The Lawson Topology¹

Grzegorz Bancerek University of Białystok

Summary. The article includes definitions, lemmas and theorems 1.1–1.7, 1.9, 1.10 presented in Chapter III of [9, pp. 142–146].

 ${\rm MML} \ {\rm Identifier:} \ {\tt WAYBEL19}.$

The articles [20], [15], [14], [8], [6], [1], [18], [13], [19], [17], [3], [11], [4], [12], [2], [10], [16], [5], and [7] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Lower Topology

Let T be a non empty FR-structure. We say that T is lower if and only if: (Def. 1) $\{-\uparrow x : x \text{ ranges over elements of } T\}$ is a prebasis of T.

Let us note that every non empty reflexive topological space-like FR-structure which is trivial is also lower.

One can verify that there exists a top-lattice which is lower, trivial, complete, and strict.

We now state the proposition

(1) For every non empty relational structure L_1 holds there exists a strict correct topological augmentation of L_1 which is lower.

We now state the proposition

(2) Let L_2 , L_3 be topological space-like lower non empty FR-structures. Suppose the relational structure of L_2 = the relational structure of L_3 . Then the topology of L_2 = the topology of L_3 .

 $^1\mathrm{This}$ work has been partially supported by KBN grant 8 T11C 018 12 and NATO CRG 951368 grant.

C 1998 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630 Let R be a non empty relational structure. The functor $\omega(R)$ yielding a family of subsets of R is defined by:

(Def. 2) For every lower correct topological augmentation T of R holds $\omega(R) =$ the topology of T.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (3) Let R_1 , R_2 be non empty relational structures. Suppose the relational structure of R_1 = the relational structure of R_2 . Then $\omega(R_1) = \omega(R_2)$.
- (4) For every lower non empty FR-structure T and for every point x of T holds $-\uparrow x$ is open and $\uparrow x$ is closed.
- (5) For every transitive lower non empty FR-structure T and for every subset A of T such that A is open holds A is lower.
- (6) For every transitive lower non empty FR-structure T and for every subset A of T such that A is closed holds A is upper.
- (7) Let T be a non empty topological space-like FR-structure. Then T is lower if and only if $\{-\uparrow F; F \text{ ranges over subsets of } T: F \text{ is finite}\}$ is a basis of T.
- (8) Let S, T be lower complete top-lattices and f be a map from S into T. Suppose that for every non empty subset X of S holds f preserves inf of X. Then f is continuous.
- (9) Let S, T be lower complete top-lattices and f be a map from S into T. If f is infs-preserving, then f is continuous.
- (10) Let T be a lower complete top-lattice, B_1 be a prebasis of T, and F be a non empty filtered subset of T. Suppose that for every subset A of T such that $A \in B_1$ and $\inf F \in A$ holds F meets A. Then $\inf F \in \overline{F}$.
- (11) Let S, T be lower complete top-lattices and f be a map from S into T. If f is continuous, then f is filtered-infs-preserving.
- (12) Let S, T be lower complete top-lattices and f be a map from S into T. Suppose f is continuous and for every finite subset X of S holds f preserves inf of X. Then f is infs-preserving.
- (13) Let T be a lower topological space-like reflexive transitive non empty FR-structure and x be a point of T. Then $\overline{\{x\}} = \uparrow x$.

A top-poset is a topological space-like reflexive transitive antisymmetric FR-structure.

One can check that every non empty top-poset which is lower is also T_0 .

Let R be a lower-bounded non empty relational structure. One can verify that every topological augmentation of R is lower-bounded.

We now state four propositions:

(14) Let S, T be non empty relational structures, s be an element of S, and t be an element of T. Then $-\uparrow\langle s, t\rangle = [-\uparrow s,$ the carrier of $T] \cup [$ the carrier of $S, -\uparrow t]$.

- (15) Let S, T be lower-bounded non empty posets, S' be a lower correct topological augmentation of S, and T' be a lower correct topological augmentation of T. Then $\omega([S, T]) =$ the topology of $[S', (T' \mathbf{qua} \text{ non empty topological space})].$
- (16) Let S, T be lower lower-bounded non empty top-posets. Then $\omega([:S, (T \mathbf{qua} \text{ poset})]) =$ the topology of $[:S, (T \mathbf{qua} \text{ non empty topological space})].$
- (17) Let T, T_2 be lower complete top-lattices. Suppose T_2 is a topological augmentation of $[T, (T \mathbf{qua} | \text{lattice})]$. Let f be a map from T_2 into T. If $f = \Box_T$, then f is continuous.

2. Refinements Revisited

The scheme *TopInd* deals with a top-lattice \mathcal{A} and and states that:

For every subset A of A such that A is open holds $\mathcal{P}[A]$

provided the following conditions are met:

- There exists a prebasis K of A such that for every subset A of A such that $A \in K$ holds $\mathcal{P}[A]$,
- For every family F of subsets of \mathcal{A} such that for every subset A of \mathcal{A} such that $A \in F$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\bigcup F]$,
- For all subsets A_1 , A_2 of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{P}[A_1]$ and $\mathcal{P}[A_2]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[A_1 \cap A_2]$, and

One can prove the following proposition

- (18) Let L_2 , L_3 be up-complete antisymmetric non empty reflexive relational structures. Suppose that
 - (i) the relational structure of L_2 = the relational structure of L_3 , and
 - (ii) for every element x of L_2 holds $\downarrow x$ is directed and non empty. If L_2 satisfies axiom of approximation, then L_3 satisfies axiom of approximation.

Let T be a continuous non empty poset. One can verify that every topological augmentation of T is continuous.

The following propositions are true:

- (19) Let T, S be topological spaces, R be a refinement of T and S, and W be a subset of R. If $W \in$ the topology of T or $W \in$ the topology of S, then W is open.
- (20) Let T, S be topological spaces, R be a refinement of T and S, V be a subset of T, and W be a subset of R. If W = V, then if V is open, then W is open.

[•] $\mathcal{P}[\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}].$

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

- (21) Let T, S be topological spaces. Suppose the carrier of T = the carrier of S. Let R be a refinement of T and S, V be a subset of T, and W be a subset of R. If W = V, then if V is closed, then W is closed.
- (22) Let T be a non empty topological space and K, O be sets such that $K \subseteq O$ and $O \subseteq$ the topology of T. Then
 - (i) if K is a basis of T, then O is a basis of T, and
 - (ii) if K is a prebasis of T, then O is a prebasis of T.
- (23) Let T_1 , T_2 be non empty topological spaces. Suppose the carrier of $T_1 =$ the carrier of T_2 . Let T be a refinement of T_1 and T_2 , B_2 be a prebasis of T_1 , and B_3 be a prebasis of T_2 . Then $B_2 \cup B_3$ is a prebasis of T.
- (24) Let T_1 , S_1 , T_2 , S_2 be non empty topological spaces, R_1 be a refinement of T_1 and S_1 , R_2 be a refinement of T_2 and S_2 , f be a map from T_1 into T_2 , g be a map from S_1 into S_2 , and h be a map from R_1 into R_2 . Suppose h = f and h = g. If f is continuous and g is continuous, then h is continuous.
- (25) Let T be a non empty topological space, K be a prebasis of T, N be a net in T, and p be a point of T. Suppose that for every subset A of T such that $p \in A$ and $A \in K$ holds N is eventually in A. Then $p \in \text{Lim } N$.
- (26) Let T be a non empty topological space, N be a net in T, and S be a subset of T. If N is eventually in S, then $\lim N \subseteq \overline{S}$.
- (27) Let R be a non empty relational structure and X be a non empty subset of R. Then the mapping of $\langle X; id \rangle = id_X$ and the mapping of $\langle X^{op}; id \rangle = id_X$.
- (28) For every reflexive antisymmetric non empty relational structure R and for every element x of R holds $\uparrow x \cap \downarrow x = \{x\}$.

3. LAWSON TOPOLOGY

Let T be a reflexive non empty FR-structure. We say that T is Lawson if and only if:

(Def. 3) $\omega(T) \cup \sigma(T)$ is a prebasis of T.

Next we state the proposition

(29) Let R be a complete lattice, L_1 be a lower correct topological augmentation of R, S be a Scott topological augmentation of R, and T be a correct topological augmentation of R. Then T is Lawson if and only if T is a refinement of S and L_1 .

Let R be a complete lattice. One can check that there exists a topological augmentation of R which is Lawson, strict, and correct.

166

Let us observe that there exists a top-lattice which is Scott, complete, and strict and there exists a complete strict top-lattice which is Lawson and continuous.

- We now state three propositions:
- (30) For every Lawson complete top-lattice T holds $\sigma(T) \cup \{-\uparrow x : x \text{ ranges} over elements of T\}$ is a prebasis of T.
- (31) Let T be a Lawson complete top-lattice. Then $\sigma(T) \cup \{W \setminus \uparrow x; W \text{ ranges} over subsets of T, x ranges over elements of T: <math>W \in \sigma(T)\}$ is a prebasis of T.
- (32) Let T be a Lawson complete top-lattice. Then $\{W \setminus \uparrow F; W \text{ ranges over subsets of } T, F \text{ ranges over subsets of } T: W \in \sigma(T) \land F \text{ is finite} \}$ is a basis of T.

Let T be a complete lattice. The functor $\lambda(T)$ yields a family of subsets of T and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) For every Lawson correct topological augmentation S of T holds $\lambda(T) =$ the topology of S.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (33) For every complete lattice R holds $\lambda(R) = \text{UniCl}(\text{FinMeetCl}(\sigma(R) \cup \omega(R))).$
- (34) Let R be a complete lattice, T be a lower correct topological augmentation of R, S be a Scott correct topological augmentation of R, and M be a refinement of S and T. Then $\lambda(R)$ = the topology of M.
- (35) For every lower up-complete top-lattice T and for every subset A of T such that A is open holds A has the property (S).
- (36) For every Lawson complete top-lattice T and for every subset A of T such that A is open holds A has the property (S).
- (37) Let S be a Scott complete top-lattice, T be a Lawson correct topological augmentation of S, and A be a subset of S. If A is open, then for every subset C of T such that C = A holds C is open.
- (38) Let T be a Lawson complete top-lattice and x be an element of T. Then $\uparrow x$ is closed and $\downarrow x$ is closed and $\lbrace x \rbrace$ is closed.
- (39) For every Lawson complete top-lattice T and for every element x of T holds $-\uparrow x$ is open and $-\downarrow x$ is open and $-\lbrace x \rbrace$ is open.
- (40) For every Lawson complete continuous top-lattice T and for every element x of T holds $\uparrow x$ is open and $-\uparrow x$ is closed.
- (41) Let S be a Scott complete top-lattice, T be a Lawson correct topological augmentation of S, and A be an upper subset of T. If A is open, then for every subset C of S such that C = A holds C is open.
- (42) Let T be a Lawson complete top-lattice and A be a lower subset of T.

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

Then A is closed if and only if A is closed under directed sups.

(43) For every Lawson complete top-lattice T and for every non empty filtered subset F of T holds $\operatorname{Lim}\langle F^{\operatorname{op}}; \operatorname{id} \rangle = {\operatorname{inf} F}.$

Let us observe that every complete top-lattice which is Lawson is also T_1 and compact.

Let us observe that every complete continuous top-lattice which is Lawson is also Hausdorff.

References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):719-725, 1991.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):93-107, 1997.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. Formalized Mathematics, 7(1):35-43, 1998.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.[8] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165-167, 1990.
- [9] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. A Com-
- pendium of Continuous Lattices. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980. [10] Artur Korniłowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. Formalized
- Mathematics, 6(1):145–152, 1997. [11] Artur Korniłowicz. Meet-continuous lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):159-167,
- 1997.[12] Artur Korniłowicz. On the topological properties of meet-continuous lattices. Formalized
- Mathematics, 6(2):269–277, 1997. [13] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions.
- *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990. [14] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. Formalized Mathematics,
- 5(**2**):233–236, 1996. [15] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):535-545, 1991.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. Formalized Mathematics, 6(2):213–225, 1997
- Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. Formalized Mathematics, 6(2):311-319, 1997. [17]
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
 [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17-23, 1990.

Received June 21, 1998