

Propositional Calculus for Boolean Valued Functions. Part VIII

Shunichi Kobayashi
Matsumoto University
Nagano

Summary. In this paper, we proved some elementary propositional calculus formulae for Boolean valued functions.

MML Identifier: **BVFUNC26**.

The articles [5], [6], [8], [7], [9], [1], [4], [3], and [2] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper Y denotes a non empty set and a, b, c denote elements of Boolean^Y .

Let p, q be boolean-valued functions. The functor $p \text{'nand'} q$ yielding a function is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $\text{dom}(p \text{'nand'} q) = \text{dom } p \cap \text{dom } q$ and for every set x such that $x \in \text{dom}(p \text{'nand'} q)$ holds $(p \text{'nand'} q)(x) = p(x) \text{'nand'} q(x)$.

Let us observe that the functor $p \text{'nand'} q$ is commutative. The functor $p \text{'nor'} q$ yielding a function is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) $\text{dom}(p \text{'nor'} q) = \text{dom } p \cap \text{dom } q$ and for every set x such that $x \in \text{dom}(p \text{'nor'} q)$ holds $(p \text{'nor'} q)(x) = p(x) \text{'nor'} q(x)$.

Let us note that the functor $p \text{'nor'} q$ is commutative.

Let p, q be boolean-valued functions. Note that $p \text{'nand'} q$ is boolean-valued and $p \text{'nor'} q$ is boolean-valued.

Let A be a non empty set and let p, q be elements of Boolean^A . Then $p \text{'nand'} q$ is an element of Boolean^A and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 3) For every element x of A holds $(p \text{'nand'} q)(x) = p(x) \text{'nand'} q(x)$.

Then $p' \text{nor}' q$ is an element of Boolean^A and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 4) For every element x of A holds $(p' \text{nor}' q)(x) = p(x)' \text{nor}' q(x)$.

Let us consider Y and let a, b be elements of $\text{BVF}(Y)$. Then $a' \text{nand}' b$ is an element of $\text{BVF}(Y)$. Then $a' \text{nor}' b$ is an element of $\text{BVF}(Y)$.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (1) $a' \text{nand}' b = \neg(a \wedge b)$.
- (2) $a' \text{nor}' b = \neg(a \vee b)$.
- (3) $\text{true}(Y)' \text{nand}' a = \neg a$.
- (4) $\text{false}(Y)' \text{nand}' a = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (5) $\text{false}(Y)' \text{nand}' \text{false}(Y) = \text{true}(Y)$ and $\text{false}(Y)' \text{nand}' \text{true}(Y) = \text{true}(Y)$ and $\text{true}(Y)' \text{nand}' \text{true}(Y) = \text{false}(Y)$.
- (6) $a' \text{nand}' a = \neg a$ and $\neg(a' \text{nand}' a) = a$.
- (7) $\neg(a' \text{nand}' b) = a \wedge b$.
- (8) $a' \text{nand}' \neg a = \text{true}(Y)$ and $\neg(a' \text{nand}' \neg a) = \text{false}(Y)$.
- (9) $a' \text{nand}' b \wedge c = \neg(a \wedge b \wedge c)$.
- (10) $a' \text{nand}' b \wedge c = a \wedge b' \text{nand}' c$.
- (11) $a' \text{nand}' (b \vee c) = \neg(a \wedge b) \wedge \neg(a \wedge c)$.
- (12) $a' \text{nand}' (b \oplus c) = a \wedge b \Leftrightarrow a \wedge c$.
- (13) $a' \text{nand}' (b' \text{nand}' c) = \neg a \vee b \wedge c$ and $a' \text{nand}' (b' \text{nand}' c) = a \Rightarrow b \wedge c$.
- (14) $a' \text{nand}' (b' \text{nor}' c) = \neg a \vee b \vee c$ and $a' \text{nand}' (b' \text{nor}' c) = a \Rightarrow b \vee c$.
- (15) $a' \text{nand}' (b \Leftrightarrow c) = a \Rightarrow b \oplus c$.
- (16) $a' \text{nand}' a \wedge b = a' \text{nand}' b$.
- (17) $a' \text{nand}' (a \vee b) = \neg a \wedge \neg(a \wedge b)$.
- (18) $a' \text{nand}' (a \Leftrightarrow b) = a \Rightarrow a \oplus b$.
- (19) $a' \text{nand}' (a' \text{nand}' b) = \neg a \vee b$ and $a' \text{nand}' (a' \text{nand}' b) = a \Rightarrow b$.
- (20) $a' \text{nand}' (a' \text{nor}' b) = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (21) $a' \text{nand}' (a \Leftrightarrow b) = \neg a \vee \neg b$.
- (22) $a \wedge b = a' \text{nand}' b' \text{nand}' (a' \text{nand}' b)$.
- (23) $a' \text{nand}' b' \text{nand}' (a' \text{nand}' c) = a \wedge (b \vee c)$.
- (24) $a' \text{nand}' (b \Rightarrow c) = (\neg a \vee b) \wedge \neg(a \wedge c)$.
- (25) $a' \text{nand}' (a \Rightarrow b) = \neg(a \wedge b)$.
- (26) $\text{true}(Y)' \text{nor}' a = \text{false}(Y)$.
- (27) $\text{false}(Y)' \text{nor}' a = \neg a$.
- (28) $\text{false}(Y)' \text{nor}' \text{false}(Y) = \text{true}(Y)$ and $\text{false}(Y)' \text{nor}' \text{true}(Y) = \text{false}(Y)$ and $\text{true}(Y)' \text{nor}' \text{true}(Y) = \text{false}(Y)$.
- (29) $a' \text{nor}' a = \neg a$ and $\neg(a' \text{nor}' a) = a$.

- (30) $\neg(a \text{ 'nor' } b) = a \vee b.$
 (31) $a \text{ 'nor' } \neg a = \text{false}(Y)$ and $\neg(a \text{ 'nor' } \neg a) = \text{true}(Y).$
 (32) $\neg a \wedge (a \oplus b) = \neg a \wedge b.$
 (33) $a \text{ 'nor' } b \wedge c = \neg(a \vee b) \vee \neg(a \vee c).$
 (34) $a \text{ 'nor' } (b \vee c) = \neg(a \vee b \vee c).$
 (35) $a \text{ 'nor' } (b \Leftrightarrow c) = \neg a \wedge (b \oplus c).$
 (36) $a \text{ 'nor' } (b \Rightarrow c) = \neg a \wedge b \wedge \neg c.$
 (37) $a \text{ 'nor' } (b \text{ 'nand' } c) = \neg a \wedge b \wedge c.$
 (38) $a \text{ 'nor' } (b \text{ 'nor' } c) = \neg a \wedge (b \vee c).$
 (39) $a \text{ 'nor' } a \wedge b = \neg(a \wedge (a \vee b)).$
 (40) $a \text{ 'nor' } (a \vee b) = \neg(a \vee b).$
 (41) $a \text{ 'nor' } (a \Leftrightarrow b) = \neg a \wedge b.$
 (42) $a \text{ 'nor' } (a \Rightarrow b) = \text{false}(Y).$
 (43) $a \text{ 'nor' } (a \text{ 'nand' } b) = \text{false}(Y).$
 (44) $a \text{ 'nor' } (a \text{ 'nor' } b) = \neg a \wedge b.$
 (45) $\text{false}(Y) \Leftrightarrow \text{false}(Y) = \text{true}(Y).$
 (46) $\text{false}(Y) \Leftrightarrow \text{true}(Y) = \text{false}(Y).$
 (47) $\text{true}(Y) \Leftrightarrow \text{true}(Y) = \text{true}(Y).$
 (48) $a \Leftrightarrow a = \text{true}(Y)$ and $\neg(a \Leftrightarrow a) = \text{false}(Y).$
 (49) $a \Leftrightarrow a \vee b = a \vee \neg b.$
 (50) $a \wedge (b \text{ 'nand' } c) = a \wedge \neg b \vee a \wedge \neg c.$
 (51) $a \vee (b \text{ 'nand' } c) = a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c.$
 (52) $a \oplus (b \text{ 'nand' } c) = \neg a \wedge \neg(b \wedge c) \vee a \wedge b \wedge c.$
 (53) $a \Leftrightarrow b \text{ 'nand' } c = a \wedge \neg(b \wedge c) \vee \neg a \wedge b \wedge c.$
 (54) $a \Rightarrow b \text{ 'nand' } c = \neg(a \wedge b \wedge c).$
 (55) $a \text{ 'nor' } (b \text{ 'nand' } c) = \neg(a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c).$
 (56) $a \wedge (a \text{ 'nand' } b) = a \wedge \neg b.$
 (57) $a \vee (a \text{ 'nand' } b) = \text{true}(Y).$
 (58) $a \oplus (a \text{ 'nand' } b) = \neg a \vee b.$
 (59) $a \Leftrightarrow a \text{ 'nand' } b = a \wedge \neg b.$
 (60) $a \Rightarrow a \text{ 'nand' } b = \neg(a \wedge b).$
 (61) $a \text{ 'nor' } (a \text{ 'nand' } b) = \text{false}(Y).$
 (62) $a \wedge (b \text{ 'nor' } c) = a \wedge \neg b \wedge \neg c.$
 (63) $a \vee (b \text{ 'nor' } c) = (a \vee \neg b) \wedge (a \vee \neg c).$
 (64) $a \oplus (b \text{ 'nor' } c) = (a \vee \neg(b \vee c)) \wedge (\neg a \vee b \vee c).$
 (65) $a \Leftrightarrow b \text{ 'nor' } c = (a \vee b \vee c) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg(b \vee c)).$
 (66) $a \Rightarrow b \text{ 'nor' } c = \neg(a \wedge (b \vee c)).$

- (67) $a \text{ 'nand' } (b \text{ 'nor' } c) = \neg a \vee b \vee c.$
- (68) $a \wedge (a \text{ 'nor' } b) = \text{false}(Y).$
- (69) $a \vee (a \text{ 'nor' } b) = a \vee \neg b.$
- (70) $a \oplus (a \text{ 'nor' } b) = a \vee \neg b.$
- (71) $a \Leftrightarrow a \text{ 'nor' } b = \neg a \wedge b.$
- (72) $a \Rightarrow a \text{ 'nor' } b = \neg(a \vee a \wedge b).$
- (73) $a \text{ 'nand' } (a \text{ 'nor' } b) = \text{true}(Y).$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was partially supported by the research funds of the Matsumoto University.

REFERENCES

- [1] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [2] Shunichi Kobayashi. On the calculus of binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):417–419, 2003.
- [3] Shunichi Kobayashi and Kui Jia. A theory of Boolean valued functions and partitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):249–254, 1998.
- [4] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Fränkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [5] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [6] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [7] Edmund Woronowicz. Interpretation and satisfiability in the first order logic. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):739–743, 1990.
- [8] Edmund Woronowicz. Many–argument relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):733–737, 1990.
- [9] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received September 5, 2004
