

Some Properties of Isomorphism between Relational Structures. On the Product of Topological Spaces¹

Jarosław Gryko
University of Białystok

Artur Korniłowicz
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: YELLOW14.

The articles [1], [12], [7], [8], [9], [10], [19], [2], [26], [14], [24], [20], [21], [28], [29], [22], [27], [23], [17], [13], [31], [6], [16], [15], [4], [11], [5], [18], [3], [30], and [25] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

The following propositions are true:

- (1) $2^1 = \{0, 1\}$.
- (2) For every set X and for every subset Y of X holds $\text{rng}(\text{id}_X \upharpoonright Y) = Y$.
- (3) For every function f and for all sets a, b holds $(f + \cdot (a \dashv \rightarrow b))(a) = b$.

Let us observe that there exists a relational structure which is strict and empty.

Next we state four propositions:

- (4) Let S be an empty 1-sorted structure, T be a 1-sorted structure, and f be a map from S into T . If $\text{rng } f = \Omega_T$, then T is empty.
- (5) Let S be a 1-sorted structure, T be an empty 1-sorted structure, and f be a map from S into T . If $\text{dom } f = \Omega_S$, then S is empty.
- (6) Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, T be a 1-sorted structure, and f be a map from S into T . If $\text{dom } f = \Omega_S$, then T is non empty.

¹This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

- (7) Let S be a 1-sorted structure, T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, and f be a map from S into T . If $\text{rng } f = \Omega_T$, then S is non empty.

Let S be a non empty reflexive relational structure, let T be a non empty relational structure, and let f be a map from S into T . Let us observe that f is directed-sups-preserving if and only if:

- (Def. 1) For every non empty directed subset X of S holds f preserves sup of X .

Let R be a 1-sorted structure and let N be a net structure over R . We say that N is function yielding if and only if:

- (Def. 2) The mapping of N is function yielding.

Let us note that there exists a 1-sorted structure which is strict, non empty, and constituted functions.

One can verify that there exists a relational structure which is strict, non empty, and constituted functions.

Let R be a constituted functions 1-sorted structure. One can verify that every net structure over R is function yielding.

Let R be a constituted functions 1-sorted structure. Note that there exists a net structure over R which is strict and function yielding.

Let R be a non empty constituted functions 1-sorted structure. Note that there exists a net structure over R which is strict, non empty, and function yielding.

Let R be a constituted functions 1-sorted structure and let N be a function yielding net structure over R . Observe that the mapping of N is function yielding.

Let R be a non empty constituted functions 1-sorted structure. Note that there exists a net in R which is strict and function yielding.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a non empty net structure over S . Note that rng (the mapping of N) is non empty.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a non empty net structure over S . Observe that $\text{rng netmap}(N, S)$ is non empty.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (8) Let A, B, C be non empty relational structures, f be a map from B into C , and g, h be maps from A into B . If $g \leq h$ and f is monotone, then $f \cdot g \leq f \cdot h$.
- (9) Let S be a non empty topological space, T be a non empty topological space-like FR-structure, f, g be maps from S into T , and x, y be elements of $[S \rightarrow T]$. If $x = f$ and $y = g$, then $x \leq y$ iff $f \leq g$.

Let I be a set and let R be a non empty relational structure. Note that every element of the carrier of R^I is function-like and relation-like.

Let I be a non empty set, let R be a non empty relational structure, let f be an element of the carrier of R^I , and let i be an element of I . Then $f(i)$ is an element of R .

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN RELATIONAL STRUCTURES

One can prove the following proposition

- (10) For all relational structures S, T and for every map f from S into T such that f is isomorphic holds f is onto.

Let S, T be relational structures. Note that every map from S into T which is isomorphic is also onto.

We now state four propositions:

- (11) Let S, T be non empty relational structures and f be a map from S into T . If f is isomorphic, then f^{-1} is isomorphic.
- (12) For all non empty relational structures S, T such that S and T are isomorphic and S has g.l.b.'s holds T has g.l.b.'s.
- (13) For all non empty relational structures S, T such that S and T are isomorphic and S has l.u.b.'s holds T has l.u.b.'s.
- (14) For every relational structure L such that L is empty holds L is bounded.

Let us note that every relational structure which is empty is also bounded.

The following propositions are true:

- (15) Let S, T be relational structures. Suppose S and T are isomorphic and S is lower-bounded. Then T is lower-bounded.
- (16) Let S, T be relational structures. Suppose S and T are isomorphic and S is upper-bounded. Then T is upper-bounded.
- (17) Let S, T be non empty relational structures, A be a subset of S , and f be a map from S into T . Suppose f is isomorphic and $\sup A$ exists in S . Then $\sup f^\circ A$ exists in T .
- (18) Let S, T be non empty relational structures, A be a subset of S , and f be a map from S into T . Suppose f is isomorphic and $\inf A$ exists in S . Then $\inf f^\circ A$ exists in T .

3. ON THE PRODUCT OF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Next we state two propositions:

- (19) Let S, T be topological structures. Suppose S and T are homeomorphic or there exists a map f from S into T such that $\text{dom } f = \Omega_S$ and $\text{rng } f = \Omega_T$. Then S is empty if and only if T is empty.
- (20) For every non empty topological space T holds T and the topological structure of T are homeomorphic.

Let T be a Scott reflexive non empty FR-structure. One can verify that every subset of T which is open is also inaccessible and upper and every subset of T which is inaccessible and upper is also open.

Next we state several propositions:

- (21) Let T be a topological structure, x, y be points of T , and X, Y be subsets of T . If $X = \{x\}$ and $\overline{X} \subseteq \overline{Y}$, then $x \in \overline{Y}$.
- (22) Let T be a topological structure, x, y be points of T , and Y, V be subsets of T . If $Y = \{y\}$ and $x \in \overline{Y}$ and V is open and $x \in V$, then $y \in V$.
- (23) Let T be a topological structure, x, y be points of T , and X, Y be subsets of T . Suppose $X = \{x\}$ and $Y = \{y\}$. Suppose that for every subset V of T such that V is open holds if $x \in V$, then $y \in V$. Then $\overline{X} \subseteq \overline{Y}$.
- (24) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces, A be an irreducible subset of S , and B be a subset of T . Suppose $A = B$ and the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T . Then B is irreducible.
- (25) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces, a be a point of S , b be a point of T , A be a subset of the carrier of S , and B be a subset of the carrier of T . Suppose $a = b$ and $A = B$ and the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T and a is dense point of A . Then b is dense point of B .
- (26) Let S, T be topological structures, A be a subset of S , and B be a subset of T . Suppose $A = B$ and the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T and A is compact. Then B is compact.
- (27) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces. Suppose the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T and S is sober. Then T is sober.
- (28) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces. Suppose the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T and S is locally-compact. Then T is locally-compact.
- (29) Let S, T be topological structures. Suppose the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T and S is compact. Then T is compact.

Let I be a non empty set, let T be a non empty topological space, let x be a point of $\prod(I \mapsto T)$, and let i be an element of I . Then $x(i)$ is an element of T .

The following propositions are true:

- (30) Let M be a non empty set, J be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by M , and x, y be points of $\prod J$. Then $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ if and only if for every element i of M holds $x(i) \in \overline{\{y(i)\}}$.
- (31) Let M be a non empty set, T be a non empty topological space, and x, y be points of $\prod(M \mapsto T)$. Then $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ if and only if for every element i of M holds $x(i) \in \overline{\{y(i)\}}$.
- (32) Let M be a non empty set, i be an element of M , J be a topological

space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by M , and x be a point of $\prod J$. Then $\pi_i\{x\} = \{x(i)\}$.

- (33) Let M be a non empty set, i be an element of M , T be a non empty topological space, and x be a point of $\prod(M \mapsto T)$. Then $\pi_i\{x\} = \{x(i)\}$.
- (34) Let X, Y be non empty topological structures, f be a map from X into Y , and g be a map from Y into X . Suppose $f = \text{id}_X$ and $g = \text{id}_X$ and f is continuous and g is continuous. Then the topological structure of $X =$ the topological structure of Y .
- (35) Let X, Y be non empty topological spaces and f be a map from X into Y . If f° is continuous, then f is continuous.

Let X, Y be non empty topological spaces. Observe that every continuous map from X into Y is continuous.

Let X be a non empty topological space and let Y be a non empty subspace of X . Note that $\underset{\rightarrow}{Y}$ is continuous.

The following propositions are true:

- (36) For every non empty topological space T and for every map f from T into T such that $f \cdot f = f$ holds $f^\circ \cdot (\underset{\rightarrow}{\text{Im}} f) = \text{id}_{\text{Im } f}$.
- (37) For every non empty topological space Y and for every non empty subspace W of Y holds $(\underset{\rightarrow}{W})^\circ$ is a homeomorphism.
- (38) Let M be a non empty set and J be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by M . Suppose that for every element i of M holds $J(i)$ is a T_0 topological space. Then $\prod J$ is T_0 .

Let I be a non empty set and let T be a non empty T_0 topological space. One can check that $\prod(I \mapsto T)$ is T_0 .

The following proposition is true

- (39) Let M be a non empty set and J be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by M . Suppose that for every element i of M holds $J(i)$ is T_1 and topological space-like. Then $\prod J$ is a T_1 space.

Let I be a non empty set and let T be a non empty T_1 topological space. Observe that $\prod(I \mapsto T)$ is T_1 .

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [6] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. Dyadic numbers and T_4 topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):361–366, 1996.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.

- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):131–143, 1997.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [14] Adam Grabowski. On the category of posets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):501–505, 1996.
- [15] Adam Grabowski. Scott-continuous functions. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(1):5–11, 2001.
- [16] Jarosław Gryko. Injective spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(1):57–62, 1998.
- [17] Zbigniew Karno. Continuity of mappings over the union of subspaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):1–16, 1992.
- [18] Artur Korniłowicz. On the topological properties of meet-continuous lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):269–277, 1997.
- [19] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):563–571, 1991.
- [20] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):167–172, 1996.
- [21] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [22] Yozo Toda. The formalization of simple graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):137–144, 1996.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Baire spaces, Sober spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):289–294, 1997.
- [26] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
- [27] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [29] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [30] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):123–130, 1997.
- [31] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski. T_0 topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):75–77, 1996.

Received June 22, 1999
