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$ , let  $d$  be a distance function of  $A, L$ , let  $A_1$  be a non empty set, and let  $d_1$  be a distance function of  $A_1, L$ . We say that  $(A_1, d_1)$  is extension of  $(A, d)$  if and only if:

(Def. 20) There exists a sequence  $q$  of quadruples of  $d$  such that  $A_1 = \text{NextSet}(d)$  and  $d_1 = \text{NextDelta}(q)$ .

The following proposition is true

- (40) Let  $d$  be a distance function of  $A, L$ ,  $A_1$  be a non empty set, and  $d_1$  be a distance function of  $A_1, L$ . Suppose  $(A_1, d_1)$  is extension of  $(A, d)$ . Let  $x, y$  be elements of  $A$  and  $a, b$  be elements of  $L$ . Suppose  $d(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b$ . Then there exist elements  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  of  $A_1$  such that  $d_1(x, z_1) = a$  and  $d_1(z_2, z_3) = a$  and  $d_1(z_1, z_2) = b$  and  $d_1(z_3, y) = b$ .

Let us consider  $A, L$  and let  $d$  be a distance function of  $A, L$ . A function is called an extension sequence of  $(A, d)$  if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 21).

(Def. 21)(i)  $\text{dom it} = \mathbb{N}$ ,

- (ii)  $\text{it}(0) = \langle A, d \rangle$ , and
- (iii) for every natural number  $n$  there exists a non empty set  $A'$  and there exists a distance function  $d'$  of  $A', L$  and there exists a non empty set  $A_1$  and there exists a distance function  $d_1$  of  $A_1, L$  such that  $(A_1, d_1)$  is extension of  $(A', d')$  and  $\text{it}(n) = \langle A', d' \rangle$  and  $\text{it}(n + 1) = \langle A_1, d_1 \rangle$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (41) Let  $d$  be a distance function of  $A, L, S$  be an extension sequence of  $(A, d)$ , and  $k, l$  be natural numbers. If  $k \leq l$ , then  $S(k)_1 \subseteq S(l)_1$ .
- (42) Let  $d$  be a distance function of  $A, L, S$  be an extension sequence of  $(A, d)$ , and  $k, l$  be natural numbers. If  $k \leq l$ , then  $S(k)_2 \subseteq S(l)_2$ .

Let us consider  $L$ . The functor  $\delta_0(L)$  yields a distance function of the carrier of  $L, L$  and is defined by:

- (Def. 22) For all elements  $x, y$  of the carrier of  $L$  holds if  $x \neq y$ , then  $(\delta_0(L))(x, y) = x \sqcup y$  and if  $x = y$ , then  $(\delta_0(L))(x, y) = \perp_L$ .

We now state two propositions:

- (43)  $\delta_0(L)$  is onto.
- (44) There exists a non empty set  $A$  and there exists a homomorphism  $f$  from  $L$  to  $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$  such that  $f$  is one-to-one and the type of  $\text{Im } f \leq 3$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):281–290, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski's classes and ranks. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):563–567, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cartesian product of functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):547–552, 1991.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [16] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [17] Agata Darmochwał and Andrzej Trybulec. Similarity of formulae. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):635–642, 1991.

- [18] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [19] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):103–108, 1993.
- [20] Robert Milewski. Lattice of congruences in many sorted algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):479–483, 1996.
- [21] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [22] Bogdan Nowak and Andrzej Trybulec. Hahn-Banach theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):29–34, 1993.
- [23] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [24] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [25] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [26] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):233–236, 1996.
- [27] Yozo Toda. The formalization of simple graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):137–144, 1996.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [31] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [32] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
- [33] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [34] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [35] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [36] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):85–89, 1990.
- [37] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):215–222, 1990.
- [38] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):123–130, 1997.

*Received November 13, 1997*

---

# Lebesgue's Covering Lemma, Uniform Continuity and Segmentation of Arcs

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

Andrzej Trybulec  
University of Białystok

**Summary.** For mappings from a metric space to a metric space, a notion of uniform continuity is defined. If we introduce natural topologies to the metric spaces, a uniformly continuous function becomes continuous. On the other hand, if the domain is compact, a continuous function is uniformly continuous. For this proof, Lebesgue's covering lemma is also proved. An arc, which is homeomorphic to  $[0,1]$ , can be divided into small segments, as small as one wishes.

MML Identifier: UNIFORM1.

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [35], [41], [40], [34], [28], [23], [1], [43], [38], [27], [39], [31], [11], [33], [10], [30], [26], [42], [2], [7], [8], [4], [19], [20], [18], [29], [15], [9], [14], [36], [17], [21], [16], [6], [22], [13], [24], [3], [5], [32], [12], [25], and [37].

## 1. LEBESGUE'S COVERING LEMMA

We adopt the following rules:  $s, s_1, s_2, t, r, r_1, r_2$  are real numbers,  $n, m$  are natural numbers, and  $X, Y$  are non empty metric spaces.

The following two propositions are true:

- (1)  $t - r - (t - s) = -r + s$  and  $t - r - (t - s) = s - r$ .
- (2) For every  $r$  such that  $r > 0$  there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $n > 0$  and  $\frac{1}{n} < r$ .

Let  $X, Y$  be non empty metric structures and let  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . We say that  $f$  is uniformly continuous if and only if the condition (Def. 1) is satisfied.

- (Def. 1) Let given  $r$ . Suppose  $0 < r$ . Then there exists  $s$  such that  $0 < s$  and for all elements  $u_1, u_2$  of the carrier of  $X$  such that  $\rho(u_1, u_2) < s$  holds  $\rho(f_{u_1}, f_{u_2}) < r$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (3) Let  $X$  be a non empty topological space,  $M$  be a metric space, and  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $M_{\text{top}}$ . Suppose  $f$  is continuous. Let  $r$  be a real number,  $u$  be an element of the carrier of  $M$ , and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $M_{\text{top}}$ . If  $P = \text{Ball}(u, r)$ , then  $f^{-1}(P)$  is open.
- (4) Let  $N, M$  be metric spaces and  $f$  be a map from  $N_{\text{top}}$  into  $M_{\text{top}}$ . Suppose that for every real number  $r$  and for every element  $u$  of the carrier of  $N$  and for every element  $u_1$  of the carrier of  $M$  such that  $r > 0$  and  $u_1 = f(u)$  there exists  $s$  such that  $s > 0$  and for every element  $w$  of the carrier of  $N$  and for every element  $w_1$  of the carrier of  $M$  such that  $w_1 = f(w)$  and  $\rho(u, w) < s$  holds  $\rho(u_1, w_1) < r$ . Then  $f$  is continuous.
- (5) Let  $N$  be a metric space,  $M$  be a non empty metric space, and  $f$  be a map from  $N_{\text{top}}$  into  $M_{\text{top}}$ . Suppose  $f$  is continuous. Let  $r$  be a real number,  $u$  be an element of the carrier of  $N$ , and  $u_1$  be an element of the carrier of  $M$ . Suppose  $r > 0$  and  $u_1 = f(u)$ . Then there exists  $s$  such that
- (i)  $s > 0$ , and
  - (ii) for every element  $w$  of the carrier of  $N$  and for every element  $w_1$  of the carrier of  $M$  such that  $w_1 = f(w)$  and  $\rho(u, w) < s$  holds  $\rho(u_1, w_1) < r$ .
- (6) Let  $N, M$  be non empty metric spaces,  $f$  be a map from  $N$  into  $M$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $N_{\text{top}}$  into  $M_{\text{top}}$ . If  $f = g$  and  $f$  is uniformly continuous, then  $g$  is continuous.
- (7) Let  $N$  be a non empty metric space and  $G$  be a family of subsets of  $N_{\text{top}}$ . Suppose  $G$  is a cover of  $N_{\text{top}}$  and open and  $N_{\text{top}}$  is compact. Then there exists  $r$  such that
- (i)  $r > 0$ , and
  - (ii) for all elements  $w_1, w_2$  of the carrier of  $N$  such that  $\rho(w_1, w_2) < r$  there exists a subset  $G_1$  of the carrier of  $N_{\text{top}}$  such that  $w_1 \in G_1$  and  $w_2 \in G_1$  and  $G_1 \in G$ .

## 2. UNIFORMITY OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS ON COMPACT SPACES

Next we state three propositions:

- (8) Let  $N, M$  be non empty metric spaces,  $f$  be a map from  $N$  into  $M$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $N_{\text{top}}$  into  $M_{\text{top}}$ . Suppose  $g = f$  and  $N_{\text{top}}$  is compact and  $g$  is continuous. Then  $f$  is uniformly continuous.
- (9) Let  $g$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and  $f$  be a map from  $[0, 1]_M$  into  $\mathcal{E}^n$ . If  $g$  is continuous and  $f = g$ , then  $f$  is uniformly continuous.
- (10) Let  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $Q$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}^n$ ,  $g$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $(\mathcal{E}_T^n) \upharpoonright P$ , and  $f$  be a map from  $[0, 1]_M$  into  $\mathcal{E}^n \upharpoonright Q$ . If  $P = Q$  and  $g$  is continuous and  $f = g$ , then  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

## 3. SEGMENTATION OF ARCS

We now state four propositions:

- (11) For every map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  there exists a map  $f$  from  $[0, 1]_M$  into  $\mathcal{E}^n$  such that  $f = g$ .
- (12) For every  $r$  such that  $r \geq 0$  holds  $\lceil r \rceil \geq 0$  and  $\lfloor r \rfloor \geq 0$  and  $\lceil r \rceil$  is a natural number and  $\lfloor r \rfloor$  is a natural number.
- (13) For all  $r, s$  holds  $|r - s| = |s - r|$ .
- (14) For all  $r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2$  such that  $r_1 \in [s_1, s_2]$  and  $r_2 \in [s_1, s_2]$  holds  $|r_1 - r_2| \leq s_2 - s_1$ .

Let  $I_1$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $I_1$  is decreasing if and only if:

- (Def. 2) For all  $n, m$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } I_1$  and  $m \in \text{dom } I_1$  and  $n < m$  holds  $I_1(n) > I_1(m)$ .

We now state the proposition

- (15) Let  $e$  be a real number,  $g$  be a map from  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Suppose  $e > 0$  and  $g$  is continuous and one-to-one and  $g(0) = p_1$  and  $g(1) = p_2$ . Then there exists a finite sequence  $h$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that
  - (i)  $h(1) = 1$ ,
  - (ii)  $h(\text{len } h) = 0$ ,
  - (iii)  $5 \leq \text{len } h$ ,
  - (iv)  $\text{rng } h \subseteq \text{the carrier of } \mathbb{I}$ ,
  - (v)  $h$  is decreasing, and

- (vi) for every natural number  $i$  and for every subset  $Q$  of the carrier of  $\mathbb{I}$  and for every subset  $W$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $i < \text{len } h$  and  $Q = [\pi_{i+1}h, \pi_i h]$  and  $W = g^\circ Q$  holds  $\emptyset W < e$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations applied to finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):643–649, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Semigroup operations on finite subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):651–656, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):701–709, 1991.
- [13] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [17] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [18] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [19] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [20] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Simple closed curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):663–664, 1991.
- [21] Agata Darmochwał and Andrzej Trybulec. Similarity of formulae. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):635–642, 1991.
- [22] Alicia de la Cruz. Totally bounded metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):559–562, 1991.
- [23] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [24] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [25] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):107–115, 1992.
- [26] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [27] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [28] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.

- [29] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [30] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [31] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [32] Agnieszka Sakowicz, Jarosław Gryko, and Adam Grabowski. Sequences in  $\mathcal{E}_T^N$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):93–96, 1996.
- [33] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [34] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [35] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [36] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [37] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [38] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [39] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [40] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [41] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [42] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [43] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

*Received November 13, 1997*

---



# On the Rectangular Finite Sequences of the Points of the Plane

Andrzej Trybulec  
University of Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** The article deals with a rather technical concept – rectangular sequences of the points of the plane. We mean by that a finite sequence consisting of five elements, that is circular, i.e. the first element and the fifth one of it are equal, and such that the polygon determined by it is a non degenerated rectangle, with sides parallel to axes. The main result is that for the rectangle determined by such a sequence the left and the right component of the complement of it are different and disjoint.

MML Identifier: SPRECT\_1.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following papers: [29], [35], [34], [28], [7], [36], [13], [2], [25], [1], [27], [32], [5], [6], [3], [33], [31], [17], [16], [14], [15], [4], [26], [24], [37], [10], [23], [11], [12], [21], [18], [19], [22], [30], [20], [8], and [9].

## 1. GENERAL PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following proposition

- (1) For every trivial set  $A$  and for every set  $B$  such that  $B \subseteq A$  holds  $B$  is trivial.

One can verify that every function which is non constant is also non trivial.

Let us observe that every function which is trivial is also constant.

One can prove the following proposition

- (2) For every function  $f$  such that  $\text{rng } f$  is trivial holds  $f$  is constant.

Let  $f$  be a constant function. One can verify that  $\text{rng } f$  is trivial.

Let us observe that there exists a finite sequence which is non empty and constant.

We now state three propositions:

- (3) For all finite sequences  $f, g$  such that  $f \wedge g$  is constant holds  $f$  is constant and  $g$  is constant.
- (4) For all sets  $x, y$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is constant holds  $x = y$ .
- (5) For all sets  $x, y, z$  such that  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  is constant holds  $x = y$  and  $y = z$  and  $z = x$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES (GENERAL TOPOLOGY)

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (6) Let  $G_1$  be a non empty topological space,  $A$  be a subset of the carrier of  $G_1$ , and  $B$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $G_1$ . If  $A$  is a component of  $B$ , then  $A \neq \emptyset$ .
- (7) Let  $G_1$  be a non empty topological space,  $A$  be a subset of the carrier of  $G_1$ , and  $B$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $G_1$ . If  $A$  is a component of  $B$ , then  $A \subseteq B$ .
- (8) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $A$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $T$ , and  $B_1, B_2, C$  be subsets of the carrier of  $T$ . Suppose  $B_1$  is a component of  $A$  and  $B_2$  is a component of  $A$  and  $C$  is a component of  $A$  and  $B_1 \cup B_2 = A$ . Then  $C = B_1$  or  $C = B_2$ .
- (9) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $A$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $T$ , and  $B_1, B_2, C_1, C_2$  be subsets of the carrier of  $T$ . Suppose  $B_1$  is a component of  $A$  and  $B_2$  is a component of  $A$  and  $C_1$  is a component of  $A$  and  $C_2$  is a component of  $A$  and  $B_1 \cup B_2 = A$  and  $C_1 \cup C_2 = A$ . Then  $\{B_1, B_2\} = \{C_1, C_2\}$ .

## 3. PRELIMINARIES (THE TOPOLOGY OF THE PLANE)

We follow the rules:  $C, C_1, C_2$  are non empty compact subsets of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p, q$  are points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (10) For all points  $p, q, r$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\langle p, q, r \rangle) = \mathcal{L}(p, q) \cup \mathcal{L}(q, r)$ .

Let  $n$  be a natural number and let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Observe that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  is non empty.

Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Note that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  is compact.

We now state two propositions:

- (11) For all subsets  $A, B$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B$  is horizontal holds  $A$  is horizontal.
- (12) For all subsets  $A, B$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B$  is vertical holds  $A$  is vertical.

Let us observe that  $\square_{\mathcal{E}^2}$  is special polygonal.

One can check that  $\square_{\mathcal{E}^2}$  is non horizontal and non vertical.

One can check that there exists a subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  which is non vertical, non horizontal, non empty, and compact.

#### 4. SPECIAL POINTS OF A COMPACT NON EMPTY SUBSET OF THE PLANE

The following propositions are true:

- (13) N-min  $C \in C$  and N-max  $C \in C$ .
- (14) S-min  $C \in C$  and S-max  $C \in C$ .
- (15) W-min  $C \in C$  and W-max  $C \in C$ .
- (16) E-min  $C \in C$  and E-max  $C \in C$ .
- (17)  $C$  is vertical iff W-bound  $C =$  E-bound  $C$ .
- (18)  $C$  is horizontal iff S-bound  $C =$  N-bound  $C$ .
- (19) For every  $C$  such that NW-corner  $C =$  NE-corner  $C$  holds  $C$  is vertical.
- (20) For every  $C$  such that SW-corner  $C =$  SE-corner  $C$  holds  $C$  is vertical.
- (21) For every  $C$  such that NW-corner  $C =$  SW-corner  $C$  holds  $C$  is horizontal.
- (22) For every  $C$  such that NE-corner  $C =$  SE-corner  $C$  holds  $C$  is horizontal.

In the sequel  $t, r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2$  are real numbers.

The following propositions are true:

- (23) W-bound  $C \leq$  E-bound  $C$ .
- (24) S-bound  $C \leq$  N-bound  $C$ .
- (25)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{SE-corner } C, \text{NE-corner } C) = \{p : p_1 = \text{E-bound } C \wedge p_2 \leq \text{N-bound } C \wedge p_2 \geq \text{S-bound } C\}$ .
- (26)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } C, \text{SE-corner } C) = \{p : p_1 \leq \text{E-bound } C \wedge p_1 \geq \text{W-bound } C \wedge p_2 = \text{S-bound } C\}$ .
- (27)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } C, \text{NE-corner } C) = \{p : p_1 \leq \text{E-bound } C \wedge p_1 \geq \text{W-bound } C \wedge p_2 = \text{N-bound } C\}$ .
- (28)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } C, \text{NW-corner } C) = \{p : p_1 = \text{W-bound } C \wedge p_2 \leq \text{N-bound } C \wedge p_2 \geq \text{S-bound } C\}$ .

- (29)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } C, \text{NW-corner } C) \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } C, \text{NE-corner } C) = \{\text{NW-corner } C\}.$
- (30)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } C, \text{NE-corner } C) \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{NE-corner } C, \text{SE-corner } C) = \{\text{NE-corner } C\}.$
- (31)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{SE-corner } C, \text{NE-corner } C) \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } C, \text{SE-corner } C) = \{\text{SE-corner } C\}.$
- (32)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } C, \text{SW-corner } C) \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } C, \text{SE-corner } C) = \{\text{SW-corner } C\}.$

## 5. SUBSETS OF THE PLANE THAT ARE NEITHER VERTICAL NOR HORIZONTAL

In the sequel  $D$  is a non vertical non horizontal non empty compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (33)  $\text{W-bound } D < \text{E-bound } D.$
- (34)  $\text{S-bound } D < \text{N-bound } D.$
- (35)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } D, \text{NW-corner } D) \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{SE-corner } D, \text{NE-corner } D) = \emptyset.$
- (36)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } D, \text{SE-corner } D) \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } D, \text{NE-corner } D) = \emptyset.$

## 6. A SPECIAL SEQUENCE RELATED TO A COMPACT NON EMPTY SUBSET OF THE PLANE

Let us consider  $C$ . The functor  $\text{SpStSeq } C$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 1)  $\text{SpStSeq } C = \langle \text{NW-corner } C, \text{NE-corner } C, \text{SE-corner } C \rangle \wedge \langle \text{SW-corner } C, \text{NW-corner } C \rangle.$

The following propositions are true:

- (37)  $\pi_1 \text{SpStSeq } C = \text{NW-corner } C.$
- (38)  $\pi_2 \text{SpStSeq } C = \text{NE-corner } C.$
- (39)  $\pi_3 \text{SpStSeq } C = \text{SE-corner } C.$
- (40)  $\pi_4 \text{SpStSeq } C = \text{SW-corner } C.$
- (41)  $\pi_5 \text{SpStSeq } C = \text{NW-corner } C.$
- (42)  $\text{len SpStSeq } C = 5.$
- (43)  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } C) = \mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } C, \text{NE-corner } C) \cup \mathcal{L}(\text{NE-corner } C, \text{SE-corner } C) \cup (\mathcal{L}(\text{SE-corner } C, \text{SW-corner } C) \cup \mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } C, \text{NW-corner } C)).$

Let  $D$  be a non vertical non empty compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Note that  $\text{SpStSeq } D$  is non constant.

Let  $D$  be a non horizontal non empty compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Note that  $\text{SpStSeq } D$  is non constant.

Let us consider  $D$ . One can check that  $\text{SpStSeq } D$  is special unfolded circular s.c.c. and standard.

Next we state four propositions:

- (44)  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = [ \text{W-bound } D, \text{E-bound } D, \text{S-bound } D, \text{N-bound } D ]$ .
- (45) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $X$  be a non empty subset of  $T$ , and  $f$  be a real map of  $T$ . Then  $\text{rng}(f \upharpoonright X) = f^\circ X$ .
- (46) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $X$  be a non empty compact subset of  $T$ , and  $f$  be a continuous real map of  $T$ . Then  $f^\circ X$  is lower bounded.
- (47) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $X$  be a non empty compact subset of  $T$ , and  $f$  be a continuous real map of  $T$ . Then  $f^\circ X$  is upper bounded.

Let us observe that there exists a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is non empty, upper bounded, and lower bounded.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (48)  $\text{W-bound } C = \inf((\text{proj1})^\circ C)$ .
- (49)  $\text{S-bound } C = \inf((\text{proj2})^\circ C)$ .
- (50)  $\text{N-bound } C = \sup((\text{proj2})^\circ C)$ .
- (51)  $\text{E-bound } C = \sup((\text{proj1})^\circ C)$ .
- (52) For all non empty lower bounded subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  holds  $\inf(A \cup B) = \min(\inf A, \inf B)$ .
- (53) For all non empty upper bounded subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  holds  $\sup(A \cup B) = \max(\sup A, \sup B)$ .
- (54) If  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ , then  $\text{W-bound } C = \min(\text{W-bound } C_1, \text{W-bound } C_2)$ .
- (55) If  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ , then  $\text{S-bound } C = \min(\text{S-bound } C_1, \text{S-bound } C_2)$ .
- (56) If  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ , then  $\text{N-bound } C = \max(\text{N-bound } C_1, \text{N-bound } C_2)$ .
- (57) If  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ , then  $\text{E-bound } C = \max(\text{E-bound } C_1, \text{E-bound } C_2)$ .

Let us consider  $p, q$ . One can check that  $\mathcal{L}(p, q)$  is compact.

One can verify that  $\emptyset_{\mathbb{R}}$  is bounded.

Next we state the proposition

- (58)  $s_1 \in [r_1, r_2]$  iff  $r_1 \leq s_1$  and  $s_1 \leq r_2$ .

Let us consider  $r_1, r_2$ . One can check that  $[r_1, r_2]$  is bounded.

Let us observe that every subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is bounded is also lower bounded and upper bounded and every subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is lower bounded and upper bounded is also bounded.

The following propositions are true:

- (59) If  $r_1 \leq r_2$ , then  $t \in [r_1, r_2]$  iff there exists  $s_1$  such that  $0 \leq s_1$  and  $s_1 \leq 1$  and  $t = s_1 \cdot r_1 + (1 - s_1) \cdot r_2$ .
- (60) If  $p_1 \leq q_1$ , then  $(\text{proj}1)^\circ \mathcal{L}(p, q) = [p_1, q_1]$ .
- (61) If  $p_2 \leq q_2$ , then  $(\text{proj}2)^\circ \mathcal{L}(p, q) = [p_2, q_2]$ .
- (62) If  $p_1 \leq q_1$ , then W-bound  $\mathcal{L}(p, q) = p_1$ .
- (63) If  $p_2 \leq q_2$ , then S-bound  $\mathcal{L}(p, q) = p_2$ .
- (64) If  $p_2 \leq q_2$ , then N-bound  $\mathcal{L}(p, q) = q_2$ .
- (65) If  $p_1 \leq q_1$ , then E-bound  $\mathcal{L}(p, q) = q_1$ .
- (66) W-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{W-bound } D$ .
- (67) S-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{S-bound } D$ .
- (68) N-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{N-bound } D$ .
- (69) E-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{E-bound } D$ .
- (70) NW-corner  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{NW-corner } D$ .
- (71) NE-corner  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{NE-corner } D$ .
- (72) SW-corner  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{SW-corner } D$ .
- (73) SE-corner  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{SE-corner } D$ .
- (74) W-most  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } D, \text{NW-corner } D)$ .
- (75) N-most  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } D, \text{NE-corner } D)$ .
- (76) S-most  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } D, \text{SE-corner } D)$ .
- (77) E-most  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \mathcal{L}(\text{SE-corner } D, \text{NE-corner } D)$ .
- (78)  $(\text{proj}2)^\circ \mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } D, \text{NW-corner } D) = [\text{S-bound } D, \text{N-bound } D]$ .
- (79)  $(\text{proj}1)^\circ \mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } D, \text{NE-corner } D) = [\text{W-bound } D, \text{E-bound } D]$ .
- (80)  $(\text{proj}2)^\circ \mathcal{L}(\text{NE-corner } D, \text{SE-corner } D) = [\text{S-bound } D, \text{N-bound } D]$ .
- (81)  $(\text{proj}1)^\circ \mathcal{L}(\text{SE-corner } D, \text{SW-corner } D) = [\text{W-bound } D, \text{E-bound } D]$ .
- (82) W-min  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{SW-corner } D$ .
- (83) W-max  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{NW-corner } D$ .
- (84) N-min  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{NW-corner } D$ .
- (85) N-max  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{NE-corner } D$ .
- (86) E-min  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{SE-corner } D$ .
- (87) E-max  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{NE-corner } D$ .
- (88) S-min  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{SW-corner } D$ .
- (89) S-max  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } D) = \text{SE-corner } D$ .

7. RECTANGULAR FINITE SUEQUENCES OF THE POINTS OF THE PLANE

Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . We say that  $f$  is rectangular if and only if:

(Def. 2) There exists  $D$  such that  $f = \text{SpStSeq } D$ .

Let us consider  $D$ . Note that  $\text{SpStSeq } D$  is rectangular.

Let us mention that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  which is rectangular.

In the sequel  $s$  denotes a rectangular finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ .

The following proposition is true

(90)  $\text{len } s = 5$ .

Let us note that every finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  which is rectangular is also non constant.

One can verify that every non empty finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  which is rectangular is also standard, special, unfolded, circular, and s.c.c..

In the sequel  $s$  is a rectangular finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ .

Next we state four propositions:

(91)  $\pi_1 s = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(s)$  and  $\pi_1 s = \text{W-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(s)$ .

(92)  $\pi_2 s = \text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(s)$  and  $\pi_2 s = \text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(s)$ .

(93)  $\pi_3 s = \text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(s)$  and  $\pi_3 s = \text{E-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(s)$ .

(94)  $\pi_4 s = \text{S-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(s)$  and  $\pi_4 s = \text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(s)$ .

8. JORDAN PROPERTY

One can prove the following proposition

(95) If  $r_1 < r_2$  and  $s_1 < s_2$ , then  $[.r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2.]$  is Jordan.

Let  $f$  be a rectangular finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Observe that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  is Jordan.

Let  $S$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Let us observe that  $S$  is Jordan if and only if the conditions (Def. 3) are satisfied.

(Def. 3)(i)  $S^c \neq \emptyset$ , and

(ii) there exist subsets  $A_1, A_2$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $S^c = A_1 \cup A_2$  and  $A_1$  misses  $A_2$  and  $\overline{A_1} \setminus A_1 = \overline{A_2} \setminus A_2$  and  $A_1$  is a component of  $S^c$  and  $A_2$  is a component of  $S^c$ .

Next we state the proposition

(96) For every rectangular finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{LeftComp}(f)$  misses  $\text{RightComp}(f)$ .

Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence. One can verify that  $\text{LeftComp}(f)$  is non empty and  $\text{RightComp}(f)$  is non empty.

The following proposition is true

- (97) For every rectangular finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{LeftComp}(f) \neq \text{RightComp}(f)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński and Yatsuka Nakamura. Special polygons. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):247–252, 1996.
- [9] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [13] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [14] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):475–480, 1991.
- [15] Katarzyna Jankowska. Transpose matrices and groups of permutations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):711–717, 1991.
- [16] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [17] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):471–475, 1990.
- [18] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):107–115, 1992.
- [19] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):117–121, 1992.
- [20] Yatsuka Nakamura and Czesław Byliński. Extremal properties of vertices on special polygons, part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):97–102, 1996.
- [21] Yatsuka Nakamura and Jarosław Kotowicz. The Jordan's property for certain subsets of the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):137–142, 1992.
- [22] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-board into cells. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):323–328, 1996.
- [23] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):239–244, 1990.
- [24] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [25] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [26] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.

- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. Left and right component of the complement of a special closed curve. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):465–468, 1996.
- [31] Andrzej Trybulec. On the decomposition of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):317–322, 1996.
- [32] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [33] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [34] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [35] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [36] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [37] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

*Received November 30, 1997*

---



# On the Order on a Special Polygon

Andrzej Trybulec  
University of Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** The goal of the article is to determine the order of the special points defined in [10] on a special polygon. We restrict ourselves to the clockwise oriented finite sequences (the concept defined in this article) that start in N-min  $C$  ( $C$  being a compact non empty subset of the plane).

MML Identifier: SPRECT-2.

The papers [28], [33], [27], [7], [15], [29], [34], [1], [5], [6], [3], [32], [8], [30], [16], [17], [2], [25], [4], [19], [18], [26], [11], [12], [13], [14], [21], [20], [22], [9], [24], [23], [10], and [31] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For all sets  $A, B, C, p$  such that  $A \cap B \subseteq \{p\}$  and  $p \in C$  and  $C$  misses  $B$  holds  $A \cup C$  misses  $B$ .
- (2) For all sets  $A, B, C, p$  such that  $A \cap C = \{p\}$  and  $p \in B$  and  $B \subseteq C$  holds  $A \cap B = \{p\}$ .
- (3) For all sets  $A, B$  such that for every set  $y$  such that  $y \in B$  holds  $A$  misses  $y$  holds  $A$  misses  $\bigcup B$ .
- (4) For all sets  $A, B$  such that for all sets  $x, y$  such that  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  holds  $x$  misses  $y$  holds  $\bigcup A$  misses  $\bigcup B$ .

## 2. ON THE FINITE SEQUENCES

We adopt the following convention:  $i, j, k, m, n$  denote natural numbers,  $D$  denotes a non empty set, and  $f$  denotes a finite sequence of elements of  $D$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (5) For all  $i, j, k$  such that  $i \leq j$  and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $k \in \text{dom mid}(f, i, j)$  holds  $(k+i) -' 1 \in \text{dom } f$ .
- (6) For all  $i, j, k$  such that  $i > j$  and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $k \in \text{dom mid}(f, i, j)$  holds  $i -' k + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ .
- (7) For all  $i, j, k$  such that  $i \leq j$  and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $k \in \text{dom mid}(f, i, j)$  holds  $\pi_k \text{mid}(f, i, j) = \pi_{(k+i) -' 1} f$ .
- (8) For all  $i, j, k$  such that  $i > j$  and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $k \in \text{dom mid}(f, i, j)$  holds  $\pi_k \text{mid}(f, i, j) = \pi_{i -' k + 1} f$ .
- (9) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\text{len mid}(f, i, j) \geq 1$ .
- (10) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{len mid}(f, i, j) = 1$ , then  $i = j$ .
- (11) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is non empty.
- (12) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\pi_1 \text{mid}(f, i, j) = \pi_i f$ .
- (13) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\pi_{\text{len mid}(f, i, j)} \text{mid}(f, i, j) = \pi_j f$ .

## 3. COMPACT SUBSETS OF THE PLANE

In the sequel  $X$  denotes a non empty compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$ .

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (14) For every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  such that  $p \in X$  and  $p_{\mathbf{2}} = \text{N-bound } X$  holds  $p \in \text{N-most } X$ .
- (15) For every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  such that  $p \in X$  and  $p_{\mathbf{2}} = \text{S-bound } X$  holds  $p \in \text{S-most } X$ .
- (16) For every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  such that  $p \in X$  and  $p_{\mathbf{1}} = \text{W-bound } X$  holds  $p \in \text{W-most } X$ .
- (17) For every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$  such that  $p \in X$  and  $p_{\mathbf{1}} = \text{E-bound } X$  holds  $p \in \text{E-most } X$ .

4. FINITE SEQUENCES ON THE PLANE

We now state several propositions:

- (18) For every finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j \leq \text{len } f$  holds  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{L}(f, k) : i \leq k \wedge k < j\}$ .
- (19) For every finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{dom } \mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f) = \text{dom } f$ .
- (20) For every finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{dom } \mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f) = \text{dom } f$ .
- (21) For all points  $a, b, c$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $b \in \mathcal{L}(a, c)$  and  $a_1 \leq b_1$  and  $c_1 \leq b_1$  holds  $a = b$  or  $b = c$  or  $a_1 = b_1$  and  $c_1 = b_1$ .
- (22) For all points  $a, b, c$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $b \in \mathcal{L}(a, c)$  and  $a_2 \leq b_2$  and  $c_2 \leq b_2$  holds  $a = b$  or  $b = c$  or  $a_2 = b_2$  and  $c_2 = b_2$ .
- (23) For all points  $a, b, c$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $b \in \mathcal{L}(a, c)$  and  $a_1 \geq b_1$  and  $c_1 \geq b_1$  holds  $a = b$  or  $b = c$  or  $a_1 = b_1$  and  $c_1 = b_1$ .
- (24) For all points  $a, b, c$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $b \in \mathcal{L}(a, c)$  and  $a_2 \geq b_2$  and  $c_2 \geq b_2$  holds  $a = b$  or  $b = c$  or  $a_2 = b_2$  and  $c_2 = b_2$ .

5. THE AREA OF A SEQUENCE

Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $g$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . We say that  $g$  is in the area of  $f$  if and only if:

- (Def. 1) For every  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } g$  holds W-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \leq (\pi_n g)_1$  and  $(\pi_n g)_1 \leq \text{E-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and S-bound  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \leq (\pi_n g)_2$  and  $(\pi_n g)_2 \leq \text{N-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (25) Every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (26) Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $g$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $g$  is in the area of  $f$ . Let given  $i, j$ . If  $i \in \text{dom } g$  and  $j \in \text{dom } g$ , then  $\text{mid}(g, i, j)$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (27) Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and given  $i, j$ . If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (28) Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $g, h$  be finite sequences of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $g$  is in the area of  $f$  and  $h$  is in the area of  $f$ . Then  $g \wedge h$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (29) For every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\langle \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is in the area of  $f$ .

- (30) For every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\langle \text{NW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (31) For every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\langle \text{SE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is in the area of  $f$ .
- (32) For every non trivial finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\langle \text{SW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is in the area of  $f$ .

## 6. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL CONNECTIONS

Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $g$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . We say that  $g$  is a h.c. for  $f$  if and only if:

(Def. 2)  $g$  is in the area of  $f$  and  $(\pi_1 g)_1 = \text{W-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $(\pi_{\text{len } g} g)_1 = \text{E-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

We say that  $g$  is a v.c. for  $f$  if and only if:

(Def. 3)  $g$  is in the area of  $f$  and  $(\pi_1 g)_2 = \text{S-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $(\pi_{\text{len } g} g)_2 = \text{N-bound } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (33) Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $g, h$  be S-sequences in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $g$  is a h.c. for  $f$  and  $h$  is a v.c. for  $f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$  meets  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$ .

## 7. ORIENTATION

Let  $f$  be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . We say that  $f$  is clockwise oriented if and only if:

(Def. 4)  $\pi_2 f_{\odot}^{\text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)} \in \text{N-most } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

The following proposition is true

- (34) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence. If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $f$  is clockwise oriented iff  $\pi_2 f \in \text{N-most } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .

Let us note that  $\square_{\mathcal{E}^2}$  is compact.

We now state several propositions:

- (35)  $\text{N-bound } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = 1$ .
- (36)  $\text{W-bound } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = 0$ .
- (37)  $\text{E-bound } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = 1$ .
- (38)  $\text{S-bound } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = 0$ .
- (39)  $\text{N-most } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = \mathcal{L}([0, 1], [1, 1])$ .

(40)  $N\text{-min } \square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = [0, 1]$ .

Let  $X$  be a non vertical non horizontal non empty compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$ . One can verify that  $\text{SpStSeq } X$  is clockwise oriented.

One can verify that there exists a non constant standard special circular sequence which is clockwise oriented.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (41) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence and given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i > j$  but  $1 < j$  and  $i \leq \text{len } f$  or  $1 \leq j$  and  $i < \text{len } f$ . Then  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (42) Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence and given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i < j$  but  $1 < i$  and  $j \leq \text{len } f$  or  $1 \leq i$  and  $j < \text{len } f$ . Then  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

In the sequel  $f$  is a clockwise oriented non constant standard special circular sequence.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (43)  $N\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (44)  $N\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (45)  $S\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (46)  $S\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (47)  $W\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (48)  $W\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (49)  $E\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (50)  $E\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \in \text{rng } f$ .
- (51) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j < m$  and  $m \leq n$  and  $n \leq \text{len } f$  and  $1 < i$  or  $n < \text{len } f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, i, j))$  misses  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, m, n))$ .
- (52) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j < m$  and  $m \leq n$  and  $n \leq \text{len } f$  and  $1 < i$  or  $n < \text{len } f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, i, j))$  misses  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, n, m))$ .
- (53) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j < m$  and  $m \leq n$  and  $n \leq \text{len } f$  and  $1 < i$  or  $n < \text{len } f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, j, i))$  misses  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, n, m))$ .
- (54) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $j < m$  and  $m \leq n$  and  $n \leq \text{len } f$  and  $1 < i$  or  $n < \text{len } f$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, j, i))$  misses  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{mid}(f, m, n))$ .
- (55)  $(N\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_1 < (N\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_1$ .
- (56)  $N\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq N\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (57)  $(E\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_2 < (E\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_2$ .
- (58)  $E\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq E\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (59)  $(S\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_1 < (S\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_1$ .
- (60)  $S\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq S\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (61)  $(W\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_2 < (W\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))_2$ .

- (62)  $W\text{-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq W\text{-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ .
- (63)  $\mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))$  misses  $\mathcal{L}(\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f), \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))$ .
- (64) Let  $f$  be a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $p \neq \pi_1 f$  but  $p_1 = (\pi_1 f)_1$  or  $p_2 = (\pi_1 f)_2$  but  $\mathcal{L}(p, \pi_1 f) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \{\pi_1 f\}$ . Then  $\langle p \rangle \cap f$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (65) Let  $f$  be a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $p$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $p \neq \pi_{\text{len } f} f$  but  $p_1 = (\pi_{\text{len } f} f)_1$  or  $p_2 = (\pi_{\text{len } f} f)_2$  but  $\mathcal{L}(p, \pi_{\text{len } f} f) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \{\pi_{\text{len } f} f\}$ . Then  $f \cap \langle p \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 8. APPENDING CORNERS

We now state several propositions:

- (66) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_j f = \text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) \cap \langle \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (67) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_j f = \text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) \cap \langle \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (68) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_j f = \text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{SE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) \cap \langle \text{SE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (69) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_j f = \text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $(\text{mid}(f, i, j)) \cap \langle \text{NE-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (70) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_i f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{NW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $\langle \text{NW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle \cap \text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (71) Let given  $i, j$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\pi_i f = \text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{SW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ . Then  $\langle \text{SW-corner } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \rangle \cap \text{mid}(f, i, j)$  is a S-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Let  $f$  be a non constant standard special circular sequence. One can check that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  is simple closed curve.

## 9. THE ORDER

We now state a number of propositions:

- (72) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (73) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f > 1$ .
- (74) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{N-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (75) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{E-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{E-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (76) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{E-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{E-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (77) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{S-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{S-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (78) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{S-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{S-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (79) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  and  $\text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \neq \text{W-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < (\text{W-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f$ .
- (80) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{W-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < \text{len } f$ .
- (81) If  $\pi_1 f = \text{N-min } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , then  $(\text{W-max } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \leftrightarrow f < \text{len } f$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):241–245, 1996.
- [9] Czesław Byliński and Yatsuka Nakamura. Special polygons. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):247–252, 1996.
- [10] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Simple closed curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):663–664, 1991.
- [15] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [16] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):475–480, 1991.

- [17] Katarzyna Jankowska. Transpose matrices and groups of permutations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):711–717, 1991.
- [18] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [19] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):471–475, 1990.
- [20] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Go-board theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):125–129, 1992.
- [21] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):107–115, 1992.
- [22] Yatsuka Nakamura and Czesław Byliński. Extremal properties of vertices on special polygons, part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):97–102, 1996.
- [23] Yatsuka Nakamura and Roman Matuszewski. Reconstructions of special sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):255–263, 1997.
- [24] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-board into cells. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):323–328, 1996.
- [25] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [26] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. On the decomposition of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):317–322, 1996.
- [31] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the rectangular finite sequences of the points of the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):531–539, 1997.
- [32] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [33] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [34] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Received November 30, 1997*

---

# The Euler's Function

Yoshinori Fujisawa  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

Yasushi Fuwa  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** This article is concerned with the Euler's function [10] that plays an important role in cryptograms. In the first section, we present some selected theorems on integers. Next, we define the Euler's function. Finally, three theorems relating to the Euler's function are proved. The third theorem concerns two relatively prime integers which make up the Euler's function parameter. In the public key cryptography these two integer values are used as public and secret keys.

MML Identifier: EULER\_1.

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers: [12], [6], [1], [13], [9], [2], [3], [7], [8], [14], [11], [15], [4], and [5].

## 1. PRELIMINARY

We follow the rules:  $a, b, c, k, l, m, n$  are natural numbers and  $i, j, x, y$  are integers.

The following propositions are true:

- (1)  $k \in n$  iff  $k < n$ .
- (2)  $n$  and  $n$  are relative prime iff  $n = 1$ .
- (3) If  $k \neq 0$  and  $k < n$  and  $n$  is prime, then  $k$  and  $n$  are relative prime.
- (4)  $n$  is prime and  $k \in \{k_1; k_1 \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } n \text{ and } k_1 \text{ are relative prime} \wedge k_1 \geq 1 \wedge k_1 \leq n\}$  if and only if  $n$  is prime and  $k \in n$  and  $k \notin \{0\}$ .
- (5) For every finite set  $A$  and for every set  $x$  such that  $x \in A$  holds  $\overline{\overline{A \setminus \{x\}}} = \overline{A} - \overline{\{x\}}$ .

- (6) If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then for every  $c$  holds  $\gcd(a \cdot c, b \cdot c) = c$ .
- (7) If  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$  and  $\gcd(a \cdot c, b \cdot c) = c$ , then  $a$  and  $b$  are relative prime.
- (8) If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $\gcd(a + b, b) = 1$ .
- (9) For every  $c$  holds  $\gcd(a + b \cdot c, b) = \gcd(a, b)$ .
- (10) Suppose  $m$  and  $n$  are relative prime. Then there exists  $k$  such that
  - (i) there exist integers  $i_0, j_0$  such that  $k = i_0 \cdot m + j_0 \cdot n$  and  $k > 0$ , and
  - (ii) for every  $l$  such that there exist integers  $i, j$  such that  $l = i \cdot m + j \cdot n$  and  $l > 0$  holds  $k \leq l$ .
- (11) If  $m$  and  $n$  are relative prime, then for every  $k$  there exist  $i, j$  such that  $i \cdot m + j \cdot n = k$ .
- (12) For all non empty finite sets  $A, B$  such that there exists a function from  $A$  into  $B$  which is one-to-one and onto holds  $\overline{\overline{A}} = \overline{\overline{B}}$ .
- (13) For all integers  $i, k, n$  such that  $n \neq 0$  holds  $(i + k \cdot n) \bmod n = i \bmod n$ .
- (14) If  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$  and  $c \mid a \cdot b$  and  $a$  and  $c$  are relative prime, then  $c \mid b$ .
- (15) Suppose  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$  and  $a$  and  $c$  are relative prime and  $b$  and  $c$  are relative prime. Then  $a \cdot b$  and  $c$  are relative prime.
- (16) If  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$  and  $i > 0$ , then  $i \cdot x \gcd i \cdot y = i \cdot (x \gcd y)$ .
- (17) For every  $x$  such that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$  holds  $a + x \cdot b \gcd b = a \gcd b$ .

## 2. DEFINITION OF EULER'S FUNCTION

Let  $n$  be a natural number. The functor Euler  $n$  yields a natural number and is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) Euler  $n = \overline{\overline{\{k; k \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } n \text{ and } k \text{ are relative prime } \wedge k \geq 1 \wedge k \leq n\}}}$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (18) Euler 1 = 1.
- (19) Euler 2 = 1.
- (20) If  $n > 1$ , then Euler  $n \leq n - 1$ .
- (21) If  $n$  is prime, then Euler  $n = n - 1$ .
- (22) If  $m > 1$  and  $n > 1$  and  $m$  and  $n$  are relative prime, then Euler  $m \cdot n = \text{Euler } m \cdot \text{Euler } n$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Professor A. Trybulec for all his advice on this article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [8] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [9] Rafał Kwiatek and Grzegorz Zvara. The divisibility of integers and integer relative primes. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):829–832, 1990.
- [10] Teiji Takagi. *Elementary Theory of Numbers*. Kyoritsu Publishing Co., Ltd., second edition, 1995.
- [11] Yozo Toda. The formalization of simple graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):137–144, 1996.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [13] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [14] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [15] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Received December 10, 1997*

---



# While Macro Instructions of $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$

Jing-Chao Chen<sup>1</sup>  
Shanghai Jiaotong University  
Shanghai

**Summary.** The article defines *while macro instructions* based on  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Some theorems about the generalized halting problems of *while macro instructions* are proved.

MML Identifier:  $\text{SCMFSA}_9$ .

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following papers: [24], [32], [19], [8], [13], [33], [15], [16], [17], [12], [34], [7], [10], [14], [31], [18], [9], [20], [21], [25], [11], [23], [30], [29], [26], [27], [1], [28], [22], [5], [6], [4], [2], and [3].

The following propositions are true:

- (1) For every macro instruction  $I$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{card } if = 0(a, I; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(0)), \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) = \text{card } I + 6$ .
- (2) For every macro instruction  $I$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{card } if > 0(a, I; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(0)), \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) = \text{card } I + 6$ .

Let  $a$  be an integer location and let  $I$  be a macro instruction. The functor  $\text{while} = 0(a, I)$  yielding a macro instruction is defined as follows:

(Def. 1)  $\text{while} = 0(a, I) = if = 0(a, I; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(0)), \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) + \cdot(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 4) \mapsto \text{goto } \text{insloc}(0))$ .

The functor  $\text{while} > 0(a, I)$  yielding a macro instruction is defined by:

(Def. 2)  $\text{while} > 0(a, I) = if > 0(a, I; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(0)), \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}) + \cdot(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 4) \mapsto \text{goto } \text{insloc}(0))$ .

The following proposition is true

---

<sup>1</sup>Part of the work was done while the author was visiting the Institute of Mathematics at the University of Białystok.

- (3) For every macro instruction  $I$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{card } if = 0(a, \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, if > 0(a, \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, I; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(0)))) = \text{card } I + 11$ .

Let  $a$  be an integer location and let  $I$  be a macro instruction. The functor  $\text{while} < 0(a, I)$  yields a macro instruction and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 3)  $\text{while} < 0(a, I) = if = 0(a, \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, if > 0(a, \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}, I; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + \cdot (\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 4)) \mapsto \text{goto } \text{insloc}(0)$ .

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (4) For every macro instruction  $I$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{card } \text{while} = 0(a, I) = \text{card } I + 6$ .
- (5) For every macro instruction  $I$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{card } \text{while} > 0(a, I) = \text{card } I + 6$ .
- (6) For every macro instruction  $I$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $\text{card } \text{while} < 0(a, I) = \text{card } I + 11$ .
- (7) For every integer location  $a$  and for every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds **if**  $a = 0$  **goto**  $l \neq \text{halt}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (8) For every integer location  $a$  and for every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds **if**  $a > 0$  **goto**  $l \neq \text{halt}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (9) For every instruction-location  $l$  of  $\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  holds **goto**  $l \neq \text{halt}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (10) Let  $a$  be an integer location and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Then  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } \text{while} = 0(a, I)$  and  $\text{insloc}(1) \in \text{dom } \text{while} = 0(a, I)$  and  $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } \text{while} > 0(a, I)$  and  $\text{insloc}(1) \in \text{dom } \text{while} > 0(a, I)$ .
- (11) Let  $a$  be an integer location and  $I$  be a macro instruction. Then  $(\text{while} = 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(0)) = \text{if } a = 0 \text{ goto } \text{insloc}(4)$  and  $(\text{while} = 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(1)) = \text{goto } \text{insloc}(2)$  and  $(\text{while} > 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(0)) = \text{if } a > 0 \text{ goto } \text{insloc}(4)$  and  $(\text{while} > 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(1)) = \text{goto } \text{insloc}(2)$ .
- (12) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $k$  be a natural number. If  $k < 6$ , then  $\text{insloc}(k) \in \text{dom } \text{while} = 0(a, I)$ .
- (13) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $k$  be a natural number. If  $k < 6$ , then  $\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + k) \in \text{dom } \text{while} = 0(a, I)$ .
- (14) For every integer location  $a$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $(\text{while} = 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 5)) = \text{halt}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (15) For every integer location  $a$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $(\text{while} = 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(3)) = \text{goto } \text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 5)$ .
- (16) For every integer location  $a$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $(\text{while} = 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(2)) = \text{goto } \text{insloc}(3)$ .
- (17) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $k$  be a natural number. If  $k < \text{card } I + 6$ , then  $\text{insloc}(k) \in \text{dom } \text{while} = 0(a, I)$ .

- (18) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. If  $s(a) \neq 0$ , then  $\text{while} = 0(a, I)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $\text{while} = 0(a, I)$  is closed on  $s$ .
- (19) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose that
- (i)  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ ,
  - (ii)  $k < \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$ ,
  - (iii)  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(1+k)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)} + 4$ , and
  - (iv)  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(1+k) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .  
Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(1+k+1)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k+1)} + 4$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(1+k+1) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k+1) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ .
- (20) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$  and
- $$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(1 + \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 4)}$$
- Then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(1 + \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))) = \text{goto insloc}(\text{card } I + 4)$ .
- (21) For every integer location  $a$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $(\text{while} = 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 4)) = \text{goto insloc}(0)$ .
- (22) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$  and  $s(a) = 0$ . Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 3)} = \text{insloc}(0)$  and for every natural number  $k$  such that  $k \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 3$  holds  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)} \in \text{dom } \text{while} = 0(a, I)$ .

In the sequel  $s$  denotes a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  denotes a macro instruction, and  $a$  denotes a read-write integer location.

Let us consider  $s, I, a$ . The functor  $\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s)$  yields a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) and is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

- (Def. 4)(i)  $(\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(0) = s$ , and
- (ii) for every natural number  $i$  and for every element  $x$  of  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) such that  $x = (\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(i)$

holds  $(StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(i + 1) = (\text{Computation}(x + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot s_0)))(\text{LifeSpan}(x + \cdot (I + \cdot s_0)) + 3)$ .

In the sequel  $k, n$  are natural numbers.

We now state three propositions:

- (23)  $(StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(0) = s$ .
- (24)  $(StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k + 1) = (\text{Computation}((StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k) + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot s_0)))(\text{LifeSpan}((StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k) + \cdot (I + \cdot s_0)) + 3)$ .
- (25)  $(StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k + 1) = (StepWhile = 0(a, I, (StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k)))(1)$ .

The scheme *MinIndex* deals with a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a natural number and a natural number  $\mathcal{A}$ , and states that:

There exists  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(k) = 0$  and for every  $n$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(n) = 0$  holds  $k \leq n$

provided the parameters meet the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{F}(0) = \mathcal{A}$ , and
- For every  $k$  holds  $\mathcal{F}(k + 1) < \mathcal{F}(k)$  or  $\mathcal{F}(k) = 0$ .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (26) For all functions  $f, g$  holds  $f + \cdot g + \cdot g = f + \cdot g$ .
- (27) For all functions  $f, g, h$  and for every set  $D$  such that  $(f + \cdot g) \upharpoonright D = h \upharpoonright D$  holds  $(h + \cdot g) \upharpoonright D = (f + \cdot g) \upharpoonright D$ .
- (28) For all functions  $f, g, h$  and for every set  $D$  such that  $f \upharpoonright D = h \upharpoonright D$  holds  $(h + \cdot g) \upharpoonright D = (f + \cdot g) \upharpoonright D$ .
- (29) For all states  $s_1, s_2$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $\mathbf{IC}_{(s_1)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(s_2)}$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$  and  $s_1 \upharpoonright I_1 = s_2 \upharpoonright I_1$  holds  $s_1 = s_2$ .
- (30) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be a read-write integer location, and  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Then  $(StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(0 + 1) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot s_0)))(\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot s_0)) + 3)$ .
- (31) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be a read-write integer location,  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $k, n$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $\mathbf{IC}_{(StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)} = \text{insloc}(0)$  and  $(StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)$ . Then  $(StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k) = (StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k) + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$  and  $(StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k + 1) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} = 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n + (\text{LifeSpan}((StepWhile = 0(a, I, s))(k) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 3))$ .
- (32) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be a read-write integer location, and  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose that

- (i) for every natural number  $k$  holds  $I$  is closed on  $(\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k)$  and halting on  $(\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k)$ , and
- (ii) there exists a function  $f$  from  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) into  $\mathbb{N}$  such that for every natural number  $k$  holds  $f((\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k+1)) < f((\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k))$  or  $f((\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k)) = 0$  but  $f((\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k)) = 0$  iff  $(\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k)(a) \neq 0$ .

Then  $\text{while} = 0(a, I)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $\text{while} = 0(a, I)$  is closed on  $s$ .

- (33) Let  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction,  $a$  be a read-write integer location, and  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Given a function  $f$  from  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) into  $\mathbb{N}$  such that let  $k$  be a natural number. Then  $f((\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k+1)) < f((\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k))$  or  $f((\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k)) = 0$  but  $f((\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k)) = 0$  iff  $(\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(k)(a) \neq 0$ . Then  $\text{while} = 0(a, I)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $\text{while} = 0(a, I)$  is closed on  $s$ .
- (34) Let  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Given a function  $f$  from  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) into  $\mathbb{N}$  such that let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Then  $f((\text{StepWhile} = 0(a, I, s))(1)) < f(s)$  or  $f(s) = 0$  but  $f(s) = 0$  iff  $s(a) \neq 0$ . Then  $\text{while} = 0(a, I)$  is parahalting.
- (35) For all instructions-locations  $l_1, l_2$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  and for every integer location  $a$  holds  $l_1 \vdash \text{goto } l_2$  does not destroy  $a$ .
- (36) For every instruction  $i$  of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  such that  $i$  does not destroy  $\text{infloc}(0)$  holds  $\text{Macro}(i)$  is good.

Let  $I, J$  be good macro instructions and let  $a$  be an integer location. Note that  $if = 0(a, I, J)$  is good.

Let  $I$  be a good macro instruction and let  $a$  be an integer location. One can verify that  $\text{while} = 0(a, I)$  is good.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (37) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $k$  be a natural number. If  $k < 6$ , then  $\text{insloc}(k) \in \text{dom } \text{while} > 0(a, I)$ .
- (38) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $k$  be a natural number. If  $k < 6$ , then  $\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + k) \in \text{dom } \text{while} > 0(a, I)$ .
- (39) For every integer location  $a$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $(\text{while} > 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 5)) = \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$ .
- (40) For every integer location  $a$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $(\text{while} > 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(3)) = \text{goto insloc}(\text{card } I + 5)$ .
- (41) For every integer location  $a$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $(\text{while} > 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(2)) = \text{goto insloc}(3)$ .
- (42) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $k$  be a natural

number. If  $k < \text{card } I + 6$ , then  $\text{insloc}(k) \in \text{dom } \text{while} > 0(a, I)$ .

(43) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. If  $s(a) \leq 0$ , then  $\text{while} > 0(a, I)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $\text{while} > 0(a, I)$  is closed on  $s$ .

(44) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $k$  be a natural number. Suppose that

(i)  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$ ,

(ii)  $k < \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))$ ,

(iii)  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(\text{while} > 0(a, I)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(1+k))} =$

$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(k)+4)}$ , and

(iv)  $(\text{Computation}(s+(\text{while} > 0(a, I)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(1+k)) \upharpoonright D =$   
 $(\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(k)) \upharpoonright D$ .

Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(\text{while} > 0(a, I)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(1+k+1))} =$

$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(k+1)+4)}$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s+(\text{while} > 0(a, I)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(1+k+1)) \upharpoonright D =$

$(\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(k+1)) \upharpoonright D$ .

(45) Let  $a$  be an integer location,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$  and

$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(\text{while} > 0(a, I)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(1+\text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))))} =$

$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(\text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))+4)}$ .

Then  $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s+(\text{while} > 0(a, I)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(1+\text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))) = \text{goto insloc}(\text{card } I + 4)$ .

(46) For every integer location  $a$  and for every macro instruction  $I$  holds  $(\text{while} > 0(a, I))(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 4)) = \text{goto insloc}(0)$ .

(47) Let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  be a macro instruction, and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Suppose  $I$  is closed on  $s$  and halting on  $s$  and  $s(a) > 0$ .

Then  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(\text{while} > 0(a, I)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(1+\text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))+3)} = \text{insloc}(0)$  and for every natural number  $k$  such that  $k \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s+(I+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 3$  holds

$\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s+(\text{while} > 0(a, I)+\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0))))(k))} \in \text{dom } \text{while} > 0(a, I)$ .

In the sequel  $s$  denotes a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ,  $I$  denotes a macro instruction, and  $a$  denotes a read-write integer location.

Let us consider  $s, I, a$ . The functor  $\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s)$  yielding a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) is defined by the conditions (Def. 5).

(Def. 5)(i)  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(0) = s$ , and

(ii) for every natural number  $i$  and for every element  $x$  of  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) such that  $x = (\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(i)$  holds  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(i+1) = (\text{Computation}(x+(\text{while} > 0(a, I)+s_0))(\text{LifeSpan}(x+(I+s_0))+3))$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (48)  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(0) = s$ .
- (49)  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k + 1) = (\text{Computation}((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k) + \cdot (\text{while} > 0(a, I) + \cdot s_0)))(\text{LifeSpan}((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k) + \cdot (I + \cdot s_0)) + 3)$ .
- (50)  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k + 1) = (\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, (\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)))(1)$ .
- (51) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be a read-write integer location, and  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Then  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(0 + 1) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} > 0(a, I) + \cdot s_0)))(\text{LifeSpan}(s + \cdot (I + \cdot s_0)) + 3)$ .
- (52) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be a read-write integer location,  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ , and  $k, n$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)} = \text{insloc}(0)$  and  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} > 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n)$ . Then  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k) = (\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k) + \cdot (\text{while} > 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$  and  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k + 1) = (\text{Computation}(s + \cdot (\text{while} > 0(a, I) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(n + (\text{LifeSpan}((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k) + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))) + 3))$ .
- (53) Let  $I$  be a macro instruction,  $a$  be a read-write integer location, and  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Suppose that
- (i) for every natural number  $k$  holds  $I$  is closed on  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)$  and halting on  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)$ , and
  - (ii) there exists a function  $f$  from  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) into  $\mathbb{N}$  such that for every natural number  $k$  holds  $f((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k + 1)) < f((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k))$  or  $f((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)) = 0$  but  $f((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)) = 0$  iff  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)(a) \leq 0$ .
- Then  $\text{while} > 0(a, I)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $\text{while} > 0(a, I)$  is closed on  $s$ .
- (54) Let  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction,  $a$  be a read-write integer location, and  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Given a function  $f$  from  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) into  $\mathbb{N}$  such that let  $k$  be a natural number. Then  $f((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k + 1)) < f((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k))$  or  $f((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)) = 0$  but  $f((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)) = 0$  iff  $(\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(k)(a) \leq 0$ . Then  $\text{while} > 0(a, I)$  is halting on  $s$  and  $\text{while} > 0(a, I)$  is closed on  $s$ .
- (55) Let  $I$  be a parahalting macro instruction and  $a$  be a read-write integer location. Given a function  $f$  from  $\prod$  (the object kind of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ ) into  $\mathbb{N}$  such that let  $s$  be a state of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Then  $f((\text{StepWhile} > 0(a, I, s))(1)) < f(s)$  or  $f(s) = 0$  but  $f(s) = 0$  iff  $s(a) \leq 0$ . Then  $\text{while} > 0(a, I)$  is parahalting.

Let  $I, J$  be good macro instructions and let  $a$  be an integer location. One can verify that  $if > 0(a, I, J)$  is good.

Let  $I$  be a good macro instruction and let  $a$  be an integer location. One can verify that  $while > 0(a, I)$  is good.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank Prof. Andrzej Trybulec and Dr. Grzegorz Bancerek for their helpful comments and encouragement during his stay in Białystok.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Noriko Asamoto. Some multi instructions defined by sequence of instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):615–619, 1996.
- [2] Noriko Asamoto. Conditional branch macro instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):65–72, 1997.
- [3] Noriko Asamoto. Conditional branch macro instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):73–80, 1997.
- [4] Noriko Asamoto. Constant assignment macro instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):59–63, 1997.
- [5] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):41–47, 1997.
- [6] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part III. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):53–57, 1997.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [11] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Development of terminology for  $\mathbf{scm}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):61–67, 1993.
- [12] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [16] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [17] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [18] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):701–709, 1991.
- [19] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [20] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [21] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. On a mathematical model of programs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):241–250, 1992.
- [22] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Memory handling for  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):29–36, 1997.
- [23] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):1–8, 1996.

- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Modifying addresses of instructions of  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):571–576, 1996.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Relocability for  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):583–586, 1996.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Noriko Asamoto. On the compositions of macro instructions. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):21–27, 1997.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The  $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$  computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):519–528, 1996.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. An extension of  $\mathbf{scm}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):507–512, 1996.
- [31] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [32] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [33] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [34] Wojciech Zielonka. Preliminaries to the Lambek calculus. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(3):413–418, 1991.

*Received December 10, 1997*

---



# A Decomposition of a Simple Closed Curves and the Order of Their Points

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

Andrzej Trybulec  
University of Białystok

**Summary.** The goal of the article is to introduce an order on a simple closed curve. To do this, we fix two points on the curve and divide it into two arcs. We prove that such a decomposition is unique. Other auxiliary theorems about arcs are proven for preparation of the proof of the above.

MML Identifier: JORDAN6.

The papers [41], [46], [45], [40], [26], [1], [49], [44], [37], [12], [39], [10], [36], [32], [48], [2], [7], [8], [4], [20], [21], [34], [33], [29], [11], [43], [28], [19], [35], [16], [9], [15], [42], [18], [22], [17], [6], [23], [27], [3], [31], [5], [38], [13], [25], [47], [14], [30], and [24] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. MIDDLE POINTS OF ARCS

For simplicity, we use the following convention:  $a, b, c, s, r$  are real numbers,  $n$  is a natural number,  $p, q$  are points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $P$  is a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (1) If  $a = \frac{a+b}{2}$ , then  $a = b$ .
- (2) If  $r \leq s$ , then  $r \leq \frac{r+s}{2}$  and  $\frac{r+s}{2} \leq s$ .
- (3) Let  $T_1$  be a non empty topological space,  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $T_1$ ,  $A$  be a subset of the carrier of  $T_1 \upharpoonright P$ , and  $B$  be a subset of the carrier of  $T_1$ . If  $B$  is closed and  $A = B \cap P$ , then  $A$  is closed.

- (4) Let  $T_1, T_2$  be non empty topological spaces,  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $T_2$ , and  $f$  be a map from  $T_1$  into  $T_2|P$ . Then
- (i)  $f$  is a map from  $T_1$  into  $T_2$ , and
  - (ii) for every map  $f_2$  from  $T_1$  into  $T_2$  such that  $f_2 = f$  and  $f$  is continuous holds  $f_2$  is continuous.
- (5) Let  $r$  be a real number and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^2: p_1 \geq r\}$ , then  $P$  is closed.
- (6) Let  $r$  be a real number and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^2: p_1 \leq r\}$ , then  $P$  is closed.
- (7) Let  $r$  be a real number and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^2: p_1 = r\}$ , then  $P$  is closed.
- (8) Let  $r$  be a real number and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^2: p_2 \geq r\}$ , then  $P$  is closed.
- (9) Let  $r$  be a real number and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^2: p_2 \leq r\}$ , then  $P$  is closed.
- (10) Let  $r$  be a real number and  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^2: p_2 = r\}$ , then  $P$  is closed.
- (11) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $P$  is connected.
- (12) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $P$  is closed.
- (13) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . Then there exists a point  $q$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $q \in P$  and  $q_1 = \frac{(p_1)_1 + (p_2)_1}{2}$ .
- (14) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $Q = \{q : q_1 = \frac{(p_1)_1 + (p_2)_1}{2}\}$ . Then  $P$  meets  $Q$  and  $P \cap Q$  is closed.
- (15) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $Q = \{q : q_2 = \frac{(p_1)_2 + (p_2)_2}{2}\}$ . Then  $P$  meets  $Q$  and  $P \cap Q$  is closed.

Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Let us assume that  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . The functor  $\text{xMiddle}(P, p_1, p_2)$  yields a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 1) For every subset  $Q$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $Q = \{q : q_1 = \frac{(p_1)_1 + (p_2)_1}{2}\}$  holds  $\text{xMiddle}(P, p_1, p_2) = \text{FPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, Q)$ .

Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Let us assume that  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . The functor  $\text{yMiddle}(P, p_1, p_2)$  yields a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and is defined by:

(Def. 2) For every subset  $Q$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $Q = \{q : q_2 = \frac{(p_1)_2 + (p_2)_2}{2}\}$  holds  $yMiddle(P, p_1, p_2) = FPoint(P, p_1, p_2, Q)$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (16) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $xMiddle(P, p_1, p_2) \in P$  and  $yMiddle(P, p_1, p_2) \in P$ .
- (17) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $p_1 = xMiddle(P, p_1, p_2)$  iff  $(p_1)_1 = (p_2)_1$ .
- (18) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $p_1 = yMiddle(P, p_1, p_2)$  iff  $(p_1)_2 = (p_2)_2$ .

## 2. SEGMENTS OF ARCS

The following proposition is true

- (19) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $LE\ q_1, q_2, P, p_1, p_2$ , then  $LE\ q_2, q_1, P, p_2, p_1$ .

Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . The functor  $LSegment(P, p_1, p_2, q_1)$  yields a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and is defined by:

(Def. 3)  $LSegment(P, p_1, p_2, q_1) = \{q : LE\ q, q_1, P, p_1, p_2\}$ .

Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . The functor  $RSegment(P, p_1, p_2, q_1)$  yielding a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 4)  $RSegment(P, p_1, p_2, q_1) = \{q : LE\ q_1, q, P, p_1, p_2\}$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (20) For every non empty subset  $P$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and for all points  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $LSegment(P, p_1, p_2, q_1) \subseteq P$ .
- (21) For every non empty subset  $P$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and for all points  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $RSegment(P, p_1, p_2, q_1) \subseteq P$ .
- (22) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $LSegment(P, p_1, p_2, p_1) = \{p_1\}$ .
- (23) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $q \in P$ , then  $LE\ q, p_2, P, p_1, p_2$ .
- (24) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $q \in P$ , then  $LE\ p_1, q, P, p_1, p_2$ .

- (25) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $\text{LSegment}(P, p_1, p_2, p_2) = P$ .
- (26) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $\text{RSegment}(P, p_1, p_2, p_2) = \{p_2\}$ .
- (27) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $\text{RSegment}(P, p_1, p_2, p_1) = P$ .
- (28) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $q_1 \in P$ , then  $\text{RSegment}(P, p_1, p_2, q_1) = \text{LSegment}(P, p_2, p_1, q_1)$ .

Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . The functor  $\text{Segment}(P, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2)$  yielding a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is defined by:

(Def. 5)  $\text{Segment}(P, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) = \text{RSegment}(P, p_1, p_2, q_1) \cap \text{LSegment}(P, p_1, p_2, q_2)$ .

Next we state four propositions:

- (29) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Then  $\text{Segment}(P, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) = \{q : \text{LE } q_1, q, P, p_1, p_2 \wedge \text{LE } q, q_2, P, p_1, p_2\}$ .
- (30) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . Then  $\text{LE } q_1, q_2, P, p_1, p_2$  if and only if  $\text{LE } q_2, q_1, P, p_2, p_1$ .
- (31) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $q \in P$ , then  $\text{LSegment}(P, p_1, p_2, q) = \text{RSegment}(P, p_2, p_1, q)$ .
- (32) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $q_1 \in P$  and  $q_2 \in P$ , then  $\text{Segment}(P, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) = \text{Segment}(P, p_2, p_1, q_2, q_1)$ .

### 3. DECOMPOSITION OF A SIMPLE CLOSED CURVE INTO TWO ARCS

Let  $s$  be a real number. The functor  $\text{VerticalLine } s$  yields a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 6)  $\text{VerticalLine } s = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^2: p_1 = s\}$ .

The functor  $\text{HorizontalLine } s$  yielding a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 7)  $\text{HorizontalLine } s = \{p : p_2 = s\}$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (33) For every real number  $r$  holds  $\text{VerticalLine } r$  is closed and  $\text{HorizontalLine } r$  is closed.

- (34) For every real number  $r$  and for every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $p \in \text{VerticalLine } r$  holds  $p_1 = r$ .
- (35) For every real number  $r$  and for every point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $p \in \text{HorizontalLine } r$  holds  $p_2 = r$ .
- (36) For every compact non empty subset  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{W-min } P \in P$  and  $\text{W-max } P \in P$ .
- (37) For every compact non empty subset  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{N-min } P \in P$  and  $\text{N-max } P \in P$ .
- (38) For every compact non empty subset  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{E-min } P \in P$  and  $\text{E-max } P \in P$ .
- (39) For every compact non empty subset  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  holds  $\text{S-min } P \in P$  and  $\text{S-max } P \in P$ .
- (40) Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is a simple closed curve. Then there exist non empty subsets  $P_1, P_2$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that
  - (i)  $P_1$  is an arc from  $\text{W-min } P$  to  $\text{E-max } P$ ,
  - (ii)  $P_2$  is an arc from  $\text{E-max } P$  to  $\text{W-min } P$ ,
  - (iii)  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{\text{W-min } P, \text{E-max } P\}$ ,
  - (iv)  $P_1 \cup P_2 = P$ , and
  - (v)  $(\text{FPoint}(P_1, \text{W-min } P, \text{E-max } P, \text{VerticalLine } \frac{\text{W-bound } P + \text{E-bound } P}{2}))_2 > (\text{LPoint}(P_2, \text{E-max } P, \text{W-min } P, \text{VerticalLine } \frac{\text{W-bound } P + \text{E-bound } P}{2}))_2$ .

#### 4. UNIQUENESS OF DECOMPOSITION OF A SIMPLE CLOSED CURVE

One can prove the following propositions:

- (41) For every subset  $P$  of the carrier of  $\mathbb{I}$  such that  $P = (\text{the carrier of } \mathbb{I}) \setminus \{0, 1\}$  holds  $P$  is open.
- (42) For all subsets  $B_1, B_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $B_2$  is lower bounded and  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  holds  $B_1$  is lower bounded.
- (43) For all subsets  $B_1, B_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $B_2$  is upper bounded and  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  holds  $B_1$  is upper bounded.
- (44) For all  $r, s$  holds  $]r, s[ \cap \{r, s\} = \emptyset$ .
- (45) For all  $a, b, c$  holds  $c \in ]a, b[$  iff  $a < c$  and  $c < b$ .
- (46) For every subset  $P$  of the carrier of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and for all  $r, s$  such that  $P = ]r, s[$  holds  $P$  is open.
- (47) Let  $S$  be a non empty topological space,  $P_1, P_2$  be subsets of the carrier of  $S$ , and  $P'_1$  be a subset of the carrier of  $S \upharpoonright P_2$ . If  $P_1 = P'_1$  and  $P_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ , then  $S \upharpoonright P_1 = S \upharpoonright P_2 \upharpoonright P'_1$ .

- (48) For every subset  $P_7$  of the carrier of  $\mathbb{I}$  such that  $P_7 =$  (the carrier of  $\mathbb{I}) \setminus \{0, 1\}$  holds  $P_7 \neq \emptyset$  and  $P_7$  is connected.
- (49) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $p_1 \neq p_2$ .
- (50) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^n)|P$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $Q = P \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$ , then  $Q$  is open.
- (51) For all points  $p, q$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and for every non empty subset  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  such that  $P$  is an arc from  $p$  to  $q$  holds  $P$  is compact.
- (52) Let  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $P_1, P_2$  be non empty subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^n)|P$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Suppose  $p_1 \in P$  and  $p_2 \in P$  and  $P_1$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $P_2$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $P_1 \cup P_2 = P$  and  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{p_1, p_2\}$  and  $Q = P_1 \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$ . Then  $Q$  is open.
- (53) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^n)|P$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $Q = P \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$ , then  $Q$  is connected.
- (54) Let  $G_1$  be a non empty topological space,  $P_1, P$  be non empty subsets of the carrier of  $G_1$ ,  $Q'$  be a subset of the carrier of  $G_1|P_1$ , and  $Q$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $G_1|P$ . If  $P_1 \subseteq P$  and  $Q = Q'$  and  $Q'$  is connected, then  $Q$  is connected.
- (55) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Suppose  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . Then there exists a point  $p_3$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  such that  $p_3 \in P$  and  $p_3 \neq p_1$  and  $p_3 \neq p_2$ .
- (56) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $P \setminus \{p_1, p_2\} \neq \emptyset$ .
- (57) Let  $P_1$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $P$  be a subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^n)|P$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $P_1$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $P_1 \subseteq P$  and  $Q = P_1 \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$ , then  $Q$  is connected.
- (58) Let  $T, S, V$  be non empty topological spaces,  $P_1$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $S$ ,  $P_2$  be a subset of the carrier of  $S$ ,  $f$  be a map from  $T$  into  $S|P_1$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $S|P_2$  into  $V$ . Suppose  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$  and  $f$  is continuous and  $g$  is continuous. Then there exists a map  $h$  from  $T$  into  $V$  such that  $h = g \cdot f$  and  $h$  is continuous.
- (59) Let  $P_1, P_2$  be non empty subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $P_1$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $P_2$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ , then  $P_1 = P_2$ .
- (60) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ ,  $Q$  be a subset of the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is a simple closed

curve and  $p_1 \in P$  and  $p_2 \in P$  and  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $Q = P \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$ . Then  $Q$  is not connected.

- (61) Let  $P, P_1, P_2, P'_1, P'_2$  be non empty subsets of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose that
  - (i)  $P$  is a simple closed curve,
  - (ii)  $P_1$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ ,
  - (iii)  $P_2$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ ,
  - (iv)  $P_1 \cup P_2 = P$ ,
  - (v)  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{p_1, p_2\}$ ,
  - (vi)  $P'_1$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ ,
  - (vii)  $P'_2$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ ,
  - (viii)  $P'_1 \cup P'_2 = P$ , and
  - (ix)  $P'_1 \cap P'_2 = \{p_1, p_2\}$ .

Then  $P_1 = P'_1$  and  $P_2 = P'_2$  or  $P_1 = P'_2$  and  $P_2 = P'_1$ .

### 5. LOWER ARCS AND UPPER ARCS

One can prove the following propositions:

- (62) Let  $P_1$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P_1$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , then  $P_1$  is closed.
- (63) Let  $G_1, G_2$  be non empty topological spaces,  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $G_2$ ,  $f$  be a map from  $G_1$  into  $G_2 \setminus P$ , and  $f_1$  be a map from  $G_1$  into  $G_2$ . If  $f = f_1$  and  $f$  is continuous, then  $f_1$  is continuous.
- (64) Let  $P_1$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $(p_1)_1 \leq (p_2)_1$  and  $P_1$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . Then  $P_1 \cap \text{VerticalLine} \frac{(p_1)_1 + (p_2)_1}{2} \neq \emptyset$  and  $P_1 \cap \text{VerticalLine} \frac{(p_1)_1 + (p_2)_1}{2}$  is closed.

Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Let us assume that  $P$  is a simple closed curve. The functor  $\text{UpperArc } P$  yields a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and is defined by the conditions (Def. 8).

- (Def. 8)(i)  $\text{UpperArc } P$  is an arc from  $\text{W-min } P$  to  $\text{E-max } P$ , and
- (ii) there exists a non empty subset  $P_2$  of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that
  - $P_2$  is an arc from  $\text{E-max } P$  to  $\text{W-min } P$  and  $\text{UpperArc } P \cap P_2 = \{\text{W-min } P, \text{E-max } P\}$  and  $\text{UpperArc } P \cup P_2 = P$  and
  - $(\text{FPoint}(\text{UpperArc } P, \text{W-min } P, \text{E-max } P, \text{VerticalLine} \frac{\text{W-bound } P + \text{E-bound } P}{2}))_2 >$
  - $(\text{LPoint}(P_2, \text{E-max } P, \text{W-min } P, \text{VerticalLine} \frac{\text{W-bound } P + \text{E-bound } P}{2}))_2$ .

Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Let us assume that  $P$  is a simple closed curve. The functor  $\text{LowerArc } P$  yielding a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 9) LowerArc  $P$  is an arc from E-max  $P$  to W-min  $P$  and UpperArc  $P \cap$  LowerArc  $P = \{W\text{-min } P, E\text{-max } P\}$  and UpperArc  $P \cup$  LowerArc  $P = P$  and  $(F\text{Point}(\text{UpperArc } P, W\text{-min } P, E\text{-max } P, \text{VerticalLine } \frac{W\text{-bound } P + E\text{-bound } P}{2}))_{\mathbf{2}} > (L\text{Point}(\text{LowerArc } P, E\text{-max } P, W\text{-min } P, \text{VerticalLine } \frac{W\text{-bound } P + E\text{-bound } P}{2}))_{\mathbf{2}}$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (65) Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Suppose  $P$  is a simple closed curve. Then
- (i) UpperArc  $P$  is an arc from W-min  $P$  to E-max  $P$ ,
  - (ii) UpperArc  $P$  is an arc from E-max  $P$  to W-min  $P$ ,
  - (iii) LowerArc  $P$  is an arc from E-max  $P$  to W-min  $P$ ,
  - (iv) LowerArc  $P$  is an arc from W-min  $P$  to E-max  $P$ ,
  - (v) UpperArc  $P \cap$  LowerArc  $P = \{W\text{-min } P, E\text{-max } P\}$ ,
  - (vi) UpperArc  $P \cup$  LowerArc  $P = P$ , and
  - (vii)  $(F\text{Point}(\text{UpperArc } P, W\text{-min } P, E\text{-max } P, \text{VerticalLine } \frac{W\text{-bound } P + E\text{-bound } P}{2}))_{\mathbf{2}} > (L\text{Point}(\text{LowerArc } P, E\text{-max } P, W\text{-min } P, \text{VerticalLine } \frac{W\text{-bound } P + E\text{-bound } P}{2}))_{\mathbf{2}}$ .
- (66) Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is a simple closed curve, then LowerArc  $P = (P \setminus \text{UpperArc } P) \cup \{W\text{-min } P, E\text{-max } P\}$  and UpperArc  $P = (P \setminus \text{LowerArc } P) \cup \{W\text{-min } P, E\text{-max } P\}$ .
- (67) Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $P_1$  be a subset of the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$ . If  $P$  is a simple closed curve and UpperArc  $P \cap P_1 = \{W\text{-min } P, E\text{-max } P\}$  and UpperArc  $P \cup P_1 = P$ , then  $P_1 = \text{LowerArc } P$ .
- (68) Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $P_1$  be a subset of the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}_T^2)|P$ . If  $P$  is a simple closed curve and  $P_1 \cap \text{LowerArc } P = \{W\text{-min } P, E\text{-max } P\}$  and  $P_1 \cup \text{LowerArc } P = P$ , then  $P_1 = \text{UpperArc } P$ .

## 6. AN ORDER OF POINTS IN A SIMPLE CLOSED CURVE

One can prove the following propositions:

- (69) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and LE  $q, p_1, P, p_1, p_2$ , then  $q = p_1$ .
- (70) Let  $P$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is an arc from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and LE  $p_2, q, P, p_1, p_2$ , then  $q = p_2$ .

Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and let  $q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . The predicate LE( $q_1, q_2, P$ ) is defined by the conditions (Def. 10).

- (Def. 10)(i)  $q_1 \in \text{UpperArc } P$  and  $q_2 \in \text{LowerArc } P$  and  $q_2 \neq W\text{-min } P$ , or
- (ii)  $q_1 \in \text{UpperArc } P$  and  $q_2 \in \text{UpperArc } P$  and LE  $q_1, q_2, \text{UpperArc } P, W\text{-min } P, E\text{-max } P$ , or

- (iii)  $q_1 \in \text{LowerArc } P$  and  $q_2 \in \text{LowerArc } P$  and  $q_2 \neq \text{W-min } P$  and  $\text{LE } q_1, q_2, \text{LowerArc } P, \text{E-max } P, \text{W-min } P$ .

Next we state three propositions:

- (71) Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $q$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is a simple closed curve and  $q \in P$ , then  $\text{LE}(q, q, P)$ .
- (72) Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $q_1, q_2$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is a simple closed curve and  $\text{LE}(q_1, q_2, P)$  and  $\text{LE}(q_2, q_1, P)$ , then  $q_1 = q_2$ .
- (73) Let  $P$  be a compact non empty subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . If  $P$  is a simple closed curve and  $\text{LE}(q_1, q_2, P)$  and  $\text{LE}(q_2, q_3, P)$ , then  $\text{LE}(q_1, q_3, P)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations applied to finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):643–649, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Semigroup operations on finite subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):651–656, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):701–709, 1991.
- [14] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [17] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [18] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [19] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [20] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [21] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Simple closed curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):663–664, 1991.
- [22] Agata Darmochwał and Andrzej Trybulec. Similarity of formulae. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):635–642, 1991.

- [23] Alicia de la Cruz. Totally bounded metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):559–562, 1991.
- [24] Adam Grabowski. Introduction to the homotopy theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):449–454, 1997.
- [25] Adam Grabowski and Yatsuka Nakamura. The ordering of points on a curve. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):467–473, 1997.
- [26] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [27] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [28] Zbigniew Karno. Separated and weakly separated subspaces of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):665–674, 1991.
- [29] Zbigniew Karno. Continuity of mappings over the union of subspaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):1–16, 1992.
- [30] Zbigniew Karno. On Kolmogorov topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):119–124, 1996.
- [31] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [32] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [33] Roman Matuszewski and Yatsuka Nakamura. Projections in n-dimensional Euclidean space to each coordinates. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):505–509, 1997.
- [34] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):239–244, 1990.
- [35] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [36] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [37] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [38] Agnieszka Sakowicz, Jarosław Gryko, and Adam Grabowski. Sequences in  $\mathcal{E}_T^N$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):93–96, 1996.
- [39] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [40] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [41] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [42] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [43] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [44] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [45] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [46] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [47] Toshihiko Watanabe. The Brouwer fixed point theorem for intervals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):85–88, 1992.
- [48] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [49] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

*Received December 19, 1997*

---

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Andrzej Kondracki  
AMS Management Systems Poland  
Warsaw

**Summary.** The article is a translation of the first chapters of a book *Wstęp do teorii liczb* (Eng. *Introduction to Number Theory*) by W. Sierpiński, WSiP, Biblioteczka Matematyczna, Warszawa, 1987. The first few pages of this book have already been formalized in MML. We prove the Chinese Remainder Theorem and Thue's Theorem as well as several useful number theory propositions.

MML Identifier: WSIERP\_1.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [20], [16], [9], [14], [18], [1], [10], [13], [12], [15], [11], [17], [21], [6], [7], [2], [5], [3], [8], [4], and [19].

For simplicity, we follow the rules:  $x, y, z, w$  denote real numbers,  $a, b, c, d, e, f, g$  denote natural numbers,  $k, l, m, n, m_1, n_1$  denote integers, and  $q$  denotes a rational number.

The following propositions are true:

- (1) If  $y \neq 0$ , then  $(\frac{x}{y})^a = \frac{x^a}{y^a}$ .
- (2)  $x^2 = x \cdot x$  and  $(-x)^2 = x^2$ .
- (3)  $(-x)^{2 \cdot a} = x^{2 \cdot a}$  and  $(-x)^{2 \cdot a + 1} = -x^{2 \cdot a + 1}$ .
- (4) If  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x_{\mathbb{Z}}^a = x^a$ .
- (5) If  $x \geq 0$  and  $y \geq 0$  and  $d > 0$  and  $x^d = y^d$ , then  $x = y$ .
- (6)  $x > \max(y, z)$  iff  $x > y$  and  $x > z$ .
- (7) If  $x \leq 0$  and  $y \geq z$ , then  $y - x \geq z$  and  $y \geq z + x$ .
- (8) If  $x \leq 0$  and  $y > z$  or  $x < 0$  and  $y \geq z$ , then  $y > z + x$  and  $y - x > z$ .

Let us consider  $a, b$ . Then  $\gcd(a, b)$  is a natural number. Let us observe that the functor  $\gcd(a, b)$  is commutative.

Let us consider  $m, n$ . Then  $m \gcd n$  is an integer. Let us observe that the functor  $m \gcd n$  is commutative.

Let us consider  $k, a$ . Then  $k^a$  is an integer.

Let us consider  $a, b$ . Then  $a^b$  is a natural number.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (9) If  $k \mid m$  and  $k \mid n$ , then  $k \mid m + n$ .
- (10) If  $k \mid m$  and  $k \mid n$ , then  $k \mid m \cdot m_1 + n \cdot n_1$ .
- (11) If  $m \operatorname{gcd} n = 1$  and  $k \operatorname{gcd} n = 1$ , then  $m \cdot k \operatorname{gcd} n = 1$ .
- (12) If  $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{gcd}(c, b) = 1$ , then  $\operatorname{gcd}(a \cdot c, b) = 1$ .
- (13)  $0 \operatorname{gcd} m = |m|$  and  $1 \operatorname{gcd} m = 1$ .
- (14)  $1$  and  $k$  are relative prime.
- (15) If  $k$  and  $l$  are relative prime, then  $k^a$  and  $l$  are relative prime.
- (16) If  $k$  and  $l$  are relative prime, then  $k^a$  and  $l^b$  are relative prime.
- (17) If  $k \operatorname{gcd} l = 1$ , then  $k \operatorname{gcd} l^b = 1$  and  $k^a \operatorname{gcd} l^b = 1$ .
- (18)  $|m| \mid k$  iff  $m \mid k$ .
- (19) If  $a \mid b$ , then  $a^c \mid b^c$ .
- (20) If  $a \mid 1$ , then  $a = 1$ .
- (21) If  $d \mid a$  and  $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) = 1$ , then  $\operatorname{gcd}(d, b) = 1$ .
- (22) If  $k \neq 0$ , then  $k \mid l$  iff  $\frac{l}{k}$  is an integer.
- (23) If  $a \leq b - c$ , then  $a \leq b$  and  $c \leq b$ .

In the sequel  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  are finite sequences.

Next we state two propositions:

- (24) If  $a \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{len} f_2$ , then  $a \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{len}(f_2 \hat{\ } f_3)$ .
- (25) If  $a \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{len} f_3$ , then  $\operatorname{len} f_2 + a \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{len}(f_2 \hat{\ } f_3)$ .

Let  $f_4$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let us consider  $a$ . Then  $f_4(a)$  is a real number.

Let  $f_5$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and let us consider  $a$ . Then  $f_5(a)$  is an integer.

Let  $f_6$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and let us consider  $a$ . Then  $f_6(a)$  is a natural number.

Let  $D$  be a non empty set and let  $D_1$  be a non empty subset of  $D$ . We see that the finite sequence of elements of  $D_1$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $D$ .

Let  $D$  be a non empty set, let  $D_1$  be a non empty subset of  $D$ , and let  $f_7, f_8$  be finite sequences of elements of  $D_1$ . Then  $f_7 \hat{\ } f_8$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $D_1$ .

Let  $D$  be a non empty set and let  $D_1$  be a non empty subset of  $D$ . Then  $\varepsilon_{(D_1)}$  is an empty finite sequence of elements of  $D_1$ .

$\mathbb{Z}$  is a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention:  $D, D_1$  are non empty sets,  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are sets,  $f_6$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_5, f_9$  are finite sequences of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $f_4$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let us consider  $f_5$ . Then  $\sum f_5$  is an integer. Then  $\prod f_5$  is an integer.

Let us consider  $f_6$ . Then  $\sum f_6$  is a natural number. Then  $\prod f_6$  is a natural number.

Let us consider  $a, f_1$ . The functor  $f_1 \sim a$  yielding a finite sequence is defined as follows:

- (Def. 1)(i)  $f_1 \sim a = f_1$  if  $a \notin \text{dom } f_1$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{len}(f_1 \sim a) + 1 = \text{len } f_1$  and for every  $b$  holds if  $b < a$ , then  $(f_1 \sim a)(b) = f_1(b)$  and if  $b \geq a$ , then  $(f_1 \sim a)(b) = f_1(b + 1)$ , otherwise.

Let us consider  $D$ , let us consider  $a$ , and let  $f_1$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $D$ . Then  $f_1 \sim a$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $D$ .

Let us consider  $D$ , let  $D_1$  be a non empty subset of  $D$ , let us consider  $a$ , and let  $f_1$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $D_1$ . Then  $f_1 \sim a$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $D_1$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (26)  $\langle v_1 \rangle \sim 1 = \varepsilon$  and  $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \sim 1 = \langle v_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \sim 2 = \langle v_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle \sim 1 = \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle$  and  $\langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle \sim 2 = \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle$  and  $\langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle \sim 3 = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ .
- (27) If  $1 \leq a$  and  $a \leq \text{len } f_4$ , then  $\sum(f_4 \sim a) + f_4(a) = \sum f_4$ .
- (28) If  $a \in \text{Seg len } f_6$  and  $f_6(a) \neq 0$ , then  $\frac{\prod f_6}{f_6(a)}$  is a natural number.
- (29) num  $q$  and den  $q$  are relative prime.
- (30) If  $q \neq 0$  and  $q = \frac{k}{a}$  and  $a \neq 0$  and  $k$  and  $a$  are relative prime, then  $k = \text{num } q$  and  $a = \text{den } q$ .
- (31) If there exists  $q$  such that  $a = q^b$ , then there exists  $k$  such that  $a = k^b$ .
- (32) If there exists  $q$  such that  $a = q^d$ , then there exists  $b$  such that  $a = b^d$ .
- (33) If  $e > 0$  and  $a^e \mid b^e$ , then  $a \mid b$ .
- (34) There exist  $m, n$  such that  $\text{gcd}(a, b) = a \cdot m + b \cdot n$ .
- (35) There exist  $m_1, n_1$  such that  $m \text{gcd } n = m \cdot m_1 + n \cdot n_1$ .
- (36) If  $m \mid n \cdot k$  and  $m \text{gcd } n = 1$ , then  $m \mid k$ .
- (37) If  $\text{gcd}(a, b) = 1$  and  $a \mid b \cdot c$ , then  $a \mid c$ .
- (38) If  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , then there exist  $c, d$  such that  $\text{gcd}(a, b) = a \cdot c - b \cdot d$ .
- (39) If  $f > 0$  and  $g > 0$  and  $\text{gcd}(f, g) = 1$  and  $a^f = b^g$ , then there exists  $e$  such that  $a = e^g$  and  $b = e^f$ .

In the sequel  $x, y, z, t$  denote integers.

Next we state several propositions:

- (40) There exist  $x, y$  such that  $m \cdot x + n \cdot y = k$  iff  $m \text{gcd } n \mid k$ .
- (41) Suppose  $m \neq 0$  and  $n \neq 0$  and  $m \cdot m_1 + n \cdot n_1 = k$ . Let given  $x, y$ . If  $m \cdot x + n \cdot y = k$ , then there exists  $t$  such that  $x = m_1 + t \cdot \frac{n}{m \text{gcd } n}$  and  $y = n_1 - t \cdot \frac{m}{m \text{gcd } n}$ .
- (42) If  $\text{gcd}(a, b) = 1$  and  $a \cdot b = c^d$ , then there exist  $e, f$  such that  $a = e^d$  and  $b = f^d$ .

- (43) For every  $d$  such that for every  $a$  such that  $a \in \text{Seg len } f_6$  holds  $\gcd(f_6(a), d) = 1$  holds  $\gcd(\prod f_6, d) = 1$ .
- (44) Suppose  $\text{len } f_6 \geq 2$  and for all  $b, c$  such that  $b \in \text{Seg len } f_6$  and  $c \in \text{Seg len } f_6$  and  $b \neq c$  holds  $\gcd(f_6(b), f_6(c)) = 1$ . Let given  $f_5$ . Suppose  $\text{len } f_5 = \text{len } f_6$ . Then there exists  $f_9$  such that  $\text{len } f_9 = \text{len } f_6$  and for every  $b$  such that  $b \in \text{Seg len } f_6$  holds  $f_6(b) \cdot f_9(b) + f_5(b) = f_6(1) \cdot f_9(1) + f_5(1)$ .
- (45) If  $x < y$  and  $z \geq w$  or  $x \leq y$  and  $z > w$  or  $x < y$  and  $z > w$ , then  $x - z < y - w$ .
- (46) If  $a \neq 0$  and  $a \gcd k = 1$ , then there exist  $b, e$  such that  $0 \neq b$  and  $0 \neq e$  and  $b \leq \sqrt{a}$  and  $e \leq \sqrt{a}$  and  $a \mid k \cdot b + e$  or  $a \mid k \cdot b - e$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Two programs for **scm**. Part I - preliminaries. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):69–72, 1993.
- [4] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. Dyadic numbers and  $T_4$  topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):361–366, 1996.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [9] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [10] Andrzej Kondracki. Basic properties of rational numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):841–845, 1990.
- [11] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [12] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
- [13] Rafał Kwiatek and Grzegorz Zwara. The divisibility of integers and integer relative primes. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):829–832, 1990.
- [14] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [15] Konrad Rączkowski. Integer and rational exponents. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):125–130, 1991.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [18] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.

- [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Received December 30, 1997*

---

## Index of MML Identifiers

ALTCAT_4 .....	475
BORSUK_2 .....	449
EULER_1 .....	549
JORDAN2B .....	505
JORDAN5A .....	455
JORDAN5B .....	461
JORDAN5C .....	467
JORDAN6 .....	563
LATTICE5 .....	515
SCMFSA8C .....	483
SCMFSA_9 .....	553
SPRECT_1 .....	531
SPRECT_2 .....	541
TOPREAL5 .....	511
UNIFORM1 .....	525
WAYBEL15 .....	499
WSIERP_1 .....	573

# Contents

*Formaliz. Math.* 6 (4)

<b>Introduction to the Homotopy Theory</b> By ADAM GRABOWSKI .....	449
<b>Some Properties of Real Maps</b> By ADAM GRABOWSKI and YATSUKA NAKAMURA .....	455
<b>The Ordering of Points on a Curve. Part I</b> By ADAM GRABOWSKI and YATSUKA NAKAMURA .....	461
<b>The Ordering of Points on a Curve. Part II</b> By ADAM GRABOWSKI and YATSUKA NAKAMURA .....	467
<b>On the Categories Without Uniqueness of <math>\text{cod}</math> and <math>\text{dom}</math>. Some Properties of the Morphisms and the Functors</b> By ARTUR KORNIŁOWICZ .....	475
<b>The loop and Times Macroinstruction for <math>\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}</math></b> By NORIKO ASAMOTO .....	483
<b>Algebraic and Arithmetic Lattices. Part II</b> By ROBERT MILEWSKI .....	499
<b>Projections in n-Dimensional Euclidean Space to Each Coordina- tes</b> By ROMAN MATUSZEWSKI and YATSUKA NAKAMURA .....	505
<b>Intermediate Value Theorem and Thickness of Simple Closed Cu- rves</b> By YATSUKA NAKAMURA and ANDRZEJ TRYBULEC .....	511
<b>The Jónson's Theorem</b> By JAROSŁAW GRYKO .....	515

*Continued on inside back cover*

<b>Lebesgue's Covering Lemma, Uniform Continuity and Segmentation of Arcs</b>	
By YATSUKA NAKAMURA and ANDRZEJ TRYBULEC .....	<b>525</b>
<b>On the Rectangular Finite Sequences of the Points of the Plane</b>	
By ANDRZEJ TRYBULEC and YATSUKA NAKAMURA .....	<b>531</b>
<b>On the Order on a Special Polygon</b>	
By ANDRZEJ TRYBULEC and YATSUKA NAKAMURA .....	<b>541</b>
<b>The Euler's Function</b>	
By YOSHINORI FUJISAWA and YASUSHI FUWA .....	<b>549</b>
<b>While Macro Instructions of <math>SCM_{FSA}</math></b>	
By JING-CHAO CHEN .....	<b>553</b>
<b>A Decomposition of a Simple Closed Curves and the Order of Their Points</b>	
By YATSUKA NAKAMURA and ANDRZEJ TRYBULEC .....	<b>563</b>
<b>The Chinese Remainder Theorem</b>	
By ANDRZEJ KONDRACKI .....	<b>573</b>
<b>Index of MML Identifiers</b> .....	<b>578</b>