

Compactness of the Bounded Closed Subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^2

Artur Kornilowicz¹
University of Białystok

Summary. This paper contains theorems which describe the correspondence between topological properties of real numbers subsets introduced in [40] and introduced in [38], [16]. We also show the homeomorphism between the cartesian product of two R^1 and \mathcal{E}_T^2 . The compactness of the bounded closed subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 is proven.

MML Identifier: TOPREAL6.

The articles [41], [48], [12], [49], [10], [11], [6], [47], [7], [18], [24], [43], [1], [39], [35], [8], [14], [28], [27], [26], [45], [25], [23], [3], [9], [13], [29], [2], [46], [40], [38], [50], [17], [36], [37], [16], [42], [5], [19], [4], [20], [21], [22], [51], [33], [32], [15], [31], [30], [44], and [34] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. REAL NUMBERS

For simplicity, we use the following convention: a, b are real numbers, r is a real number, i, j, n are natural numbers, M is a non empty metric space, p, q, s are points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , e is a point of \mathcal{E}^2 , w is a point of \mathcal{E}^n , z is a point of M , A, B are subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^n , P is a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , and D is a non empty subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

One can prove the following propositions:

$$(2)^2 \quad a - 2 \cdot a = -a.$$

$$(3) \quad -a + 2 \cdot a = a.$$

¹This paper was written while the author visited Shinshu University, winter 1999.

²The proposition (1) has been removed.

- (4) $a - \frac{a}{2} = \frac{a}{2}$.
- (5) If $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$, then $\frac{a}{\frac{a}{b}} = b$.
- (6) For all real numbers a, b such that $0 \leq a$ and $0 \leq b$ holds $\sqrt{a+b} \leq \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$.
- (7) If $0 \leq a$ and $a \leq b$, then $|a| \leq |b|$.
- (8) If $b \leq a$ and $a \leq 0$, then $|a| \leq |b|$.
- (9) $\prod(0 \mapsto r) = 1$.
- (10) $\prod(1 \mapsto r) = r$.
- (11) $\prod(2 \mapsto r) = r \cdot r$.
- (12) $\prod((n+1) \mapsto r) = \prod(n \mapsto r) \cdot r$.
- (13) $j \neq 0$ and $r = 0$ iff $\prod(j \mapsto r) = 0$.
- (14) If $r \neq 0$ and $j \leq i$, then $\prod((i - j) \mapsto r) = \frac{\prod(i \mapsto r)}{\prod(j \mapsto r)}$.
- (15) If $r \neq 0$ and $j \leq i$, then $r^{i-j} = \frac{r^i}{r^j}$.

In the sequel a, b denote real numbers.

The following propositions are true:

- (16) ${}^2\langle a, b \rangle = \langle a^2, b^2 \rangle$.
- (17) For every finite sequence F of elements of \mathbb{R} such that $i \in \text{dom}|F|$ and $a = F(i)$ holds $|F|(i) = |a|$.
- (18) $|\langle a, b \rangle| = \langle |a|, |b| \rangle$.
- (19) For all real numbers a, b, c, d such that $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$ holds $|b-a| + |d-c| = (b-a) + (d-c)$.
- (20) If $r > 0$, then $a \in]a-r, a+r[$.
- (21) If $r \geq 0$, then $a \in [a-r, a+r]$.
- (22) If $a < b$, then $\text{inf}]a, b[= a$ and $\text{sup}]a, b[= b$.
- (23) $]a, b[\subseteq [a, b]$.
- (24) For every bounded subset A of \mathbb{R} holds $A \subseteq [\text{inf } A, \text{sup } A]$.

2. TOPOLOGICAL PRELIMINARIES

Let T be a topological structure and let A be a finite subset of the carrier of T . One can verify that $T \upharpoonright A$ is finite.

Let us observe that there exists a topological space which is finite, non empty, and strict.

Let T be a topological structure. Note that every subset of T which is empty is also connected.

Let T be a topological space. Observe that every subset of T which is finite is also compact.

Let T be T_2 non empty topological space. Observe that every subset of T which is compact is also closed.

The following two propositions are true:

- (25) For all topological spaces S, T such that S and T are homeomorphic and S is connected holds T is connected.
- (26) Let T be a topological space and F be a finite family of subsets of T . Suppose that for every subset X of T such that $X \in F$ holds X is compact. Then $\bigcup F$ is compact.

3. POINTS AND SUBSETS IN \mathcal{E}_T^2

The following propositions are true:

- (27) For every non empty set X and for every set Y such that $X \subseteq Y$ holds X meets Y .
- (28) For all sets A, B, C, D, X such that $A \cup B = X$ and $C \cup D = X$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $C \cap D = \emptyset$ and $B = D$ holds $A = C$.
- (29) For all sets A, B, C, D, a, b such that $A \subseteq B$ and $C \subseteq D$ holds $\prod[a \mapsto A, b \mapsto C] \subseteq \prod[a \mapsto B, b \mapsto D]$.
- (30) For all subsets A, B of \mathbb{R} holds $\prod[1 \mapsto A, 2 \mapsto B]$ is a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .
- (31) $||[0, a]|| = |a|$ and $||[a, 0]|| = |a|$.
- (32) For every point p of \mathcal{E}^2 and for every point q of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ and $p = q$ holds $q = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$ and $q_1 = 0$ and $q_2 = 0$.
- (33) For all points p, q of \mathcal{E}^2 and for every point z of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ and $q = z$ holds $\rho(p, q) = |z|$.
- (34) $r \cdot p = [r \cdot p_1, r \cdot p_2]$.
- (35) If $s = (1 - r) \cdot p + r \cdot q$ and $s \neq p$ and $0 \leq r$, then $0 < r$.
- (36) If $s = (1 - r) \cdot p + r \cdot q$ and $s \neq q$ and $r \leq 1$, then $r < 1$.
- (37) If $s \in \mathcal{L}(p, q)$ and $s \neq p$ and $s \neq q$ and $p_1 < q_1$, then $p_1 < s_1$ and $s_1 < q_1$.
- (38) If $s \in \mathcal{L}(p, q)$ and $s \neq p$ and $s \neq q$ and $p_2 < q_2$, then $p_2 < s_2$ and $s_2 < q_2$.
- (39) For every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^2 there exists a point q of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $q_1 < \text{W-bound } D$ and $p \neq q$.
- (40) For every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^2 there exists a point q of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $q_1 > \text{E-bound } D$ and $p \neq q$.
- (41) For every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^2 there exists a point q of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $q_2 > \text{N-bound } D$ and $p \neq q$.

- (42) For every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^2 there exists a point q of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $q_2 < \text{S-bound } D$ and $p \neq q$.

One can verify the following observations:

- * every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is convex and non empty is also connected,
- * every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is non horizontal is also non empty,
- * every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is non vertical is also non empty,
- * every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is region is also open and connected, and
- * every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is open and connected is also region.

Let us observe that every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is empty is also horizontal and every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is empty is also vertical.

Let us mention that there exists a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is non empty and convex.

Let a, b be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Observe that $\mathcal{L}(a, b)$ is convex and connected.

Let us mention that $\square_{\mathcal{E}^2}$ is connected.

Let us observe that every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is simple closed curve is also connected and compact.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (43) $\mathcal{L}(\text{NE-corner } P, \text{SE-corner } P) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } P)$.
(44) $\mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } P, \text{SE-corner } P) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } P)$.
(45) $\mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner } P, \text{NW-corner } P) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{SpStSeq } P)$.
(46) For every subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $\{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^2: p_1 < \text{W-bound } C\}$ is a non empty convex connected subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

4. BALLS AS SUBSETS OF \mathcal{E}_T^n

We now state a number of propositions:

- (47) If $e = q$ and $p \in \text{Ball}(e, r)$, then $q_1 - r < p_1$ and $p_1 < q_1 + r$.
(48) If $e = q$ and $p \in \text{Ball}(e, r)$, then $q_2 - r < p_2$ and $p_2 < q_2 + r$.
(49) If $p = e$, then $\prod[1 \mapsto]p_1 - \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}, p_1 + \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}[, 2 \mapsto]p_2 - \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}, p_2 + \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}[] \subseteq \text{Ball}(e, r)$.
(50) If $p = e$, then $\text{Ball}(e, r) \subseteq \prod[1 \mapsto]p_1 - r, p_1 + r[, 2 \mapsto]p_2 - r, p_2 + r[]$.
(51) If $P = \text{Ball}(e, r)$ and $p = e$, then $(\text{proj}1)^\circ P =]p_1 - r, p_1 + r[$.
(52) If $P = \text{Ball}(e, r)$ and $p = e$, then $(\text{proj}2)^\circ P =]p_2 - r, p_2 + r[$.
(53) If $D = \text{Ball}(e, r)$ and $p = e$, then $\text{W-bound } D = p_1 - r$.
(54) If $D = \text{Ball}(e, r)$ and $p = e$, then $\text{E-bound } D = p_1 + r$.
(55) If $D = \text{Ball}(e, r)$ and $p = e$, then $\text{S-bound } D = p_2 - r$.
(56) If $D = \text{Ball}(e, r)$ and $p = e$, then $\text{N-bound } D = p_2 + r$.

- (57) If $D = \text{Ball}(e, r)$, then D is non horizontal.
- (58) If $D = \text{Ball}(e, r)$, then D is non vertical.
- (59) For every point f of \mathcal{E}^2 and for every point x of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $x \in \text{Ball}(f, a)$ holds $[x_1 - 2 \cdot a, x_2] \notin \text{Ball}(f, a)$.
- (60) Let X be a non empty compact subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p be a point of \mathcal{E}^2 . If $p = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ and $a > 0$, then $X \subseteq \text{Ball}(p, |\text{E-bound } X| + |\text{N-bound } X| + |\text{W-bound } X| + |\text{S-bound } X| + a)$.
- (61) Let M be a Reflexive symmetric triangle non empty metric structure and z be a point of M . If $r < 0$, then $\text{Sphere}(z, r) = \emptyset$.
- (62) For every Reflexive discernible non empty metric structure M and for every point z of M holds $\text{Sphere}(z, 0) = \{z\}$.
- (63) Let M be a Reflexive symmetric triangle non empty metric structure and z be a point of M . If $r < 0$, then $\overline{\text{Ball}}(z, r) = \emptyset$.
- (64) $\overline{\text{Ball}}(z, 0) = \{z\}$.
- (65) For every subset A of M_{top} such that $A = \overline{\text{Ball}}(z, r)$ holds A is closed.
- (66) If $A = \overline{\text{Ball}}(w, r)$, then A is closed.
- (67) $\overline{\text{Ball}}(z, r)$ is bounded.
- (68) For every subset A of M_{top} such that $A = \text{Sphere}(z, r)$ holds A is closed.
- (69) If $A = \text{Sphere}(w, r)$, then A is closed.
- (70) $\text{Sphere}(z, r)$ is bounded.
- (71) If A is Bounded, then \overline{A} is Bounded.
- (72) For every non empty metric structure M holds M is bounded iff every subset of the carrier of M is bounded.
- (73) Let M be a Reflexive symmetric triangle non empty metric structure and X, Y be subsets of the carrier of M . Suppose the carrier of $M = X \cup Y$ and M is non bounded and X is bounded. Then Y is non bounded.
- (74) For all subsets X, Y of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $n \geq 1$ and the carrier of $\mathcal{E}_T^n = X \cup Y$ and X is Bounded holds Y is non Bounded.
- (76)³ If A is Bounded and B is Bounded, then $A \cup B$ is Bounded.

5. TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF REAL NUMBERS SUBSETS

Let X be a non empty subset of \mathbb{R} . Observe that \overline{X} is non empty.

Let D be a lower bounded subset of \mathbb{R} . One can verify that \overline{D} is lower bounded.

³The proposition (75) has been removed.

Let D be an upper bounded subset of \mathbb{R} . One can verify that \overline{D} is upper bounded.

We now state two propositions:

- (77) For every non empty lower bounded subset D of \mathbb{R} holds $\inf D = \inf \overline{D}$.
 (78) For every non empty upper bounded subset D of \mathbb{R} holds $\sup D = \sup \overline{D}$.

Let us observe that \mathbb{R}^1 is T_2 .

The following three propositions are true:

- (79) For every subset A of \mathbb{R} and for every subset B of \mathbb{R}^1 such that $A = B$ holds A is closed iff B is closed.
 (80) For every subset A of \mathbb{R} and for every subset B of \mathbb{R}^1 such that $A = B$ holds $\overline{A} = \overline{B}$.
 (81) For every subset A of \mathbb{R} and for every subset B of \mathbb{R}^1 such that $A = B$ holds A is compact iff B is compact.

One can check that every subset of \mathbb{R} which is finite is also compact.

Let a, b be real numbers. Note that $[a, b]$ is compact.

Next we state the proposition

- (82) $a \neq b$ iff $\overline{]a, b[} = [a, b]$.

Let us observe that there exists a subset of \mathbb{R} which is non empty, finite, and bounded.

The following propositions are true:

- (83) Let T be a topological structure, f be a real map of T , and g be a map from T into \mathbb{R}^1 . If $f = g$, then f is continuous iff g is continuous.
 (84) Let A, B be subsets of \mathbb{R} and f be a map from $[\mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^1]$ into \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If for all real numbers x, y holds $f(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle x, y \rangle$, then $f^\circ[A, B] = \prod[1 \mapsto A, 2 \mapsto B]$.
 (85) For every map f from $[\mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^1]$ into \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that for all real numbers x, y holds $f(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle x, y \rangle$ holds f is a homeomorphism.
 (86) $[\mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^1]$ and \mathcal{E}_T^2 are homeomorphic.

6. BOUNDED SUBSETS

One can prove the following propositions:

- (87) For all compact subsets A, B of \mathbb{R} holds $\prod[1 \mapsto A, 2 \mapsto B]$ is a compact subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .
 (88) If P is Bounded and closed, then P is compact.
 (89) If P is Bounded, then for every continuous real map g of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $\overline{g^\circ P} \subseteq g^\circ \overline{P}$.
 (90) $(\text{proj}1)^\circ \overline{P} \subseteq \overline{(\text{proj}1)^\circ P}$.

- (91) $(\text{proj}2)^\circ \overline{P} \subseteq \overline{(\text{proj}2)^\circ P}$.
- (92) If P is Bounded, then $\overline{(\text{proj}1)^\circ P} = (\text{proj}1)^\circ \overline{P}$.
- (93) If P is Bounded, then $\overline{(\text{proj}2)^\circ P} = (\text{proj}2)^\circ \overline{P}$.
- (94) If D is Bounded, then W-bound $D = \text{W-bound } \overline{D}$.
- (95) If D is Bounded, then E-bound $D = \text{E-bound } \overline{D}$.
- (96) If D is Bounded, then N-bound $D = \text{N-bound } \overline{D}$.
- (97) If D is Bounded, then S-bound $D = \text{S-bound } \overline{D}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Professor Yatsuka Nakamura for his help in the preparation of the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. The theorem of Weierstrass. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):353–359, 1996.
- [5] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):245–254, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):701–709, 1991.
- [15] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in \mathcal{E}^2 . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [17] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [18] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [19] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [20] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [21] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [22] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Simple closed curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):663–664, 1991.

- [23] Alicia de la Cruz. Totally bounded metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):559–562, 1991.
- [24] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [25] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [26] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [27] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent sequences and the limit of sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):273–275, 1990.
- [28] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [29] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
- [30] Yatsuka Nakamura. Graph theoretical properties of arcs in the plane and Fashoda Meet Theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):193–201, 1998.
- [31] Yatsuka Nakamura and Czesław Byliński. Extremal properties of vertices on special polygons. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):97–102, 1996.
- [32] Yatsuka Nakamura and Jarosław Kotowicz. Connectedness conditions using polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):101–106, 1992.
- [33] Yatsuka Nakamura and Jarosław Kotowicz. The Jordan’s property for certain subsets of the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):137–142, 1992.
- [34] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):1–13, 1999.
- [35] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [36] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):239–244, 1990.
- [37] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):93–96, 1991.
- [38] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [39] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [40] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [41] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [42] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [43] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [44] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the rectangular finite sequences of the points of the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):531–539, 1997.
- [45] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [46] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [47] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [48] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [49] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [50] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.
- [51] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski. T_0 topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):75–77, 1996.

Received February 19, 1999
