

# Dickson's Lemma

Gilbert Lee  
University of Alberta  
Edmonton

Piotr Rudnicki  
University of Alberta  
Edmonton

**Summary.** We present a Mizar formalization of the proof of Dickson's lemma following [6], chapters 4.2 and 4.3.

MML Identifier: DICKSON.

The papers [19], [29], [1], [7], [13], [21], [12], [8], [9], [2], [20], [26], [27], [24], [17], [18], [30], [32], [31], [28], [23], [4], [11], [5], [14], [22], [3], [15], [16], [25], and [10] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (1) For every function  $g$  and for every set  $x$  such that  $\text{dom } g = \{x\}$  holds  $g = x \mapsto g(x)$ .
- (2) For every natural number  $n$  holds  $n \subseteq n + 1$ .

The scheme *FinSegRng2* deals with natural numbers  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ , a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a set, and a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

$\{\mathcal{F}(i); i \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } \mathcal{A} < i \wedge i \leq \mathcal{B} \wedge \mathcal{P}[i]\}$  is  
finite

for all values of the parameters.

The following proposition is true

- (3) For every infinite set  $X$  holds there exists a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $X$  which is one-to-one.

Let  $R$  be a relational structure and let  $f$  be a sequence of  $R$ . We say that  $f$  is ascending if and only if:

(Def. 1) For every natural number  $n$  holds  $f(n+1) \neq f(n)$  and  $\langle f(n), f(n+1) \rangle \in$  the internal relation of  $R$ .

Let  $R$  be a relational structure and let  $f$  be a sequence of  $R$ . We say that  $f$  is weakly ascending if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every natural number  $n$  holds  $\langle f(n), f(n+1) \rangle \in$  the internal relation of  $R$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (4) Let  $R$  be a non empty transitive relational structure and  $f$  be a sequence of  $R$ . Suppose  $f$  is weakly ascending. Let  $i, j$  be natural numbers. If  $i < j$ , then  $f(i) \leq f(j)$ .
- (5) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure. Then  $R$  is connected if and only if the internal relation of  $R$  is strongly connected in the carrier of  $R$ .
- (6) Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $X$  be a set. Then  $R$  is reflexive in  $X$  and connected in  $X$  if and only if  $R$  is strongly connected in  $X$ .
- (7) Let  $L$  be a relational structure,  $Y$  be a set, and  $a$  be an element of  $L$ . Then (the internal relation of  $L$ )-Seg( $a$ ) misses  $Y$  and  $a \in Y$  if and only if  $a$  is minimal w.r.t.  $Y$ , the internal relation of  $L$ .
- (8) Let  $L$  be a non empty transitive antisymmetric relational structure,  $a, x$  be elements of  $L$ , and  $N$  be a set. Suppose  $a$  is minimal w.r.t. (the internal relation of  $L$ )-Seg( $x$ )  $\cap N$ , the internal relation of  $L$ . Then  $a$  is minimal w.r.t.  $N$ , the internal relation of  $L$ .

## 2. MORE ON ORDERING RELATIONS

Let  $R$  be a relational structure. We say that  $R$  is quasi ordered if and only if:

(Def. 3)  $R$  is reflexive and transitive.

Let  $R$  be a relational structure. Let us assume that  $R$  is quasi ordered. The functor  $\text{EqRel}(R)$  yielding an equivalence relation of the carrier of  $R$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 4)  $\text{EqRel}(R) = (\text{the internal relation of } R) \cap (\text{the internal relation of } R)^\sim$ .

The following proposition is true

- (9) Let  $R$  be a relational structure and  $x, y$  be elements of the carrier of  $R$ . If  $R$  is quasi ordered, then  $x \in [y]_{\text{EqRel}(R)}$  iff  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ .

Let  $R$  be a relational structure. The functor  $\leq_E R$  yielding a binary relation on Classes  $\text{EqRel}(R)$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) For all sets  $A, B$  holds  $\langle A, B \rangle \in \leq_E R$  iff there exist elements  $a, b$  of  $R$  such that  $A = [a]_{\text{EqRel}(R)}$  and  $B = [b]_{\text{EqRel}(R)}$  and  $a \leq b$ .

We now state two propositions:

- (10) For every relational structure  $R$  such that  $R$  is quasi ordered holds  $\leq_E R$  partially orders Classes  $\text{EqRel}(R)$ .
- (11) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure. If  $R$  is quasi ordered and connected, then  $\leq_E R$  linearly orders Classes  $\text{EqRel}(R)$ .

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. The functor  $R \setminus \smile$  yields a binary relation and is defined by:

(Def. 6)  $R \setminus \smile = R \setminus R \smile$ .

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. Note that  $R \setminus \smile$  is asymmetric.

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $R$  be a binary relation on  $X$ . Then  $R \setminus \smile$  is a binary relation on  $X$ .

Let  $R$  be a relational structure. The functor  $R \setminus \smile$  yielding a strict relational structure is defined as follows:

(Def. 7)  $R \setminus \smile = \langle \text{the carrier of } R, \text{ the internal relation of } R \setminus \smile \rangle$ .

Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure. One can check that  $R \setminus \smile$  is non empty.

Let  $R$  be a transitive relational structure. One can check that  $R \setminus \smile$  is transitive.

Let  $R$  be a relational structure. One can check that  $R \setminus \smile$  is antisymmetric.

We now state several propositions:

- (12) For every non empty poset  $R$  and for every element  $x$  of the carrier of  $R$  holds  $[x]_{\text{EqRel}(R)} = \{x\}$ .
- (13) For every binary relation  $R$  holds  $R = R \setminus \smile$  iff  $R$  is asymmetric.
- (14) For every binary relation  $R$  such that  $R$  is transitive holds  $R \setminus \smile$  is transitive.
- (15) Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $a, b$  be sets. If  $R$  is antisymmetric, then  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R \setminus \smile$  iff  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$  and  $a \neq b$ .
- (16) For every relational structure  $R$  such that  $R$  is well founded holds  $R \setminus \smile$  is well founded.
- (17) For every relational structure  $R$  such that  $R \setminus \smile$  is well founded and  $R$  is antisymmetric holds  $R$  is well founded.

### 3. FOUNDEDNESS PROPERTIES

The following two propositions are true:

- (18) Let  $L$  be a relational structure,  $N$  be a set, and  $x$  be an element of  $L \setminus \smile$ . Then  $x$  is minimal w.r.t.  $N$ , the internal relation of  $L \setminus \smile$  if and only if  $x \in N$  and for every element  $y$  of  $L$  such that  $y \in N$  and  $\langle y, x \rangle \in$  the internal relation of  $L$  holds  $\langle x, y \rangle \in$  the internal relation of  $L$ .

- (19) Let  $R, S$  be non empty relational structures and  $m$  be a map from  $R$  into  $S$ . Suppose that
- (i)  $R$  is quasi ordered,
  - (ii)  $S$  is antisymmetric,
  - (iii)  $S \setminus \sphericalangle$  is well founded, and
  - (iv) for all elements  $a, b$  of  $R$  holds if  $a \leq b$ , then  $m(a) \leq m(b)$  and if  $m(a) = m(b)$ , then  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{EqRel}(R)$ .
- Then  $R \setminus \sphericalangle$  is well founded.

Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $N$  be a subset of the carrier of  $R$ . The functor  $\text{MinClasses } N$  yields a family of subsets of the carrier of  $R$  and is defined by the condition (Def. 8).

- (Def. 8) Let  $x$  be a set. Then  $x \in \text{MinClasses } N$  if and only if there exists an element  $y$  of  $R \setminus \sphericalangle$  such that  $y$  is minimal w.r.t.  $N$ , the internal relation of  $R \setminus \sphericalangle$  and  $x = [y]_{\text{EqRel}(R)} \cap N$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (20) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure,  $N$  be a subset of the carrier of  $R$ , and  $x$  be a set. Suppose  $R$  is quasi ordered and  $x \in \text{MinClasses } N$ . Let  $y$  be an element of  $R \setminus \sphericalangle$ . If  $y \in x$ , then  $y$  is minimal w.r.t.  $N$ , the internal relation of  $R \setminus \sphericalangle$ .
- (21) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure. Then  $R \setminus \sphericalangle$  is well founded if and only if for every subset  $N$  of the carrier of  $R$  such that  $N \neq \emptyset$  there exists a set  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{MinClasses } N$ .
- (22) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure,  $N$  be a subset of the carrier of  $R$ , and  $y$  be an element of  $R \setminus \sphericalangle$ . If  $y$  is minimal w.r.t.  $N$ , the internal relation of  $R \setminus \sphericalangle$ , then  $\text{MinClasses } N$  is non empty.
- (23) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure,  $N$  be a subset of the carrier of  $R$ , and  $x$  be a set. If  $R$  is quasi ordered and  $x \in \text{MinClasses } N$ , then  $x$  is non empty.
- (24) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure. Suppose  $R$  is quasi ordered. Then  $R$  is connected and  $R \setminus \sphericalangle$  is well founded if and only if for every non empty subset  $N$  of the carrier of  $R$  holds  $\overline{\overline{\text{MinClasses } N}} = 1$ .
- (25) Let  $R$  be a non empty poset. Then the following statements are equivalent
- (i) the internal relation of  $R$  well orders the carrier of  $R$ ,
  - (ii) for every non empty subset  $N$  of the carrier of  $R$  holds  $\overline{\overline{\overline{\text{MinClasses } N}}} = 1$ .

Let  $R$  be a relational structure, let  $N$  be a subset of the carrier of  $R$ , and let  $B$  be a set. We say that  $B$  is Dickson basis of  $N, R$  if and only if:

- (Def. 9)  $B \subseteq N$  and for every element  $a$  of  $R$  such that  $a \in N$  there exists an element  $b$  of  $R$  such that  $b \in B$  and  $b \leq a$ .

The following two propositions are true:

- (26) For every relational structure  $R$  holds  $\emptyset$  is Dickson basis of  $\emptyset_{\text{the carrier of } R}$ ,  $R$ .
- (27) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure,  $N$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $R$ , and  $B$  be a set. If  $B$  is Dickson basis of  $N$ ,  $R$ , then  $B$  is non empty.

Let  $R$  be a relational structure. We say that  $R$  is Dickson if and only if:

- (Def. 10) For every subset  $N$  of the carrier of  $R$  holds there exists a set which is Dickson basis of  $N$ ,  $R$  and finite.

The following two propositions are true:

- (28) For every non empty relational structure  $R$  such that  $R \setminus \sim$  is well founded and  $R$  is connected holds  $R$  is Dickson.
- (29) Let  $R, S$  be relational structures. Suppose that
  - (i) the internal relation of  $R \subseteq$  the internal relation of  $S$ ,
  - (ii)  $R$  is Dickson, and
  - (iii) the carrier of  $R =$  the carrier of  $S$ .

Then  $S$  is Dickson.

Let  $f$  be a function and let  $b$  be a set. Let us assume that  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{N}$  and  $b \in \text{rng } f$ . The functor  $f \text{ mindex } b$  yielding a natural number is defined by:

- (Def. 11)  $f(f \text{ mindex } b) = b$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $f(i) = b$  holds  $f \text{ mindex } b \leq i$ .

Let  $R$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $f$  be a sequence of  $R$ , let  $b$  be a set, and let  $m$  be a natural number. Let us assume that there exists a natural number  $j$  such that  $m < j$  and  $f(j) = b$ . The functor  $f \text{ mindex}(b, m)$  yielding a natural number is defined as follows:

- (Def. 12)  $f(f \text{ mindex}(b, m)) = b$  and  $m < f \text{ mindex}(b, m)$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $m < i$  and  $f(i) = b$  holds  $f \text{ mindex}(b, m) \leq i$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (30) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure. Suppose  $R$  is quasi ordered and Dickson. Let  $f$  be a sequence of  $R$ . Then there exist natural numbers  $i, j$  such that  $i < j$  and  $f(i) \leq f(j)$ .
- (31) Let  $R$  be a relational structure,  $N$  be a subset of the carrier of  $R$ , and  $x$  be an element of  $R \setminus \sim$ . Suppose  $R$  is quasi ordered and  $x \in N$  and (the internal relation of  $R$ )- $\text{Seg}(x) \cap N \subseteq [x]_{\text{EqRel}(R)}$ . Then  $x$  is minimal w.r.t.  $N$ , the internal relation of  $R \setminus \sim$ .
- (32) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure. Suppose  $R$  is quasi ordered and for every sequence  $f$  of  $R$  there exist natural numbers  $i, j$  such that  $i < j$  and  $f(i) \leq f(j)$ . Let  $N$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $R$ . Then  $\text{MinClasses } N$  is finite and  $\text{MinClasses } N$  is non empty.

- (33) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure. Suppose  $R$  is quasi ordered and for every non empty subset  $N$  of the carrier of  $R$  holds  $\text{MinClasses } N$  is finite and  $\text{MinClasses } N$  is non empty. Then  $R$  is Dickson.
- (34) For every non empty relational structure  $R$  such that  $R$  is quasi ordered and Dickson holds  $R \setminus \sim$  is well founded.
- (35) Let  $R$  be a non empty poset and  $N$  be a non empty subset of the carrier of  $R$ . Suppose  $R$  is Dickson. Then there exists a set  $B$  such that  $B$  is Dickson basis of  $N$ ,  $R$  and for every set  $C$  such that  $C$  is Dickson basis of  $N$ ,  $R$  holds  $B \subseteq C$ .

Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $N$  be a subset of the carrier of  $R$ . Let us assume that  $R$  is Dickson. The functor  $\text{Dickson-Bases}(N, R)$  yields a non empty family of subsets of the carrier of  $R$  and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 13) For every set  $B$  holds  $B \in \text{Dickson-Bases}(N, R)$  iff  $B$  is Dickson basis of  $N$ ,  $R$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (36) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure and  $s$  be a sequence of  $R$ . If  $R$  is Dickson, then there exists a sequence of  $R$  which is a subsequence of  $s$  and weakly ascending.
- (37) For every relational structure  $R$  such that  $R$  is empty holds  $R$  is Dickson.
- (38) Let  $M$ ,  $N$  be relational structures. Suppose  $M$  is Dickson and  $N$  is Dickson and  $M$  is quasi ordered and  $N$  is quasi ordered. Then  $\{M, N\}$  is quasi ordered and  $\{M, N\}$  is Dickson.
- (39) Let  $R$ ,  $S$  be relational structures. Suppose  $R$  and  $S$  are isomorphic and  $R$  is Dickson and quasi ordered. Then  $S$  is quasi ordered and Dickson.
- (40) Let  $p$  be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by 1 and  $z$  be an element of 1. Then  $p(z)$  and  $\prod p$  are isomorphic.

Let  $X$  be a set, let  $p$  be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by  $X$ , and let  $Y$  be a subset of  $X$ . Note that  $p|Y$  is relational structure yielding.

Next we state three propositions:

- (41) Let  $n$  be a non empty natural number and  $p$  be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by  $n$ . Then  $\prod p$  is non empty if and only if  $p$  is nonempty.
- (42) Let  $n$  be a non empty natural number,  $p$  be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by  $n + 1$ ,  $n_1$  be a subset of  $n + 1$ , and  $n_2$  be an element of  $n + 1$ . If  $n_1 = n$  and  $n_2 = n$ , then  $\{\prod(p|n_1), p(n_2)\}$  and  $\prod p$  are isomorphic.
- (43) Let  $n$  be a non empty natural number and  $p$  be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by  $n$ . Suppose that for every element

$i$  of  $n$  holds  $p(i)$  is Dickson and  $p(i)$  is quasi ordered. Then  $\prod p$  is quasi ordered and  $\prod p$  is Dickson.

Let  $p$  be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by  $\emptyset$ . One can check the following observations:

- \*  $\prod p$  is non empty,
- \*  $\prod p$  is antisymmetric,
- \*  $\prod p$  is quasi ordered, and
- \*  $\prod p$  is Dickson.

The binary relation NATOrd on  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by:

(Def. 14) NATOrd =  $\{\langle x, y \rangle; x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathbb{N}, y \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathbb{N}: x \leq y\}$ .

We now state four propositions:

- (44) NATOrd is reflexive in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- (45) NATOrd is antisymmetric in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- (46) NATOrd is strongly connected in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- (47) NATOrd is transitive in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

The non empty relational structure OrderedNAT is defined as follows:

(Def. 15) OrderedNAT =  $\langle \mathbb{N}, \text{NATOrd} \rangle$ .

One can verify the following observations:

- \* OrderedNAT is connected,
- \* OrderedNAT is Dickson,
- \* OrderedNAT is quasi ordered,
- \* OrderedNAT is antisymmetric,
- \* OrderedNAT is transitive, and
- \* OrderedNAT is well founded.

Let  $n$  be a natural number. One can check the following observations:

- \*  $\prod(n \mapsto \text{OrderedNAT})$  is non empty,
- \*  $\prod(n \mapsto \text{OrderedNAT})$  is Dickson,
- \*  $\prod(n \mapsto \text{OrderedNAT})$  is quasi ordered, and
- \*  $\prod(n \mapsto \text{OrderedNAT})$  is antisymmetric.

We now state three propositions:

- (48) Let  $M$  be a relational structure. Suppose  $M$  is Dickson and quasi ordered. Then  $\{ M, \text{OrderedNAT} \}$  is quasi ordered and  $\{ M, \text{OrderedNAT} \}$  is Dickson.
- (49) Let  $R, S$  be non empty relational structures. Suppose that
  - (i)  $R$  is Dickson and quasi ordered,
  - (ii)  $S$  is quasi ordered,
  - (iii) the internal relation of  $R \subseteq$  the internal relation of  $S$ , and

- (iv) the carrier of  $R =$  the carrier of  $S$ .  
Then  $S \setminus \smile$  is well founded.
- (50) Let  $R$  be a non empty relational structure. Suppose  $R$  is quasi ordered. Then  $R$  is Dickson if and only if for every non empty relational structure  $S$  such that  $S$  is quasi ordered and the internal relation of  $R \subseteq$  the internal relation of  $S$  and the carrier of  $R =$  the carrier of  $S$  holds  $S \setminus \smile$  is well founded.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The well ordering relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):123–129, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [6] Thomas Becker and Volker Weispfenning. *Gröbner bases: A Computational Approach to Commutative Algebra*. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1993.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):131–143, 1997.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał and Andrzej Trybulec. Similarity of formulae. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):635–642, 1991.
- [14] Adam Grabowski. Auxiliary and approximating relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):179–188, 1997.
- [15] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):117–121, 1997.
- [16] Artur Korniłowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):145–152, 1997.
- [17] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):167–172, 1996.
- [18] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [19] Jan Popiołek. Introduction to Banach and Hilbert spaces - part III. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):523–526, 1991.
- [20] Jan Popiołek. Real normed space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):111–115, 1991.
- [21] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [22] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. On same equivalents of well-foundedness. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):339–343, 1997.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):213–225, 1997.
- [26] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.

- [27] Wojciech A. Trybulec and Grzegorz Bancerek. Kuratowski - Zorn lemma. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):387–393, 1990.
- [28] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [29] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [30] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [31] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [32] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):85–89, 1990.

*Received March 12, 2002*

---