

The Ordering of Points on a Curve. Part IV¹

Artur Korniłowicz
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: JORDAN18.

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [19], [21], [22], [2], [3], [10], [20], [13], [14], [18], [6], [17], [5], [11], [1], [7], [8], [4], [9], [16], [12], and [15].

1. PRELIMINARIES

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: n denotes an element of \mathbb{N} , V denotes a subset of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^n , s, s_1, s_2, t, t_1, t_2 denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^n , C denotes a simple closed curve, P denotes a subset of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , and $a, p, p_1, p_2, q, q_1, q_2$ denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

Next we state several propositions:

- (1) For all real numbers a, b holds $(a - b)^2 = (b - a)^2$.
- (2) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces, f be a map from S into T , and A be a subset of T . If f is a homeomorphism and A is connected, then $f^{-1}(A)$ is connected.
- (3) Let S, T be non empty topological structures, f be a map from S into T , and A be a subset of T . If f is a homeomorphism and A is compact, then $f^{-1}(A)$ is compact.
- (4) $\text{proj}2^\circ \text{NorthHalfline } a$ is lower bounded.
- (5) $\text{proj}2^\circ \text{SouthHalfline } a$ is upper bounded.
- (6) $\text{proj}1^\circ \text{WestHalfline } a$ is upper bounded.
- (7) $\text{proj}1^\circ \text{EastHalfline } a$ is lower bounded.

¹This work has been partially supported by CALCULEMUS grant HPRN-CT-2000-00102.

Let us consider a . One can verify the following observations:

- * $\text{proj}2^\circ \text{NorthHalfline } a$ is non empty,
- * $\text{proj}2^\circ \text{SouthHalfline } a$ is non empty,
- * $\text{proj}1^\circ \text{WestHalfline } a$ is non empty, and
- * $\text{proj}1^\circ \text{EastHalfline } a$ is non empty.

Next we state four propositions:

- (8) $\inf(\text{proj}2^\circ \text{NorthHalfline } a) = a_2$.
- (9) $\sup(\text{proj}2^\circ \text{SouthHalfline } a) = a_2$.
- (10) $\sup(\text{proj}1^\circ \text{WestHalfline } a) = a_1$.
- (11) $\inf(\text{proj}1^\circ \text{EastHalfline } a) = a_1$.

Let us consider a . One can verify the following observations:

- * $\text{NorthHalfline } a$ is closed,
- * $\text{SouthHalfline } a$ is closed,
- * $\text{EastHalfline } a$ is closed, and
- * $\text{WestHalfline } a$ is closed.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (12) If $a \in \text{BDD } P$, then $\text{NorthHalfline } a \not\subseteq \text{UBD } P$.
- (13) If $a \in \text{BDD } P$, then $\text{SouthHalfline } a \not\subseteq \text{UBD } P$.
- (14) If $a \in \text{BDD } P$, then $\text{EastHalfline } a \not\subseteq \text{UBD } P$.
- (15) If $a \in \text{BDD } P$, then $\text{WestHalfline } a \not\subseteq \text{UBD } P$.
- (16) Let P be a subset of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p_1, p_2, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If P is an arc from p_1 to p_2 and $q \neq p_2$, then $p_2 \notin \text{LSegment}(P, p_1, p_2, q)$.
- (17) Let P be a subset of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p_1, p_2, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If P is an arc from p_1 to p_2 and $q \neq p_1$, then $p_1 \notin \text{RSegment}(P, p_1, p_2, q)$.
- (18) Let C be a simple closed curve, P be a subset of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , and p_1, p_2 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose P is an arc from p_1 to p_2 and $P \subseteq C$. Then there exists a non empty subset R of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that R is an arc from p_1 to p_2 and $P \cup R = C$ and $P \cap R = \{p_1, p_2\}$.
- (19) Let P be a subset of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose P is an arc from p_1 to p_2 and $q_1 \in P$ and $q_2 \in P$ and $q_1 \neq p_1$ and $q_1 \neq p_2$ and $q_2 \neq p_1$ and $q_2 \neq p_2$ and $q_1 \neq q_2$. Then there exists a non empty subset Q of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that Q is an arc from q_1 to q_2 and $Q \subseteq P$ and Q misses $\{p_1, p_2\}$.

2. TWO SPECIAL POINTS ON A SIMPLE CLOSED CURVE

Let us consider p, P . The functor $\text{North-Bound}(p, P)$ yields a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $\text{North-Bound}(p, P) = [p_1, \inf(\text{proj}2^\circ(P \cap \text{NorthHalfline } p))]$.

The functor $\text{South-Bound}(p, P)$ yields a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\text{South-Bound}(p, P) = [p_1, \sup(\text{proj}2^\circ(P \cap \text{SouthHalfline } p))]$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (20) $(\text{North-Bound}(p, P))_1 = p_1$ and $(\text{South-Bound}(p, P))_1 = p_1$.
- (21) $(\text{North-Bound}(p, P))_2 = \inf(\text{proj}2^\circ(P \cap \text{NorthHalfline } p))$ and $(\text{South-Bound}(p, P))_2 = \sup(\text{proj}2^\circ(P \cap \text{SouthHalfline } p))$.
- (22) For every compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p \in \text{BDD } C$ holds $\text{North-Bound}(p, C) \in C$ and $\text{North-Bound}(p, C) \in \text{NorthHalfline } p$ and $\text{South-Bound}(p, C) \in C$ and $\text{South-Bound}(p, C) \in \text{SouthHalfline } p$.
- (23) For every compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p \in \text{BDD } C$ holds $(\text{South-Bound}(p, C))_2 < p_2$ and $p_2 < (\text{North-Bound}(p, C))_2$.
- (24) For every compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p \in \text{BDD } C$ holds $\inf(\text{proj}2^\circ(C \cap \text{NorthHalfline } p)) > \sup(\text{proj}2^\circ(C \cap \text{SouthHalfline } p))$.
- (25) For every compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p \in \text{BDD } C$ holds $\text{South-Bound}(p, C) \neq \text{North-Bound}(p, C)$.
- (26) For every subset C of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $\mathcal{L}(\text{North-Bound}(p, C), \text{South-Bound}(p, C))$ is vertical.
- (27) For every compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p \in \text{BDD } C$ holds $\mathcal{L}(\text{North-Bound}(p, C), \text{South-Bound}(p, C)) \cap C = \{\text{North-Bound}(p, C), \text{South-Bound}(p, C)\}$.
- (28) Let C be a compact subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose $p \in \text{BDD } C$ and $q \in \text{BDD } C$ and $p_1 \neq q_1$. Then $\text{North-Bound}(p, C)$, $\text{South-Bound}(q, C)$, $\text{North-Bound}(q, C)$, $\text{South-Bound}(p, C)$ are mutually different.

3. AN ORDER OF POINTS ON A SIMPLE CLOSED CURVE

Let us consider n, V, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 . We say that s_1, s_2 separate t_1, t_2 on V if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every subset A of the carrier of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that A is an arc from s_1 to s_2 and $A \subseteq V$ holds A meets $\{t_1, t_2\}$.

We introduce s_1, s_2 are neighbours wrt t_1, t_2 on V as an antonym of s_1, s_2 separate t_1, t_2 on V .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (29) t, t separate s_1, s_2 on V .
- (30) If s_1, s_2 separate t_1, t_2 on V , then s_2, s_1 separate t_1, t_2 on V .
- (31) If s_1, s_2 separate t_1, t_2 on V , then s_1, s_2 separate t_2, t_1 on V .
- (32) s, t_1 separate s, t_2 on V .
- (33) t_1, s separate t_2, s on V .

- (34) t_1, s separate s, t_2 on V .
- (35) s, t_1 separate t_2, s on V .
- (36) Let p_1, p_2, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose $q \in C$ and $p_1 \in C$ and $p_2 \in C$ and $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $p_1 \neq q$ and $p_2 \neq q$. Then p_1, p_2 are neighbours wrt q, q on C .
- (37) If $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $p_1 \in C$ and $p_2 \in C$, then if p_1, p_2 separate q_1, q_2 on C , then q_1, q_2 separate p_1, p_2 on C .
- (38) Suppose $p_1 \in C$ and $p_2 \in C$ and $q_1 \in C$ and $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $q_1 \neq p_1$ and $q_1 \neq p_2$ and $q_2 \neq p_1$ and $q_2 \neq p_2$. Then p_1, p_2 are neighbours wrt q_1, q_2 on C or p_1, q_1 are neighbours wrt p_2, q_2 on C .

REFERENCES

- [1] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in \mathcal{E}^2 . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [5] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [6] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Simple closed curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):663–664, 1991.
- [10] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [11] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [12] Artur Korniłowicz, Robert Milewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Andrzej Trybulec. Gauges and cages. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):501–509, 2001.
- [13] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [14] Wojciech Leończuk and Krzysztof Prażmowski. Incidence projective spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):225–232, 1991.
- [15] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A decomposition of a simple closed curves and the order of their points. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):563–572, 1997.
- [16] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):1–13, 1999.
- [17] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):239–244, 1990.
- [18] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received September 16, 2002
