# Witt's Proof of the Wedderburn Theorem<sup>1</sup>

Broderick Arneson University of Alberta Edmonton Matthias Baaz Technische Universität Wien

Piotr Rudnicki University of Alberta Edmonton

**Summary.** We present a formalization of Witt's proof of the Wedderburn theorem following Chapter 5 of *Proofs from THE BOOK* by Martin Aigner and Günter M. Ziegler, 2nd ed., Springer 1999.

MML Identifier: WEDDWITT.

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [23], [31], [20], [8], [12], [24], [3], [29], [14], [32], [6], [7], [4], [5], [27], [16], [9], [15], [2], [28], [18], [10], [26], [13], [1], [17], [25], [30], [33], [19], [22], [21], and [11].

# 1. Preliminaries

The following propositions are true:

- (1) For every natural number a and for every real number q such that 1 < q and  $q^a = 1$  holds a = 0.
- (2) For all natural numbers a, k, r and for every real number x such that 1 < x and 0 < k holds  $x^{a \cdot k + r} = x^a \cdot x^{a \cdot (k '1) + r}$ .
- (3) For all natural numbers q, a, b such that 0 < a and 1 < q and  $q^a 1 \mid q^b 1$  holds  $a \mid b$ .
- (4) For all natural numbers n, q such that 0 < q holds  $\overline{\overline{q^n}} = q^n$ .

C 2004 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work has been supported by NSERC Grant OGP9207.

## BRODERICK ARNESON et al.

- (5) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and i be a natural number. If for every natural number j such that  $j \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $i \mid f_i$ , then  $i \mid \sum f$ .
- (6) Let X be a finite set, Y be a partition of X, and f be a finite sequence of elements of Y. Suppose f is one-to-one and rng f = Y. Let c be a finite sequence of elements of N. Suppose len c = len f and for every natural number i such that  $i \in \text{dom } c$  holds  $c(i) = \overline{\overline{f(i)}}$ . Then card  $X = \sum c$ .

# 2. Class Formula for Groups

Let us observe that there exists a group which is finite.

Let G be a finite group. Observe that Z(G) is finite.

Let G be a group and let a be an element of G. The functor Centralizer(a) yields a strict subgroup of G and is defined by:

(Def. 1) The carrier of Centralizer $(a) = \{b; b \text{ ranges over elements of } G: a \cdot b = b \cdot a\}.$ 

Let G be a finite group and let a be an element of G. Observe that Centralizer(a) is finite.

Next we state two propositions:

- (7) For every group G and for every element a of G and for every set x such that  $x \in \text{Centralizer}(a)$  holds  $x \in G$ .
- (8) For every group G and for all elements a, x of G holds  $a \cdot x = x \cdot a$  iff x is an element of Centralizer(a).

Let G be a group and let a be an element of G. One can verify that  $a^{\bullet}$  is non empty.

Let G be a group and let a be an element of G. The functor a-con\_map yields a function from the carrier of G into  $a^{\bullet}$  and is defined by:

(Def. 2) For every element x of G holds  $(a \operatorname{-con\_map})(x) = a^x$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (9) For every finite group G and for every element a of G and for every element x of  $a^{\bullet}$  holds card( $(a \operatorname{con}_{\operatorname{map}})^{-1}(\{x\})$ ) = ord(Centralizer(a)).
- (10) Let G be a group, a be an element of G, and x, y be elements of  $a^{\bullet}$ . If  $x \neq y$ , then  $(a \operatorname{-con_map})^{-1}(\{x\})$  misses  $(a \operatorname{-con_map})^{-1}(\{y\})$ .
- (11) Let G be a group and a be an element of G. Then  $\{(a \operatorname{-con\_map})^{-1}(\{x\}): x \text{ ranges over elements of } a^{\bullet}\}$  is a partition of the carrier of G.
- (12) For every finite group G and for every element a of G holds  $\overline{\{(a \operatorname{-con\_map})^{-1}(\{x\}) : x \text{ ranges over elements of } a^{\bullet}\}} = \operatorname{card} a^{\bullet}.$
- (13) For every finite group G and for every element a of G holds  $\operatorname{ord}(G) = \operatorname{card} a^{\bullet} \cdot \operatorname{ord}(\operatorname{Centralizer}(a)).$

70

Let G be a group. The functor conjugate\_Classes(G) yielding a partition of the carrier of G is defined by:

(Def. 3) conjugate\_Classes(G) = {S; S ranges over subsets of G:  $\bigvee_{a: \text{element of } G} S = a^{\bullet}$ }.

The following two propositions are true:

- (14) For every group G and for every set x holds  $x \in \text{conjugate_Classes}(G)$  iff there exists an element a of G such that  $a^{\bullet} = x$ .
- (15) Let G be a finite group and f be a finite sequence of elements of conjugate\_Classes(G). Suppose f is one-to-one and rng f = conjugate\_Classes(G). Let c be a finite sequence of elements of N. Suppose len  $c = \underline{\text{len } f}$  and for every natural number i such that  $i \in \text{dom } c$  holds  $c(i) = \overline{f(i)}$ . Then  $\text{ord}(G) = \sum c$ .

### 3. Centers and Centralizers of Skew Fields

We now state the proposition

(16) Let F be a finite field, V be a vector space over F, and n, q be natural numbers. Suppose V is finite dimensional and  $n = \dim(V)$  and  $q = \overline{\text{the carrier of } F}$ . Then the carrier of  $\overline{V} = q^n$ .

Let R be a skew field. The functor Z(R) yielding a strict field is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

- (Def. 4)(i) The carrier of  $Z(R) = \{x; x \text{ ranges over elements of } R: \bigwedge_{s: \text{ element of } R} x \cdot s = s \cdot x\},\$ 
  - (ii) the addition of Z(R) = (the addition of  $R) \upharpoonright$ the carrier of Z(R), the carrier of Z(R) ],
  - (iii) the multiplication of Z(R) = (the multiplication of R) [ the carrier of Z(R), the carrier of Z(R) ],
  - (iv) the zero of Z(R) = the zero of R, and
  - (v) the unity of Z(R) = the unity of R.

The following proposition is true

- (17) For every skew field R holds the carrier of  $Z(R) \subseteq$  the carrier of R. Let R be a finite skew field. Note that Z(R) is finite. We now state several propositions:
- (18) Let R be a skew field and y be an element of R. Then  $y \in Z(R)$  if and only if for every element s of R holds  $y \cdot s = s \cdot y$ .
- (19) For every skew field R holds  $0_R \in \mathbb{Z}(R)$ .
- (20) For every skew field R holds  $\mathbf{1}_R \in \mathbf{Z}(R)$ .
- (21) For every finite skew field R holds 1 < card (the carrier of Z(R)).

- (22) For every finite skew field R holds card (the carrier of Z(R)) = card (the carrier of R) iff R is commutative.
- (23) For every skew field R holds the carrier of  $Z(R) = (\text{the carrier of } Z(\text{MultGroup}(R))) \cup \{0_R\}.$

Let R be a skew field and let s be an element of R. The functor centralizer(s) yields a strict skew field and is defined by the conditions (Def. 5).

- (Def. 5)(i) The carrier of centralizer(s) = {x; x ranges over elements of R:  $x \cdot s = s \cdot x$ },
  - (ii) the addition of centralizer(s) = (the addition of R) $\models$ [the carrier of centralizer(s), the carrier of centralizer(s)],
  - (iii) the multiplication of centralizer(s) = (the multiplication of R) $\upharpoonright$ [ the carrier of centralizer(s), the carrier of centralizer(s) ],
  - (iv) the zero of centralizer(s) = the zero of R, and
  - (v) the unity of centralizer(s) = the unity of R.

Next we state several propositions:

- (24) For every skew field R and for every element s of R holds the carrier of centralizer $(s) \subseteq$  the carrier of R.
- (25) For every skew field R and for all elements s, a of R holds  $a \in$  the carrier of centralizer(s) iff  $a \cdot s = s \cdot a$ .
- (26) For every skew field R and for every element s of R holds the carrier of  $Z(R) \subseteq$  the carrier of centralizer(s).
- (27) Let R be a skew field and s, a, b be elements of R. Suppose  $a \in$  the carrier of Z(R) and  $b \in$  the carrier of centralizer(s). Then  $a \cdot b \in$  the carrier of centralizer(s).
- (28) For every skew field R and for every element s of R holds  $0_R$  is an element of centralizer(s) and  $\mathbf{1}_R$  is an element of centralizer(s).

Let R be a finite skew field and let s be an element of R. Observe that centralizer(s) is finite.

Next we state three propositions:

- (29) For every finite skew field R and for every element s of R holds 1 < card (the carrier of centralizer(s)).
- (30) Let R be a skew field, s be an element of R, and t be an element of MultGroup(R). If t = s, then the carrier of centralizer(s) = (the carrier of Centralizer(t))  $\cup \{0_R\}$ .
- (31) Let R be a finite skew field, s be an element of R, and t be an element of MultGroup(R). If t = s, then ord(Centralizer(t)) = card (the carrier of centralizer(s)) 1.

72

73

4. Vector Spaces over Centers of Skew Fields

Let R be a skew field. The functor VectSp\_over Z(R) yielding a strict vector space over Z(R) is defined by the conditions (Def. 6).

- (Def. 6)(i) The loop structure of VectSp\_over Z(R) = the loop structure of R, and
  - (ii) the left multiplication of VectSp\_over Z(R) = (the multiplication of R) [ the carrier of Z(R), the carrier of R ].

We now state two propositions:

- (32) For every finite skew field R holds card (the carrier of R) = (card (the carrier of Z(R)))<sup>dim(VectSp\_over Z(R))</sup>.
- (33) For every finite skew field R holds  $0 < \dim(\operatorname{VectSp\_over} Z(R))$ .

Let R be a skew field and let s be an element of R. The functor VectSp\_over Z(s) yields a strict vector space over Z(R) and is defined by the conditions (Def. 7).

- (Def. 7)(i) The loop structure of VectSp\_over Z(s) = the loop structure of centralizer(s), and
  - (ii) the left multiplication of VectSp\_over Z(s) = (the multiplication of R) [ the carrier of Z(R), the carrier of centralizer(s) ].

The following propositions are true:

- (34) For every finite skew field R and for every element s of R holds card (the carrier of centralizer(s)) = (card (the carrier of  $Z(R)))^{\dim(\operatorname{VectSp\_over} Z(s))}$ .
- (35) For every finite skew field R and for every element s of R holds  $0 < \dim(\operatorname{VectSp_over} Z(s))$ .
- (36) Let R be a finite skew field and r be an element of R. Suppose r is an element of MultGroup(R). Then (card (the carrier of Z(R)))<sup>dim(VectSp\_over Z(r))</sup> - 1 | (card (the carrier of Z(R)))<sup>dim(VectSp\_over Z(R))</sup> - 1.
- (37) For every finite skew field R and for every element s of R such that s is an element of MultGroup(R) holds dim $(\text{VectSp_over } Z(s)) \mid \text{dim}(\text{VectSp_over } Z(R)).$
- (38) For every finite skew field R holds card (the carrier of Z(MultGroup(R))) = card (the carrier of Z(R)) - 1.

5. WITT'S PROOF OF WEDDERBURN'S THEOREM

One can prove the following proposition

(39) Every finite skew field is commutative.

### BRODERICK ARNESON et al.

#### References

- [1] Broderick Arneson and Piotr Rudnicki. Primitive roots of unity and cyclotomic polynomials. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(1):59–67, 2004.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– 65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153-164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
  [9] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. Formalized
- Mathematics, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [11] Yoshinori Fujisawa, Yasushi Fuwa, and Hidetaka Shimizu. Euler's Theorem and small Fermat's Theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(1):123–126, 1998.
- [12] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [13] Andrzej Kondracki. The Chinese Remainder Theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 6(4):573–577, 1997.
- [14] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [15] Anna Justyna Milewska. The field of complex numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 9(2):265–269, 2001.
- [16] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [17] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [18] Konrad Raczkowski. Integer and rational exponents. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):125– 130, 1991.
- [19] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. To appear in Formalized Mathematics.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [24] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [25] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Classes of conjugation. Normal subgroups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):955–962, 1990.
- [26] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [27] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
  [28] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subgroup and cosets of subgroups. Formalized Mathematics,
- [28] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subgroup and cosets of subgroups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):855–864, 1990.
- [29] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [30] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Commutator and center of a group. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):461–466, 1991.
- [31] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [32] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

[33] Mariusz Żynel. The Steinitz theorem and the dimension of a vector space. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):423–428, 1996.

Received December 30, 2003