The SCMPDS Computer and the Basic Semantics of its Instructions¹

Jing-Chao Chen Shanghai Jiaotong University

Summary. The article defines the SCMPDS computer and its instructions. The SCMPDS computer consists of such instructions as conventional arithmetic, "goto", "return" and "save instruction-counter" ("saveIC" for short). The address used in the "goto" instruction is an offset value rather than a pointer in the standard sense. Thus, we don't define halting instruction directly but define it by "goto 0" instruction. The "saveIC" and "return" equal almost call and return statements in the usual high programming language. Theoretically, the SCMPDS computer can implement all algorithms described by the usual high programming language including recursive routine. In addition, we describe the execution semantics and halting properties of each instruction.

MML Identifier: $SCMPDS_2$.

The papers [15], [21], [14], [5], [6], [10], [20], [18], [1], [16], [4], [2], [13], [22], [7], [9], [3], [11], [12], [8], [17], and [19] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. The SCMPDS Computer

In this paper x denotes a set and i, k denote natural numbers. The strict AMI SCMPDS over $\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $SCMPDS = \langle \mathbb{N}, 0, Instr-Loc_{SCM}, \mathbb{Z}_{14}, SCMPDS - Instr, SCMPDS - OK, SCMPDS - Exec \rangle.$

Next we state three propositions:

C 1999 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

¹This work was done while the author visited Shinshu University March–April 1999.

JING-CHAO CHEN

- (1) There exists k such that $x = 2 \cdot k + 2$ iff $x \in \text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$.
- (2) SCMPDS is data-oriented.
- (3) SCMPDS is definite.

Let us note that SCMPDS is von Neumann data-oriented and definite. The following two propositions are true:

- (4)(i) The instruction locations of SCMPDS $\neq \mathbb{Z}$,
- (ii) the instructions of SCMPDS $\neq \mathbb{Z}$, and
- (iii) the instruction locations of SCMPDS \neq the instructions of SCMPDS.
- (5) $\mathbb{N} = \{0\} \cup \text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \cup \text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}.$

In the sequel s is a state of SCMPDS.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (6) $\mathbf{IC}_{\mathrm{SCMPDS}} = 0.$
- (7) For every SCMPDS-State S such that S = s holds $\mathbf{IC}_s = \mathbf{IC}_S$.

2. The Memory Structure

An object of SCMPDS is called a Int position if:

(Def. 2) It \in Data-Loc_{SCM}.

In the sequel d_1 denotes a Int position. The following propositions are true:

- (8) $d_1 \in \text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$.
- (9) If $x \in \text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, then x is a Int position.
- (10) Data-Loc_{SCM} misses the instruction locations of SCMPDS.
- (11) The instruction locations of SCMPDS are infinite.
- (12) Every Int position is a data-location.
- (13) For every Int position l holds $ObjectKind(l) = \mathbb{Z}$.
- (14) For every set x such that $x \in \text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ holds x is an instructionlocation of SCMPDS.

3. The Instruction Structure

We use the following convention: d_2 , d_3 , d_4 , d_5 , d_6 are elements of Data-Loc_{SCM} and k_1 , k_2 , k_3 , k_4 , k_5 , k_6 are integers.

Let I be an instruction of SCMPDS. The functor InsCode(I) yields a natural number and is defined by:

(Def. 3) InsCode $(I) = I_1$.

184

In the sequel I is an instruction of SCMPDS.

Next we state the proposition

(15) For every instruction I of SCMPDS holds $\text{InsCode}(I) \leq 13$.

Let s be a state of SCMPDS and let d be a Int position. Then s(d) is an integer.

Let m, n be integers. The functor DataLoc(m, n) yields a Int position and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) DataLoc $(m, n) = 2 \cdot |m + n| + 1$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (16) $\langle 0, \langle k_1 \rangle \rangle \in \text{SCMPDS} \text{Instr}.$
- (17) $\langle 1, \langle d_2 \rangle \rangle \in \text{SCMPDS} \text{Instr}.$
- (18) If $x \in \{2, 3\}$, then $\langle x, \langle d_3, k_2 \rangle \rangle \in \text{SCMPDS} \text{Instr}$.
- (19) If $x \in \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$, then $\langle x, \langle d_4, k_3, k_4 \rangle \rangle \in \text{SCMPDS} \text{Instr}$.
- (20) If $x \in \{9, 10, 11, 12, 13\}$, then $\langle x, < *d_5, d_6, k_5, k_6 * \rangle \in$ SCMPDS – Instr.

In the sequel a, b, c are Int position.

Let us consider k_1 . The functor goto k_1 yielding an instruction of SCMPDS is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) goto $k_1 = \langle 0, \langle k_1 \rangle \rangle$.

Let us consider a. The functor return a yields an instruction of SCMPDS and is defined by:

(Def. 6) return $a = \langle 1, \langle a \rangle \rangle$.

Let us consider a, k_1 . The functor $a:=k_1$ yields an instruction of SCMPDS and is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) $a:=k_1 = \langle 2, \langle a, k_1 \rangle \rangle.$

The functor save $IC(a, k_1)$ yields an instruction of SCMPDS and is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) saveIC $(a, k_1) = \langle 3, \langle a, k_1 \rangle \rangle$.

Let us consider a, k_1, k_2 . The functor $(a, k_1) <> 0_gotok_2$ yields an instruction of SCMPDS and is defined as follows:

(Def. 9) $(a, k_1) \ll 0_gotok_2 = \langle 4, \langle a, k_1, k_2 \rangle \rangle$. The functor $(a, k_1) \ll 0_gotok_2$ yielding an instr

The functor $(a, k_1) \leq 0$ -gotok₂ yielding an instruction of SCMPDS is defined as follows:

(Def. 10) $(a, k_1) \le 0_gotok_2 = \langle 5, \langle a, k_1, k_2 \rangle \rangle.$

The functor $(a, k_1) \ge 0_{-gotok_2}$ yielding an instruction of SCMPDS is defined by:

(Def. 11) $(a, k_1) >= 0_{-gotok_2} = \langle 6, \langle a, k_1, k_2 \rangle \rangle.$

The functor $a_{k_1} := k_2$ yielding an instruction of SCMPDS is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) $a_{k_1} := k_2 = \langle 7, \langle a, k_1, k_2 \rangle \rangle.$

The functor $AddTo(a, k_1, k_2)$ yielding an instruction of SCMPDS is defined by:

- (Def. 13) AddTo $(a, k_1, k_2) = \langle 8, \langle a, k_1, k_2 \rangle \rangle$. Let us consider a, b, k_1, k_2 . The functor AddTo (a, k_1, b, k_2) yields an instruction of SCMPDS and is defined by:
- (Def. 14) AddTo $(a, k_1, b, k_2) = \langle 9, \langle *a, b, k_1, k_2 * \rangle \rangle$. The functor SubFrom (a, k_1, b, k_2) yielding an instruction of SCMPDS is defined by:
- (Def. 15) SubFrom $(a, k_1, b, k_2) = \langle 10, \langle *a, b, k_1, k_2 \rangle \rangle$. The functor MultBy (a, k_1, b, k_2) yielding an instruction of SCMPDS is defined as follows:
- (Def. 16) MultBy $(a, k_1, b, k_2) = \langle 11, \langle *a, b, k_1, k_2 * \rangle \rangle$. The functor Divide (a, k_1, b, k_2) yielding an instruction of SCMPDS is defined by:
- (Def. 17) Divide $(a, k_1, b, k_2) = \langle 12, < *a, b, k_1, k_2 * > \rangle$.

The functor $(a, k_1) := (b, k_2)$ yielding an instruction of SCMPDS is defined by:

(Def. 18) $(a, k_1) := (b, k_2) = \langle 13, \langle *a, b, k_1, k_2 \rangle \rangle.$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (21) InsCode(goto k_1) = 0.
- (22) $\operatorname{InsCode}(\operatorname{return} a) = 1.$
- (23) InsCode $(a:=k_1) = 2$.
- (24) InsCode(saveIC (a, k_1)) = 3.
- (25) InsCode($(a, k_1) <> 0_gotok_2$) = 4.
- (26) InsCode($(a, k_1) \le 0_{-gotok_2}$) = 5.
- (27) InsCode $((a, k_1) >= 0_gotok_2) = 6.$
- (28) InsCode $(a_{k_1}:=k_2) = 7.$
- (29) InsCode(AddTo (a, k_1, k_2)) = 8.
- (30) InsCode(AddTo (a, k_1, b, k_2)) = 9.
- (31) InsCode(SubFrom (a, k_1, b, k_2)) = 10.
- (32) InsCode(MultBy (a, k_1, b, k_2)) = 11.
- (33) InsCode(Divide (a, k_1, b, k_2)) = 12.
- (34) InsCode $((a, k_1) := (b, k_2)) = 13.$
- (35) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 0$ there exists k_1 such that $i_1 = \text{goto } k_1$.
- (36) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 1$ there exists a such that $i_1 = \text{return } a$.

- (37) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 2$ there exist a, k_1 such that $i_1 = a := k_1$.
- (38) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 3$ there exist a, k_1 such that $i_1 = \text{saveIC}(a, k_1)$.
- (39) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 4$ there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = (a, k_1) <> 0_gotok_2$.
- (40) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 5$ there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = (a, k_1) <= 0_gotok_2$.
- (41) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 6$ there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = (a, k_1) \ge 0_gotok_2$.
- (42) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 7$ there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = a_{k_1} := k_2$.
- (43) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 8$ there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = \text{AddTo}(a, k_1, k_2)$.
- (44) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 9$ there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = \text{AddTo}(a, k_1, b, k_2)$.
- (45) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 10$ there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = \text{SubFrom}(a, k_1, b, k_2)$.
- (46) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 11$ there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = \text{MultBy}(a, k_1, b, k_2)$.
- (47) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 12$ there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = \text{Divide}(a, k_1, b, k_2)$.
- (48) For every instruction i_1 of SCMPDS such that $\text{InsCode}(i_1) = 13$ there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $i_1 = (a, k_1) := (b, k_2)$.
- (49) For every state s of SCMPDS and for every Int position d holds $d \in \operatorname{dom} s$.
- (50) For every state s of SCMPDS holds Data-Loc_{SCM} \subseteq dom s.
- (51) For every state s of SCMPDS holds $dom(s \mid Data-Loc_{SCM}) = Data-Loc_{SCM}$.
- (52) For every Int position d_7 holds $d_7 \neq \mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}$.
- (53) For every instruction-location i_2 of SCMPDS and for every Int position d_7 holds $i_2 \neq d_7$.
- (54) Let s_1 , s_2 be states of SCMPDS. Suppose $\mathbf{IC}_{(s_1)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(s_2)}$ and for every Int position a holds $s_1(a) = s_2(a)$ and for every instruction-location i of SCMPDS holds $s_1(i) = s_2(i)$. Then $s_1 = s_2$.

Let l_1 be an instruction-location of SCMPDS. The functor Next (l_1) yields an instruction-location of SCMPDS and is defined by:

(Def. 19) There exists an element m_1 of Instr-Loc_{SCM} such that $m_1 = l_1$ and $Next(l_1) = Next(m_1)$.

JING-CHAO CHEN

One can prove the following propositions:

- (55) For every instruction-location l_1 of SCMPDS and for every element m_1 of Instr-Loc_{SCM} such that $m_1 = l_1$ holds $Next(m_1) = Next(l_1)$.
- (56) For every element *i* of SCMPDS Instr such that i = I and for every SCMPDS-State *S* such that S = s holds $\text{Exec}(I, s) = \text{Exec-Res}_{\text{SCM}}(i, S)$.

4. EXECUTION SEMANTICS OF THE SCMPDS INSTRUCTIONS

The following propositions are true:

- (57) $(\operatorname{Exec}(a:=k_1,s))(\operatorname{IC}_{\operatorname{SCMPDS}}) = \operatorname{Next}(\operatorname{IC}_s)$ and $(\operatorname{Exec}(a:=k_1,s))(a) = k_1$ and for every b such that $b \neq a$ holds $(\operatorname{Exec}(a:=k_1,s))(b) = s(b)$.
- (58) $(\operatorname{Exec}(a_{k_1}:=k_2,s))(\operatorname{IC}_{\operatorname{SCMPDS}}) = \operatorname{Next}(\operatorname{IC}_s) \text{ and } (\operatorname{Exec}(a_{k_1}:=k_2,s))$ $(\operatorname{DataLoc}(s(a),k_1)) = k_2 \text{ and for every } b \text{ such that } b \neq \operatorname{DataLoc}(s(a),k_1)$ holds $(\operatorname{Exec}(a_{k_1}:=k_2,s))(b) = s(b).$
- (59) $(\operatorname{Exec}((a, k_1) := (b, k_2), s))(\operatorname{IC}_{\operatorname{SCMPDS}}) = \operatorname{Next}(\operatorname{IC}_s)$ and $(\operatorname{Exec}((a, k_1) := (b, k_2), s))(\operatorname{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) = s(\operatorname{DataLoc}(s(b), k_2))$ and for every c such that $c \neq \operatorname{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)$ holds $(\operatorname{Exec}((a, k_1) := (b, k_2), s))(c) = s(c)$.
- (60) $(\text{Exec}(\text{AddTo}(a, k_1, k_2), s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)$ and $(\text{Exec}(\text{AddTo}(a, k_1, k_2), s))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) = s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) + k_2$ and for every b such that $b \neq \text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)$ holds $(\text{Exec}(\text{AddTo}(a, k_1, k_2), s))(b) = s(b)$.
- (61) $(\operatorname{Exec}(\operatorname{AddTo}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(\operatorname{IC}_{\operatorname{SCMPDS}}) = \operatorname{Next}(\operatorname{IC}_s) \text{ and } (\operatorname{Exec}(\operatorname{AddTo}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(\operatorname{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) = s(\operatorname{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) + s(\operatorname{DataLoc}(s(b), k_2)) \text{ and for every } c \text{ such that } c \neq \operatorname{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1) \text{ holds} (\operatorname{Exec}(\operatorname{AddTo}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(c) = s(c).$
- (62) $(\text{Exec}(\text{SubFrom}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s) \text{ and } (\text{Exec}(\text{SubFrom}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) = s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) s(\text{DataLoc}(s(b), k_2)) \text{ and for every } c \text{ such that } c \neq \text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1) \text{ holds} (\text{Exec}(\text{SubFrom}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(c) = s(c).$
- (63) $(\text{Exec}(\text{MultBy}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s) \text{ and } (\text{Exec}(\text{MultBy}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) = s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) \cdot s(\text{DataLoc}(s(b), k_2)) \text{ and for every } c \text{ such that } c \neq \text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1) \text{ holds} (\text{Exec}(\text{MultBy}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(c) = s(c).$
- (64)(i) $(\operatorname{Exec}(\operatorname{Divide}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\operatorname{SCMPDS}}) = \operatorname{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s),$
 - (ii) if $DataLoc(s(a), k_1) \neq DataLoc(s(b), k_2)$, then $(Exec(Divide(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(DataLoc(s(a), k_1)) = s(DataLoc(s(a), k_1)) \div s(DataLoc(s(b), k_2))$,
- (iii) $(\text{Exec}(\text{Divide}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(\text{DataLoc}(s(b), k_2)) = s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) \mod s(\text{DataLoc}(s(b), k_2)), \text{ and}$

188

- (iv) for every c such that $c \neq \text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)$ and $c \neq \text{DataLoc}(s(b), k_2)$ holds $(\text{Exec}(\text{Divide}(a, k_1, b, k_2), s))(c) = s(c).$
- (65) $(\text{Exec}(\text{Divide}(a, k_1, a, k_1), s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s) \text{ and } (\text{Exec}(\text{Divide}(a, k_1, a, k_1), s))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) = s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) \text{mod}s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) \text{ and for every } c \text{ such that } c \neq \text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1) \text{ holds}(\text{Exec}(\text{Divide}(a, k_1, a, k_1), s))(c) = s(c).$

Let s be a state of SCMPDS and let c be an integer. The functor ICplusConst(s, c) yields an instruction-location of SCMPDS and is defined by:

(Def. 20) There exists a natural number m such that $m = \mathbf{IC}_s$ and $\mathrm{ICplusConst}(s,c) = |(m-2) + 2 \cdot c| + 2.$

The following propositions are true:

- (66) $(\text{Exec}(\text{goto } k_1, s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{ICplusConst}(s, k_1)$ and for every a holds $(\text{Exec}(\text{goto } k_1, s))(a) = s(a)$.
- (67) If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) \neq 0$, then $(\text{Exec}((a, k_1) <> 0_gotok_2, s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}})$ = ICplusConst (s, k_2) and if $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) = 0$, then $(\text{Exec}((a, k_1) <> 0_gotok_2, s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)$ and $(\text{Exec}((a, k_1) <> 0_gotok_2, s))(b) = s(b)$.
- (68) If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) \leq 0$, then $(\text{Exec}((a, k_1) <= 0_gotok_2, s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}})$ = ICplusConst (s, k_2) and if $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) > 0$, then $(\text{Exec}((a, k_1) <= 0_gotok_2, s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)$ and $(\text{Exec}((a, k_1) <= 0_gotok_2, s))(b) = s(b)$.
- (69) If $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) \ge 0$, then $(\text{Exec}((a, k_1) \ge 0_gotok_2, s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}})$ = ICplusConst (s, k_2) and if $s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) < 0$, then $(\text{Exec}((a, k_1) \ge 0_gotok_2, s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)$ and $(\text{Exec}((a, k_1) \ge 0_gotok_2, s))(b) = s(b)$.
- (70) $(\text{Exec}(\text{return } a, s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = 2 \cdot (|s(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), \text{RetIC}))| \div 2) + 4$ and (Exec(return a, s))(a) = s(DataLoc(s(a), RetSP)) and for every b such that $a \neq b$ holds (Exec(return a, s))(b) = s(b).
- (71) $(\text{Exec}(\text{saveIC}(a, k_1), s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s) \text{ and } (\text{Exec}(\text{saveIC}(a, k_1), s))(\text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1)) = \mathbf{IC}_s \text{ and for every } b \text{ such that } \text{DataLoc}(s(a), k_1) \neq b \text{ holds } (\text{Exec}(\text{saveIC}(a, k_1), s))(b) = s(b).$
- (72) For every integer k there exists a function f from Data-Loc_{SCM} into \mathbb{Z} such that for every element x of Data-Loc_{SCM} holds f(x) = k.
- (73) For every integer k there exists a state s of SCMPDS such that for every Int position d holds s(d) = k.
- (74) Let k be an integer and l_1 be an instruction-location of SCMPDS. Then there exists a state s of SCMPDS such that $s(0) = l_1$ and for every Int position d holds s(d) = k.
- (75) go to 0 is halting.

JING-CHAO CHEN

- (76) For every instruction I of SCMPDS such that there exists s such that $(\text{Exec}(I, s))(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCMPDS}}) = \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)$ holds I is non halting.
- (77) $a:=k_1$ is non halting.
- (78) $a_{k_1} := k_2$ is non halting.
- (79) $(a, k_1) := (b, k_2)$ is non halting.
- (80) AddTo (a, k_1, k_2) is non halting.
- (81) AddTo (a, k_1, b, k_2) is non halting.
- (82) SubFrom (a, k_1, b, k_2) is non halting.
- (83) MultBy (a, k_1, b, k_2) is non halting.
- (84) Divide (a, k_1, b, k_2) is non halting.
- (85) If $k_1 \neq 0$, then go to k_1 is non halting.
- (86) $(a, k_1) \ll 0_gotok_2$ is non halting.
- (87) $(a, k_1) \leq 0_{-gotok_2}$ is non halting.
- (88) $(a, k_1) \ge 0_gotok_2$ is non halting.
- (89) return a is non halting.
- (90) saveIC (a, k_1) is non halting.
- (91) Let I be a set. Then I is an instruction of SCMPDS if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

there exists k_1 such that $I = \text{goto } k_1$ or there exists a such that I = return a or there exist a, k_1 such that $I = \text{saveIC}(a, k_1)$ or there exist a, k_1 such that $I = a:=k_1$ or there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $I = a_{k_1}:=k_2$ or there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $I = (a, k_1) <> 0$ -goto k_2 or there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $I = (a, k_1) <= 0$ -goto k_2 or there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $I = (a, k_1) <= 0$ -goto k_2 or there exist a, k_1, k_2 such that $I = (a, k_1) >= 0$ -goto k_2 or there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $I = AddTo(a, k_1, k_2)$ or there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $I = AddTo(a, k_1, b, k_2)$ or there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $I = SubFrom(a, k_1, b, k_2)$ or there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $I = Divide(a, k_1, b, k_2)$ or there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $I = Divide(a, k_1, b, k_2)$ or there exist a, b, k_1, k_2 such that $I = (a, k_1) := (b, k_2)$.

Let us observe that SCMPDS is halting.

We now state several propositions:

- (92) For every instruction I of SCMPDS such that I is halting holds $I = halt_{SCMPDS}$.
- (93) $halt_{SCMPDS} = goto 0.$
- (94) $\operatorname{Exec}(\operatorname{halt}_{\operatorname{SCMPDS}}, s) = s.$
- (95) For every state s of SCMPDS and for every instruction-location i of SCMPDS holds s(i) is an instruction of SCMPDS.
- (96) For every state s of SCMPDS and for every instruction i of SCMPDS and for every instruction-location l of SCMPDS holds (Exec(i, s))(l) = s(l).

190

(97) SCMPDS is realistic.

Let us observe that SCMPDS is steady-programmed and realistic. One can prove the following propositions:

- (98) $\mathbf{IC}_{\mathrm{SCMPDS}} \neq \mathbf{d}_i \text{ and } \mathbf{IC}_{\mathrm{SCMPDS}} \neq \mathbf{i}_i.$
- (99) For every instruction I of SCMPDS such that I = goto 0 holds I is halting.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Prof. Y. Nakamura for many helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
 [7] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the
- [7] Czestaw Byrnski. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [8] Jing-Chao Chen. A small computer model with push-down stack. Formalized Mathematics, 8(1):175–182, 1999.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [10] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [11] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [12] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. On a mathematical model of programs. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):241–250, 1992.
- [13] Dariusz Surowik. Cyclic groups and some of their properties part I. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):623-627, 1991.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [18] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received June 15, 1999