

Construction of Gröbner bases. S-Polynomials and Standard Representations

Christoph Schwarzweller
University of Tübingen

Summary. We continue the Mizar formalization of Gröbner bases following [6]. In this article we introduce S-polynomials and standard representations and show how these notions can be used to characterize Gröbner bases.

MML Identifier: GROEB.2.

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers: [24], [31], [32], [34], [33], [8], [3], [15], [30], [29], [9], [7], [5], [14], [12], [19], [18], [25], [28], [17], [1], [4], [13], [22], [21], [27], [26], [16], [10], [23], [2], [20], [11], and [35].

1. PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For every set X and for every finite sequence p of elements of X such that $p \neq \emptyset$ holds $p \upharpoonright 1 = \langle p_1 \rangle$.
- (2) Let L be a non empty loop structure, p be a finite sequence of elements of L , and n, m be natural numbers. If $m \leq n$, then $p \upharpoonright n \upharpoonright m = p \upharpoonright m$.
- (3) Let L be an add-associative right zeroed right complementable non empty loop structure, p be a finite sequence of elements of L , and n be a natural number. Suppose that for every natural number k such that $k \in \text{dom } p$ and $k > n$ holds $p(k) = 0_L$. Then $\sum p = \sum(p \upharpoonright n)$.
- (4) Let L be an add-associative right zeroed Abelian non empty loop structure, f be a finite sequence of elements of L , and i, j be natural numbers. Then $\sum \text{Swap}(f, i, j) = \sum f$.

(5) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a term order of n , and b_1, b_2, b_3 be bags of n . If $b_1 \leq_T b_3$ and $b_2 \leq_T b_3$, then $\max_T(b_1, b_2) \leq_T b_3$.

(6) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a term order of n , and b_1, b_2, b_3 be bags of n . If $b_3 \leq_T b_1$ and $b_3 \leq_T b_2$, then $b_3 \leq_T \min_T(b_1, b_2)$.

Let X be a set and let b_1, b_2 be bags of X . Let us assume that $b_2 \mid b_1$. The functor $\frac{b_1}{b_2}$ yields a bag of X and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $b_2 + \frac{b_1}{b_2} = b_1$.

Let X be a set and let b_1, b_2 be bags of X . The functor $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_2)$ yields a bag of X and is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) For every set k holds $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_2)(k) = \max(b_1(k), b_2(k))$.

Let us observe that the functor $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_2)$ is commutative and idempotent. We introduce $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_2)$ as a synonym of $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_2)$.

Let X be a set and let b_1, b_2 be bags of X . We say that b_1, b_2 are disjoint if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every set i holds $b_1(i) = 0$ or $b_2(i) = 0$.

We introduce b_1, b_2 are non disjoint as an antonym of b_1, b_2 are disjoint.

We now state several propositions:

(7) For every set X and for all bags b_1, b_2 of X holds $b_1 \mid \text{lcm}(b_1, b_2)$ and $b_2 \mid \text{lcm}(b_1, b_2)$.

(8) For every set X and for all bags b_1, b_2, b_3 of X such that $b_1 \mid b_3$ and $b_2 \mid b_3$ holds $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_2) \mid b_3$.

(9) Let X be a set, T be a term order of X , and b_1, b_2 be bags of X . Then b_1, b_2 are disjoint if and only if $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 + b_2$.

(10) For every set X and for every bag b of X holds $\frac{b}{b} = \text{EmptyBag } X$.

(11) For every set X and for all bags b_1, b_2 of X holds $b_2 \mid b_1$ iff $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_2) = b_1$.

(12) For every set X and for all bags b_1, b_2, b_3 of X such that $b_1 \mid \text{lcm}(b_2, b_3)$ holds $\text{lcm}(b_2, b_1) \mid \text{lcm}(b_2, b_3)$.

(13) For every set X and for all bags b_1, b_2, b_3 of X such that $\text{lcm}(b_2, b_1) \mid \text{lcm}(b_2, b_3)$ holds $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_3) \mid \text{lcm}(b_2, b_3)$.

(14) For every set n and for all bags b_1, b_2, b_3 of n such that $\text{lcm}(b_1, b_3) \mid \text{lcm}(b_2, b_3)$ holds $b_1 \mid \text{lcm}(b_2, b_3)$.

(15) Let n be a natural number, T be a connected admissible term order of n , and P be a non empty subset of Bags n . Then there exists a bag b of n such that $b \in P$ and for every bag b' of n such that $b' \in P$ holds $b \leq_T b'$.

Let L be an add-associative right zeroed right complementable non trivial loop structure and let a be a non-zero element of L . Note that $-a$ is non-zero.

Let X be a set, let L be a left zeroed right zeroed add-cancelable distributive non empty double loop structure, let m be a monomial of X, L , and let a be an

element of L . One can verify that $a \cdot m$ is monomial-like.

Let n be an ordinal number, let L be a left zeroed right zeroed add-cancelable distributive integral domain-like non trivial double loop structure, let p be a non-zero polynomial of n, L , and let a be a non-zero element of L . One can verify that $a \cdot p$ is non-zero.

Next we state several propositions:

- (16) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a term order of n, L be a right zeroed right distributive non empty double loop structure, p, q be series of n, L , and b be a bag of n . Then $b * (p + q) = b * p + b * q$.
- (17) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a term order of n, L be an add-associative right zeroed right complementable non empty loop structure, p be a series of n, L , and b be a bag of n . Then $b * -p = -b * p$.
- (18) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a term order of n, L be a left zeroed add-right-cancelable right distributive non empty double loop structure, p be a series of n, L , b be a bag of n , and a be an element of L . Then $b * (a \cdot p) = a \cdot (b * p)$.
- (19) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a term order of n, L be a right distributive non empty double loop structure, p, q be series of n, L , and a be an element of L . Then $a \cdot (p + q) = a \cdot p + a \cdot q$.
- (20) Let X be a set, L be an add-associative right zeroed right complementable non empty double loop structure, and a be an element of L . Then $-(a \cdot (X, L)) = -a \cdot (X, L)$.

2. S-POLYNOMIALS

The following proposition is true

- (21) Let n be a natural number, T be a connected admissible term order of n, L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive Abelian field-like non degenerated non empty double loop structure, and P be a subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. Suppose $0_n L \notin P$. Suppose that for all polynomials p_1, p_2 of n, L such that $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $p_1 \in P$ and $p_2 \in P$ and for all monomials m_1, m_2 of n, L such that $\text{HM}(m_1 * p_1, T) = \text{HM}(m_2 * p_2, T)$ holds $\text{PolyRedRel}(P, T)$ reduces $m_1 * p_1 - m_2 * p_2$ to $0_n L$. Then P is a Groebner basis wrt T .

Let n be an ordinal number, let T be a connected term order of n , let L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like non trivial double loop structure, and let p_1, p_2 be polynomials of n, L . The functor $\text{S-Poly}(p_1, p_2, T)$ yielding a polynomial of n, L is defined by:

$$\text{(Def. 4)} \quad \text{S-Poly}(p_1, p_2, T) = \text{HC}(p_2, T) \cdot \left(\frac{\text{lcm}(\text{HT}(p_1, T), \text{HT}(p_2, T))}{\text{HT}(p_1, T)} * p_1 \right) - \text{HC}(p_1, T) \cdot \left(\frac{\text{lcm}(\text{HT}(p_1, T), \text{HT}(p_2, T))}{\text{HT}(p_2, T)} * p_2 \right).$$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (22) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like Abelian non trivial double loop structure, P be a subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, and p_1, p_2 be polynomials of n, L . If $p_1 \in P$ and $p_2 \in P$, then $\text{S-Poly}(p_1, p_2, T) \in P$ -ideal.
- (23) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like non trivial double loop structure, and p_1, p_2 be polynomials of n, L . If $p_1 = p_2$, then $\text{S-Poly}(p_1, p_2, T) = 0_n L$.
- (24) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like non trivial double loop structure, and m_1, m_2 be monomials of n, L . Then $\text{S-Poly}(m_1, m_2, T) = 0_n L$.
- (25) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like non trivial double loop structure, and p be a polynomial of n, L . Then $\text{S-Poly}(p, 0_n L, T) = 0_n L$ and $\text{S-Poly}(0_n L, p, T) = 0_n L$.
- (26) Let n be an ordinal number, T be an admissible connected term order of n , L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like non trivial double loop structure, and p_1, p_2 be polynomials of n, L . Then $\text{S-Poly}(p_1, p_2, T) = 0_n L$ or $\text{HT}(\text{S-Poly}(p_1, p_2, T), T) <_T \text{lcm}(\text{HT}(p_1, T), \text{HT}(p_2, T))$.
- (27) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like non trivial double loop structure, and p_1, p_2 be non-zero polynomials of n, L . If $\text{HT}(p_2, T) \mid \text{HT}(p_1, T)$, then $\text{HC}(p_2, T) \cdot p_1$ top reduces to $\text{S-Poly}(p_1, p_2, T), p_2, T$.
- (28) Let n be a natural number, T be a connected admissible term order of n , L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive Abelian field-like non degenerated non empty double loop structure, and G be a subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. Suppose G is a Groebner basis wrt T . Let g_1, g_2, h be polynomials of n, L . If $g_1 \in G$ and $g_2 \in G$ and h is a normal form of $\text{S-Poly}(g_1, g_2, T)$ w.r.t. $\text{PolyRedRel}(G, T)$, then $h = 0_n L$.
- (29) Let n be a natural number, T be a connected admissible term order of n , L be an Abelian add-associative right complementable right zeroed com-

mutative associative well unital distributive field-like non degenerated non empty double loop structure, and G be a subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. Suppose that for all polynomials g_1, g_2, h of n, L such that $g_1 \in G$ and $g_2 \in G$ and h is a normal form of $\text{S-Poly}(g_1, g_2, T)$ w.r.t. $\text{PolyRedRel}(G, T)$ holds $h = 0_n L$. Let g_1, g_2 be polynomials of n, L . If $g_1 \in G$ and $g_2 \in G$, then $\text{PolyRedRel}(G, T)$ reduces $\text{S-Poly}(g_1, g_2, T)$ to $0_n L$.

- (30) Let n be a natural number, T be an admissible connected term order of n, L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive Abelian field-like non degenerated non empty double loop structure, and G be a subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. Suppose $0_n L \notin G$. Suppose that for all polynomials g_1, g_2 of n, L such that $g_1 \in G$ and $g_2 \in G$ holds $\text{PolyRedRel}(G, T)$ reduces $\text{S-Poly}(g_1, g_2, T)$ to $0_n L$. Then G is a Groebner basis wrt T .

Let n be an ordinal number, let T be a connected term order of n , let L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like non trivial double loop structure, and let P be a subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. The functor $\text{S-Poly}(P, T)$ yielding a subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$ is defined by:

- (Def. 5) $\text{S-Poly}(P, T) = \{\text{S-Poly}(p_1, p_2, T); p_1 \text{ ranges over polynomials of } n, L, p_2 \text{ ranges over polynomials of } n, L: p_1 \in P \wedge p_2 \in P\}$.

Let n be an ordinal number, let T be a connected term order of n , let L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like non trivial double loop structure, and let P be a finite subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. One can check that $\text{S-Poly}(P, T)$ is finite.

One can prove the following proposition

- (31) Let n be a natural number, T be an admissible connected term order of n, L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive Abelian field-like non degenerated non empty double loop structure, and G be a subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. Suppose $0_n L \notin G$ and for every polynomial g of n, L such that $g \in G$ holds g is a monomial of n, L . Then G is a Groebner basis wrt T .

3. STANDARD REPRESENTATIONS

The following three propositions are true:

- (32) Let L be a non empty multiplicative loop structure, P be a non empty subset of L , A be a left linear combination of P , and i be a natural number. Then $A \upharpoonright i$ is a left linear combination of P .
- (33) Let L be a non empty multiplicative loop structure, P be a non empty subset of L , A be a left linear combination of P , and i be a natural number. Then $A \upharpoonright_i$ is a left linear combination of P .

- (34) Let L be a non empty multiplicative loop structure, P, Q be non empty subsets of the carrier of L , and A be a left linear combination of P . If $P \subseteq Q$, then A is a left linear combination of Q .

Let n be an ordinal number, let L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, let P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, and let A, B be left linear combinations of P . Then $A \cap B$ is a left linear combination of P .

Let n be an ordinal number, let L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, let f be a polynomial of n, L , let P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, and let A be a left linear combination of P . We say that A is a monomial representation of f if and only if the conditions (Def. 6) are satisfied.

- (Def. 6)(i) $\sum A = f$, and
(ii) for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } A$ there exists a monomial m of n, L and there exists a polynomial p of n, L such that $p \in P$ and $A_i = m * p$.

Next we state two propositions:

- (35) Let n be an ordinal number, L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, f be a polynomial of n, L , P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, and A be a left linear combination of P . Suppose A is a monomial representation of f . Then $\text{Support } f \subseteq \bigcup \{ \text{Support}(m * p); m \text{ ranges over monomials of } n, L, p \text{ ranges over polynomials of } n, L: \bigvee_{i: \text{natural number}} (i \in \text{dom } A \wedge A_i = m * p) \}$.
- (36) Let n be an ordinal number, L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, f, g be polynomials of n, L , P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, and A, B be left linear combinations of P . Suppose A is a monomial representation of f and B is a monomial representation of g . Then $A \cap B$ is a monomial representation of $f + g$.

Let n be an ordinal number, let T be a connected term order of n , let L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, let f be a polynomial of n, L , let P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, let A be a left linear combination of P , and let b be a bag of n . We say that A is a standard representation of f, P, b, T if and only if the conditions (Def. 7) are satisfied.

- (Def. 7)(i) $\sum A = f$, and
(ii) for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } A$ there exists a non-zero monomial m of n, L and there exists a non-zero polynomial p of n, L such that $p \in P$ and $A_i = m * p$ and $\text{HT}(m * p, T) \leq_T b$.

Let n be an ordinal number, let T be a connected term order of n , let L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, let f be a polynomial of n, L , let P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, and let A be a left linear combination of P . We say that A is a standard representation of f, P, T if and only if:

(Def. 8) A is a standard representation of $f, P, \text{HT}(f, T), T$.

Let n be an ordinal number, let T be a connected term order of n , let L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, let f be a polynomial of n, L , let P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, and let b be a bag of n . We say that f has a standard representation of P, b, T if and only if:

(Def. 9) There exists a left linear combination of P which is a standard representation of f, P, b, T .

Let n be an ordinal number, let T be a connected term order of n , let L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, let f be a polynomial of n, L , and let P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. We say that f has a standard representation of P, T if and only if:

(Def. 10) There exists a left linear combination of P which is a standard representation of f, P, T .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (37) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, f be a polynomial of n, L , P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, A be a left linear combination of P , and b be a bag of n . Suppose A is a standard representation of f, P, b, T . Then A is a monomial representation of f .
- (38) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, f, g be polynomials of n, L , P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, A, B be left linear combinations of P , and b be a bag of n . Suppose A is a standard representation of f, P, b, T and B is a standard representation of g, P, b, T . Then $A \wedge B$ is a standard representation of $f + g, P, b, T$.
- (39) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, f, g be polynomials of n, L , P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, A, B be left linear combinations of P , b be a bag of n , and i be a natural number. Suppose A is a standard representation of f, P, b, T and $B = A \setminus i$ and $g = \sum(A \setminus i)$.

Then B is a standard representation of $f - g, P, b, T$.

- (40) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be an Abelian right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, f, g be polynomials of n, L , P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, A, B be left linear combinations of P , b be a bag of n , and i be a natural number. Suppose A is a standard representation of f, P, b, T and $B = A \downarrow_i$ and $g = \sum(A \uparrow_i)$ and $i \leq \text{len } A$. Then B is a standard representation of $f - g, P, b, T$.
- (41) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, f be a non-zero polynomial of n, L , P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, and A be a left linear combination of P . Suppose A is a monomial representation of f . Then there exists a natural number i and there exists a non-zero monomial m of n, L and there exists a non-zero polynomial p of n, L such that $i \in \text{dom } A$ and $p \in P$ and $A(i) = m * p$ and $\text{HT}(f, T) \leq_T \text{HT}(m * p, T)$.
- (42) Let n be an ordinal number, T be a connected term order of n , L be a right zeroed add-associative right complementable unital distributive non trivial non empty double loop structure, f be a non-zero polynomial of n, L , P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$, and A be a left linear combination of P . Suppose A is a standard representation of f, P, T . Then there exists a natural number i and there exists a non-zero monomial m of n, L and there exists a non-zero polynomial p of n, L such that $p \in P$ and $i \in \text{dom } A$ and $A_i = m * p$ and $\text{HT}(f, T) = \text{HT}(m * p, T)$.
- (43) Let n be an ordinal number, T be an admissible connected term order of n, L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive Abelian field-like non degenerated non empty double loop structure, f be a polynomial of n, L , and P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$ such that $\text{PolyRedRel}(P, T)$ reduces f to $0_n L$. Then f has a standard representation of P, T .
- (44) Let n be an ordinal number, T be an admissible connected term order of n, L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive field-like non trivial double loop structure, f be a non-zero polynomial of n, L , and P be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. If f has a standard representation of P, T , then f is top reducible wrt P, T .
- (45) Let n be a natural number, T be a connected admissible term order of n, L be an add-associative right complementable right zeroed commutative associative well unital distributive Abelian field-like non degenerated non empty double loop structure, and G be a non empty subset of $\text{Polynom-Ring}(n, L)$. Then G is a Groebner basis wrt T if and only if for

every non-zero polynomial f of n , L such that $f \in G$ -ideal holds f has a standard representation of G , T .

REFERENCES

- [1] Jonathan Backer, Piotr Rudnicki, and Christoph Schwarzweller. Ring ideals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):565–582, 2001.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Reduction relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):469–478, 1996.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [6] Thomas Becker and Volker Weispfenning. *Gröbner Bases: A Computational Approach to Commutative Algebra*. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1993.
- [7] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [11] Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):275–278, 1992.
- [12] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [13] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. On ordering of bags. *Formalized Mathematics*, 10(1):39–46, 2002.
- [14] Michał Muzalewski. Construction of rings and left-, right-, and bi-modules over a ring. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):3–11, 1991.
- [15] Michał Muzalewski and Wojciech Skaba. From loops to abelian multiplicative groups with zero. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):833–840, 1990.
- [16] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [17] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Multivariate polynomials with arbitrary number of variables. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(1):95–110, 2001.
- [18] Christoph Schwarzweller. The binomial theorem for algebraic structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):559–564, 2001.
- [19] Christoph Schwarzweller. More on multivariate polynomials: Monomials and constant polynomials. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(4):849–855, 2001.
- [20] Christoph Schwarzweller. Characterization and existence of Gröbner bases. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(3):293–301, 2003.
- [21] Christoph Schwarzweller. Polynomial reduction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(1):113–123, 2003.
- [22] Christoph Schwarzweller. Term orders. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(1):105–111, 2003.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Introduction to arithmetics. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [27] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [28] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
- [29] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [30] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [31] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

- [32] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [33] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [34] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):85–89, 1990.
- [35] Hiroshi Yamazaki, Yoshinori Fujisawa, and Yatsuka Nakamura. On replace function and swap function for finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):471–474, 2001.

Received June 11, 2003
