

Input and Output of Instructions¹

Artur Korniłowicz
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: AMI_7.

The terminology and notation used here are introduced in the following articles: [10], [5], [9], [6], [13], [1], [7], [4], [2], [11], [3], [12], and [8].

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper N is a set with non empty elements.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For all sets x, y, z such that $x \neq y$ and $x \neq z$ holds $\{x, y, z\} \setminus \{x\} = \{y, z\}$.
- (2) For every non empty non void AMI A over N and for every state s of A and for every object o of A holds $s(o) \in \text{ObjectKind}(o)$.
- (3) Let A be a realistic IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , s be a state of A , f be an instruction-location of A , and w be an element of $\text{ObjectKind}(\mathbf{IC}_A)$. Then $(s + \cdot(\mathbf{IC}_A, w))(f) = s(f)$.

Let N be a set with non empty elements, let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , let s be a state of A , let o be an object of A , and let a be an element of $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$. Then $s + \cdot(o, a)$ is a state of A .

We now state several propositions:

- (4) Let A be a steady-programmed IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , s be a state of A , o be an object of A , f be an instruction-location of A , I be an instruction of A , and w be an element of $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$. If $f \neq o$, then $(\text{Exec}(I, s))(f) = (\text{Exec}(I, s + \cdot(o, w)))(f)$.

¹This work has been partially supported by TYPES grant IST-1999-29001.

- (5) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , s be a state of A , o be an object of A , and w be an element of $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$. If $o \neq \mathbf{IC}_A$, then $\mathbf{IC}_s = \mathbf{IC}_{s+(o,w)}$.
- (6) Let A be a standard IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , I be an instruction of A , s be a state of A , o be an object of A , and w be an element of $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$. If I is sequential and $o \neq \mathbf{IC}_A$, then $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{Exec}(I,s)} = \mathbf{IC}_{\text{Exec}(I,s+(o,w))}$.
- (7) Let A be a standard IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , I be an instruction of A , s be a state of A , o be an object of A , and w be an element of $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$. If I is sequential and $o \neq \mathbf{IC}_A$, then $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{Exec}(I,s+(o,w))} = \mathbf{IC}_{\text{Exec}(I,s)+(o,w)}$.
- (8) Let A be a standard steady-programmed IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , I be an instruction of A , s be a state of A , o be an object of A , w be an element of $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$, and i be an instruction-location of A . Then $(\text{Exec}(I, s + (o, w)))(i) = (\text{Exec}(I, s) + (o, w))(i)$.

2. INPUT AND OUTPUT OF INSTRUCTIONS

Let N be a set and let A be an AMI over N . We say that A has non trivial instruction set if and only if:

(Def. 1) The instructions of A are non trivial.

Let N be a set and let A be a non empty AMI over N . We say that A has non trivial ObjectKinds if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every object o of A holds $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$ is non trivial.

Let N be a set with non empty elements. One can verify that $\text{STC}(N)$ has non trivial ObjectKinds.

Let N be a set with non empty elements. Observe that there exists a regular standard IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N which is halting, realistic, steady-programmed, programmable, IC-good, and Exec-preserving and has explicit jumps, no implicit jumps, non trivial ObjectKinds, and non trivial instruction set.

Let N be a set with non empty elements. Note that every definite non empty non void AMI over N which has non trivial ObjectKinds has also non trivial instruction set.

Let N be a set with non empty elements. One can check that every IC-Ins-separated non empty AMI over N which has non trivial ObjectKinds has also non trivial instruction locations.

Let N be a set with non empty elements, let A be a non empty AMI over N with non trivial ObjectKinds, and let o be an object of A . Observe that $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$ is non trivial.

Let N be a set with non empty elements and let A be an AMI over N with non trivial instruction set. Note that the instructions of A is non trivial.

Let N be a set with non empty elements and let A be an IC-Ins-separated non empty AMI over N with non trivial instruction locations. Note that $\text{ObjectKind}(\mathbf{IC}_A)$ is non trivial.

Let N be a set with non empty elements, let A be a non empty non void AMI over N , and let I be an instruction of A . The functor $\text{Output } I$ yielding a subset of the carrier of A is defined as follows:

- (Def. 3) For every object o of A holds $o \in \text{Output } I$ iff there exists a state s of A such that $s(o) \neq (\text{Exec}(I, s))(o)$.

Let N be a set with non empty elements, let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , and let I be an instruction of A . The functor $\text{IODiff } I$ yielding a subset of the carrier of A is defined by the condition (Def. 4).

- (Def. 4) Let o be an object of A . Then $o \in \text{IODiff } I$ if and only if for every state s of A and for every element a of $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$ holds $\text{Exec}(I, s) = \text{Exec}(I, s + \cdot(o, a))$.

The functor $\text{IOSum } I$ yielding a subset of the carrier of A is defined by the condition (Def. 5).

- (Def. 5) Let o be an object of A . Then $o \in \text{IOSum } I$ if and only if there exists a state s of A and there exists an element a of $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$ such that $\text{Exec}(I, s + \cdot(o, a)) \neq \text{Exec}(I, s) + \cdot(o, a)$.

Let N be a set with non empty elements, let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , and let I be an instruction of A . The functor $\text{Input } I$ yielding a subset of the carrier of A is defined as follows:

- (Def. 6) $\text{Input } I = \text{IOSum } I \setminus \text{IODiff } I$.

The following propositions are true:

- (9) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N and I be an instruction of A . Then $\text{IODiff } I$ misses $\text{Input } I$.
- (10) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N with non trivial ObjectKinds and I be an instruction of A . Then $\text{IODiff } I \subseteq \text{Output } I$.
- (11) For every IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI A over N and for every instruction I of A holds $\text{Output } I \subseteq \text{IOSum } I$.
- (12) For every IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI A over N and for every instruction I of A holds $\text{Input } I \subseteq \text{IOSum } I$.

- (13) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N with non trivial ObjectKinds and I be an instruction of A . Then $\text{IODiff } I = \text{Output } I \setminus \text{Input } I$.
- (14) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N with non trivial ObjectKinds and I be an instruction of A . Then $\text{IOSum } I = \text{Output } I \cup \text{Input } I$.
- (15) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , I be an instruction of A , and o be an object of A . If $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$ is trivial, then $o \notin \text{IOSum } I$.
- (16) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , I be an instruction of A , and o be an object of A . If $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$ is trivial, then $o \notin \text{Input } I$.
- (17) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , I be an instruction of A , and o be an object of A . If $\text{ObjectKind}(o)$ is trivial, then $o \notin \text{Output } I$.
- (18) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N and I be an instruction of A . Then I is halting if and only if $\text{Output } I$ is empty.
- (19) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N with non trivial ObjectKinds and I be an instruction of A . If I is halting, then $\text{IODiff } I$ is empty.
- (20) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N and I be an instruction of A . If I is halting, then $\text{IOSum } I$ is empty.
- (21) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N and I be an instruction of A . If I is halting, then $\text{Input } I$ is empty.

Let N be a set with non empty elements, let A be a halting IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , and let I be a halting instruction of A . One can verify the following observations:

- * $\text{Input } I$ is empty,
- * $\text{Output } I$ is empty, and
- * $\text{IOSum } I$ is empty.

Let N be a set with non empty elements, let A be a halting IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N with non trivial ObjectKinds, and let I be a halting instruction of A . Note that $\text{IODiff } I$ is empty.

The following propositions are true:

- (22) Let A be a steady-programmed IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N with non trivial instruction set, f be an instruction-location of A , and I be an instruction of A . Then $f \notin \text{IODiff } I$.
- (23) Let A be a standard IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N and I be an instruction of A . If I is sequential, then $\mathbf{IC}_A \notin \text{IODiff } I$.

- (24) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N and I be an instruction of A . If there exists a state s of A such that $(\text{Exec}(I, s))(\mathbf{IC}_A) \neq \mathbf{IC}_s$, then $\mathbf{IC}_A \in \text{Output } I$.
- (25) Let A be a standard IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N and I be an instruction of A . If I is sequential, then $\mathbf{IC}_A \in \text{Output } I$.
- (26) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N and I be an instruction of A . If there exists a state s of A such that $(\text{Exec}(I, s))(\mathbf{IC}_A) \neq \mathbf{IC}_s$, then $\mathbf{IC}_A \in \text{IOSum } I$.
- (27) Let A be a standard IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N and I be an instruction of A . If I is sequential, then $\mathbf{IC}_A \in \text{IOSum } I$.
- (28) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , f be an instruction-location of A , and I be an instruction of A . Suppose that for every state s of A and for every programmed finite partial state p of A holds $\text{Exec}(I, s + \cdot p) = \text{Exec}(I, s) + \cdot p$. Then $f \notin \text{IOSum } I$.
- (29) Let A be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , I be an instruction of A , and o be an object of A . If I is jump-only, then if $o \in \text{Output } I$, then $o = \mathbf{IC}_A$.

3. INPUT AND OUTPUT OF THE INSTRUCTIONS OF **SCM**

In the sequel a, b are data-locations, f is an instruction-location of **SCM**, and I is an instruction of **SCM**.

We now state two propositions:

- (30) For every state s of **SCM** and for every element w of $\text{ObjectKind}(\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}})$ holds $(s + \cdot (\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}}, w))(a) = s(a)$.
- (31) $f \neq \text{Next}(f)$.

Let s be a state of **SCM**, let d_1 be a data-location, and let k be an integer. Then $s + \cdot (d_1, k)$ is a state of **SCM**.

Let us observe that **SCM** has non trivial ObjectKinds.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (32) $\text{IODiff}(a := a) = \emptyset$.
- (33) If $a \neq b$, then $\text{IODiff}(a := b) = \{a\}$.
- (34) $\text{IODiff AddTo}(a, b) = \emptyset$.
- (35) $\text{IODiff SubFrom}(a, a) = \{a\}$.
- (36) If $a \neq b$, then $\text{IODiff SubFrom}(a, b) = \emptyset$.
- (37) $\text{IODiff MultBy}(a, b) = \emptyset$.

- (38) IODiff Divide(a, a) = $\{a\}$.
- (39) If $a \neq b$, then IODiff Divide(a, b) = \emptyset .
- (40) IODiff goto $f = \{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (41) IODiff(**if** $a = 0$ **goto** f) = \emptyset .
- (42) IODiff(**if** $a > 0$ **goto** f) = \emptyset .
- (43) Output($a := a$) = $\{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (44) If $a \neq b$, then Output($a := b$) = $\{a, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (45) Output AddTo(a, b) = $\{a, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (46) Output SubFrom(a, b) = $\{a, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (47) Output MultBy(a, b) = $\{a, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (48) Output Divide(a, b) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (49) Output goto $f = \{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (50) Output(**if** $a = 0$ **goto** f) = $\{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (51) Output(**if** $a > 0$ **goto** f) = $\{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (52) $f \notin \text{IOSum } I$.
- (53) IOSum($a := a$) = $\{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (54) If $a \neq b$, then IOSum($a := b$) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (55) IOSum AddTo(a, b) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (56) IOSum SubFrom(a, b) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (57) IOSum MultBy(a, b) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (58) IOSum Divide(a, b) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (59) IOSum goto $f = \{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (60) IOSum(**if** $a = 0$ **goto** f) = $\{a, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (61) IOSum(**if** $a > 0$ **goto** f) = $\{a, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (62) Input($a := a$) = $\{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (63) If $a \neq b$, then Input($a := b$) = $\{b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (64) Input AddTo(a, b) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (65) Input SubFrom(a, a) = $\{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (66) If $a \neq b$, then Input SubFrom(a, b) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (67) Input MultBy(a, b) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (68) Input Divide(a, a) = $\{\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (69) If $a \neq b$, then Input Divide(a, b) = $\{a, b, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (70) Input goto $f = \emptyset$.
- (71) Input(**if** $a = 0$ **goto** f) = $\{a, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.
- (72) Input(**if** $a > 0$ **goto** f) = $\{a, \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}}\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [3] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [6] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):1–8, 1996.
- [7] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [8] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec, Piotr Rudnicki, and Artur Kornilowicz. Standard ordering of instruction locations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(2):291–301, 2001.
- [11] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [12] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [13] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świątkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.

Received May 8, 2001
