

Yoneda Embedding

Mirosław Wojciechowski
Warsaw University
Białystok

MML Identifier: YONEDA_1.

The articles [7], [8], [10], [1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [5], and [9] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper A is a category, a is an object of A , and f is a morphism of A .

Let us consider A . The functor $\text{EnsHom } A$ yields a category and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $\text{EnsHom } A = \mathbf{Ens}_{\text{Hom}(A)}$.

Next we state two propositions:

- (1) Let f, g be functions and m_1, m_2 be morphisms of $\text{EnsHom } A$. If $\text{cod } m_1 = \text{dom } m_2$ and $\langle\langle \text{dom } m_1, \text{cod } m_1 \rangle, f \rangle = m_1$ and $\langle\langle \text{dom } m_2, \text{cod } m_2 \rangle, g \rangle = m_2$, then $\langle\langle \text{dom } m_1, \text{cod } m_2 \rangle, g \cdot f \rangle = m_2 \cdot m_1$.
- (2) $\text{hom}(a, -)$ is a functor from A to $\text{EnsHom } A$.

Let us consider A, a . The functor $\text{hom}^F(a, -)$ yields a functor from A to $\text{EnsHom } A$ and is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\text{hom}^F(a, -) = \text{hom}(a, -)$.

One can prove the following proposition

- (3) For every morphism f of A holds $\text{hom}^F(\text{cod } f, -)$ is naturally transformable to $\text{hom}^F(\text{dom } f, -)$.

Let us consider A, f . The functor $\text{hom}^F(f, -)$ yields a natural transformation from $\text{hom}^F(\text{cod } f, -)$ to $\text{hom}^F(\text{dom } f, -)$ and is defined by:

(Def. 3) For every object o of A holds $(\text{hom}^F(f, -))(o) = \langle\langle \text{hom}(\text{cod } f, o), \text{hom}(\text{dom } f, o) \rangle, \text{hom}(f, \text{id}_o) \rangle$.

Next we state the proposition

- (4) For every element f of the morphisms of A holds $\langle\langle \text{hom}^F(\text{cod } f, -), \text{hom}^F(\text{dom } f, -) \rangle\rangle, \text{hom}^F(f, -)\rangle$ is an element of the morphisms of $(\text{EnsHom } A)^A$.

Let us consider A . The functor Yoneda A yielding a contravariant functor from A into $(\text{EnsHom } A)^A$ is defined by:

- (Def. 4) For every morphism f of A holds $(\text{Yoneda } A)(f) = \langle\langle \text{hom}^F(\text{cod } f, -), \text{hom}^F(\text{dom } f, -) \rangle\rangle, \text{hom}^F(f, -)\rangle$.

Let A, B be categories, let F be a contravariant functor from A into B , and let c be an object of A . The functor $F(c)$ yields an object of B and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 5) $F(c) = (\text{Obj } F)(c)$.

Next we state the proposition

- (5) For every functor F from A to $(\text{EnsHom } A)^A$ such that $\text{Obj } F$ is one-to-one and F is faithful holds F is one-to-one.

Let C, D be categories and let T be a contravariant functor from C into D . We say that T is faithful if and only if:

- (Def. 6) For all objects c, c' of C such that $\text{hom}(c, c') \neq \emptyset$ and for all morphisms f_1, f_2 from c to c' such that $T(f_1) = T(f_2)$ holds $f_1 = f_2$.

The following three propositions are true:

- (6) Let F be a contravariant functor from A into $(\text{EnsHom } A)^A$. If $\text{Obj } F$ is one-to-one and F is faithful, then F is one-to-one.
 (7) Yoneda A is faithful.
 (8) Yoneda A is one-to-one.

Let C, D be categories and let T be a contravariant functor from C into D . We say that T is full if and only if the condition (Def. 7) is satisfied.

- (Def. 7) Let c, c' be objects of C . Suppose $\text{hom}(T(c'), T(c)) \neq \emptyset$. Let g be a morphism from $T(c')$ to $T(c)$. Then $\text{hom}(c, c') \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a morphism f from c to c' such that $g = T(f)$.

The following proposition is true

- (9) Yoneda A is full.

REFERENCES

- [1] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
 [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
 [3] Czesław Byliński. Introduction to categories and functors. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):409–420, 1990.
 [4] Czesław Byliński. Subcategories and products of categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):725–732, 1990.
 [5] Czesław Byliński. Category Ens. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):527–533, 1991.

- [6] Czesław Byliński. Opposite categories and contravariant functors. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(3):419–424, 1991.
- [7] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [8] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Natural transformations. Discrete categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):467–474, 1991.
- [10] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received June 12, 1997
